SHERIFF'S SALE

2307-325 2238 Serviva Street 19125 31st Wd 684 Sa.

Ft. BRT# 311219600 Improvements: Residen-

BARBARA TRUATZ C.P. September 2019

No. 1635 \$193,424.64 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-326

1221 North 53rd Street 19131 44th Wd 1,155

Sq. Ft. BRT# 442319400 Improvements: Resi-

LESTER YOUNG & SHIRLEY YOUNG C.P.

October 2016 No. 1422 \$74,506.97 KML Law

2307-327

2040 Webster Street 19146 30th Wd 952 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 301166100 Improvements: Residen-

GREGORY TATE C.P. March 2018 No. 3191

2307-328

2009 South 65th Street 19142 40th Wd 983

Sq. Ft. BRT# 401205000 Improvements: Resi-

JOSEPH KAMARA SOLELY IN HIS CA-

PACITY AS HEIR OF FRANCIS M. KAMA-

RA, DECEASED C.P. November 2020 No.

2307-329

1407 South Taylor Street 19146 36th Wd 770

Sq. Ft. BRT# 364173700 Improvements: Resi-

DEMETRI G. BOTSARIS C.P. May 2019 No.

2307-330

7536 Brentwood Road 19151 34th Wd 1,300

Sq. Ft. BRT# 343222000 Improvements: Resi-

WANDA JOHNSON C.P. August 2017 No.

2307-331

3552 Cresson Street 19129 38th Wd 1179 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 383122500 Improvements: Residen-

MEGAN C. BERRY C.P. January 2023 No.

2307-332

781 South 3rd Street 19147 2nd Wd 1233 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 22134300 Improvements: Residential

ALEXANDRA SAVU C.P. July 2019 No.

2307-333

8016 Langdon Street 19152 56th Wd 2983

Sq. Ft. BRT# 562327800 Improvements: Resi-

THE UNKNOWN HEIRS OF GLORIA A

BLANK DECEASED & THE UNKOWN

HEIRS OF PAUL BLANK DECEASED C.P.

March 2020 No. 1080 \$174,591.56 KML Law

2307-334

1236 East Moyamensing Avenue 19147 2nd

Wd 480 Sq. Ft. BRT# 21398800 Improve-

JAMES SMITH AKA JAMES R, SMITH C.P.

January 2020 No. 3746 \$177,975.66 KML

2307-335

2022 South Hemberger Street 19145 48th Wd

1040 Sq. Ft. BRT# 482239100 Improvements:

RANDY NEPOMUCENO AKA RANDY R.

NEPOMUCENO RAYMON NEPOMUCENO

C.P. March 2020 No. 264 \$55,796.52 KML

2307-336

738 East Rittenhouse Street 19144 59th Wd

1849 Sq. Ft. BRT# 591110200 Improvements:

THE UNKOWN HEIRS OF DORIS SHIELDS

A/K/A DORIS SHEILDS, DECEASED JOHN

ROBERT SHIELDS, SOLELY IN HIS CA-

PACITY AS HEIR OF DORIS SHIELDS

A/K/A DORIS SHEILDS, DECEASED C.P.

August 2019 No. 2325 \$48,925.72 KML Law

2307-337

6306 Woodbine Ave 19151 34th Wd 3,204 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 344125400 Improvements: Residen-

ments: Residential Property

1440 \$292,838.81 KML Law Group, P.C.

2820 \$117,569.94 KML Law Group, P.C.

1620 \$42,585.50 KML Law Group, P.C.

183 \$268,043.51 KML Law Group, P.C.

2120 \$42,088.82 KML Law Group, P.C.

\$172,529.18 KML Law Group, P.C.

tial Property

dential Property

Group, P.C.

tial Property

dential Property

dential Property

dential Property

tial Property

Property

dential Property

Group, P.C.

Law Group, P.C.

Residential Property

Law Group, P.C.

Residential Property

Group, P.C.

tial Property

Sheriff's Sale Notices for July 11, 2023

SHERIFF'S SALE

SPECIAL NOTE: All Sheriff's Sales are conducted pursuant to the orders of the Courts and Judges of the First Judicial District. Only properties that are subject to judgments issued by the First Judicial District are listed for sale. By law, the Sheriff's Office cannot decide if a property can be listed for sale; only the District Courts can order a property to be sold at auction.

SECOND PUBLICATION Properties to be sold by the Office of the Sheriff, City and County of Philadelphia, on Tuesday,

https://www.bid4assets.com/philadelphia 10:00 AM EDT

July 11, 2023 at:

Rochelle Bilal, Sheriff

PHILADELPHIA COUNTY MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE CONDITIONS OF SALE

 Based on the health and safety recommendations of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") and Pennsylvania Department of Health ("Department of Health") due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the scheduled sale shall be conducted virtually at Bid4Assets.com ("Bid4Assets").
YOU MUST BE EIGHTEEN (18) YEARS OF

2. YOU MUST BE EIGHTEEN (18) YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER TO BID.

3. All bidders must complete the Bid4Assets online registration process to participate in the auction ("Auction"). All bidders must submit a Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) deposit ("Deposit") plus a Thirty-Five Dollars (\$35.00) non-refundable processing fee to Bid4Assets before the start of the Auction. Such single Deposit shall be associated with the Auction held as of this date ("Auction Date") and shall allow a bidder to bid on all of the properties that are listed on the Auction Date. The Deposit will be applied to the 10% down payment required for all purchased properties. If the Deposit exceeds the 10% down payment required for all purchased properties, the excess will be applied towards the total balance due. If the 10% down payment required for all purchased properties is greater than the \$10,000.00 Deposit, the balance due to reach the 10% down payment amount is due by 5:00PM on the next business day after the auction date

4. All properties are sold "AS IS" with NO expressed or implied warranties or guarantees whatsoever. The Sheriff and Bid4Assets shall not be liable as a result of any cause whatsoever for any loss or damage to the properties sold. In anticipation of participating in the Auction and purchasing a property, the bidder assumes all responsibility for to investigate any and all liens, encumbrances and/or mortgages held against the property which may not be satisfied by the post-sale Schedule of Proposed Distribution under Pa. R.C.P. 3136 ("Schedule of Proposed Distribution").

5. The plaintiff's attorney shall submit the plaintiff's upset price ("Upset Price") to Bid4Assets, via the attorney online portal, at least one (1) hour prior to the start of the Auction. The Upset Price is the least amount the plaintiff will accept for a property. The Sheriff's costs will be added to the Upset Price to determine the reserve price for the auction. The reserve price is the minimum dollar amount the Sheriff will accept for the sale to go to a third-party bidder. Bidders will not know what the reserve price is, but they will see when the reserve price has been met.

6. The sale of the property will not be stopped unless The Sheriff's Office is contacted by the Attorney on the Writ, by Court Order or at the discretion of the Sheriff.

7. If the reserve price is met, the highest bidder shall be the purchaser. By close of business the next business day after the auction, the purchaser is responsible for 10% of the purchase price for each property purchased plus a buyer's premium of 1.5% of the total purchase price of each property purchased. The purchaser shall pay the balance of 90% of the purchase price for each property purchased plus a \$35 processing fee by 5:00PM EST on the fifteenth (15th) calendar day following the Auction Date unless that day falls on a holiday or weekend day, then the balance is due on the next business day by 5:00PM EST. Payments are due as stated above, NO EXTENSIONS AND NO EXCEPTIONS.

8. Failure to comply with the Conditions of Sale including, but not limited to, the failure to pay the remaining balance by any due date (the 10% down payment due date is the day following the auction; the 90% balance due date is 15 days after the auction date) and complying with all post-sale instructions required by the Sheriff and Bid4Assets, shall result in a default ("Default") and the down payment shall be forfeited by the bidder.

9. If a bidder wins multiple properties and does not comply with the conditions of sale for each property he is deemed in Default and all of the consequences of a Default will apply.

10. The highest bidder shall be responsible for any and all post sale costs that are imposed by law,

which are incurred by the Sheriff. Please be advised that the Realty Transfer Taxes have been calculated and included in the bid amounts.

SHERIFF'S SALE

11. On any auction that results in a third-party sale, the bidder who was directly outbid by the highest bidder will be given the option to register as a second bidder. If the second bidder accepts this option, he/she agrees to purchase the property for the same price as the highest bidder. The second bidder shall deposit 10% of the purchase price by 5:00PM EST on the first (1^{SL}) business day following the auction date. On that date the second bidder will be apprised of the status of the winning bidder's deposit, and shall be told his/her 10% will be kent on deposit. The second bidder shall also be told he/ she is liable for the 90% balance fifteen (15) calendar days from this date, if the highest bidder does not comply. If the highest bidder did not complete the sale, second bidder will have five (5) calendar th days to complete the sale. If that 5 th day falls on a holiday or weekend day, then the balance is due on the next business day by 5:00PM EST. If the second bidder is non-compliant he/she will forfeit his/her deposit to Sheriff. If the highest bidder completes the transaction, the second bidder will get his/her deposit refunded within 10 business days.

12. The Sheriff's Office, in its sole discretion, may cancel the sale after the auction closes for any reason.

13. The Plaintiff shall submit any pre-sale postponements or stays to the Philadelphia Sheriff's Office prior to 3:00PM the day before the auction.

14. The Plaintiff's attorney shall enter any auction day postponements or stays on his/ her Bid4Assets attorney portal. This includes any postponement or stay that was not submitted to the Philadelphia Sheriff's Office prior to the 3:00PM deadline the day before and any postponement or stay that occurs during the auction.

The Plaintiff, pursuant to Court Order, may cancel the sale after the Auction closes for any reason.
All bidding after the minimum bid, as described in Paragraph 4., shall be in increments of at least One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00)

17. If the Sheriff's grantee is to be anyone other than the purchaser registered with Bid4Assets, a notarized written assignment of bid must be filed with the Sheriff's Office of Philadelphia.

18. The Sheriff will not acknowledge a deed poll to any individual or entity using anunregistered fictitious name and may, at the discretion of the Sheriff, require proof of identity of the purchaser or the registration of fictitious names. The bid of an unregistered fictitious name shall be forfeited as if the bidder failed to meet the terms of sale.

19. The Sheriff reserves the right to refuse purchase from bidders who have failed to enter deposits, failed to make settlement or for any other reason at Sheriff's sole discretion and further reserves the right to deny access to future sales for a period of time as determined bythe Sheriff.

20. The Sheriff will file in the Prothonotary's office a Schedule of Distribution Thirty (30) Days from the date of sale of Real Estate. Distribution will be made in accordance with the Schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within Ten (10) days thereafter. Any balance exceeding the payouts per the Schedule of Distribution and Exceptions thereto, shall be paid to the homeowner at the time of sale.

21. When the Sheriff's Deed Poll is issued to the winning bidder, he/she becomes the official new owner of the property. If the property is occupied, the new owner must start a judicial procedure for ejectment to have the occupant removed.

22. All auctions are conducted pursuant to Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure and the local rules of the City and County of Philadelphia.

WARNING: All Sheriff's Sales are strictly monitored for any and all suspicious and fraudulent activity (Including but not limited to Computer, Identity, Bank, Wire, etc.). If the Sheriff's office detects any suspicious and/ or fraudulent activity during any sale, at the Sheriff's discretion, the bidder's account shall be suspended for whatever action deemed appropriate. Furthermore, those individuals face both criminal and civil liability and will be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

> Very truly yours, ROCHELLE BILAL, Sheriff City and County of Philadelphia www.OfficeofPhiladelphiaSheriff.com

SHERIFF'S SALE OF TUESDAY, JULY 11, 2023

2307-301 2023 Tulip Street 19125 31st Wd 1,440 Sq. Ft.

SHERIFF'S SALE

BRT# 311257900 Improvements: Residential

Property KRISTINA LAPSKER, IN HER CAPAC-ITY AS ADMINISTRATRIX AND OF THE ESTATE OF FRANCIS J. CZYZEWSKI, DECEASED, HEIR OF MARY JO URBAN-SKI, DECEASED UNKNOWN HERIS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS, AND ALL PER-SONS, FIRMS, OR ASSOCIATION CLAIM-ING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER FRANCIS J. CZYZEWSKI, DECEASED HEIR OF MARY JO URBAN-SKI, DECEASED C.P. June 2021 No. 1169 \$183,745.54 Brock & Scott, PLLC

2307-302

1003 Surrey Road 19115 63rd Wd 4400 Sq. Ft. BRT# 632101300 Improvements: Residential Property

STEPHANIE RUBIN SOLELY IN HER CA-PACITY AS HEIR OF BARBARA YUDKO-VITZ, DECEASED JANICE TUMBLESON SOLELY IN HER CAPACITY AS HEIR OF BARBARA YUDKOVITZ, DECEASED THE UNKNOWN HEIRS OF BARBARA YUDKO-VITZ DECEASED C.P. May 2022 No. 285 \$180,010.94 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-303

148 Wentz Street 19120 61st Wd 2400 Sq. Ft. BRT# 612023600 Improvements: Residential Property

GIANG THACH NGUYEN, AS ADMINIS-TRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF KIEN BICH TO, DECEASED C.P. January 2023 No. 2823 \$108,939.76 Haldik, Onorato & Federman, LLP

2307-304

3447 Jasper Street 19134 45th Wd 1,902 Sq. Ft. BRT# 452364500 Improvements: Residential Property

ISAIAH DIAZ REYNOLDS, KNOWN HEIR OF ANNA DIAZ, DECEASED; UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIA-TIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR IN-TEREST FROM OR UNDER ANNA DIAZ, DECEASED C.P. March 2022 No. 2516 \$23,381.94 Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

2307-305

5417 North Front Street **19120** 42nd Wd 8967 Sq. Ft. BRT# 421265800 Improvements: Residential Property

SARY SEANG C.P. December 2019 No. 229 \$156,094.41 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-306

5605 North 4th Street 19120 61st Wd 2353 Sq. Ft. BRT# 612369500 Improvements: Residential Property

MICHAEL D. STEVENS C.P. February 2020 No. 1878 \$116,696.91 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-307

6926 Forrest Avenue 19138 10th Wd 1500SQ FY BRT# 102517200 Improvements: Residential Property CYNTHIA A. MAYER C.P. February 2022

No. 291 \$114,667.28 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-308

703 N 66th Street 19151 34th Wd 1,474 Sq. Ft. BRT# 344355200 Improvements: Residential Property PANDORA SCOTT, ROOSEVELT SCOTT

C.P. November 2015 No. 4598 \$195,204.95 Brock & Scott, PLLC

2307-309

6526 North 18th Street 19126 17th Wd 1,440 Sq. Ft. BRT# 172284000 Improvements: Residential Property MARY SHARPTON C.P. February 2022 No. 560 \$121,192.95 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-310

6820 N Gratz St 19126 10th Wd 1,608 Sq. Ft. BRT# 101108400 Improvements: Residential Property WILLIAM HOLMES C.P. July 2018 No. 841

\$101,979.89 Brock & Scott, PLLC

2307-311 6148 Gillespie Street 19135 55th Wd 1280 Sq. Ft. BRT# 552424600 Improvements: Residential Property KAYLA LESSE C.P. February 2015 No. 866

KAYLA LESSE C.P. February 2015 No. 860 \$121,428.42 KML Law Group, P.C. 2307-312

2538 Cedar Street 19144 31st Wd 898 Sq. Ft.

SHERIFF'S SALE

BRT# 312036100 Improvements: Residential Property FELICIA A JEFFERSON C.P. June 2017 No. 1736 \$117,073.98 Brock & Scott, PLLC

2307-313

1102 Rosalie Street 19149 35th Wd 904 Sq. Ft. BRT# 352032600 Improvements: Residential Property Subject to Mortgage NAGENA EDMOND A/K/A NAGENA PIERRE-LOUIS C.P. January 2017 No. 1893 \$42,971.35 Friedman Vartolo LLP

2307-314

4039 North Marshall Street **19140** 43rd Wd 1288 Sq. Ft. BRT# 433080200 Improvements: Residential Property MAYRA VALENTIN C.P. February 2023 No. 350 \$34,700.91 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-315

4929 Ella Street 19120 42nd Wd 1200 Sq. Ft. BRT# 421312800 Improvements: Residential

Property MARY REDDING AKA MARY A REDDING C.P. November 2019 No. 3023 \$84,045.07 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-316

1545 South 31 Street 19146 36th Wd 896 Sq. Ft. BRT# 364432600 Improvements: Residential Property Subject to Mortgage MDG REAL ESTATE LLC, ALYSSA BRIDG-ES-GREEN C.P. September 2022 No. 1552 \$326,958.76 Friedman Vartolo LLP

2307-317

600 Alma St 19149 53rd Wd 2,223 Sq. Ft. BRT# 531328100 Improvements: Residential Property

MICHAEL THOMAS C.P. June 2022 No. 2355 \$51,711.61 Robertson, Anschutz, Schneid, Crane & Partners, PLLC

2307-318

613 East Hortter Place, Aka 613 Hortter Place 19119 22nd Wd 5,014 Sq. Ft. BRT# 221185900 Improvements: Residential Property CAPITAL ASSET MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC, C.P. January 2023 No. 1646 \$229,921.28

LLC, C.P. January 2023 No. 1646 \$229,921.2 Manley Deas Kochalski LLC 2307-319

4624 Tampa Atreet 19120 42nd Wd 1342 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 421571100 Improvements: Residential Property

UNKNOWN HEIRSAND/OR ADMINIS-TRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF MARGA-RET BAGGETT; CHRISTINE H. MARTIN, AS BELIEVED HEIR AND/OR ADMINIS-TRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF MARGA-RET BAGGETT C.P. March 2022 No. 1777 \$18,029.14 Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

2307-320

4601 Greene Street 19144 12th Wd 2,216 Sq. Ft. BRT# 123146200 Improvements: Residential Property Subject to Mortgage WEST CAPITAL GROUP LLC, LAWRENCE ANTHONY POWELL C.P. July 2022 No. 1432 \$393,779.43 Friedman Vartolo LLP

2307-321

5417 West Thompson Street 19131 4th Wd 1109 Sq. Ft. BRT# 41219400 Improvements: Residential Property ROSEMARY M. POOLE C.P. Feb 2022 No. 271 \$78,433.86 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-322

7033 Grays Avenue 19142 40th Wd 1620 Sq. Ft. BRT# 406187900 Improvements: Residen-

tial Property ALONZO D. MARCUS II C.P. March 2022

No. 1073 \$146,518.94 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-323

dential Property

261-c Shawmount Avenue 19128 21st Wd 1058 Sq. Ft. BRT# 888210497 Improvements: Residential Property MELISSA WHALEN AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF ELIZABETH A. KIRK, DECEASED C.P. March 2022 No. 3181 \$111,484.21 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-324 301 Friendship Street 19111 35th Wd 2530 Sq. Ft. BRT# 353176000 Improvements: Resi-

IVEY L. GALITAN C.P. May 2022 No. 2311

\$193,111.44 KML Law Group, P.C.

