# New York Law Journal

VOLUME 272-NO. 64 \$4.00

WWW.NYLJ.COM

MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 2024

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888

©2024 ALM MEDIA PROPERTIES, LLO

ALM.

#### **IN BRIEF**

#### Smartmatic, Newsmax Reach Courthouse Steps Settlement In Defamation Case

Smartmatic has reportedly reached a settlement with Newsmax, resolving its defamation claims in Delaware Superior Court days before they were scheduled to go to trial.

Sean O'Sullivan, chief of communications for the Delaware courts, confirmed the settlement the afternoon of Sept. 26. Details have not been released.

"We are very pleased to have secured the completion of the case against Newsmax," said J. Erik Connolly of Benesch Friedlander Coplan & Aronoff, an attorney representing Smartmatic. "We are now looking forward to our court day against Fox and Fox News for their disinformation campaign. Lying to the American people has consequences. Smartmatic will not stop until the perpetrators are held accountable."

A spokesperson for U.K.based Smartmatic said the company is not able to provide details on the value of the settlement or any non-monetary terms that may have been agreed to.

A month-long trial had been scheduled to begin on Sept. 30 before Superior Court Judge Eric M. Davis, who ruled Sept. 23 Smartmatic would not be able to pursue punitive damages at trial.

The case is one of several, most of which have also been handled in the Superior Court and before Davis, in which a voting services company has claimed a broadcaster made defamatory statements alleging interference in the 2020 presidential election. It's the second of those cases to settle as it was scheduled to go to trial, with Fox News settling claims brought by Dominion last year for \$787.5 million.

A spokesperson for Newsmax, which broadcasts from studios in South Florida and New York City, did not immediately respond for comment. —Ellen Bardash

#### The Family Court Assigned Counsel

#### Fox's Viet Dinh Nearly Set Pay Record in Final Year As Legal Chief

Roll the chyrons for this one: Viet Dinh, who stepped down as Fox Corp.'s chief legal and policy officer in December, received



s chief legal and polin December, received compensation in the latest fiscal

year of \$27.33 million. The payout is among the highest

for a U.S. legal chief, according top Corporate Counsel and ALM Intelligence data. No legal chief has yet cracked the \$30 million mark.

The bulk of that stemmed from the previously reported \$23 million cash severance the Murdoch family-controlled company agreed to pay him in connection with his departure as legal chief, a position he'd held for five years.

The Murdochs treated him royally even though his final year was bumpy, with the company in April 2023 agreeing to pay \$787 million to settle a defamation lawsuit brought by voting-machine maker Dominion Voting Systems.

Dinh was widely perceived as mishandling the case, which accused Fox News of giving oxygen to false claims of fraud in the 2020 presidential election to appease its largely Republican viewership.

Under Dinh's separation agreement, he is staying on for two years as special adviser, earning \$2.5 million each year.

Fox disclosed Dinh's pay for the fiscal year that ended June 30, in a proxy statement it filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on Thursday.

The filing shows that in addition to severance, Dinh received a salary of \$1.5 million, a bonus of \$1.5 million and \$1.25 million in consulting fees, half of the \$2.5 million he will receive for the year as special adviser.

The big payout puts Dinh in the running for the No. 1 slot on Corporate Counsel and ALM Intelligence's 2025 General Counsel Compensation Report, which will come out next summer. No. 1 on this year's list was Alphabet's Kent » Page 4

# Legal Scholars: There's Not Much Adams Could Do if Hochul Chooses To Remove Him

#### **BY BRIAN LEE**

NEW YORK'S governor has broad authority to remove a mayor, and there'd be little legal recourse for New York City's top official, legal experts told the Law Journal on Friday. Upon the Manhattan U.S. attor-

ney's 57-page indictment charging New York City Mayor Eric Adams of bribery, wire fraud, conspiracy and



"It's now up to Mayor Adams to show the City that he is able to lead in that manner," Gov. **Kathy Hochul** said in a statement.

soliciting campaign contributions from foreign nationals Thursday, Gov. Kathy Hochul acknowledged the embattled mayor's presumption of innocence.