SHERIFF'S SALE

DONNA SWEENEY C.P. April 2022 No. 31 \$393,812.47 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-338

5127 Arbor Street 19120 42nd Wd 1500 Sq. Ft. BRT# 421389000 Improvements: Residential Property

DERRICK GARNER C.P. April 2015 No. 2115 \$70,517.36 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-339

4564 Tackawanna Street 19124 23rd Wd 1221 Sq. Ft. BRT# 232337000 Improvements: Residential Property

FRANCES M. BROOKS C.P. July 2017 No. 2583 \$81,183.67 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-340

 $1302 \ South \ Reese \ Street \ 19147 \ \texttt{1st Wd} \ \ 1142$ Sq. Ft. BRT# 11337100 Improvements: Residential Property LIBERTY FRANCISCO C.P. March 2022 No.

3109 \$197,424.67 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-341

 $1038 \ Winton \ Street \ 19148 \ \text{39th Wd} \ \ 658 \ \text{Sq}.$ Ft. BRT# 394055900 Improvements: Residential Property

UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-SIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS ORAS-SOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDERBARBA-RA NUZZI, DECEASED C.P. July 2022 No. 1407 \$91,838.58 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-342

3050 North 23rd Street 19132 11th Wd 910 Sq. Ft. BRT# 111381600 Improvements: Residential Property

ELIJAH MCDANIELS, JR AS HEIR TO ELIJAH MCDANIELS, JUSTIN SIMMONS AS HEIR TO ELIJAH MCDANIELS, DE-CEASED, PEGGY MCDANIELS, AS HEIR TO ELIJAH MCDANIELS, DECEASED, SHAWN MCDANIELS, AS HEIR TO ELIJAH MCDANIELS, DECEASED, THE UNKOWN HEIRS OF ELIJAH MCDANIELS, DE-CEASED C.P. July 2022 No. 1006 \$33,857.50 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-343

2016 North Leithgow Street 19122 18th Wd 1,000 Sq. Ft. BRT# 183337010 Improvements: Residential Property LOUISIANA HOLDINGS LLC, A LIMITED

COMPANY C.P. November 2022 No. 1281 \$163,731.09 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-344

2427 West Oakdale Street 19132 28th Wd 850 Sq. Ft. BRT# 281349900 Improvements: Residential Property

IP INVESTMENT 18 LLC, C/O COREY PET-TY, SOLE MEMBER C.P. September 2022 No. 346 \$140,208.36 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-345

7255 North 21st Street 19138 10th Wd 1,770 Sq. Ft. BRT# 101177600 Improvements: Residential Property HOSEA MARROW C.P. February 2019

No. 1155 \$87,820.74 Robertson, Anschutz, Schneid, Crane & Partners, PLLC

2307-346

9330 Academy Rd 19114 57th Wd 8,460 Sq. Ft. BRT# 572336900 Improvements: Residential Property

ROOSEVELT R. RIMORIN AND SALVAC-TION M. RIMORIN C.P. July 2022 No. 25 \$200,486.32 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-347

6229 N Howard St 19120 61st Wd 1,088 Sq. Ft. BRT# 611018500 Improvements: Residen-

tial Property THE UNKNOWN HEIRS OF MARVIN L. BRANTLEY, DECEASED AND LATASHA RUFFEN C.P. February 2023 No. 1461

\$90,564.01 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-348 523 Mckean Street 19148 39th Wd 1,056 Sq. Ft. BRT# 392026600 Improvements: Residential Property

PATRICIA WATSON, KNOWN HEIR OF DOROTHY WATSON, DECEASED UN-KNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS AND ALL PERSONS FIRMS OR ASSO-CIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER DOROTHY WATSON, DECEASED C.P. July 2022 No. 2231 \$268,659.26 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-349

6445 Garman Street 19142 40th Wd 1120 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 406261700 Improvements: Residential Property DERRICK E. SIMMONS C.P. January 2020 No. 2939 \$125,000.31 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-350

8029 Lindbergh Boulevard 19153 40th Wd 1,290 Sq. Ft. BRT# 405844700 Improvements: Residential Property

FREDERICK FAIRFAX, JR., ADMINISTRA-TOR OF THE ESTATE OF FRED LONNIE FAIRFAX, DECEASED C.P. December 2021 No. 1718 \$174,345.97 Logs Legal Group LLP

SHERIFF'S SALE

2307-351

5525 Greenway Avenue 19143 51st Wd 1050 Sq. Ft. BRT# 514258600 Improvements: Residential Property

UNKNOWN HEIRS OF SUCCESSORS, AS-SIGNS, AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS, OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER DORO-THY RYALS HEATH, DECEASED C.P. November 2021 No. 855 \$75,702.15 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-352

3137 Willits Road 19114 57th Wd 1486 Sq. Ft. BRT# 572092500 Improvements: Residential Property MARC A. WAGNER KAREN MCCARTHY C.P. June 2015 No. 4010 \$236,942.45 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-353

211 West Clapier Street 19144 13th Wd 1185 Sq. Ft. BRT# 133063400 Improvements: Resi-

dential Property UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-SIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT. TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER DELLA N. MANN, DECEASED NICOLA KNOWLES, KNOWN HEIR OF DELLA N MANN, DECEASED C.P. November 2021 No. 339 \$58,603.59 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-354

423 Alcott Street 19120 35th Wd 1840 Sq. Ft. BRT# 352071100 Improvements: Residential Property

UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-SIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER, LYN-WOOD L. ROBERTS, DECEASED C.P. November 2021 No. 1332 \$112,071.35 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-355

4941 Penn Street 19124 23rd Wd 2,863 Sq. Ft. BRT# 232425300 Improvements: Residential Property

TARA REYES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF JUAN MORALES, DECEASED UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSOR, ASSIGNS, AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTER-EST FROM OR UNDER JUAN MOEALES, DECEASED C.P. November 2019 No. 1226 \$59,137.06 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-356

2922 North 13th Street 19133 37th Wd 1,548 Sq. Ft. BRT# 372275700 Improvements: Residential Property KENNETH J. BURGESS A/K/A KENNETH BURGESS C.P. September 2020 No. 5 \$23,055.50 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-357 8326 Temple Road 19150 50th Wd 1,643 Sq. Ft. BRT# 501077000 Improvements: Residential Property NATHÂNIEL R. COTTOM A/K/A NATHAN-IEL COTTOM C.P. June 2021 No. 2545 \$110,561.03 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-358

12601 Medford Road 19154 66th Wd 2,000 Sq. Ft. BRT# 663257800 Improvements: Residential Property JAMES D. STONE C.P. August 2021 No. 263

\$183,076.63 Logs Legal Group LLP 2307-359

6152 Delancev Street 19143 3rd Wd 960 Sq. Ft. BRT# 32027800 Improvements: Residential Property

ANY AND ALL KNOWN AND UNKOWN HEIRS, EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS AND DEVISEES OF THE ESTATE OF WAL-TER LOWMAN, DECEASED AND ANGEL LOWMAN SOLELY IN THE CAPACITY AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF WALTER LOWMAN DECEASED AND FREDDRENA LOWMAN, SOLELY IN THE CAPACITY AS OF WALTER LOW MAN, DECEASED C.P. December 2022 No. 2345 \$39,632.51 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-360

11702 Lanett Road 19154 66th Wd 1,983 Sq. Ft. BRT# 662033800 Improvements: Residential Property

UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-SIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS, OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER MI-CHAEL A. MASCIANTONIO, DECEASED NICHOLAS L. MASCIANTONIO, KNOWN HEIR OF MICHAEL A MASCIANTONIO DECEASED THOMAS MASCIANTONIO, SHERIFF'S SALE

MONDAY, JUNE 26, 2023

THE LEGAL INTELLIGENCER • 15

Property

Property

Property

SHERIFF'S SALE

JUAN A VERA C.P. July 2022 No. 2585

2307-385

140 Daly St 19148 39th Wd 682 Sq. Ft. BRT#

391097501 Improvements: Residential Prop-

KATHLEEN KRAMER: JOHN ROBERT

KRAMER C.P. January 2022 No. 2395

2307-386

207 N 58th St 19139 4th Wd 1120 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 363031300 Improvements: Residential

ELIZABETH FRANCIS C.P. July 2022 No.

2307-387

652 E. Wensley St 19134 33th Wd 756 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 331130200 Improvements: Residential

JOSE MARTINEZ, HEIR OF THE ESTATE

OF IVETTE COLON, DECEASED AND UN-

KOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS

AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSO-

CIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT TITLE OR

INTEREST FROM OR UNDER IVETTE CO-

LON, DECEASED C.P. February 2022 No.

2307-388

6225 Hazel Ave 19143 3rd Wd 1092 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 32113600 Improvements: Residential

THE UNKOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-

SIGNS, AND ALL PERSONS FIRMS OR AS-

SOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT TITLE,

OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER YVONNE

GEDDY-SLATER, DECEASED C.P. June

2022 No. 1230 \$100,408.58 Hill Wallack LLP

2307-389

7427 Rugby Street 19138 10th Wd 1,446 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 102469800 Improvements: Residen-

tial Property Subject to Mortgage HAROLD ALSTON, IN HIS CAPACITY AS

HEIR OF JANICE E. REED, DECEASED;

UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-

SIGNS, AND ALLPERSONS, FIRMS, OR

ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE

OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER JANICE

E. REED, DECEASED C.P. May 2022 No.

2307-390

2011 S Hutchinson St 19148 39th Wd 637 Sq. Ft. BRT# 393462600 Improvements: Residen-

tial Property PATRICIA A. DAMICO A/K/A PATRICIA

SIMPKINS, IN HER CAPACITY AS HEIR

OF MICHAEL DAMICO A/K/A MICHAEL

PAUL DAMICO A/K/A MICHAEL P. DIMA-

CO, DECEASED; UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUC-

CESSORS, ASSIGNS, AND ALL PERSON

CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST

FROM OR UNDER MICHAEL DAMICO

A/K/A MICHAEL PAUL DAMICO A/K/A

MICHAEL P. DAMICO, DECEASED C.P.

Oct 2018 No. 2834 \$228,860.42 Brock & Scott, PLLC

2307-391 1525 Rodman St 19146 30th Wd 680 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 303049400 Improvements: Residential

MEGAN LEMBKE, IN HER CAPACITY AS ADMINISTRATRIX AND HEIR OF THE

ESTATE OF ROBERT G. LEMBKE A/K/A

ROBERT GEORGE LEMBKE A/K/A ROB-

ERT LEMBKE; UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUC-

CESSORS, ASSIGNS AND ALL PERSONS,

FIRMS, OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING

RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR

UNDER ROBERT G. LEMBKE A/K/A ROB-

ERT GEORGE LEMBKE A/K/A ROBERT

LEMBKE C.P. November 2021 No. 244

2307-392

1704 Wharton St 19146 36th Wd 800 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 365346200 Improvements: Residential

PATRICIA WEEKS. IN HER CAPACITY

AS HEIR OF GLORIA A. STEELE; GLO-

RIA STEELE A/K/A CARMEN STEELE

IN HER CAPACITY AS HEIR OF GLO-

RIA A. STEELE A/K/A GLORIA STEELE:

JANICE STEELE, IN HER CAPACITY AS

HEIR OF GLORIA A STEELE A/K/A GLO

RIA STEELE; RASHIE CONSTANTINE,

IN HIS CAPACITY AS HEIR OF GLORIA

A STEELE A/K/A GLORIA STEELE; UN-

KNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS.

AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSO-

CIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR

INTEREST FROM OR UNDER GLORIA

A STEELE C.P. November 2021 No. 1235

\$151,085.08 Robertson, Anschutz, Schneid,

2307-393

1363 Sellers Street, Frankford A/k/a 19124

23rd Wd 975 Sq. Ft. BRT# 234050700 Improvements: Residential Property

Crane & Partners, PLLC

\$316,995.48 Brock & Scott, PLLC

Property

Property Subject to Mortgage

1907 \$42,782.90 Brock & Scott, PLLC

1951 \$32,267.67 Hill Wallack LLP

\$112,120.85 Brock & Scott, PLLC

\$169,559.04 Brock & Scott, PLLC

475 \$105.612.45 Hill Wallack LLP

erty Subject to Mortgage

SHERIFF'S SALE

2307-374

9584 State Road Unit B 19114 65th Wd 1.056

Sq. Ft. BRT# 888651261 Improvements: Resi-

ARON GUTTIN C.P. December 2012 No. 995

\$151,947.36 Robertson, Anschutz, Schneid,

2307-375

6714 North 15th Street 19126 10th Wd 1,925

Sq. Ft. BRT# 101018800 Improvements: Resi-

HOLLIE MCINTOSH C.P. March 2019 No.

2307-376

4833 Walnut Street 19139 60th Wd 2,223 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 601045700 Improvements: Residen

HUMBERTO GUEITS LYNETTE K. GUEITS

C.P. August 2019 No. 1581 \$330,058.14 Logs

2307-377

48 West Wyneva Street 19144 12th Wd 1,344

Sq. Ft. BRT# 123021600 Improvements: Resi-

JEROME ARTHUR A/K/A JEROME DELEE,

IN HIS CAPACITY AS HEIR OF MARY

ARTHUR, KRISTINA BROWN, IN HER

CAPACITY AS HEIR OF MARY ARTHUR.

UNKOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS

AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSO-

CIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR

INTEREST FROM OR UNDER MARY AR-

THUR C.P. June 2019 No. 5460 \$164,424.18

Robertson, Anschutz, Schneid, Crane & Part-

2307-378

236-38 Hortter Street 19119 22nd Wd 4,100

Sq. Ft. BRT# 221167100 Improvements: Resi-

UNKOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-

SIGNS AND ALL PERSON, FIRMS OR AS-

SOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE

OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER PAUL

JINKS; UNKOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS,

ASSIGNS, AND ALL PERSONS. FIRMS OR

ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE

OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER GLO-

RIA C. JINKS; REGINA D. JONES IN HER

CAPACITY AS HEIR OF GLORIA C. JINKS

C.P. September 2021 No. 791 \$94,176.94 Rob-

ertson, Anschutz, Schneid, Crane & Partners, PLLC

2307-379

4438 Strahle Street 19136 65th Wd 1,649 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 652058700 Improvements: Residen

SELICIA RUSSO, IN HER CAPACITY AS

EXECUTRIX AND HEIR OF THE ESTATE

OF PEGGY M. RUSSO M. RUSSO A/K/A

MARGARET MARY RUSSO A/K/A MAR-

GARET P. RUSSO; UNKNOWN HEIRS,

SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS AND ALL PER-

SONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIM-

ING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM

OR UNDER PEGGY M. RUSSO A/K/A

MARGARET MARY RUSSO A/K/A MAR-

GARET P. RUSSO C.P. January 2022 No. 391

\$164,484.04 Robertson, Anschutz, Schneid,

2307-380

1936 74th Avenue 19138 42nd Wd 1278 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 101386500 Improvements: Residen

UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-

SIGNS AND ALL PERSONS FIRMS OR AS-

SOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE

OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER VILMA

L. FRANKS, DECEASED AVIS FRANKS,

IN HER CAPACITY AS HEIR OF VILMA L

FRANKS, DECEASED C.P. February 2019

2307-381

6229 Walker Street 19135 55th Wd 1,454.4

Sq. Ft. BRT# 552324200 Improvements: Resi-

LINDA M. GALLOWAY A/K/A LINDA

M. MASSARA C.P. August 2022 No. 2154

2307-382

2340 East Huntingdon Street 19125 31st Wd

1,008 Sq. Ft. BRT# 314142300 Improvements

JASON VECCHINONE C.P. August 2022 No.

2307-383

7929 Buist Avenue 19153 40th Wd 1791 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 405755915 Improvements: Residen-

JOYCE S BARBOUR C.P. April 2019 No.

2307-384

1256 E Pike St 19124 33rd Wd 1.305 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 332005700 Improvements: Residential

2401 \$224,223,70 Powers Kirn, LLC

3501 \$105,528.34 Powers Kirn. LLC

No. 2254 \$57,307.78 Powers Kirn. LLC

Crane & Partners, PLLC

tial Property

dential Property

Residential Property

tial Property

Property

\$54,309.07 Powers Kirn. LLC

3809 \$265,525.32 Logs Legal Group LLP

dential Property

dential Property

tial Property

Legal Group LLP

dential Property

ners, PLLC

dential Property

tial Property

Crane & Partners, PLLC

KNOWN HEIR OF MICHAEL A. MASCIAN-TONIO, DECEASED C.P. April 2018 No. 1100 \$248,233.59 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-361

3336 North Kip Street 19134 7th Wd 862 Sq. Ft. BRT# 73087000 Improvements: Residential Property JUAN F. VALENTIN C.P. May 2018 No. 1600

\$27,069.36 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-362

5806 Osage Avenue 19143 60th Wd 953 Sq. Ft. BRT# 604184300 Improvements: Residential Property

LOLA JAMES, KNOWN HEIR OF JAMES FRANCIS. DECEASED CALVIN FRANCIS, KNOWN HEIR OF JAMES FRANCIS, DE-CEASED FRANKIE FRANCIS, KNOWN HEIR OF JAMES FRANCIS, DECEASED UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-SIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER JAMES FRANCIS, DECEASED C.P. April 2022 No. 360 \$41,052.63 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-363

1039 South 54th Street 19143 51st Wd 1,238 Sq. Ft. BRT# 511067800 Improvements: Residential Property

MODERN REAL ESTATE, LLC A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY C.P. November 2022 No. 1295 \$85.309.15 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-364

5849 Rodman Street 19143 46th Wd 953 Sq. Ft. BRT# 32126700 Improvements: Residential Property THE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT GROUP

LLC, A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY C.P. November 2022 No. 1285 \$159,271.90 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-365 1855 East Tioga Street 19134 45th Wd 1,200

Sq. Ft. BRT# 452109100 Improvements: Residential Property JACOB TSIRKIN AND JANET PIVOVA-ROVA C.P. April 2020 No. 1128 \$49,976.62 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-367

2308 East Albert Street 19125 31st Wd 860 Sq. Ft. BRT# 314197500 Improvements: Residential Property MODERN REAL ESTATE, LLC A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY C.P. November 2022 No. 1297 \$266,264.58 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-368

5747 North Beechwood Street 19138 17th Wd 868 Sq. Ft. BRT# 172495100 Improvements: Residential Property MARITA V. MARTIN AND ANGELA

V. LUNDY C.P. March 2020 No. 330 \$105,511.05 Logs Legal Group LLP 2307-369

3222 Salm0n Street 19134 45th Wd 703.5 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 451178100 Improvements: Residential Property ADAM KUSZ C.P. June 2019 No. 5736

\$139,110.65 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-370

1145 South 61st Street 19143 3rd Wd 1,591 Sq. Ft. BRT# 33225100 Improvements: Residential Property TOMMY T. RAY C.P. April 2022 No. 1890 \$108,312.74 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-371

2713 Clayton Street 19152 57th Wd 2,585 Sq. Ft. BRT# 571104500 Improvements: Residential Property

RAYMOND CHARLES URIARTE A/K/A RAYMOND URIARTE LEAH MITSIK C.P. April 2022 No. 350 \$308,660.65 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-372

5651 Matthews Street 19138 12th Wd 900 Sa Ft. BRT# 122295900 Improvements: Residential Property LAURA GORDON, KNOWN HEIR OF

ALONZO L. NEAL, DECEASED UN-KNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSO-CLAIMING INTEREST FROM OR UNDER ALONZO L. NEAL DECEASED C P December 2021 No. 1017 \$156,113.00 Logs Legal Group LLP

2307-373

2525 North Bancroft Street 19132 16th Wd

694 Sq. Ft. BRT# 161098200 Improvements:

Residential Property UKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS

AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIA-

TIONS CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR IN-

TEREST FROM OR UNDER RUTH PALMER

A/K/A ALBERTA RUTH PALMER C.P. Sep-

tember 2021 No. 291 \$63 417 46 Robertson

Anschutz, Schneid, Crane & Partners, PLLC

SHERIFF'S SALE

EILEEN STEIBER A/K/A EILEEN M STEIBER C.P. June 2022 No. 930 \$34,727.90 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-394

4545 Pulaski Ave 19144 13th Wd 2,216 Sq. Ft. BRT# 133108600 Improvements: Commercial Property

PROPERTY TWELVE INVESTMENT CORP. A PENNSYLVANIA LIMINTED LIABILITY COMPANY C.P. November 2022 No. 2322 \$261,221.68 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-395

438 West Somerville Avenue 19120 42nd Wd 1,980 Sq. Ft. BRT# 422276800 Improvements: Residential Property ROBERT LEE JONES C.P. May 2017 No.