Yet, Hochul was noncommittal about her next course of action, placing the responsibility on Adams to show that he's able to lead in an effective and ethical manner amid the charges. Hochul had also told reporters that, as an attorney, she plans to act deliberately. Meanwhile, Jerry Goldfeder,

an election and campaign finance lawyer at Cozen O'Connor, said in an interview with the Law Journal: "First of all, she does have that power to remove him, pursuant to the constitution—Public Officers Law—and the City Charter."

Goldfeder said Hochul can invoke power to bring charges to remove Adams, who'd be entitled to a full due process hearing.

Pursuant to the charter, Hochul could place Adams on an immediate 30-day suspension while the hearing occurs, Goldfeder said.

In this circumstance, the city public advocate, Jumaane Williams, would serve as acting mayor— and if all of this occurs before March 25, 2025, the advocate would issue a proclamation calling for a special election to take place within 80 days, Goldfeder said.

There'd be no primary for a nonpartisan special election, and whoever wins it would immediately become mayor, filling the remainder of Adams' term through Dec. 31, 2025, while Williams would then return to his role as public advocate.

But if the vacancy occurs after March 25, Williams again becomes acting mayor, but there'd be no special election.

Instead, whoever wins the November 2025 election would immediately take office, even though they're running for a term that begins Jan. 1, 2026, said Goldfeder, who added that » Page 7



Mayor **Eric Adams**, center, exits Manhattan federal court after his arraignment on Friday, Sept. 27, with his attorney **Alex Spiro**, second from left.

# NYC Mayor Eric Adams Enters 'Not Guilty' Plea To Corruption Charges

#### **BY EMILY SAUL**

NEW YORK City Mayor Eric Adams pleaded not guilty to corruption charges on Friday as his defense attorney indicated they planned to file a motion to dismiss as early as this week.

"We'll be filing a motion to dismiss on Wednesday," Alex Spiro of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan told U.S. Magistrate Judge Katharine Parker of the Southern District of New York following the arraignment. Adams, wearing a dark suit and

solemn expression, sat quietly with his hands clasped as Parker read the charges against him. "I am not guilty, Your Honor," Adams responded when asked how he pleaded.

Prosecutor Celia Cohen said the government was not seeking the mayor's passport.

Adams was released on his own signature and the condition that he not speak to witnesses or those named in the indictment about the circumstances or facts in the case.

Exceptions will be made for staff as to official business and family members, Cohen said.

"We are going to be wanting a speedy trial," Spiro said.

U.S. Attorney Damian Williams on Thursday announced the unsealing of the indict- » Page 7

# Visa Hires Antitrust Heavyweights To Carry Out DOJ-Misunderstands-The-Market Defense

#### **BY JAMES PALMER**

VISA hasn't filed a response in court to the U.S. Department of Justice's antitrust lawsuit, but it's already clear what the cornerstone of its defense will be: that the government fails to appreciate the deluge of new competitors flooding into the payments market. The DOJ's 71-page lawsuit, filed in the Southern District of New York, alleges that for years Visa has monopolized the debit market and used illegal tactics to protect its dominance. The suit says 60% of debit transactions run on Visa's debit network, generating more than \$7 billion in fees for the company annually. The DOJ said the company has warded off threats to that market share through a variety of illegal tactics, including penalizing businesses that seek to use alternative networks and by striking agreements that co-opt would-be innovators, snuffing out potential threats.



Who? »7

#### **DECISIONS** OF INTEREST

#### **First Department**

CONTRACTS LAW: **Sealing of documents granted and denied in part in breach of contract action.** *BR Fund IV Acq Inv, LLC v. Brightwood Capital Fund III-Inst., LP, Supreme Court, New York.* 

CONTRACTS LAW: **Court rules that petitioner is not subject to arbitration agreement clause.** *Elizabeth Gill Interiors Inc. v. Gerst, Supreme Court, New York.* 

DISPUTE RESOLUTION: **Court confirms arbitration award as respondent did not oppose petitioner.** *AKF, Inc. v. M & M Gen. Store, LLC, Supreme Court, New York.* 

TRUSTS & ESTATES: Marital trust turned into unitrust pursuant to decedent's intentions. In the Matter of the Application of the Petition of Andrew M. Crisses, Surrogate's Court, New York.

#### Second Department

IMMIGRATION LAW: Petition denied, adjudication on ancestry was an impermissible advisory opinion. In the Matter of Cynthia Murriello Hudson, Petitioner, Supreme Court, Kings.

LANDLORD-TENANT: Eviction denied, tenant's conduct not found deleterious

## for other tenants. Lexington Avenue LP v. Clement, Civil Court, Kings.

#### **U.S. Courts**

CIVIL PROCEDURE: Home confinement credit properly declined; credit not entitled to; counsel not deficient. *Garraway v. U.S., SDNY.* 

CONTRACTUAL DISPUTES: Anticipatory repudiation claim is duplicative of surviving contract breach claims. Yanker v. Zoomcar Inc., SDNY.

CIVIL PROCEDURE: **Public disclosure bar underlies dismissal of 2008 FCA qui tam action's claims.** *U.S. ex rel. Hanks v. Amgen Inc., EDNY.* 

CIVIL PROCEDURE: Action is remanded to Criminal Court in Queens; 28 USC §1443 removal not satisfied. People of the State of New York v. Chambers, EDNY.

DISCOVERY: Incomplete discovery prevents full response to copyright, trademark infringement claims. Office Create Corp. v. 1st Playable Productions LLC, NDNY.

DECISION SUMMARIES, Page 17 FULL-TEXT DECISIONS, nylj.com

# Central New York Judge Who Resigned Amid Scrutiny For Attending Jan. 6 Capitol Rally Says He'd Do It Again

Montour Falls Village CourtJustice and Montour Town Court Justice Donald

Spaccio testified that he didn't take part in the Jan. 6, 2021 siege on the U.S.

#### **BY BRIAN LEE**

Capitol

A LONGTIME non-attorney jurist in Central New York is resigning amid an investigation he impermissibly attended a political rally at the U.S. Capitol on Jan. 6, 2021—a charge he readily confirmed on Friday while noting he didn't participate in the insurrection.

Montour Falls Village Court Justice and Montour Town Court Justice Donald Spaccio's resignation takes effect Oct. 7, according to the state.

In a statement, the New York State Commission on Judicial Con-

duct said it was also investigating Spaccio for allegations he shouted at local law enforcement officers and invoked his judicial office when police asked him to remove a propane cannon from the roof of his business, and that he yelled profanities at a town code enforcement officer during a dispute.

Although Spaccio testified that he didn't take part in the insurrection, his participation in the rally occurred outside a "window period" prohibiting judges from participating in political activities, the watchdog agency said.

Also the longtime owner of a printing press business, Spac- » Page 7

# For Summer Associates, Experience in 'Real Matters' Trumps Recreational Perks

#### **BY DAN ROE**

WHEN Big Law firms want to show summer associates a good time, they write checks.

In a recent American Lawyer survey of 3,077 summer associates at 71 law firms, a Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton summer couldn't decide whether they had more fun at a party on a yacht or a party in the Hamptons.