3569 \$76,095.82 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-397

3221 Stanwood Street 19136 64th Wd 2,797 Sq. Ft. BRT# 642317400 Improvements: Residential Property JOSEPH R. LEE, JR. AND LUCYANNE LEE

C.P. September 2020 No. 1236 \$356,952.45 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-398

1992 West Cheltenham Avenue 19138 10th Wd 7,134 Sq. Ft. BRT# 101408000 Improvements: Residential Property TRAVIS SAUNDERS A/K/A TRAVIS D.

SAUNDERS AS PERSONAL REPRESENTA-TIVE OF CYNTHIA L.CULP A/K/A CYN-THIA L. SAUNDERS A/K/A CYNTHIA L. SANDERS THE UNKNOWN HEIRS OF CYNTHIA L. CULP A/K/A CYNTHIA L. SAUNDERS A/K/A CYNTHIA L. SAUN-DERS C.P. December 2022 No. 2471 \$102,569.02 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-399

5932 Walnut Street 19139 3rd Wd 2,646 Sq. Ft. BRT# 31066400 Improvements: Commercial Property

SS PLATINUM PROPERTIES LLC C.P. August 2022 No. 41 \$159,641.89 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-400

4140 Claridge Street 19124 33rd Wd 999 Sq. Ft. BRT# 332341400 Improvements: Residential Property

JAMES C. VARGHESE AND MARY JAMES C.P. June 2022 No. 1745 \$118,723.57 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-401

250 S 13th Street Apt. 14a 19107 5th Wd 2,424 Sq. Ft. BRT# 888072256 Improvements: Residential Property

STEPHEN ALESSI C.P. July 2019 No. 4551 \$871,539.07 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-402

4102-4104 North 5th Street 19140 43th Wd 1,120 Sq. Ft. BRT# 871568470 Improvements: Residential Property

PERSONAL CARE HOME C&C MEDICAL CLINIC, PLLC, A PENNSYVANIA PROFES-SIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY C.P. October 2022 No. 117 \$183,584.51 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-403

20 East Penn Street, Unit 6 19144 12th Wd 618 Sq. Ft. BRT# 888120010 Improvements: Residential Property NICOLE R. STOKES-DUPASS AND TY-

REICE C. DUPASS C.P. January 2022 No. 931 \$108,718.73 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-404

5316 Ogden Street 19139 44th Wd 700 Sq. Ft. BRT# 442018200 Improvements: Residential Property

UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, AS-SIGNS, AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIATION CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER SAMUEL SIMMONS, JR DECEASED C.P. January 2022 No. 314 \$66,279.30 Hill Wallack LLP

2307-405

857 N Camac Street 19123 14th Wd 2.093 Sq. Ft. BRT# 141183610 Improvements: Residential Property

TERRACINO M. CALDWELL C.P. September 2019 No. 624 \$51,743.83 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-406 6252 North 15th St 19141 17th Wd 1,648 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 172069700 Improvements: Residential Property PROPERTY INVESTMENTS DENEVO CORPORATION C.P. November 2022 No. 1842 \$122,393.10 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

SHERIFF'S SALE

2307-407

303 North 54th Street 19139 44th Wd 1,125 Sq. Ft. BRT# 441214300 Improvements: Residential Property THE UNKNOWN HEIRS TO THE ESTATE OF FRANK L. ROBINSON A/K/A FRANK ROBINSON C.P. March 2020 No. 2482 \$52,384.09 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

2307-408

2047 Wilder St 19146 36th Wd 1120 Sq. Ft. BRT# 363031300 Improvements: Residential Property INEZ MARY KNOX AND CHARLES GANTT C.P. February 2022 No. 1786 \$86,378.68 Hill Wallack LLP

2307-409

6500 Hasbrook Avenue 19111 35th Wd 1,847 Sq. Ft. BRT# 353192100 Improvements: Residential Property STEVEN KLEPCZYNSKI C.P. August 2014 No. 834 \$198,137.17 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-410

2615 South 73rd Street 19153 40th Wd 1,120 Sq. Ft. BRT# 404072100 Improvements: Residential Property EDWIN DENNIS C.P. July 2017 No. 1445 \$71,164.49 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-411

1427 W Wyoming Ave 19140 13th Wd 1356 Sq. Ft. BRT# 132388400 Improvements: Residential Property BEVERLY A. DOWDY C.P. February 2022 No. 1760 \$44,105.67 Hill Wallack LLP

2307-412

5959 Upland Way 19131 52nd Wd 1,320 Sq. Ft. BRT# 522010900 Improvements: Residential Property WANDA HENRY C.P. June 2017 No. 680

\$60,635.11 KML Law Group, P.C. 2307-413

5914 North Warnock Street 19141 49th Wd 2,520 Sq. Ft. BRT# 492220700 Improvements: Residential Property ALPHONSO W. MEARS AKA ALPHON-SO MEARS C.P. December 2017 No. 568 \$92,165.17 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-414

5021 Loretto Avenue 19124 35th Wd 1,361 Sq. Ft. BRT# 351395100 Improvements: Residential Property MONIQUE WILLIAMS C.P. January 2020 No. 2718 \$42,595.40 Parker Mccay

2307-415

1446 North 60th Street 19151 34th Wd 1,396.5 Sq. Ft. BRT# 342209100 Improvements: Residential Property PATRICIA STANCIL C.P. October 2018 No. 3707 \$78,165.92 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-416

509 Fernon St 19148 1st Wd 1,026 Sq. Ft. BRT# 11353900 Improvements: Residential Property ALLYSON E. JOYNER, INDIVIDUALLY

AND AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ES-TATE OF JOSEPH R. TUNNEY DECEASED C.P. January 2023 No. 2020 \$98,856.99 Hladik Onorato And Federman LLP

2307-417

6536 N. Bouvier Street 19126 17th Wd 1,246 Sq. Ft. BRT# 172231600 Improvements: Residential Property JOHN C. BURROUGHS A/K/A JOHN BUR-ROUGHS C.P. November 2019 No. 1222 \$117,873.61 Pincus Law Group, PLLC

2307-418

858 North 21st Street 19130 15th Wd 1,200 Sq. Ft. BRT# 151128900 Improvements: Residential Property MICHAEL A. NEIGHTS C.P. March 2022 No. 1695 \$181,259,72 Romano, Garubo &

Argentieri

SHERIFF'S SALE

2307-419 3322 Ella Street 19134 7th Wd 840 Sq. Ft BRT# 73067800 Improvements: Residential Property

MONDAY, JUNE 26, 2023

RAYMOND MCNEIL C.P. October 2019 No. 3425 \$41,810.73 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-420

3753 Avalon Street A/k/a 3755 Avalon Street 19114 66th Wd 9,005 Sq. Ft. BRT# 661089100 Improvements: Residential Property THE UNKNOWN HEIRS OF IRMA BRIT TINGHAM, DEASED WILLIAM BRIT-TINGHAM A/K/A GUY W. BRITTINGHAM INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS CAPAC-ITY AS HEIR OF IRMA BRITTINGHAM DECEASED C.P. May 2019 No. 9300 \$278,856.61 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-421

7164 Georgian Road 19138 10th Wd 1,590 Sq. Ft. BRT# 101133100 Improvements: Residential Property LAURINE SPIVEY C.P. January 2016 No.

1834 \$62,245.37 Parker Mccay

2307-422 1936 East Tioga Street 19134 45th Wd 1,226 Sq. Ft. BRT# 452102700 Improvements: Residential Property MEGAN WINTERS C.P. September 2022 No.

1758 \$75,675.73 Hladik Onorato And Federman LLP

2307-423

 $2465 \ North \ Patton \ Street \ 19132 \ 28th \ Wd \ 840$ Sq. Ft. BRT# 282170800 Improvements: Residential Property SHEILA ROBERTS KIRKLAND A/K/A SHEILA CUNNINGHAM ROBERTS C.P.

June 2022 No. 1902 \$16,333.46 Hladik Onorato And Federman LLP

2307-424

1664 E Walnut Street 19138 10th Wd 1,538 Sq. Ft. BRT# 102154300 Improvements: Residential Property TAMMI WILLIAMS C.P. February 2023 No. 1625 \$62,372.63 Hladik Onorato And Feder-

man LLP 2307-425

423 East Mentor Street 19120 42nd Wd 1,125 Sq. Ft. BRT# 421075300 Improvements: Residential Property

JOHN KEY C.P. January 2022 No. 1734 \$90,970.63 Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

2307-426

335 East Cliveden Street 19119 22nd Wd 2,699 Sq. Ft. BRT# 221049200 Improvements: Residential Property VANCE WRIGHT, A/K/A VANCE L. WRIGHT C.P. December 2018 No. 79 \$205,287.47 Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

2307-427

5614 Windsor Avenue 19143 51st Wd 1,016 Sq. Ft. BRT# 514082800 Improvements: Residential Property Subject to Mortgage VINCENT SHAW, AS BELIEVED HEIR AND/OR ADMINISTRATOR TO THE ES-TATE OF EARLIE MAE SHAW: UNKNOWN HEIRS, AND/OR ADMINISTRATORS TO THE ESTATE OF EARLIE MAE SHAW C.P. May 2022 No. 951 \$27,185.50 Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

2307-428

3824 Arendell Ave 19114 57th Wd 3,947 Sq. Ft. BRT# 572141800 Improvements: Residential Property EDWARD VINCENT CUDEYRO. TINA NIC-GORSKI-CUDEYRO C.P. January 2022 No. 2104 \$97,568.45 Hladik Onorato And Federman LLP

2307-429

7009 Woodbine Ave 19151 34th Wd 12880 Sq. Ft. BRT# 344136700 Improvements: Residential Property

LORRAINE ROBINSON C.P. April 2017 No. 590 \$577,235.52 Hladik Onorato And Federman LLP

2307-431

3014-3016 Tulip Street 19134 25th Wd 2,700 Sq. Ft. BRT# 252370500 Improvements: Residential Property VICTOR MERONYCK AKA VICTORIO Ft. BRT# 11397700 Improvements: Residential MERONYCK MARIE MERONYCK C.P. Property

To publish your Corporate Notices, Call: Jennifer McCullough

at 215-557-2321 Email : jmccullough@alm.com

April 2022 No. 2259 \$190,396.46 Pincus Law Group, PLLC

SHERIFF'S SALE

VOL P. 3368

SHERIFF'S SALE

CONNIE PINTO C.P. October 2019 No. 835

2307-443

1422 N Robinson Street 19151 34th Wd 1316

Sq. Ft. BRT# 342282700 Improvements: Resi-

HOLLIS A. TAYLOR C.P. May 2022 No.

1286 \$126,945.47 Hladik Onorato And Feder-

2307-444

7326 Ogontz Avenue 19138 50th Wd 6588 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 501299000 Improvements: Residen-

ROLAND GROVER SHELTON, JR AKA

ROLAND GROVER SHELTON, ETAL JOR-

DAN NASHON BIBBS LISA PERRY-JAMES

SHELTON C.P. September 2022 No. 1958

\$109,143.79 Richard M. Squire & Associates,

2307-445

2959 N 27th Street 19132 38th Wd 1,634 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 381206700 Improvements: Residen-

MARY ODOM, HEIR OF CARL J. ODOM, DECEASED, SHANIE SHEED, HEIR OF

CARL J. ODOM, DECEASED, CARL ODOM,

SR, HEIR OF CARL J. ODOM, DECEASED,

AND ALL UNKOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS

AND ASSIGNS AND ALL PERSON, FIRMS

OR ASSOCIATIONS CLAIMING RIGHT

TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER

CARL J. ODOM, DECEASED C.P. January

2021 No. 1543 \$54,102.05 Weber Gallagher

2307-446

2904 Devereaux Avenue 19149 62nd Wd

1,898.8 Sq. Ft. BRT# 621227800 Improve-

WALTER JAMES FREER LEANN FREER

C.P. November 2021 No. 289 \$149,690.05

2307-447

418 N Felton St 19151 34th Wd 1,416 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 341259800 Improvements: Residential

BERNADETTE WILLIAMS KEITH C.P.

January 2021 No. 669 \$47,444.00 Leopold &

2307-448

8849 Brocklehurst St. 19152 57th Wd 1107

Sq. Ft. BRT# 571245900 Improvements: Resi-

RONALD McCAFFERTY C.P. May 2021 No.

208 \$56,561.52 Reidenbach & Associates, LLC

2307-449

1933 East Huntingdon Street 19125 31st Wd 1,383 Sq. Ft. BRT# 314149710 Improvements:

DAVID SLAUGHTER C.P. Octobber 2015

No. 200 \$136,024.59 James Smith Dietterick &

2307-450

1008-20 Spruce Street #1012f 19107 5th Wd

1,148 Sq. Ft. BRT# 888052325 Improvements:

EDWARD BULAT C.P. April 2022 No. 463

2307-451

3125 Ruth Street 19134 25th Wd 786 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 252555200 Improvements: Residential

JACOB TSIRKIN AND JANET PIVOVA-

ROVA C.P. March 2021 No. 1576 \$57,836.72

2307-452A

1737 N Stillman Street 19121 32nd Wd

693.16 Sq. Ft. BRT# 324090900 Improve-

TO RISE ABOVE POVERTY LLC C/O

HABIBI HILLER C.P. July 2022 No. 1263

2307-452B

1743 N Stillman Street 19121 32nd Wd

693.16 Sq. Ft. BRT# 324091200 Improve-

TO RISE ABOVE POVERTY LLC C/O

HABIBI HILLER C.P. July 2022 No. 1263

\$19,850.08 Clemons Richter & Reiss, P.C.

Simpson Stapleton Fires & Newby LLP

ments: Residential Property

Pincus Law Group, PLLC

Property Subject to Mortgage

Associates, PLLC

dential Property

Residential Property

Residential Property

Property

Parker Mccay

ments: Residential Property

ments: Residential Property

\$423,804.08 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

\$423,804.08 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

Connelly, LLP

\$152,318.41 KML Law Group, P.C.

dential Property

man LLP

tial Property

tial Property

LLC

2307-432

5018 West Stiles Street 19131 44th Wd 1,078 Sq. Ft. BRT# 442098000 Improvements: Residential Property CHARLES A.J. HAPLIN, III, ESQUIRE, PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF ELIZABETH PROCTOR A/K/A ELIZABETH A. PROCTOR, DECEASED C.P. December 2022 No. 2057 \$37,993.63 The Law Office Of Gregory Javardian

2307-433

1406 North Redfield Street 19151 34th Wd 1,245 Sq. Ft. BRT# 342176400 Improvements: Residential Property Subject to Mortgage DIAN BURKETT-REID C.P. December 2022 No. 1029 \$50,418.92 The Law Office Of Gregory Javardian

2307-434 226 N Paxon St 19139 44th Wd 1,510 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 441118900 Improvements: Residential Property DAWN LAWS C.P. January 2020 No. 2599 \$45,497.13 Hladik Onorato And Federman LLP

2307-435 6030 N. 12th Street 19141 48th Wd 1,638 Sq. Ft. BRT# 493148600 Improvements: Residen-

ANDREW J. GRACE C.P. May 2022 No.

2307-436

 $\textbf{310 Robbins Street 19111} \text{ 35th Wd } 1{,}504 \text{ Sq}.$

Ft. BRT# 353016300 Improvements: Residen-

JAMES WALL CATHERINE FULLER, EX-

ECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF AMBROSE

WALL, DECEASED C.P. October 2018 No.

974 \$103,975.16 The Law Office Of Gregory

2307-437

3317 Elliston Circle 19114 66th Wd 2,030 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 661159500 Improvements: Residen-

KEVIN SHOKROLLAH, AS BELIEVED

HEIR AND/OR ADMINISTRATOR OF THE

ESTATE OF DEBORAH M. McGUIRE; MI-

CHAEL SHOKROLLAH, AS BELIEVED

HEIR AND/OR ADMINISTRATOR OF THE

ESTATE OF DEBORAH M. McGUIRE; PA-

MELA SHOKROLLAH, AS BELIEVED

HEIR AND/OR ADMINISTRATOR OF THE

ESTATE OF DEBORAH M. McGUIRE; UN-KNOWN HEIRS AND/OR ADMINISTRA-

TOR OF THE ESTATE OF DEBORAH M. Mc-

GUIRE C.P. July 2021 No. 672 \$192,629.29

2307-438

5713 N 17th St 19141 17th Wd 1,760 Sq. Ft.

BRT# 172187200 Improvements: Residential

KENNETH B FULLENWELLEN, CYNTHIA

D. FULLENWELLEN C.P. Febuary 2018 No.

3152 \$141,199.76 Hladik Onorato And Feder-

2307-439

1300 N. Newkirk Street 19121 29th Wd 1575

Sq. Ft. BRT# 292118200 Improvements: Resi-

1300 NEWKIRK, LLC C.P. September 2022

No. 1958 \$237,989.49 Richard M. Squire &

2307-440

2938 Poplar Street 19130 15th Wd 840 Sq.

Ft. BRT# 152345500 Improvements: Residen-

KAREN T. ROBINSON C.P. March 2019 No.

2307-441

2629 Cecil B Moore Avenue 19121 32nd Wd

1152 Sq. Ft. BRT# 324050800 Improvements:

KEVEN S. ROSARIO C.P. November 2022

No. 2449 \$251,330.38 KML Law Group, P.C.

2307-442

436 Mcclellan Street 19148 1st Wd 1098 Sq.

74 \$78.722.65 Stern & Eisenberg P.C.

Manley Deas Kochalski LLC

tial Property Subject to Mortgage

1869 \$144,553.61 Barley Snyder

tial Property

Javardian

tial Property

Property

man LLP

dential Property

Associates, LLC

tial Property

Residential Property

PUBLIC NOTICES

Jennifer McCullough = 215.557.2321 = jmccullough@alm.com

ESTATE NOTICES

NOTICE TO COUNSEL Your attention is directed to Section 3162 of the Probate, Estates and Fiduciaries Code of June 30, 1972 (Act No. 164) which requires advertisement of grant of letters to contain the name and address of the personal representatives ORPHANS' COURT OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

Letters have been granted on the Estate of each of the following decedents to the representatives named, who request all persons having claims against the Estate to present them in writing and all persons indebted to the Estate to make payment to them (unless otherwise noted all addresses being in Philadelphia)

FABRIZIO, A. JEANNE (a/k/a ALMA JEANNE FABRIZIO) --George B. Mager, Jr., Executor, 2560 Hieter Rd., Quakertown, PA 18951. 6-26-3*

NOTICE TO:

der its loan documents.

ceased.

COMPLAINTS

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA

COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, CIVIL DIVISION No.: 230500615 SANTANDER BANK, N.A., Plaintiff v. GLORIA IRIZZARY, IN HER CAPACITY AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF LUIOS F. IRIZARRY, DECEASED; UNKNOWN HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, ASSIGNS AND ALL PERSONS, FIRMS OR ASSOCIATIONS

CLAIMING RIGHT, TITLE OR INTEREST FROM OR UNDER LUIS F. IRIZARRY, DECEASED Defendant(s)

NOTICE

Unknown Heirs, Successors, Assigns and All Persons, Firms or Associa-

You are hereby notified on that on May 5, 2023, Plaintiff,

If you wish to defend, you must enter a written appearance personally or by attorney and file your defenses or objections in writing with the Court. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may pro-

tions Claiming Right, Title or Interest from or under Luis F. Irizarry, de-

SANTANDER BANK, N.A. filed a Complaint against you in the Court of Common Pleas of PHILADELPHIA County, Pennsylvania,

Docket No. 230500615, wherein Plaintiff seeks to enforce its rights un-

ceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you without further notice for the relief requested by the Plaintiff. You may lose

money or property or other rights important to you. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS NOTICE TO YOUR LAWYER AT

ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER, GO TO OR TELE-PHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW. THIS OFFICE CAN

PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAW-

YER. IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS

OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMA-

TION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES

1101 Market Street - 11th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 Telephone:(215) 238-6300

The Legal Intelligencer

TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE. Philadelphia Bar Association

ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

To Legatees, Next of Kin, Creditors, all persons concerned:

TRACEY L. GORDON REGISTER OF WILLS AND CLERK OF ORPHANS' COURT

Wednesday, July 5th, 2023 WOODS-SKIPPER, A.J. (Called at 9:30 A.M.)

SPECIAL NEEDS TRUST

1. DOERR, KATRINA – Argent Trust Company, Trustee. RITER.

> Wednesday, July 5th, 2023 CARRAFIELLO, (Called at 10:00 A.M.)

> > WILLS

On Wednesday, July 5th, 2023, at the 1. SPROUL, RHONDA YVETTE time indicated at the head of each list. Takyra Smith, Executrix. WILSON.

2. FRAND-ILGIN, SAMUEL -Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB D/B/A Bryn Mawr Trust, Trustee. SILVERSTEIN.

AUDIT LIST

AUDIT DATE Wednesday, July 5th, 2023 **OVERTON, J.** (Called at 10:00 A.M.)

SPECIAL NEEDS TRUST

INTER VIVOS TRUSTS

1. MERVINE SR., THOMAS B. - PNC Bank, National Association, Surviving Trustee and Frances Anne

Engelhardt (deceased), Trustee.

GOLDMAN.

2. ROMAN, LEE LIANI NICOLE - Natalie Guzman and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, Co-Guardians. GOLDSTEIN.

MINORS

The Legal Intelligencer

An **ALM.** Product

Wednesday, July 5th, 2023 TSAI, J. (Called at 10:00 A.M.)

TESTAMENTARY TRUSTS

1. PARSON, JOHN B. - PNC

Bank, National Association (formerly Provident National Bank), Trustee. **STOCKMAL & QUIGG.**

NON-PROFIT CHARTER

Christian Street Townhomes Owners' Association has been incorporated under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988. 6-26-1*

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an application will be made to the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at Harrisburg, Pa., on or after June 8, 2023 for the purpose of obtaining a charter of a proposed nonprofit corporation to be organized under the 1988 Nonprofit Corporation Law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, effective October 1, 1989, as amended. The name of the corporation is Mill Creek Coalition of Philadelphia. The purposes for which it is to be organized are: providing and improving social services to youth, seniors and other community residents within the Mill Creek area. Providing resources, linkage to and with surrounding agencies to improve the health, welfare, and social services utilized by the community at large.

6-26-1*

WITHDRAWAL NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988, Creative HealthCare Initiatives, Inc., a corporation of the State of Minnesota, with principal office at 1624 North Street, Philadelphia, PA 19130 which under date of March 17, 2008 was granted a Certificate of Authority to trans-act business in said Commonwealth, has on June 15, 2023 mailed its application to said Department of State at Harrisburg, for a Certificate of Withdrawal. 6-26-1*

To publish your Corporate Notices call Jennifer McCullough at 215-557-2321 Email: jmccullough@alm.com

AUDIT LIST

Notice is hereby given that the following named accountants in the respective estates as designated below have filed their accounts in the office of the Clerk of Orphans' Court Division, and that the same will be presented to the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia Count for audit, confirmation, and distribution of the ascertained.

MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL NOTICES THE PHILADELPHIA FAIR HOUSING COMMISSION

Notice is hereby given that the Philadelphia Fair Housing Commission will hold public meetings at 9:00 am on: 7/5/23, 7/11/23, 7/12/23, 7/18/23, 7/19/23, 7/25/23, 7/26/23, 8/1/23, 8/2/23, 8/8/23, 8/9/23, 8/15/23, 8/16/23, 8/22/23, 8/23/23, 8/29/23, 8/30/23, 9/5/23, 9/6/23, 9/12/23, 9/13/23, 9/19/23, 9/20/23, 9/26/23, 9/27/23, 10/3/23, 10/4/23, 10/10/23, 10/11/23, 10/17/23, 10/18/23, 10/24/23, 10/25/23, 10/31/23, 11/1/23, 11/7/23, 11/8/23, 11/14/23 11/15/23, 11/21/23, 11/22/23, 11/28/23, 11/29/23, 12/5/23, 12/6/23, 12/ 12/23, 12/13/23, 12/19/23, 12/20/23.

FHC will also hold closed executive sessions at 8:30 am on these dates. Public meetings will be held virtually, and the public may access the meetings by Zoom link posted on the Fair Housing Commission website at phila.gov/fairhousing or call the Fair Housing Commission at 215-686-4670 for information about accessing a hearing. 6-26-1*



BROCK & SCOTT, PLLC, Attorney for Plaintiff, (844) 856-6646 6-26-1* www.thelegalintelligencer.com For the full online version of

www.facebook.com/legalintelligencer



MASS TORT - ASBESTOS 2023 TRIAL LIST The Mass Tort - Asbestos Trial List for 2023 is published on Page 22.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEASE OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TRIAL IVISION-CIVIL SECTION

NO. 0001

IN RE:

: OCTOBER TERM 1986

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ORDER

AND NOW, this 13th day of **June 2023**, counsel are directed to organize for trial for 2024 the attached list of matters, and any case that has been omitted from the list that should be included in the 2024 Trial List, consistent with General Court Regulation Nos. 2012-01, 2012-03 and 2013-01.

All plaintiffs' proposed groupings must be submitted to the Court **no later than June 30** <u>2023</u>, with a copy to all defense counsel. Any defense objections must be submitted **no later than July 7, 2023.**

Counsel must promptly, **no later than June 30, 2023**, notify the Court of any matters that are no longer active or are otherwise disposed. All submissions must be filed at the Complex Litigation Center, attention Stanley Thompson, Esquire, 622 City Hall, Philadelphia, PA 19107 via U.S. Mail, hand delivery, or e-mail: <u>stanley.thompson@courts.phila.gov</u> Submissions to the Court via facsimile will <u>not</u> be accepted.

Failure to comply with this order will result in the issuance of a rule to show cause why sanctions, including dismissal, should not be imposed.

BY THE COURT: ABBE F. FLETMAN TEAM LEADER JUDGE COMPLEX LITIGATION CENTER

The list appears on Page 20 of today's edition.

SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL PROCEDURAL RULES COMMITTEE

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

Proposed Amendment of Pa.R.Crim.P. 122; Rescission of Pa.R.Crim.P. 520-529 and Replacement with Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.1-520.19; Adoption of Pa.R.Crim.P. 708.1, and Renumbering and Amendment of Pa.R.Crim.P. 708.

The Criminal Procedural Rules Committee is considering proposing to the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania the proposed amendment of Pa.R.Crim.P. 122 (Appointment of Counsel); rescission of Pa.R.Crim.P. 520-529 and replacement with Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.1-520.19 governing bail proceedings; adoption of Pa.R.Crim.P. 708.1 (Violation of Probation or Parole: Notice, Detainer, *Gagnon* I Hearing, Disposition, and Swift Sanction Program), and renumbering and amendment of Pa.R.Crim.P. 708 (Violation of Probation or Parole: *Gagnon* II Hearing and Disposition), for the reasons set forth in the accompanying publication report. Pursuant to Pa.R.J.A. 103(a)(1), the proposal is being published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* for comments, suggestions, or objections prior to submission to the Supreme Court.

Any report accompanying this proposal was prepared by the Committee to indicate the rationale for the proposed rulemaking. It will neither constitute a part of the rules nor be adopted by the Supreme Court.

Additions to the text of the proposal are bolded and underlined; deletions to the text are bolded and bracketed.

The Committee invites all interested persons to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in writing to:

Joshua M. Yohe, Counsel Criminal Procedural Rules Committee Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Judicial Center PO Box 62635 Harrisburg, PA 17106-2635 FAX : (717) 231-9521 criminalrules@pacourts.us

All communications in reference to the proposal should be received by **Friday, September 8, 2024.** E-mail is the preferred method for submitting comments, suggestions, or objections; any e-mailed submission need not be reproduced and resubmitted via mail. The Committee will acknowledge receipt of all submissions.

By the Criminal Procedural Rules Committee,

Stefanie J. Salavantis Chair

Rule 122. Appointment of Counsel.

[(A)](a)Counsel shall be appointed:

- in all summary cases, for all defendants who are without financial resources or who are otherwise unable to employ counsel when there is a likelihood that imprisonment will be imposed;
- (2) in all court cases, prior to the preliminary hearing to all defendants who are without financial resources [or], who are otherwise unable to employ counsel, or as required by rule;
- (3) in all cases, by the court, on its own motion, when the interests of justice require it.

[(B)](b) When counsel is appointed,

- (1) the judge shall enter an order indicating the name, address, and phone number of the appointed counsel, and the order shall be served on the defendant, the appointed counsel, the previous attorney of record, if any, and the attorney for the Commonwealth pursuant to Rule 114 (Orders and Court Notices: Filing; Service; and Docket Entries); and
- (2) <u>unless otherwise provided in these rules</u>, the appointment shall be effective until final judgment, including any proceedings upon direct appeal.

[(C)](c)A motion for change of counsel by a defendant for whom counsel has been appointed shall not be granted except for substantial reasons.

Comment: This rule is designed to implement the decisions of *Argersinger v. Hamlin*, 407 U.S. 25 (1972), and *Coleman v. Alabama*, 399 U.S. 1 (1970), that no defendant in a summary case be sentenced to imprisonment unless the defendant was represented at trial by counsel, and that every defendant in a court case has counsel starting no later than the preliminary hearing stage.

No defendant may be sentenced to imprisonment or probation if the right to counsel was not afforded at trial. See *Alabama v. Shelton*, 535 U.S. 654 (2002) and *Scott v. Illinois*, 440 U.S. 367 (1979). See Rule 454 (Trial in Summary Cases) concerning the right to counsel at a summary trial. Appointment of counsel can be waived if such waiver is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary. *See Faretta v. California*, 422 U. S. 806 (1975). Concerning the appointment of standby counsel for the defendant who elects to proceed *pro se*, see Rule 121.

In both summary and court cases, the appointment of counsel to represent indigent defendants remains in effect until all appeals on direct review have been completed.

Ideally, counsel should be appointed to represent indigent defendants immediately after they are brought before the issuing authority in all summary cases in which a jail sentence is possible, and immediately after preliminary arraignment in all court cases. This rule strives to accommodate the requirements of the Supreme Court of the United States to the practical problems of implementation. Thus, in summary cases, **[paragraph (A)(1)]** subdivision (a)(1) requires a pretrial determination by the issuing authority as to whether a jail sentence would be likely in the event of a finding of guilt in order to determine whether trial counsel should be appointed to represent indigent defendants. It is expected that the issuing authorities in most instances will be guided by their experience with the particular offense with which defendants are charged. This is the procedure recommended by the ABA Standards Relating to Providing Defense Services § 4.1 (Approved Draft 1968) and cited in the United States Supreme Court's opinion in *Argersinger, supra*. If there is any doubt, the issuing authority can seek the advice of the attorney for the Commonwealth, if one is prosecuting the case, as to whether the Commonwealth intends to recommend a jail sentence in case of conviction.

In court cases, **[paragraph (A)(1)]** subdivision (a)(1) requires counsel to be appointed at least in time to represent the defendant at the preliminary hearing. Although difficulty may be experienced in some judicial districts in meeting the *Coleman* requirement, it is believed that this is somewhat offset by the prevention of many post-conviction proceedings that would otherwise be brought based on the denial of the right to counsel. However, there may be cases in which counsel has not been appointed prior to the preliminary hearing stage of the proceedings, *e.g.*, counsel for the preliminary hearing has been waived, or a then-ineligible defendant subsequently becomes eligible for appointed counsel. In such cases, it is expected that the defendant's right to appointed counsel will be effectuated at the earliest appropriate time.

Counsel must be appointed for a defendant, regardless of financial resources, for a hearing to review bail conditions pursuant to Rule 520.15 or impose pretrial detention pursuant to Rule 520.16. See Rule 520.5.

Court Notices continues on 27

	I N	S	I D	E
19	Common Pleas Court		25	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
	24 Civil Trial List			25 Hearings
18	Federal Court		25	Court of Appeals
25	Orphan's Court		25	District Court

continued from 17

An attorney may not be appointed to represent a defendant in a capital case unless the attorney meets the educational and experiential requirements set forth in Rule 801 (Qualifications for Defense Counsel in Capital Cases).

[Paragraph (A)(\hat{3})] <u>Subdivision (a)(3)</u> retains in the issuing authority or judge the power to appoint counsel regardless of indigency or other factors when, in the issuing authority's or judge's opinion, the interests of justice require it.

Pursuant to **[paragraph (B)(2)]** subdivision (b)(2) counsel retains his or her appointment until final judgment, which includes all avenues of appeal through the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. In making the decision whether to file a petition for allowance of appeal, counsel must (1) consult with his or her client, and (2) review the standards set forth in Pa.R.A.P. 1114 (Considerations Governing Allowance of Appeal) and the **[note]** commentary following that rule. If the decision is made to file a petition, counsel must carry through with that decision. *See Commonwealth v. Liebel*, **[573 Pa. 375,]** 825 A.2d 630 (Pa. 2003). Concerning counsel's obligations as appointed counsel, see *Jones v. Barnes*, 463 U.S. 745 (1983). See also *Commonwealth v. Padden*, 783 A.2d 299 (Pa. Super. 2001). The scope and term of counsel's representation may also be limited by rule. For example, see Rule **520.5(d)** that provides for limited representation for initial bail determination, review of bail conditions, and pretrial detention.

See Commonwealth v. Alberta, [601 Pa. 473,] 974 A.2d 1158 (Pa. 2009)[, in which the Court stated that] ("[[a]ppointed] <u>Appointed</u> counsel who has complied with Anders [v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967),] and is permitted to withdraw discharges the direct appeal obligations of counsel. Once counsel is granted leave to withdraw per Anders, a necessary consequence of that decision is that the right to appointed counsel is at an end.").

For suspension of Acts of Assembly, see Rule 1101.

[NOTE: Rule 318 adopted November 29, 1972, effective 10 days hence, replacing prior rule; amended September 18, 1973, effective immediately; renumbered Rule 316 and amended June 29, 1977, and October 21, 1977, effective January 1, 1978; renumbered Rule 122 and amended March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001; amended March 12, 2004, effective July 1, 2004; Comment revised March 26, 2004, effective July 1, 2004; Comment revised June 4, 2004, effective November 1, 2004; amended April 28, 2005, effective August 1, 2005; Comment revised February 26, 2010, effective April 1, 2010.

Committee Explanatory Reports:

Final Report explaining the March 1, 2000 reorganization and renumbering of the rules published with the Court's Order at 30 Pa.B. 1477 (March 18, 2000).

Final Report explaining the March 12, 2004 editorial amendment to paragraph (C) (3), and the Comment revision concerning duration of counsel's obligation, published with the Court's Order at 34 Pa.B. 1671 (March 27, 2004).

Final Report explaining the March 26, 2004 Comment revision concerning *Alabama* v. *Shelton* published with the Court's Order at 34 Pa.B. 1929 (April 10, 2004).

Final Report explaining the April 28, 2005 changes concerning the contents of the appointment order published with the Court's Order at 35 Pa.B. 2855 (May 14, 2005).

Final Report explaining the February 26, 2010 revision of the Comment adding a citation to Commonwealth v. Alberta published at 40 Pa.B. 1396 (March 13, 2010).]

-The following text is entirely new-

Part C: Bail Introduction

In accordance with Section 5702 of the Judicial Code, 42 Pa.C.S. § 5702, which provides that "all matters relating to the fixing, posting, forfeiting, exoneration, and distribution of bail and recognizances shall be governed by general rules," the rules in this subchapter govern the bail determination procedures for the release of a defendant from custody pending the full and final disposition of the defendant's case. In 202_, Pa.R.Crim.P. 520-529 were rescinded and replaced with Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.1-520.19 effective _____, 202_.

The goal of the bail determination procedures is for the least number of people being detained, through timely release at the earliest stage, as is necessary to reasonably ensure appearance for court and the safety of the community, including the victim.

All defendants will receive a determination of bail eligibility. Unless the defendant is charged with a disqualifying offense, the process begins with an individualized assessment of release factors to determine whether a defendant is bailable. After considering these factors, the bail authority shall make a determination of the least restrictive necessary and available conditions to reasonably assure the purpose of bail, if any. The purpose of this determination is not to impose punishment. A defendant may not be eligible for bail following a detention hearing. "When the Commonwealth seeks to deny bail, the quality of its evidence must be such that it persuades the bail court that it is substantially more likely than not that the accused is nonbailable, which is just to say that the proof is evident or the presumption great." *Commonwealth v. Talley*, 265 A.3d 485, 524-25 (Pa. 2021).

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.1. Purpose of Bail.

(a)

- **Purpose.** The purpose of bail is to release timely a defendant at the earliest stage with any conditions to reasonably assure:
 - (1) the defendant's appearance for court; and
 - (2) the safety of the community, including the victim, from harm by the defendant.
- (b) **Detention.** A defendant shall not be detained unless no available condition or combination of conditions can fulfill the purpose of bail.

(c) **Agreements.** A bail authority shall accept no agreement of the parties concerning bail conditions unless the bail authority is satisfied the agreement is consistent with the purpose of bail.

Comment: Article I, § 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution states: "All prisoners shall be bailable by sufficient sureties, unless for capital offenses or for offenses for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or unless no condition or combination of conditions other than imprisonment will reasonably assure the safety of any person and the community when the proof is evident or presumption great; and the privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in case of rebellion or invasion the public safety may require it." *See also Commonwealth v. Talley*, 265 A.3d 485, 525 (Pa. 2021) ("[W]e hold that when the Commonwealth seeks to deny bail due to the alleged safety risk the accused poses to 'any person and the community,' those qualitative standards demand that the Commonwealth demonstrates that it is substantially more likely than not that (1) the accused will harm someone if he is released and (2) there is no condition of bail within the court's power that reasonably can prevent the defendant from inflicting that harm.").