Cravath, Swaine & Moore rented out Central Park Zoo; Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison rented out the MoMA. Simpson, Thacher & Bartlett bought orchestra seats for roughly 150 summers at "Hamilton." A summer associate at Proskauer Rose attended both the Tony Awards and the NBA draft, while a Weil, Gotshal & » Page 6

The 2024 Summer Associates Satisfaction Survey

**»6** 

BONDS BILLING RA While your peers struggle to complete RFPs, you'll Contact us by phone be winning business or email at Billing Rates from ALM Legal Intelligence info@blaikiegroup.com is an accurate and growing database of more than 70,000 attorney-specific rates, searchable by  $\Box$  Appeals □ Supersedeas actice area, region and biographical information 🗆 Discharge Lien □ Executor 🗆 Guardian □ Lost Instrument Arm your firm with unrivaled data to **ALM**EXPERTS Assess, benchmark and negotiate billing rates with precision and confidence **Express Solutions Expressly for** Market strategically and successfully against the **Bonding Problems Since 1933** competition in your area THE Justify rates to clients using data pulled from peer-Looking for an firms GROUP accomplished expert? ALM Experts has leaders in every dis 111 John St., 16th Floor New York, New York 10038 an 15,000 profiles of leading expert 4.000 areas of exp 212-962-BOND 212-267-8440 GET A FREE Same CONTACT: Phil Flora 212-457-7767 | pflora@alm.com ccess to a range of high-profile experts is just a click aw D. Nicholas Blaikie Fayth Vasseur Christine Harding Colette M. Blaikie ALM. LEGAL INTELLIGENCE www.blaikiegroup.com ÁLM. ALMExperts.com

<b>INSIDE</b> LAW JOURNAL
Calendar of Events4Classifieds14Court Calendars8Court Notes8Decisions17Expert Analysis3Lawyer to Lawyer4Legal Experts & Services14Legal Notices14Outside Counsel4Perspective7Verdicts & Settlements5
See page 2 for complete Inside lineup.

# New York Law Iournal Inside

#### Corporate Insurance »3

Supreme Court Expands **Insurers' Rights in Bankruptcy Proceedings** by Howard B. Epstein and Theodore A. Keyes

#### Online

#### ✗ Court Calendars

Civil and Supreme Court calendars for New York and surrounding counties are now available weeks in advance at nyli.com. Search cases by county, index, judge or party name. Important Part information, including addresses, phone numbers and courtrooms are updated daily. Only at nylj.com.

Disciplinary

Matter of

Proceeding »3

Ethan Ruby, an attorney

and counselor-at-law

#### Outside Counsel »4 Deductibility of

**Promotional Expenses:** Stock Car Racing? by Jeffrey A. Galant

Calendar of Events »4

#### Online

#### U.S. SUPREME COURT

#### Verdicts & Settlements »5

\$2.6 million settlement in a motor vehicle case: **Plaintiffs Claimed Collision Caused Spinal** And Shoulder Injuries

**\$1.6 million** verdict in a Premises Liability case: **Gas Station Failed** To Remove Snow And Ice From Walkway: Lawsuit

...and more

#### Perspective »7

The Family Court **Assigned Counsel Panel:** If Not You, Who? by Philip Katz

#### Online

#### ✗ Today's Tip

View the special sections online and download today's paper at the Law Journal Download Center. Only at nylj.com.

#### Online

#### ✗ Have you recently been involved in a major verdict or settlement?

Contact the Law Journal now, as your verdict, settlement or arbitration award could be entitled to publication in VerdictSearch's massive database-or further publicity with a front-page article by the Law Journal. Send your submissions to Law Journal bureau chief Andrew Dennev at adennev@alm.com or to verdicts@verdictsearch.com.

# What's on the Agenda for the Supreme Court's 'Long Conference'?

#### **BY JIMMY HOOVER**

WASHINGTON, D.C.

WITH the start of fall in Washington, the justices of the U.S. Supreme Court are preparing to reassert themselves into the nation's consciousness with another nine months of arguments, orders and opinions on the most pressing legal questions of the day.

But before the new term formally kicks off on the "first Monday in October," as it has done since 1917, the nine most powerful jurists in the country will gather behind closed doors on Sept. 30 for their longest private conference of the year. At what has become known as the "long conference," the justices will decide what should be done with the more than 1,000 petitions that have been piling up since they have been on recess (... to places like Portugal, in Justice Neil Gorsuch's case.)