A defendant charged with a capital offense or an offense having a maximum sentence of life imprisonment is not bailable regardless of any available condition. *See also* Rule 520.16.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.2. Bail Determination Before Verdict.

- (a) Bail before verdict shall be determined in all cases.
- (b) A defendant may be admitted to bail on any day and at any time.
- (c) Unless otherwise provided by rule, the initial determination of bail shall occur:
 - (1) At the preliminary arraignment when the bail authority does not temporarily detain the defendant pending a detention hearing pursuant to Rule 520.16; or
 - (2) At the preliminary hearing when a defendant does not receive a preliminary arraignment.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20_ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 520.

For the minor judiciary's authority to set bail, see the Judicial Code, 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 1123(a) (5), 1143(a)(1), and 1515(a)(4).

See Pa.R.J.C.P. 396, which provides that, at the conclusion of a transfer hearing, the juvenile court judge is to determine bail pursuant to these bail rules for a juvenile whose case is ordered transferred to criminal proceedings.

Rule 117(C) requires the president judge to ensure coverage is provided to satisfy the requirements of subdivision (b).

For the initial determination of bail otherwise provided by rule, see Rule 517 (Procedure in Court Cases When Warrant of Arrest is Executed Outside of Judicial District of Issuance).

For the release by the arresting officer of a defendant arrested without a warrant, see Pa.R.Crim.P. 519(B). A preliminary arraignment shall be afforded without unnecessary delay. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 519(A). It is best practice to hold the preliminary arraignment within 24 hours of arrest to minimize the period of detention before the initial determination of bail. *See also Commonwealth v. Yandamuri*, 159 A.3d 503, 529 (Pa. 2017) (recognizing abrogation of the bright-line rule of inadmissibility of statements made more than six hours after arrest in favor of a totality-of-the-circumstances approach, although "unnecessary delay between arrest and arraignment remains a factor to consider in the voluntariness analysis"); *County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44, 56 (1991) (defendant may not be detained without a judicial determination of probable cause no less than 48 hours after arrest).

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.3. Bail Determination After Finding of Guilt.

- (a) **Before Sentencing.**
 - (1) **Capital and Life Imprisonment Cases.** When a defendant is found guilty of an offense, which is punishable by death or life imprisonment, the defendant shall be detained.
 - (2) Other Cases.
 - (i) The defendant shall have the same right to bail after verdict and before the imposition of sentence as the defendant had before verdict when the aggregate of possible sentences to imprisonment on all outstanding verdicts against the defendant within the same judicial district cannot exceed three years.
 - (ii) Except as provided in subdivision (a)(1), when the aggregate of possible sentences to imprisonment on all outstanding verdicts against the defendant within the same judicial district can exceed three years, the defendant shall have the same right to bail as before verdict unless the judge makes a finding that no condition of bail will reasonably assure the purpose of bail, as provided in Rule 520.1. The judge may revoke bail or detain the defendant based upon such a finding.
- (b) After Sentencing
 - (1) When the sentence imposed includes imprisonment of less than two years, the defendant shall have the same right to bail as before verdict, unless the judge, pursuant to subdivision (d), modifies the bail order.

continued from **27**

- (2) Except as provided in subdivision (a)(1), when the sentence imposed includes imprisonment of two years or more, the defendant shall not have the same right to bail as before verdict, but bail may be allowed in the discretion of the judge.
- (3) When the defendant is released on bail after sentencing, the judge shall require as a condition of release that the defendant either file a post-sentence motion and perfect an appeal or, when no post-sentence motion is filed, perfect an appeal within the time permitted by law.
- (c) **Reasons for Revoking Bail or Detention.** Whenever bail is revoked or the defendant detained under this rule, the judge shall state on the record the reasons for this decision.
- (d) Modification of Bail Order After Verdict or After Sentencing
 - (1) When a defendant is eligible for release on bail after verdict or after sentencing pursuant to this rule, the conditions of the existing bail order may be modified by a judge of the court of common pleas, upon the judge's own motion or upon motion of counsel for either party with notice to opposing counsel, in open court on the record when all parties are present.
 - (2) The decision whether to change the type of release on bail or what conditions of release to impose shall be based on the judge's evaluation of the information about the defendant as it relates to the release factors set forth in Rule 520.6. The judge shall also consider whether there is an increased likelihood of the defendant's fleeing the jurisdiction or whether the defendant is a danger to any other person or to the community.
 - (3) The judge may change the type of release on bail and conditions, as appropriate.
- (e) **Municipal Court.** Bail after a finding of guilt in the Philadelphia Municipal Court shall be governed by the rules set forth in Chapter 10.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20_ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 521.

For post-sentence procedures generally, see Rules 704 and 720. For additional procedures in cases in which a sentence of death or life imprisonment has been imposed, see Rules 810 and 811. "Life imprisonment cases" include those cases where the defendant is subject to a potential sentence of life imprisonment due to prior convictions.

For purposes of this rule, "verdict" includes a plea of guilty or *nolo contendere* that is accepted by the judge.

Whenever the trial judge sets bail after sentencing pending appeal, subdivision (b)(3) requires that a condition of release be that the defendant perfect a timely appeal. However, the trial judge cannot, as part of that condition, require that the defendant perfect the appeal in less time than that allowed by law.

Unless bail is revoked, the bail bond is valid until full and final disposition of the case. *See* Rule 534. The Rule 534 Comment points out that the bail bond is valid through all avenues of direct appeal in the Pennsylvania courts, but not through any collateral attack.

—The following text is entirely new—

Rule 520.4. Detention of Witnesses.

- (a) Timing and Application. After a defendant has been arrested for any offense, upon application of the attorney for the Commonwealth or defense counsel, and subject to the provisions of this chapter, a court may determine bail for any material witness named in the application. The application shall be supported by an affidavit setting forth adequate cause for the court to conclude that the witness will fail to appear when required if not held in custody or released on bail. The application shall also identify the proceeding for which the witness's presence is required. If the court grants the application, then the court shall issue process to bring any named witnesses before it for the purpose of determining bail.
- (b) Detention. If the material witness is unable to satisfy the conditions of release after having been given immediate and reasonable opportunity to do so, the court shall order the witness detained, provided that at any time thereafter and prior to the term of court for which the witness is being held, the court shall release the witness when the witness satisfies the conditions of release. No material witness may be detained because of inability to comply with any condition of release if the testimony of such witness can adequately be preserved, and if further detention is not necessary to prevent a failure of justice. Release of a material witness may be delayed for a reasonable period of time until the witness's testimony can be preserved._
- (c) **Further Application.** Upon application, a court may release a witness from detention with or without conditions or grant other appropriate relief.
- (d) **Minors.** If process has been issued pursuant to subdivision (a) for a material witness who is under the age of 18 years, the procedures provided in Rule 151 shall apply.
- (e) Rescission and Release. At the conclusion of the criminal proceeding for which process has been issued, any process for a witness to appear pursuant to subdivision (a) shall be rescinded. To eliminate unnecessary detention, the court must supervise the detention of any persons held as material witnesses. Any witness detained pursuant to subdivision (b) shall be released when the witness's presence is no longer necessary.

(f) **Status Conference.** The court shall conduct a status conference no less than every 10 days while the witness remains detained under this rule. The purpose of the status conference is to determine the necessity of continuing to detain the witness.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20__ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 522.

This rule does not permit a witness to be detained prior to the arrest of the defendant, since an arrest might never take place and the witness could be held indefinitely.

See Pa.R.Crim.P. 500 and 501 (Preservation of testimony).

Pursuant to subdivision (c), a witness may be released conditioned upon the witness' written agreement to appear as required. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.8.

This rule does not affect the compensation and expenses of witnesses under the Judicial Code, 42 Pa.C.S. § 5903, or the provisions of the Uniform Act to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses from Within or Without a State in Criminal Proceedings. *See* 42 Pa.C.S. § 5963(c) and 5964(b) relating to bail.

In determining bail for a material witness pursuant to this rule, the court should consider all available conditions pursuant to Rules 520.8-520.11. When a material witness' presence is required, the court should impose the least restrictive means of assuring the witness' presence.

—The following text is entirely new—

Rule 520.5. Counsel.

- (a) **Bail Determination.** A defendant may be represented by counsel at the initial bail determination.
- (b) **Review of Conditions.** If a defendant remains in detention 48 hours following an initial bail determination, the defendant shall be eligible for the appointment of counsel regardless of the defendant's financial resources for the review of conditions.
- (c) **Detention.** When a defendant is detained for detention hearing pursuant to Rule 520.16, the defendant shall be eligible for the appointment of counsel regardless of the defendant's financial resources for the detention hearing.
- (d) **Limited Representation.** Counsel may represent a defendant for the limited purpose of the initial bail determination, review of conditions, or a detention hearing.

Comment: A defendant may be represented at the initial bail determination. If a judicial district elects to have a representative from the Public Defender's Office at the preliminary arraignment, the bail authority shall appoint the Public Defender, regardless of the defendant's financial resources, to represent the defendant for the purpose of a bail determination, except when the defendant requests to proceed *pro se*, the defendant has private counsel, or the Public Defender asserts a conflict of interest.

In the absence of private counsel, counsel will be appointed to represent the defendant for the review of conditions or detention hearing. The process for identifying defendants remaining in detention and requiring the appointment of counsel is a matter of local practice, subject to the time requirement for condition review pursuant to Rules 520.15. For the responsibility of pretrial services for identifying such defendants, see Rule 520.18(f).

To permit prompt bail determinations, the appointment of counsel should not operate to delay review of conditions or a detention hearing.

For privately retained counsel, the extent of counsel's representation should be set forth in the entry of appearance. For appointed counsel, the extent of counsel's representation should set forth in the order of appointment or by local rule adopted pursuant to Rule 105 and Pa.R.J.A. 103(d).

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.6. Release Factors.

- (a) **Factors.** In determining whether a defendant is bailable and what, if any, conditions to impose consistent with Rule 520.1, the bail authority shall consider all available relevant information, including, but not limited to:
 - (1) Personal Information:
 - (i) the family ties of the defendant;
 - (ii) the defendant's employment status and history; and
 - (iii) the length of residence in the community.

(2) Current Charge:

- (i) the nature and circumstances of the crime charged;
- (ii) whether a firearm or other deadly weapon was involved;
- the possibility and duration of statutorily mandated imprisonment;
- (iv) whether the crime charged was committed against a victim with intent to hinder prosecution; and
- (v) the victim's immediate risk of substantial physical harm.
- (3) Prior Criminal History:

continued from **28**

- record of convictions, relevant criminal history, and final civil protection orders against the defendant;
- (ii) custody status at time of offense;
- (iii) history of compliance with court-ordered probation, parole, and prior bail conditions; and
- (iv) record of appearances at court proceedings or of flight to avoid prosecution or willful failure to appear at court proceedings.
- (4) Pre-Trial Risk Assessment, if available.
- (5) Whether the prosecution has provided notice seeking pretrial detention pursuant to Rule 520.16.
- (b) **Non-Cooperation.** A defendant's decision neither to admit culpability nor to assist in an investigation shall not be a reason to impose additional or more restrictive conditions of bail on the defendant.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20__ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 523.

To the extent that a pre-trial risk assessment may reflect some of these factors, such as prior criminal history, the bail authority should not assign additional weight to those factors absent compelling reasons for doing so.

When deciding whether to release a defendant on bail and what conditions of release to impose, the bail authority must consider all the criteria provided in this rule, rather than considering, for example, only the designation of the offense or the fact that the defendant is a nonresident. Generally, the graver an offense involving danger to a person, including those allegedly committed with a firearm, the greater the potential risk to the community upon release. Further, the more severe a potential sentence, the greater the risk of non-appearance.

"Custody status" includes a defendant released on bail, probation, or parole. When a defendant who has been released on bail and awaiting trial is arrested on a second or subsequent charge, the bail authority may consider that factor in conjunction with other release criteria in determining bail for the new charge. For alleged technical violations of a condition of county probation or parole, see Rule 708.1.

"Civil protection orders" are orders issued pursuant to 23 Pa.C.S. § 6108 (Relief) and 42 Pa.C.S. § 62A07 (Relief).

The bail authority may weigh the evidence against the defendant insofar as probable cause exists to believe that the defendant committed the acts charged, but no farther regardless of the sufficiency of the evidence.

When the prosecution has provided notice seeking pretrial detention, a detention hearing may be scheduled. See Rule 520.16 for detention hearing.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.7. Bail Determination.

Any bail conditions beyond release with general conditions shall be imposed only upon a finding that they are necessary to satisfy the purpose of bail as provided in Rule 520.1.

Comment: The least restrictive bail determination is release subject to general conditions. Progressively stricter determinations include release on nominal bail with general conditions, release with non-monetary special conditions, and release with monetary conditions. The most restrictive determination is that the defendant is not eligible for bail and is detained.

In making a bail determination consistent with this rule, a bail authority should first determine if releasing the defendant subject to general conditions, *see* Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.8 (Determination: Release with General Conditions), satisfies the purpose of bail. If general conditions are insufficient, the bail authority should consider releasing the defendant subject to both general conditions and nominal bail. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.9 (Determination: Release on Nominal Bail with General Conditions). If this combination of conditions is insufficient to satisfy the purpose of bail, the bail authority should consider releasing the defendant subject to both general conditions and any non-monetary special conditions necessary to fulfill the purpose of bail. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.10 (Determination: Release with Non-Monetary Special Conditions). In imposing any non-monetary special conditions, the bail authority should only impose non-monetary special conditions that are individualized to the defendant. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.10(b). If releasing the defendant subject to general conditions and non-monetary special conditions will not satisfy the purpose of bail, the bail authority should then consider imposing a monetary condition. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.11 (Determination: Release with Monetary Conditions). Finally, if no available condition or combination of conditions other than detention will reasonably assure that a defendant's release is consistent with the purpose of bail, the defendant should be detained pursuant to Rule 520.16 (Detention).

—The following text is entirely new—

Rule 520.8. Determination: Release with General Conditions.

- (a) **General Conditions.** In every case in which a defendant is released on bail, the general conditions of the bail bond shall be that the defendant will:
 - (1) appear at all times required until full and final disposition of the case;
 - (2) obey all further orders of the bail authority;
 - (3) give written notice to those identified on the bail bond of any change of address within 48 hours of the date of the change;
 - (4) neither do, nor cause to be done, nor permit to be done on his or her

behalf, any act proscribed by 18 Pa.C.S. § 4952 (relating to intimidation of witnesses or victims) or 18 Pa.C.S. § 4953 (relating to retaliation against witnesses or victims); and

- (5) refrain from criminal activity.
- (b) **Bond.** The bail authority shall set forth in the bail bond all conditions of release imposed pursuant to this rule.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20__ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 526.

All the conditions of the bail bond set forth in subdivision (a) must be imposed in every criminal case in which a defendant is released on bail. If a defendant fails to comply with any of the conditions of the bail bond in subdivision (a), the defendant's bail may be modified or revoked. For additional sanctions for failing to appear in a criminal case when required, see 18 Pa.C.S. § 5124.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.9. Determination: Release on Nominal Bail with General Conditions.

A defendant may be released on a nominal bail and subject to general conditions upon the defendant's depositing \$1.00 with the bail authority and the agreement of a designated person, organization, or bail agency to act as surety for the defendant.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20_ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 524(C)(4).

Nominal bail may be used as an alternative when it is desirable to have a surety. It may be used when the bail authority believes the defendant poses a risk for non-appearance due to transience or a residence outside of Pennsylvania. The purpose of the surety is to facilitate interstate apprehension of any defendant who absconds by allowing the nominal surety the right to arrest the defendant without the necessity of extradition proceedings. *See, e.g., Frisbie v. Collins,* 342 U.S. 519 (1952). A bail agency may be the nominal bail surety, as well as private individuals or acceptable organizations. In all cases, the surety on nominal bail incurs no financial liability for the defendant's failure to appear for court.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.10. Determination: Release with Non-Monetary Special Conditions.

- (a) **Necessity.** When general conditions are insufficient, a defendant may be released subject to both general conditions and any non-monetary special conditions necessary to fulfill the purpose of bail as provided in Rule 520.1.
- (b) **Special Conditions.** Non-monetary special conditions, individualized to the defendant, may include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (1) remaining in the custody of a designated person;
 - (2) maintaining employment, or, if unemployed, actively seeking employment;
 - (3) maintaining or commencing an educational program;
 - (4) abiding by specified restrictions on personal associations, place of abode, or travel;
 - (5) reporting on a regular basis to a designated law enforcement agency, or other agency, or pretrial services program;
 - (6) complying with a specified curfew;
 - (7) refraining from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon;
 - (8) refraining from the use of alcohol, or any use of a narcotic drug or other controlled substance without a prescription;
 - (9) submission to a medical, psychological, psychiatric, or substance use disorder assessment and comply with all treatment recommendations;
 - (10) compliance with any existing treatment plan or service plan;
 - (11) a protective order pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. § 4954 when a potential risk of witness or victim intimidation is present;
 - (12) no contact by the defendant with the victim or any witness;
 - (13) refraining from entering the residence or household of the victim and the victim's place of employment when there is a potential risk of danger to the victim in a domestic violence case pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S. § 2711(c)(2);
 - (14) returning to custody of the person designated in subdivision (b)(1) for specified hours following release for employment, schooling, or other limited purposes;
 - (15) being placed in a pretrial home supervision capacity with or without the use of an approved electronic monitoring device; or
 - (16) satisfying any other condition that is necessary to reasonably assure the purpose of bail, as provided in Rule 520.1.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20__ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 527.

The bail authority may determine that, in addition to general conditions, it is necessary to impose non-monetary special conditions on release to reasonably assure the safety of the community and the defendant's appearance. The special conditions should be tailored to the specific risks

continued from **29**

posed by the defendant's release. The bail authority should clearly state on the bail bond all special conditions of release in specific detail. The availability of pretrial services among judicial districts may vary some conditions.

The bail authority should consider any reasonable suggestions for non-monetary special conditions of release on bail in an effort to establish the most suitable and least restrictive conditions necessary for a particular defendant. It would be appropriate in some circumstances for the defendant and counsel to offer suggestions about types of conditions that would help the defendant appear and comply with the conditions of the bail bond.

The following are a few examples of conditions that might be imposed to address specific situations. In some circumstances, a combination of such conditions might also be considered. This is not intended to be an exhaustive list of appropriate conditions.

When the defendant poses a risk of non-appearance, the bail authority could require that the defendant report by phone or in person at specified times to pretrial services, or that the defendant be supervised by pretrial services. Pretrial services may maintain close contact with the defendant, assist the defendant in making arrangements to appear in court, and, if appropriate, accompany the defendant to court. It might also be helpful to require that the defendant maintain employment or continue an educational program.

When the defendant is known to have an alcohol or a drug problem, the bail authority could require the defendant to submit to drug or alcohol screening, avail to cessation or rehabilitative services as recommended by the screening, and refrain from the use of alcoholic beverages or illegal drugs.

When the defendant has a recent or substantial history of failing to comply with less restrictive conditions of the bail bond, the bail authority might limit travel, restrict the defendant to his or her residence or supervised housing, or place the defendant on electronic monitoring.

There may be cases when the relationship between the defendant and another person is such that the bail authority might require that the defendant refrain from contact with that other person.

When a case proceeds by summons, the issuing authority must require that the defendant submit to required administrative processing and identification procedures, such as fingerprinting required by the Criminal History Record Information Act, 18 Pa.C.S. § 9112, which ordinarily occur following an arrest. Rule 510(C)(2) requires an order directing the defendant to be fingerprinted be issued with the summons. If the defendant has not completed fingerprinting by the date of the preliminary hearing, completion of these processing procedures must be made a condition of release.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.11. Determination: Release with Monetary Conditions.

- (a) **Necessity.** When general conditions and non-monetary special conditions or combination of conditions are insufficient, a bail authority may, in addition to general conditions and non-monetary special conditions or combination of conditions, impose a monetary condition on a defendant's release to satisfy the purpose of bail, as provided in Rule 520.1.
- (b) **Securitization.** A monetary condition may be secured or unsecured.
- (c) Deposit. The bail authority may require a monetary condition to be secured by either the entire amount or a deposit of a sum of money not to exceed 10% of the full amount of the monetary condition if the bail authority determines that such a deposit is sufficient to ensure the defendant's compliance with nonmonetary conditions.
- (d) **Amount.** The amount of security required for the monetary condition, whether the entire amount or a percentage, shall be reasonably attainable by the defendant.
 - (1) A financial disclosure form, verified by the defendant, setting forth a defendant's income, expenses, assets, and debts shall be completed whenever the imposition of a monetary condition is deemed necessary.
 - (2) The bail authority shall consider the information contained on the form when determining the amount of a monetary condition and the defendant's ability to satisfy that condition.
- (e) **Source.** The bail authority may inquire as to the defendant's source of security for a monetary condition.
- (f) **Risk.** The amount of a monetary condition shall be reasonably correlated with the defendant's risk.
- (g) **Bail Schedule.** The use of a bail schedule is not permitted to determine the amount of a monetary bail condition. The determination shall be based upon the defendant's ability to pay.
- (h) **Not in Lieu of Detention.** A secured monetary condition shall never be imposed for the purpose of detaining a defendant until trial.
- Written Reason. The bail authority shall indicate in writing the specific risk that the monetary bail condition is intended to mitigate.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20_ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 528.

The use of a monetary bail condition is permitted only when non-monetary conditions cannot reasonably assure a defendant's release consistent with the purpose of bail. A monetary condition may be used in conjunction with non-monetary special conditions. A monetary condition is intended to incentivize a defendant's willingness to comply with non-monetary conditions

by subjecting the amount of the monetary condition to forfeiture. The strength of the incentive, as represented by the amount of a monetary condition, should bear a reasonable relationship with the defendant's risk, which is based, in part, on the severity of the charge. Whether a monetary condition is secured or unsecured is relevant to forfeiture, not incentive.

Release on an unsecured monetary condition requires the defendant's written agreement to be liable for a fixed sum of money if the defendant fails to comply with the non-monetary special conditions, as well as general conditions. No money or other form of security is required to be deposited for an unsecured monetary condition. Release may be revoked for a defendant who fails to satisfy a liability arising from non-compliance.

"Reasonably attainable" in subdivision (d) should include not only consideration of the amount of the security, but also include the timeliness in which the security can be attained by the defendant.

A monetary condition shall not be imposed on a defendant unable to satisfy the condition at any amount. *See* Pa. Const. art. 1, § 13 (excessive bail shall not be required). Under that circumstance, the defendant may be released with sufficient non-monetary special conditions or scheduled for a detention hearing.

When a defendant is charged with a violation of The Controlled Substance, Drug, Device and Cosmetic Act, 35 P.S. §§ 780-101 *et seq.*, the bail authority shall inquire as to the source of currency, bonds, realty, or other property used to secure the monetary condition. *See* 42 Pa.C.S. § 5761. Further, for any charge, when the surety is a third party, the security may only be forfeited for a failure of the defendant to appear at a scheduled court proceeding. *See* Rule 536(A)(2)(a). Third party sureties are not liable for a defendant's new criminal act or other violations of conditions.

For permitted forms of security and related procedures, see Rule 520.14.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.12. Statement of Reasons.

Other than release with general conditions or a release on nominal bail, the bail authority shall provide a recorded or written contemporaneous statement of reasons for any bail determination.

Comment: The bail authority should identify the specific factors and supporting information relied upon for the determination. This statement is intended to assist in expediting review, if required, and modification of the determination, if warranted. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.15 (Condition Review).

—The following text is entirely new—

Rule 520.13. Bail Bond.

- (a) **Written Agreement.** A bail bond is a document whereby the defendant agrees to comply with all the imposed conditions of the bail while at liberty after being released on bail.
- (b) **Timing.** At the time the bail is set, the bail authority shall
 - (1) have the bail bond prepared; and
 - (2) sign the bail bond verifying the imposed conditions.
- (c) **Conditions.** The bail bond shall set forth the determination of bail, including the general conditions set forth in Rule 520.8, any other conditions ordered by the bail authority, the consequences of failing to comply with all the conditions of the bail bond, and to whom the defendant shall provide written notice of any change of address as required by Rule 520.8(a)(3).
- (d) **Defendant's Signature.** The defendant shall not be released until he or she signs the bail bond.
- (e) Other Signatures. To be released, the defendant shall sign the bail bond. Sureties shall also sign the bond when a monetary condition has been imposed. The official who releases the defendant also shall sign the bail bond witnessing the defendant's signature.
- (f) **Incarceration.** If the defendant is unwilling to agree to comply with all the imposed conditions of the bail at the time bail is set, then the bail authority shall incarcerate the defendant. The unexecuted bail bond and the other necessary paperwork shall accompany the defendant to the place of incarceration.
- (g) **Recording.** After the defendant signs the bail bond, a copy of the bail bond shall be given to the defendant, and the original shall be included in the record.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20_ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 525.

Subdivision (g) requires the court official who accepts a deposit of bail and has the defendant sign the bail bond to include the original of the bail bond in the record of the case. See Rule 535(A) for the other contents of the record in the context of the bail deposit.

For some of the consequences when a defendant fails to appear or fails to comply as required, see the Crimes Code, 18 Pa.C.S. § 5124. *See also* Pa.R.Crim.P. 536.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.14. Secured Monetary Conditions - Security; Recording; Liability.

- (a) **Security.** One or a combination of the following forms of security shall be accepted to satisfy a monetary condition:
 - (1) Cash or when permitted by the local court a cash equivalent.
 - (2) Bearer bonds of the United States Government, of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, or of any political subdivision of the Commonwealth,

continued from **30**

in the full amount of the monetary condition, provided that the defendant or the surety files with the bearer bond a sworn schedule that shall verify the value and marketability of such bonds, and that shall be approved by the bail authority.

- (3) Realty located anywhere within the Commonwealth, including realty of the defendant, as long as the actual net value is at least equal to the full amount of the monetary condition. The actual net value of the property may be established by considering, for example, the cost, encumbrances, and assessed value, or another valuation formula provided by statute, ordinance, or local rule of court. Realty held in joint tenancy or tenancy by the entirety may be accepted provided all joint tenants or tenants by the entirety execute the bond.
- (4) Realty located anywhere outside of the Commonwealth but within the United States, provided that the person(s) posting such realty shall comply with all reasonable conditions designed to perfect the lien of the county in which the prosecution is pending.
- (5) The surety bond of a professional bondsman licensed under the Judicial Code, 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 5741-5749, or of a surety company authorized to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- (b) **Recording.** The bail authority shall record on the bail bond the amount of the monetary condition imposed and the form of security that is posted by the defendant or by an individual acting on behalf of the defendant or acting as a surety for the defendant.
- (c) Liability of Depositor. Except as limited in Rule 531, the defendant or another person may deposit the cash percentage of the bail. If the defendant posts the money, the defendant shall sign the bond, thereby becoming his or her own surety, and is liable for the full amount of bail if he or she fails to appear or to comply. When a person other than the defendant deposits the cash percentage of the bail, the clerk of courts or issuing authority shall explain and provide written notice to that person that:
 - (1) if the person agrees to act as a surety and signs the bail bond with the defendant, the person shall be liable for the full amount of bail if the defendant fails to appear; or
 - (2) if the person does not wish to be liable for the full amount of bail, the person shall be permitted to deposit the money for the defendant to post and will relinquish the right to make a subsequent claim for the return of the money pursuant to these rules. In this case, the defendant would be deemed the depositor, and only the defendant would sign the bond and be liable for the full amount of bail.
 - (3) Pursuant to Rule 535(E), if the bail was deposited by or on behalf of the defendant and the defendant is the named depositor, the amount otherwise returnable to the defendant may be used to pay and satisfy any outstanding restitution, fees, fines, and costs owed by the defendant as a result of a sentence imposed in the court case for which the deposit is being made.

Comment: This rule was adopted in 20__ and is derived, in part, from prior Rule 528(D)-(F).

When the bail authority authorizes the deposit of a percentage of the cash bail, the defendant may satisfy the monetary condition by depositing, or having an individual acting as a surety on behalf of the defendant deposit, the full amount of the monetary condition. Additionally, there may be cases when a defendant does not have the cash to satisfy a monetary condition, but has some other form of security, such as realty. In such a case, the defendant must be permitted to execute a bail bond for the full amount of the monetary condition and deposit one of the forms or a combination of the forms set forth in paragraph (A) as security.

If a percentage of the cash bail is accepted pursuant to these rules, when the funds are returned at the conclusion of the defendant's bail period, the court or bail agency may retain as a fee an amount reasonably related to the cost of administering the cash bail program. *See Schilb v. Kuebel*, 404 U.S. 357 (1971).

Pursuant to subdivision (c), written notice is required be given to the person posting the bail, especially a third party, of the possible consequences if the defendant receives a sentence that includes restitution, a fine, fees, and costs. *See also* Rule 535 for the procedures for retaining bail money for satisfaction of outstanding restitution, fines, fees, and costs.

The defendant must be permitted to substitute the form(s) of security deposited as provided in Rule 532.

The method of valuation when realty is offered to satisfy the monetary condition pursuant to subdivisions (a)(3) and (a)(4) is determined at the local level. If no satisfactory basis exists for valuing particular tracts of offered realty, especially tracts located in remote areas, acceptance of that realty is not required by this rule.

—The following text is entirely new—

Rule 520.15. Condition Review.

If a defendant remains incarcerated after 48 hours following the initial bail determination because the defendant has not satisfied a bail condition, then a review of conditions shall be conducted by a judge of the court of common pleas or by a judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court no longer than five days after the initial bail determination, subject to:

- (a) The defendant shall be appointed counsel for the condition review.
- (b) The judge shall reconsider whether the initially imposed condition is the least

restrictive bail condition reasonably calculated to meet the purpose of bail, as provided in Rule 520.1.

- (c) The defendant, defendant's counsel, and the Commonwealth may appear via audio-visual communication technology.
- (d) The parties may present additional information to the judge for reconsideration of the initial determination.
- (e) Upon review, a judge may modify the bail order establishing the initial bail determination.

Comment: This rule is applicable to defendants who are able to be released subject to conditions. Condition review proceedings are intended to afford defendants detained due to an unsatisfied bail condition an expedited review of the initial bail determination. Nothing in this rule is intended to prevent a judicial district from conducting a review prior to the five-day threshold. Jail staff or pretrial services should identify defendants remaining in detention after the initial determination. While time is of the essence, the failure to conduct a review within the time specified in subdivision (a) shall not operate to release the defendant.

At a review of conditions, any information from any source that will aid the judge in conducting the review, including testimony from witnesses, may be presented.

Rule 520.12 requires the bail authority to provide "a recorded or written contemporaneous statement of reasons for any bail determination." This requirement also applies to a judge's determination pursuant to this rule, whether or not bail is modified.

See Rule 520.5 for right to counsel. The Commonwealth may, but is not required to, appear.

An unsatisfied bail condition does not mean that the condition is not reasonably calculated to meet the purpose of bail. This review is to consider whether a less restrictive condition may be available that will meet the purpose of bail.

Further modification of a bail order modified subject to this rule or modification of a bail order not subject to this rule shall proceed in accordance with Rule 520.17.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.16. Detention.

- (a) **Permitted Bases for Detention.** All defendants shall be released subject to conditions except when proof is evident and presumption is great of:
 - (1) **Offense.** Capital offenses or for offenses for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment; or
 - (2) **No Condition.** No available condition or combination of conditions other than detention will reasonably assure that a defendant's release is consistent with the purpose of bail, as provided in Rule 520.1.
- (b) Offense Basis.
 - (1) **Temporary Detention.** A defendant charged with a qualifying offense pursuant to subdivision (a)(1) shall be ordered temporarily detained at the defendant's first appearance until a detention hearing can be held before a judge of the court of common pleas or a judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court.
 - (2) **Detention Hearing.** A detention hearing before a judge of the court of common pleas or a judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court shall be scheduled to occur within 72 hours of the defendant's first appearance.
- (c) No Condition Basis. At a defendant's first appearance, a bail authority may, *sua sponte*, and shall, when requested by the Commonwealth, inquire and determine whether no available condition or combination of conditions exist other than detention pursuant to subdivision (a)(2).
 - (1) **Bail Authority Notice.** A bail authority, possessing a reasonable belief that no available condition or combination of conditions may exist other than detention, shall give notice of such to the defendant and the prosecution at the time of the defendant's first appearance. Notice shall include the initial reason(s) for seeking detention.
 - (2) **Commonwealth Notice and Request:** The Commonwealth may give notice, either orally or in writing, no later than the time of the defendant's first appearance that it requests the bail authority inquire and determine that no available condition or combination of conditions may exist other than detention and shall set forth the basis for the request. Notice shall include the initial reason(s) for seeking detention.
 - (3) **Temporary Detention.** Upon such notice, the bail authority shall permit the defendant or defendant's counsel and the Commonwealth to address the court on the issue. If, after argument, upon a sufficient showing that no condition or combination of conditions will assure the purposes of bail, a bail authority shall order the temporary detention of the defendant until a detention hearing can be held.
 - (4) Scheduling. A detention hearing before a judge of the court of common pleas or a judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court shall be scheduled to occur within 48 hours of the defendant's first appearance. The parties may seek a single three-day continuance of the hearing for cause or by agreement.

continued from **31**

- (5) **Defendant's Statements:** Any statement made by the defendant after notice is given by a bail authority or the Commonwealth for the purpose of securing release during the first appearance shall not be admissible against the defendant in any criminal proceeding or at trial except for the purpose of impeachment, nor shall any evidence derived from that statement be admissible.
- (d) **Counsel.** The defendant shall be appointed counsel for the detention hearing.
- (e) **No Default.** The failure to conduct a detention hearing in the time prescribed by this rule shall not result in the defendant's release.
- (f) Written Reason. The bail authority shall indicate in writing the reason(s) for detaining a defendant following the hearing.

(g) Subsequent Review.

- (1) **Offense Basis.** A defendant ordered detained on the basis of a charged offense following a detention hearing may seek review of that order pursuant to Pa.R.A.P. 1762.
- (2) No Condition Basis. A defendant ordered detained on the basis of no available condition following a detention hearing may seek modification of the order pursuant to Rule 520.17(c) by motion to a judge of the court of common pleas.

Comment: For permitted bases of detention, see Pa. Const. art. 1, § 14. Detention may also subsequently be sought through a modification of the bail order pursuant to Rule 520.17.

The temporary detention permitted by subdivisions (b) or (c) is to allow the scheduling of a detention hearing, appointment of counsel for the defendant, and the consultation and preparation of the defendant and defendant's counsel. Nothing in this rule is intended to delay the issuing authority from addressing other matters scheduled to occur at a defendant's first appearance. *See generally County of Riverside v. McLaughlin*, 500 U.S. 44 (1991) (requiring probable cause determination for detention within 48 hours of arrest); Pa.R.Crim.P. 540(E) (requiring determination of probable cause when defendant is arrested without a warrant; otherwise, defendant shall not be detained).

Murder of the first or second degree, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2502(a)-(b), murder of an unborn child of the first or second degree, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2604(a)-(b), and murder of a law enforcement officer of the first or second degree, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2507(a)-(b), are offenses subject to subdivision (a)(1). See 18 Pa.C.S. § 1102(a)-(b) & 1102.1(a), (c). Given the gravity of the underlying charges and potential for life imprisonment, the defendant's initial bail determination is to be made by a judge of the court of common pleas. See also 42 Pa.C.S. § 1515(a)(4) (requiring bail determination for certain offenses, including murder, to be performed by a judge of the court of common pleas).

Regarding subdivision (c), "when the Commonwealth seeks to deny bail due to the alleged safety risk the accused poses to 'any person and the community,' those qualitative standards demand that the Commonwealth demonstrates that it is substantially more likely than not that (1) the accused will harm someone if he is released and (2) there is no condition of bail within the court's power that reasonably can prevent the defendant from inflicting that harm." *Commonwealth v. Talley*, 265 A.3d 485, 525 (Pa. 2021). More generally, "[w]hen the Commonwealth seeks to deny bail, the quality of the evidence must be such that it persuades the bail court that it is substantially more likely than not that the accused is nonbailable[.]" *Id.* 524-25.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.17. Modification of Bail Order Prior to Verdict.

- (a) Permitted Modification. A bail order may be modified at any time before the preliminary hearing by:
 - (1) The issuing authority who is the magisterial district judge who was elected or assigned to preside over the jurisdiction where the crime occurred, upon request of the defendant or the attorney for the Commonwealth, or by the issuing authority *sua sponte*, and after notice to the defendant and the attorney for the Commonwealth and an opportunity to be heard; or
 - (2) A bail authority sitting by designation and pursuant to Rule 520.15.
- (b) **Issuing Authority.** A bail order may be modified by an issuing authority at the preliminary hearing.
- (c) Judge. The existing bail order may be modified by a judge of the court of common pleas:
 - (1) at any time prior to verdict upon motion of counsel for either party with notice to opposing counsel and after a hearing on the motion; or
 - (2) at trial or at a pretrial hearing in open court on the record when all parties are present.
- (d) Further Modification. Once bail has been set or modified by a judge of the court of common pleas, it shall not be modified except:
 - (1) by a judge of a court of superior jurisdiction, or
 - (2) by the same judge or by another judge of the court of common pleas either at trial or after notice to the parties and a hearing.
- (e) **Explanation.** When bail is modified pursuant to this rule, the modification shall be explained to the defendant and stated in writing or on the record by the issuing authority or the judge.

Comment: This rule is derived, in part, from prior Rule 529.

In making a decision whether to modify a bail order, the issuing authority or judge should evaluate the information about the defendant as it relates to the bail factors and conditions.

In Municipal Court cases, the Municipal Court judge may modify bail in the same manner as a common pleas judge may under this rule. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 1011.

Once bail has been modified by a common pleas judge, only the common pleas judge subsequently may modify bail, even in cases that are pending before a magisterial district judge. *See* Pa.R.Crim.P. 543 and 536.

Pursuant to this rule, the motion, notice, and hearing requirements in subdivisions (c) and (d) must be followed in all cases before a common pleas judge may modify a bail order unless the modification is made on the record in open court when all parties are present either at a pretrial hearing, such as a suppression hearing, or during trial.

See Pa.R.A.P. 1610 for the procedures to obtain appellate court review of an order of a judge of the court of common pleas granting or denying release or modifying the conditions of release.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.18. Responsibilities of Pretrial Services.

A president judge may establish pretrial services, and subject to the supervision of the president judge or designee, such services shall include one or more of the following:

- (a) Advising the president judge on the feasibility of adopting and maintaining a validated risk assessment tool and recommendation matrix.
- (b) Preparing and disseminating pretrial risk assessments, if adopted.
- (c) Reminding every defendant on release at least once of an upcoming court appearance within 48 hours of the scheduled appearance.
- (d) Establishing capacity for telephonic and in-person reporting of defendants on release when reporting is a condition of release.
- (e) Identifying and referring defendants with mental health and alcohol/substance abuse issues posing an immediate risk to the defendant for appropriate services.
- (f) Identifying, monitoring, and reporting any defendants remaining in detention 48 hours after the initial bail determination.

Comment

The provision of pretrial services is a best practice, but not a requirement. While limitations may be placed on the range of available pretrial services due to resource constraints, this rule imposes minimum responsibilities for the provision of those services.

In subdivision (c), reminders may include telephone calls, email, or text messaging. Depending on the method of communication, additional contact information may need to be collected at the time of the initial bail determination.

Providers of pretrial services should be encouraged to affiliate with a professional organization such as the Pennsylvania Pretrial Services Association to exchange information, participate in educational programs, and share best practices.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 520.19. Pretrial Risk Assessment Tool Parameters.

A president judge may authorize the adoption and use of a pretrial risk assessment tool by local rule, subject to these parameters:

- (a) When a pretrial risk assessment tool is used, the pretrial risk assessment shall be conducted prior to the preliminary arraignment or, when a preliminary arraignment is not held, the preliminary hearing.
- (b) At a minimum, the pretrial risk assessment tool shall determine a risk of failure to appear and new criminal activity to a reasonable degree of statistical certainty.
- (c) The pretrial risk assessment tool shall be statistically validated prior to adoption and at an established interval thereafter. Validation reports, as well as the data upon which the report is based, including, but not limited to, sufficient data to permit evaluation of the tool across racial and gender groups, shall be made public.
- (d) A report of aggregate outcomes of pretrial risk shall be made public at least annually following adoption of a pretrial risk assessment tool.
- (e) The person, department, or agency responsible for completing the assessment shall be designated by local order or rule.
- (f) The bail authority, defendant, defendant's counsel if known, and the Commonwealth shall receive the pretrial risk assessment report and bail recommendation. Reports for individual defendants shall not be publically accessible.
- (g) A bail recommendation based upon a pretrial risk assessment tool shall be clearly marked as advisory of release and bail conditions.
- (h) A bail recommendation based upon a pretrial risk assessment tool shall not be the sole determinate for making a bail determination.

Comment: For local procedural rulemaking, see Rule 105 and Pa.R.J.A. 103(d).

continued from **32**

This rule is not intended to prohibit the use of risk assessment tools after a defendant's preliminary arraignment or preliminary hearing. Nor is this rule intended to prohibit the defendant or the Commonwealth from asking for a reassessment on a motion to modify bail.

Pursuant to subdivision (b), a judicial district is not restricted in the use of a pretrial risk assessment for only determining a risk of failure to appear and new criminal activity. A judicial district may also use a pretrial risk assessment tool to determine the risk of domestic violence and new violent criminal activity, provided the tool satisfies the other parameters set forth in this rule.

Prior to implementation of a pretrial risk assessment tool, the judicial district should establish a baseline for the rate of pretrial failure in the category of non-appearance and new criminal activity. This baseline then can be compared to the incidence of pretrial failure after implementation. The requirement of subdivision (d) is intended to report annually the rate of pretrial failure. Such reports can be helpful in determining whether the use of a pretrial risk assessment tool has affected the historical rate of pretrial failure.

Reports generated by pretrial risk assessment tools may contain confidential information about a defendant that is necessary for the bail authority to make an informed bail determination. Pursuant to subdivision (f), those reports are available to the parties, but not publically accessible. However, the recommended bail determination and any conditions based upon the report are publically accessible, provided the recommendation is separate from the report.

As set forth in subdivision (g), a bail recommendation based upon a pretrial risk assessment tool is advisory. Per subdivision (h), the recommendation is intended to inform the bail authority, not dictate an outcome.

-The following text is entirely new-

Rule 708.1. Violation of Probation or Parole: Notice, Detainer, Gagnon I Hearing, Disposition, and Swift Sanction Program.

- (a) **Technical Violation.** Upon belief that the defendant has violated a technical condition of probation or parole, the authority supervising the defendant may:
 - (1) serve a written notice upon the defendant containing a time and location for the defendant's appearance before the supervising judge for a revocation hearing under Rule 708.2;
 - (2) arrest the defendant in those judicial districts that have established a program pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 9771.1; or
 - (3) lodge a detainer subject to subdivision (c).
- (b) **New Criminal Charge.** Following institution of a new criminal charge against the defendant, the authority supervising the defendant may:
 - (1) serve written notice for a hearing pursuant to subdivision (a)(1); or
 - (2) lodge a detainer subject to subdivision (c) if:
 - (i) the defendant requests; or
 - (ii) the defendant is not detained on the new criminal charge pursuant to Rule 520.16; and
 - (iii) the supervising authority believes the defendant has committed a technical violation beyond the fact of the new criminal charge.
- (c) **Detainer.** Unless a defendant requests, a detainer shall not be lodged unless the supervising authority believes the alleged conduct resulting in the technical violation creates an ongoing risk to the public's safety, including the victim, or of non-appearance at the revocation hearing. In all other cases, the supervising authority shall serve written notice for a hearing pursuant to subdivision (a)(1).
- (d) Gagnon I Hearing. Unless a defendant has requested a detainer pursuant to subdivision (b)(2)(i), a defendant subject to a detainer for a technical violation pursuant to subdivision (a)(3) or (b)(2) shall be brought before the sentencing judge or other designated judge or authority no later than five days after being detained in the county issuing the detainer for a hearing to determine whether probable cause exists to believe that a violation of a specific condition has been committed and if the defendant can be released on any available condition. If hearing is not held within this time period, the detainer shall expire by operation of law.
- (e) **Disposition.** Upon a judicial finding of the existence of such probable cause under subdivision (d), the authority supervising the defendant may file a request to revoke probation or parole pursuant to Rule 708.2(A).
- (f) Swift Sanction Program. A defendant arrested pursuant to subdivision (a)(2) may proceed in accordance with 42 Pa.C.S. § 9771.1 and local rule.

Comment: This rule addresses the lodging and review of detainers, and the "*Gagnon* I" procedures for determining probable cause, see *Gagnon v. Scarpelli*, 411 U.S. 778 (1973) and *Morrissey v. Brewer*, 408 U.S. 471 (1972).

Nothing in this rule is intended to prohibit a defendant from withdrawing a request for a detainer to be issued.

Factors when evaluating risk pursuant to subdivision (c) include, but are not limited to, the seriousness of the alleged violation, such as a new criminal charge involving the use of a weapon or physical assault, and the defendant's compliance history while under supervision, including reporting.

At the hearing pursuant to subdivision (d), if probable cause exists, the issue is not whether

the defendant should be released on the new charge - that is determined by the bail authority. Rather, the question is whether the defendant should continue to be detained, consistent with subdivision (c), until such time as a revocation hearing can be conducted.

Rule 708.2. Violation of Probation or Parole: Gagnon II Hearing and Disposition.

- [(A)](a)Revocation Request. A written request for revocation shall be filed with the clerk of courts.
- **[(B)](b)Record Hearing.** Whenever a defendant has been sentenced to probation or placed on parole, the judge shall not revoke such probation or parole as allowed by law unless there has been:
 - (1) a hearing held as speedily as possible at which the defendant is present and represented by counsel; and
 - (2) a finding of record that the defendant violated a condition of probation or parole.

[(C)](c)Plea. Before the imposition of sentence,

- (1) the defendant may plead guilty to other offenses that the defendant committed within the jurisdiction of the sentencing court.
- (2) When such pleas are accepted, the court shall sentence the defendant for all the offenses.

[(D)](d)Sentencing Procedures.

- (1) At the time of sentencing, the judge shall afford the defendant the opportunity to make a statement **[in]** <u>on</u> his or her behalf and shall afford counsel for both parties the opportunity to present information and argument relative to sentencing.
- (2) The judge shall state on the record the reasons for the sentence imposed.
- (3) The judge shall advise the defendant on the record:
 - [(a)](i) of the right to file a motion to modify sentence and to appeal, of the time within which the defendant must exercise those rights, and of the right to assistance of counsel in the preparation of the motion and appeal; and
 - [(b)](ii) of the rights, if the defendant is indigent[, to proceed in *forma pauperis* and] to proceed with assigned counsel as provided in Rule 122 (Appointment of Counsel).
- (4) The judge shall require that a record of the sentencing proceeding be made and preserved so that it can be transcribed as needed. The record shall include:
 - [(a)](i) the record of any stipulation made at a pre-sentence conference; and
 - **[(b)]**(ii) a verbatim account of the entire sentencing proceeding.
- [(E)](e)Motion to Modify Sentence. A motion to modify a sentence imposed after a revocation shall be filed within [10] ten days of the date of imposition. The filing of a motion to modify sentence will not toll the 30-day appeal period.

Comment: This rule addresses *Gagnon* II revocation hearings **[only, and not the procedures for determining probable cause (***Gagnon* I**)]***. See Gagnon v. Scarpelli*, 411 U.S. 778 (1973).

[Paragraph (A)] Subdivision (a) requires that the *Gagnon* II proceeding be initiated by a written request for revocation filed with the clerk of courts.

The judge may not revoke probation or parole on arrest alone, but only upon a finding of a violation thereof after a hearing, as provided in this rule. However, the judge need not wait for disposition of new criminal charges to hold such hearing. *See Commonwealth v. Kates*, **[452 Pa. 102,]** 305 A.2d 701 (**Pa.** 1973).

This rule does not govern parole cases under the jurisdiction of the Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole but applies only to the defendants who can be paroled by a judge. See [61 P.S. § 314] <u>42 Pa.C.S. § 9775 (Parole without board supervision)</u>. See also Georgevich v. Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, [510 Pa. 285,] 507 A.2d 812 (Pa. 1986).

[This rule was amended in 1996 to include sentences of intermediate punishment. See 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 9763 and 9773.] Rules 704, 720, and 721 do not apply to revocation cases.

The objective of the procedures enumerated in **[paragraph (C)]** subdivision (c) is to enable the court to sentence the defendant on all outstanding charges within the jurisdiction of the sentencing court at one time. *See* **[Rule] Pa.R.Crim.P.** 701.

When a defendant is permitted to plead guilty to multiple offenses as provided in **[para-graph (C)]** subdivision (c), if any of the other offenses involves a victim, the sentencing proceeding must be delayed to afford the Commonwealth adequate time to contact the victim(s), and to give the victim(s) an opportunity to offer prior comment on the sentencing or to submit a written and oral victim impact statement. *See* **[the]** Crime Victims Act, 18 P.S. § 11.201(5).

Issues properly preserved at the sentencing proceeding <u>may, but</u> need not, [but may,] be raised again in a motion to modify sentence in order to preserve them for appeal. In deciding whether to move to modify sentence, counsel must carefully consider whether the record created at the sentencing proceeding is adequate for appellate review of the issues, or the issues may be waived. *See Commonwealth v. Jarvis*, [444 Pa. Super. 295,] 663 A.2d 790, 791-2[,] n.1 (Pa. Super. 1995). As a general rule, the motion to modify sentence under [paragraph (E)] subdivision (e) gives the sentencing judge the earliest opportunity to modify the sentence. This procedure does not affect

continued from 33

the court's inherent powers to correct an illegal sentence or obvious and patent mistakes in its orders at any time before appeal or upon remand by the appellate court. See, e.g., Commonwealth v. Jones, [520 Pa. 385,] 554 A.2d 50 (Pa. 1989) (sentencing court can, sua sponte, correct an illegal sentence even after the defendant has begun serving the original sentence) and Commonwealth v. Cole, [437 Pa. 288,] 263 A.2d 339 (Pa. 1970) (inherent power of the court to correct obvious and patent mistakes).

Under this rule, the mere filing of a motion to modify sentence does not affect the running of the 30-day period for filing a timely notice of appeal. Any appeal must be filed within the 30-day appeal period unless the sentencing judge within 30 days of the imposition of sentence expressly grants reconsideration or vacates the sentence. *See Commonwealth v. Coleman*, 721 A.2d 798, 799[,] [f]n.2 (Pa. Super. 1998). *See also* Pa.R.A.P. 1701(b)(3).

Once a sentence has been modified or re-imposed pursuant to a motion to modify sentence under **[paragraph (E)]** subdivision (e), a party wishing to challenge the decision on the motion does not have to file an additional motion to modify sentence in order to preserve an issue for appeal, as long as the issue was properly preserved at the time sentence was modified or re-imposed.

[NOTE: Former Rule 1409 adopted July 23, 1973, effective 90 days hence; amended May 22, 1978, effective as to cases in which sentence is imposed on or after July 1, 1978; Comment revised November 1, 1991, effective January 1, 1992; amended September 26, 1996, effective January 1, 1997; Comment revised August 22, 1997, effective January 1, 1998; renumbered Rule 708 and amended March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001; amended February 26, 2002, effective July 1, 2002; amended March 15, 2013, effective May 1, 2013.

Committee Explanatory Reports:

Report explaining the January 1, 1992 amendments published at 21 Pa.B. 2246 (May 11, 1990); Supplemental Report published with the Court's Order at 21 Pa.B. 5329 (November 16, 1991).

Final Report explaining the September 26, 1996 amendments published with the Court's Order at 26 Pa.B. 4900 (October 12, 1996).

Final Report explaining the August 22, 1997 Comment revision that cross-references Rule 721 published with the Court's Order at 27 Pa.B. 4553 (September 6, 1997).

Final Report explaining the March 1, 2000 reorganization and renumbering of the rules published with the Court's Order at 30 Pa.B. 1478 (March 18, 2000).

Final Report explaining the February 26, 2002 amendments concerning the 30-day appeal period published with the Court's Order at 32 Pa.B. 1394 (March 16, 2002).

Final Report explaining the March 15, 2013 amendments to paragraph (C) concerning multiple guilty pleas and the Comment concerning the Crime Victims Act published at 43 Pa.B. 1705 (March 30, 2013).]

SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA CRIMINAL PROCEDURAL RULES COMMITTEE

REPUBLICATION REPORT

Proposed Amendment of Pa.R.Crim.P. 122; Rescission of Pa.R.Crim.P. 520-529 and Replacement with Pa.R.Crim.P. 520.1-520.19; Adoption of Pa.R.Crim.P. 708.1, and Renumbering and Amendment of Pa.R.Crim.P. 708.

The Criminal Procedural Rules Committee is considering proposing to the Supreme Court a set of statewide procedural rules governing bail proceedings and technical violations of county probation and parole.

Beginning in 2018, a workgroup was formed to review criminal pretrial detention practice in Pennsylvania. The workgroup identified the goal of the pretrial process as detaining the least number of people — through timely release at the earliest stage of the proceedings — as is necessary to reasonably ensure both the safety of the community and that defendants appear for court.

A set of proposed rules developed by the workgroup was submitted to the Criminal Procedural Rules Committee for consideration, and, after some revisions, those rules were published for comment. *See* 52 Pa.B. 205 (January 8, 2022). The Committee received 74 responses, both from organizations and individuals. With the benefit of those comments, the Committee is proposing a number of revisions. While only rules that have been revised from the prior publication are discussed below, all of the rules comprising the January 8, 2022, proposal, except for Rule 1003, are being republished with this report.¹

The Committee invites all comments, concerns, and suggestions.

Proposal Wide Revisions

Numerous commenters disapproved of the purpose of bail including reasonably assuring "the protection of the defendant from immediate risk of substantial physical self-harm" and reasonably assuring "the integrity of the judicial system." See Proposed Rule 502.1(A)(3) and (A)(4) as previously published. As noted by the commenters, neither is cited as a purpose of bail in *Commonwealth v. Talley*, 265 A.3d 485 (Pa. 2021) or in Article I, § 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution. Moreover, incarcerating a defendant due to a risk of self-harm may violate the Mental Health Procedures Act. *See* 50 P.S. §§ 7301 and 7302. Consequently, the Committee has removed from the Introduction and from Rules 520.1, 520.3, 520.6, 520.10, and 708.1, and the accompanying commentary, any reference to either protecting the defendant from self-harm or assuring the integrity of the judicial system. The Committee has also removed "a likelihood of the destruction of evidence" as a release factor from Rule 520.6 as also unrelated to the purpose of bail.

Part C: Bail - Introduction

1 Stylistic amendments have also been made to conform to the recently adopted Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Style and Rulemaking Guide for Procedural and Evidentiary Rules. The Committee revised the Introduction to cite *Commonwealth v. Talley*, 265 A.3d 485 (Pa. 2021), which was decided after the proposed new rules and amendments were originally drafted. The following quote from *Talley* has been included in to the Introduction: "When the Commonwealth seeks to deny bail, the quality of its evidence must be such that it persuades the bail court that it is substantially more likely than not that the accused is nonbailable, which is just to say that the proof is evident or the presumption great." The Committee concluded that a seminal decision such as *Talley* should be cited as earlier as possible in the rules governing bail.

Rule 520.1. Purpose of Bail

The Committee has revised the Comment to this rule to cite *Talley* at the conclusion of the first paragraph of the Comment. As the Comment quotes Article I, § 14 of the Pennsylvania Constitution, which includes the standard "the proof is evident or presumption great," reference to *Talley* will provide appropriate guidance to the reader regarding this longstanding standard. *See* Constitution of Pennsylvania, September 28, 1776, Plan or Frame of Government for the Commonwealth or State of Pennsylvania, Section 28 ("All prisoners shall be bailable by sufficient sureties, unless for capital offences, when the proof is evident, or presumption great.").

Rule 520.2. Bail Determination Before Verdict

A commenter suggested amending subdivision (c)(1) of this rule to read: "At the preliminary arraignment when the bail authority does not temporarily detain the defendant pending a detention hearing" According to the commenter, denoting a detention ordered at a preliminary arraignment as "temporary" comports with the distinction between a "temporary detention" and "detention," as those terms are used in Proposed Rule 520.16 (Detention). The Committee agreed to the clarification, and subdivision (c)(1) has been revised.

Rule 520.4. Detention of Witnesses

To help prevent a witness from being unnecessarily detained, a subdivision (f) has been added to this rule: "(f) Status Conference. The court shall conduct a status conference no less than every 10 days while the witness remains detained under this rule. The purpose of the status conference is to determine the necessity of continuing to detain the witness." Requiring a status conference every 10 days will also help avoid a witness becoming "lost," *i.e.*, mistakenly detained beyond any need for their testimony, while also motivating the preservation of the witness's testimony when possible.

Rule 520.6. Release Factors

To be more consistent with an individualized approach to determining bail, a commenter suggested subdivision (a)(2) (Personal Information) should instead be subdivision (a)(1). The Committee agreed. The subdivisions have been reordered as follows: (a)(1) Personal Information, (a)(2) Current Charge, and (a)(3) Prior Criminal History.

Subdivision (A)(1)(e) of this rule as previously published has been removed. That subdivision read: "likelihood of witness intimidation or destruction of evidence by the defendant." The Committee concluded that witness intimidation was encompassed by the safety of the community consideration, *see* Rule 520.1(a)(2), and that preventing destruction of evidence was not a proper purpose of bail.

A commenter recommended that the rule retain "employment history," *see* Pa.R.Crim.P. 523(A)(2), as a factor. As previously published, Proposed Rule 520.6(A)(2)(b), now subdivision (a)(1) (ii), would require the bail authority to consider the defendant's "employment." Consideration of a defendant's employment history was not retained over concern that a defendant's unemployment is often involuntary and, therefore, should not be weighed against the defendant. However, the Committee recognizes that employment history can give a fuller picture of a defendant for a bail authority to consider. For example, a defendant may be currently unemployed after having worked for the same employer for 15 years. Additionally, a potential unintended consequence of the previously proposed change — removing "history" — could be that judges will interpret the amendment as indicating that employment history should no longer be considered. Thus, the Committee has revised subdivision (a)(1)(ii) to include "status and history."

A commenter expressed concern about subdivision (a)(3)(i), which replaced "prior criminal record," which is currently found in Pa.R.Crim.P. 523(A)(8), with "record of convictions." Limiting subdivision (a)(3)(i) of the proposed rule to convictions avoids potential disparities that might result from the inclusion of arrests, which often reflect how communities are policed rather than differences in criminal involvement. A compromise considered by the Committee was to require the bail authority to consider convictions while leaving consideration of a defendant's criminal history discretionary. Whether the number of times a defendant has been arrested is indicative of a risk of future arrest or flight was also debated. As a middle ground, the Committee has revised subdivision (a)(3)(i) to include "relevant criminal history." Subdivision (a)(3)(i) has also been revised to include "final civil protection orders against the defendant," which could be particularly relevant in domestic violence cases. In full, the subdivision now reads: "record of convictions, relevant criminal history, and final civil protection orders against the defendant." A corollary amendment to the Comment advises that civil protection orders are protection from abuse orders, 23 Pa.C.S. § 6108, and protection of victims of sexual violence and intimidation orders, 42 Pa.C.S. § 62A07.

Rule 520.7. Bail Determination

A commenter suggested rewriting this rule to read: "The determination, including any special conditions, shall be imposed by the bail authority following a finding that they are needed to satisfy the purpose of bail." A concern was also raised over the difficulty of defining "least restrictive." That phrase, as previously proposed, was intended to address the practice of "over-conditioning." To provide clarification, it was suggested that the Comment should explain the required progression of bail determinations as reflected in Proposed Rules 520.10(a) and 520.11(a) and in the Comment to this rule a previously published.

While not choosing to adopt the language suggested, the Committee recognizes the concern raised and has revised the rule to read: "Any bail conditions beyond release with general conditions shall be imposed only upon a finding that they are necessary to satisfy the purpose of bail as provided in Rule 520.1." The rule has also been retitled "Bail Determination," and the Comment has been revised to provide a detailed description of the bail determination process.

Rule 520.8. Determination: Release with General Conditions

continued from **34**

As previously published, subdivision (a)(3) of Proposed Rule 520.8 would require a defendant to give notice to the District Attorney of any address change. This subdivision was borrowed from Pa.R.Crim.P. 526(A)(3). A commenter would remove this requirement, noting that a defendant should not have to provide any statement to the attorney for the Commonwealth. A further suggestion was made to move the notification requirement to subdivision (b) (Bond).

Recognizing the inappropriateness of requiring a defendant to contact the attorney for the Commonwealth, the Committee has revised subdivision (a)(3) to inform a defendant that they are required to provide notice to "those identified on the bail bond." Subdivision (c) of Proposed Rule 520.13 (Bail Bond) has been revised to require the bail bond to identify those to whom the defendant must provide written notice of any change of address as now required by this rule. This generality allows each county freedom to designate to whom notification must be provided.

Rule 520.10. Determination: Release with Non-Monetary Special Conditions

"When the proof is evident and the presumption is great" has been removed from this rule as inconsistent with *Talley*. *See Talley*, 265 A.2d at 525 ("The 'proof is evident or presumption great' standard does not govern a bail court's discretion in setting the amount of bail."). After concluding that the above language should be removed from subdivision (a), the Committee rewrote subdivision (a) to provide:

When general conditions are insufficient, a defendant may be released subject to both general conditions and any non-monetary special conditions necessary to fulfill the purpose of bail as provided in Rule 520.1.

Thus, rather than repeating the purpose of bail in this subdivision, the subdivision simply refers the reader to Rule 520.1.

A commenter advised that "drug or alcohol dependency assessment" in subdivision (b)(9) should be replaced with either "substance use disorder assessment" or "substance abuse assessment" to reflect current usage. The Committee agreed and opted for the former.

Another commenter recommended amending this rule to remind the bail authority that conditions need to be tailored to the particular defendant. In response, the Committee has revised subdivision (b) to read: "Non-monetary special conditions, individualized to the defendant, may include, but are not limited to, the following[.]"

Lastly, a commenter suggested including "witness" in subdivision (b)(12), which, as originally proposed, read: "no contact by the defendant with the victim." The Committee agreed. This subdivision has been revised to conclude, "or any witness."

Rule 520.11. Determination: Release with Monetary Conditions

Uncertainty over the meaning of "verified" as used in subdivision (d)(1) was expressed by a commenter. The commenter questioned whether verification of the financial disclosure form required an independent third-party verification of facts or just a statement offered under penalty of unsworn falsification, *see* 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 (Unsworn falsification to authorities), or something else. To clarify who is verifying the information on the form, the Committee has revised this subdivision to begin: "A financial disclosure form, verified by the defendant"

As previously proposed, subdivision (h) read: "A secured monetary condition shall never be imposed for the sole purpose of detaining a defendant until trial." The Committee has chosen to revise this subdivision to omit "sole." Modifying "purpose" by "sole" implied that detention may be one of several reasons for imposing a secure monetary condition, so long as it is not the only reason. In the Committee's view, detention is not a proper purpose, whether the only purpose or one among many, of a secured monetary condition.

According to a commenter, the last sentence of the penultimate paragraph of the Comment as previously published conflicts with current law. The contested commentary states: "a secured monetary condition should not be imposed to mitigate any other risk other than a failure to appear." This commenter read the above as reducing the purpose of bail to one purpose, ensuring the defendant's appearance. However, the above sentence begins with the clarification: "unless a defendant is the depositor." Thus, the limitation expressed only applies when the defendant is *not* the depositor. Moreover, the penultimate sentence of that paragraph clarifies that "[t]hird part[y] sureties are not liable for a defendant's new criminal act or other violations of conditions." In other words, a third-party surety soligation is to protect against non-appearance. See 42 Pa.C.S. § 5747.1(b)(6) ("No third-party surety shall be responsible to render payment on a forfieted undertaking if the revocation of bail is sought for failure of the defendant to comply with the conditions of the defendant's release other than appearance."). Nonetheless, to avoid any potential confusion, the last sentence of the penultimate paragraph of the Comment, "Therefore, unless a defendant is the depositor, a secured monetary condition should not be imposed to mitigate any other risk other than a failure to appear," has been removed. That paragraph now concludes: "Third party sureties are not liable for a defendant's new criminal act or other violations of conditions."

Several commenters suggested that subdivision (a) should refer to the imposition of general conditions, and the Committee agreed. Accordingly, subdivision (a) has been revised to read:

When general conditions and non-monetary special conditions or combination of conditions are insufficient, a bail authority may, in addition to general conditions and non-monetary special conditions or combination of conditions, impose a monetary condition on a defendant's release to satisfy the purpose of bail, as provided in Rule 520.1.

Additionally, as seen above, "non-monetary special conditions" would be replaced with "non-monetary special conditions or combination of conditions."

Rule 520.13. Bail Bond

As noted previously, subdivision (c) of this rule has been revised to require the bail bond to identify those to whom the defendant must provide written notice of any change of address as required by Rule 520.8(a)(3).

To avoid confusion with "detention" as provided for in Rule 520.16, see below, subdivision (f) of this rule has been revised by replacing "detention" with "incarceration" and "detain" with "incarcerate."

Rule 520.15. Condition Review

Some commenters noted that increasing the time between the initial bail determination and a review hearing pursuant to this rule might ease the burden on county resources and result in more conditions being satisfied and more defendants being released without the need for a hearing. It was suggested that expanding the time beyond 72 hours would allow counties to designate a day of the week to conduct all review hearings. Conversely, any timeframe shorter than a week would likely result in review hearings being held daily. The Committee is now proposing that review hearings be held within five days of the initial determination. This timeframe would permit counties to conduct such hearings once a week and provide adequate time for victims to arrange to be present at the review hearing. See 18 P.S. § 11.201(2.1)(iii) (providing victims with the right to offer comment regarding a defendant's bail conditions at any proceeding where bail conditions may be modified). The Committee has also removed the language regarding the exclusion of non-business days to encourage counties to conduct hearings prior to the expiration of five days rather than after the expiration of five days when the fifth day falls on a non-business day. (For example, if hearings are regularly scheduled for Friday, but Friday would be the fourth day after a defendant's initial bail determination, that defendant should have his or her hearing on the fourth day rather than waiting an additional week.)

A commenter asked whether a condition review hearing would accommodate witnesses and whether it would be of record. The uncertainty likely resulted from the use of the term "information", *see* Proposed Rule 520.15(d), rather than "evidence." The Committee has revised the Comment to explain: "At a review of conditions, any information from any source that will aid the judge in conducting the review, including testimony from witnesses, may be presented."

The use of "detained" in this rule was questioned by some commenters. It was suggested that the use of "detained" should be limited to Proposed Rule 520.16 (Detention). The Committee agreed. "Detained" has been replaced with "incarcerated" in this rule. This revision is also consistent with the revisions made to Proposed Rule 520.13 discussed above.

A commenter suggested this rule should make clear that a reviewing judge must provide a written or recorded statement of reasons for any determination made pursuant to this rule. In response, the Committee has revised the Comment to instruct the reader that: "Rule 520.12 requires the bail authority to provide 'a recorded or written contemporaneous statement of reasons for any bail determination.' This requirement also applies to a judge's determination pursuant to this rule, whether or not bail is modified."

The Committee has also revised this rule to require a review of conditions to be conducted by a judge of the court of common pleas or by a judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court. As previously proposed, a review of conditions would be conducted by the bail authority. The bail authority could either be the original bail authority or another judge sitting as a bail authority as designated by the president judge. According to a commenter, confusion could arise over whether a magisterial district judge would have the authority to modify bail at the defendant's preliminary hearing, *see* Proposed Rule 520.17(b), if bail had been previously modified by a common pleas judge sitting by designation as a bail authority. In other words, would the restriction on further modification contained in Proposed Rule 520.17(d) apply when a common pleas judge sits as a bail authority rather than as a common pleas judge. By requiring a review of conditions to be conducted by either a judge of the court of common pleas or a judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court, and removing the authority of a president judge to designate a judge to sit as a bail authority, the scenario potentially resulting in confusion can no longer occur.

Rule 520.16. Detention

In reviewing this rule, the Committee concluded that *Talley* should be cited regarding detention when "[n]o available condition or combination of conditions other than detention will reasonably assure that a defendant's release is consistent with the purpose of bail[.]" Proposed Rule 520.16(a)(2). Thus, the Committee has revised the Comment to this rule to include the following:

Regarding subdivision (c), "when the Commonwealth seeks to deny bail due to the alleged safety risk the accused poses to 'any person and the community,' those qualitative standards demand that the Commonwealth demonstrates that it is substantially more likely than not that (1) the accused will harm someone if he is released and (2) there is no condition of bail within the court's power that reasonably can prevent the defendant from inflicting that harm." *Commonwealth v. Talley*, 265 A.3d 485, 525 (Pa. 2021). More generally, "[w]hen the Commonwealth seeks to deny bail, the quality of the evidence must be such that it persuades the bail court that it is substantially more likely than not that the accused is nonbailable[.]" *Id.* 524-25.

For clarity, subdivision (c)(4) has been revised to begin: "A detention hearing before a judge of the court of common pleas or a judge of the Philadelphia Municipal Court shall be scheduled to occur within 48 hours of the defendant's first appearance."

Rule 520.18. Responsibilities of Pretrial Services

A commenter expressed concerned that adoption of this rule could result in the elimination of or need for significant modification of current pretrial services. To avoid disrupting existing pretrial services, which may not be able to undertake all of the obligations mandated by the previously published version of this rule, the Committee has revised this rule to require such services to "include one or more of the following[.]" With this revision, counties will have more flexibility in devising their pretrial services and will not need to consider forgoing pretrial services entirely because they cannot manage or afford to provide all of the services required by subdivisions (a) through (f).

Rule 520.19. Pretrial Risk Assessment Tool Parameters

A commenter proposed prohibiting the use of risk assessment tools unless 1) the factors that are used to calculate risk are transparent and 2) data on the tool is made publically available so that experts can determine whether the tool is racially and ethnically neutral. A concern was also raised regarding due process and a defendant's ability to challenge a recommendation resulting from a risk assessment tool if the data relied on to create the algorithm are not public. Another commenter suggested removing from subdivision (c) the requirement that periodic validation demonstrate race and gender neutrality. Although misuse of information made public was a concern, the

	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
cont	inu	ed	fro	m	35	5																																						

Committee concluded that the importance of transparency outweighed the possibility of publically available data being misused.

With this in mind, the Committee has revised subdivision (c) to remove the 70% minimum level of predictability requirement, to insert a requirement that data be made available to the public to assess gender and race neutrality, to remove the requirement of demonstrating racial and gender neutrality,² and to require data used for validation to be made public. In balance the removal of the 70% minimum level of predictability, subdivision (c) has been revised to conclude: "to a reasonable degree of statistical certainty."

As previously published, subdivision (a) of this rule would have required a pretrial risk assessment to be conducted in all criminal cases prior to the preliminary arraignment or, when no preliminary arraignment is held, prior to the preliminary hearing. Some commenters were concerned that a county incapable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment in every criminal case, but capable of conducting an assessment is assessment that a risk assessment be conducted prior to the preliminary arraignment was not feasible without additional funding. Yet, the purpose of a pretrial risk assessment is to aid a bail authority in setting bail, which is most frequently set at the preliminary arraignment, and thus, a risk assessment tool's usefulness is significantly diminished when not used prior to the preliminary arraignment.

To accommodate those counties unable to conduct assessments in all cases, and to provide some flexibility in the rule, the Committee has revised the Comment to clarify that risk assessment tools may be used at a later time and that nothing in this rule prohibits a defendant or the Commonwealth from asking for a reassessment with the filing of a motion to modify bail. The Committee has also removed "in all criminal cases" from subdivision (a) and revised that subdivision to begin: "[w]hen a pretrial risk assessment tool is used." With these revisions, a jurisdiction would be permitted to use a risk assessment tool in a subset of all criminal cases.

The use of terms like "high, medium, and low" to characterize a defendant's risk was criticized by some commenters. Some commenters suggested using percentages instead. As previously published, subdivision (E) of this rule would have required risk of pretrial failure to be classified as high, moderate, and low. Notably, these classifications — high, moderate, and low — do not necessarily correspond to percentages in an obvious way. As the Committee discussed at length, high risk could, depending on the underlying data, indicate a 10% chance of failure. Generally, a 10% chance of failure is not viewed as a high risk of failure, and thus the use of "high" as a classification could be misleading. The Committee concluded that the designer of a risk assessment tool should determine how to classify levels of risk for their tool rather than having such classification dictated by rule.

In addition to dictating classifications, subdivision (E) as previously published would have

2 As one commenter contended, "[t]he requirement of 'racial and gender neutrality,' however, is a chimera. There is no such thing: if the base rates of the predicted outcome differ across race or gender lines in the relevant group of defendants, it is mathematically impossible for risk estimates to be 'neutral' across race/gender lines by every metric." required risk classifications to be described to users in terms of success. But as the rule will no longer dictate how risk will be classified, the rule will also no longer dictate how classifications — chosen by the designer of a tool — should be presented to the user. Thus, previously published subdivision (E) has been removed in its entirety.

Rule 708.1. Violation of Probation or Parole: Notice, Detainer, Gagnon I Hearing, Disposition, and Swift Sanction Program

As previously published, subdivision (D), now subdivision (d), of this rule would have required a *Gagnon* I hearing to be conducted within 14 days after the alleged violator had been detained. A commenter proposed shortening that timeframe to no later than 72 hours. As noted by several commenters, the longer an alleged violator remains detained the more likely the alleged violator will suffer negative consequences, such as losing a job, losing an apartment, or a pet dying. Beyond such tangible losses, an alleged violator with mental health issues would likely suffer significant trauma if held for 14 days before having a *Gagnon* I hearing. The Committee was concerned, however, that 72 hours may not be sufficient time for those involved to properly prepare for a hearing. Thus, as a compromise, the Committee has reduced the timeframe for conducting a *Gagnon* I hearing from 14 days to five days after an alleged violator has been detained

In response to another commenter, the Committee has revised subdivision (c) to include "including the victim" after "ongoing risk to the public's safety."

A commenter questioned how the timeframe for conducting a *Gagnon* I hearing would be calculated if an alleged violator has multiple detainers from multiple counties. To address this concern, the Committee has revised subdivision (d) to read: "a defendant subject to a detainer for a technical violation pursuant to subdivision (a)(3) or (b)(2) shall be brought before the sentencing judge or other designated judge or authority no later than five days after being detained in the county issuing the detainer for a hearing"

To emphasize the voluntariness of a defendant's request for a detainer pursuant to subdivision (b)(2)(i), the Committee has revised the Comment to advise: "Nothing in this rule is intended to prohibit a defendant from withdrawing a request for a detainer to be issued."

A commenter suggested that subdivision (a)(2) should be amended by inserting "in those judicial districts that have established a program." With this amendment, the subdivision would read: "arrest the defendant in those judicial districts that have established a program pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 9771.1." This recommendation was made in order to clarify that the sanctions provided for in subdivision (g) of § 9771.1 are only available to judicial districts that have established a program pursuant to § 9771.1(a). The Committee accepted this recommendation, and subdivision (a)(2) has been revised accordingly.

To reflect current case law, the Committee has revised subdivision (d) to require a violation to be of a specific condition: "to determine whether probable cause exists to believe that a violation of a specific condition has been committed" *Commonwealth v. Foster*, 654 A.3d 1240 (Pa. 2019) ("[A] court may find a defendant in violation of probation only if the defendant has violated one of the "specific conditions" of probation").

Lastly, the first paragraph of the Comment has been revised to include a citation to *Morrissey v. Brewer*, 408 U.S. 471 (1972), which requires a state to choose an "independent decisionmaker" to determine if "reasonable cause exists to believe that conditions of parole have been violated." *Morrissey*, 408 U.S. at 486.

To publish your Corporate Notices,

Call: Jennifer McCullough

at **215-557-2321**

Email : jmccullough@alm.com