The justices typically agree to hear more cases from this conference than any other during the term. Last term, the court granted certiorari, or review, in 12 cases during the week of its long conference

Still, Supreme Court practitioners have said they like to avoid having their petitions scheduled for the long conference because the actual percentage rate of certiorari is significantly lower than at other conferences throughout the term. As Latham & Watkins' Gregory Garre memorably told the New York Times in 2015: "The summer list is where petitions go to die.

Of course, the court will just as likely breathe life into other cases last week, and among the potential candidates for resuscitation are major blockbusters on hotbutton issues. Below, the National Law Journal provides a rundown

from the executive branch in that the president can remove commissioners only for "neglect of duty or malfeasance in office.'

Below, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit upheld those removal restrictions, saying the Supreme Court settled the constitutionality of independent multimember agencies 89 years ago in Humphrey's Executor v. United *States* by upholding the structure of the Federal Trade Commission.

But the groups say the Fifth Circuit has overread Humphrey's to cover all multimember regulatory bodies, rather than only the ones "that do not wield substantial executive power." They are asking the Supreme Court to "limit Humphrey's Executor to its precise facts."

The federal government says the court should reject the petition because the groups lack standing under Article III of the Constitution.

"They are not regulated by the Commission and thus face no potential exercise of 'substantial executive power' that, on petitioners' theory, can be exercised only by officers subject to at-will removal," the U.S. solicitor general's office wrote in an opposition brief filed in late August.

The case is Consumers' Research v. CPSC, No. 23-1323.

#### Can Mexico Hold Gun Makers Liable for Cartel Violence?

Gun manufacturers are hoping the Supreme Court on Monday will grant review of a decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit reviving Mexico's \$10 billion lawsuit seeking to hold them liable for cartel violence.

A trial judge had dismissed the lawsuit, which alleges that Smith & Wesson Brands Inc.; Sturm, Ruger & Co.; and others deliberately design and market military-style weapons sought by drug cartels in Mexico and make certain distribution choices to profit off the illegal market. The First Circuit agreed that the federal 2005 Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act shields the firearm manufacturers from civil lawsuits brought by foreign governments for harms that occur abroad. But it also said the law doesn't provide gun makers immunity from claims that they facilitated the illegal sale of firearms. In another petition from Jones Day, brands such as Smith & Wesson, Glock, and Colt have told the Supreme Court that Mexico is trying to hold them liable for "how the American firearms industry has openly operated in broad daylight for years." "In Mexico's eyes, continuing these lawful practices amounts to aiding and abetting the cartels," they wrote in a petition filed April 18. "Mexico's suit has no business in an American court."



The justices' conference room at the U.S. Supreme Court

The brands have received "amicus" support from conservative advocacy groups, including pro-gun rights organizations, and Sen. Ted Cruz, R-Texas.

The U.S. Department of Justice has not weighed in on the gun makers' Supreme Court appeal.

The case is Smith & Wesson Brands v. Estados Unidos Mexicanos, No. 23-1141.

#### Parents Question Schools' **Gender Confidentiality Policy**

The issue of the rights of trans minors and their parents has taken up an increasing part of the Supreme Court's docket lately, with the justices already poised to rule on the constitutionality of state bans on gender-affirming care for trans children.

In June, a group of parents petitioned the Supreme Court to revive their lawsuit against a Wisconsin school district over a policy allowing students to change their gender identity at school without notice to their parents.

This policy, argues the group

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is facing multiple lawsuits from oil refineries seeking exemptions from the Clean Air Act's renewable fuel standard program.

In a May high court petition, the agency has asked the justices to consider transferring those industry challenges from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit to the D.C. Circuit. The case involves the EPA's setting of specified volumes of renewable fuel that oil refineries must include in transportation fuel or else purchase offsetting credits.

EPA denied scores of exemption requests from small refineries across the country, six of which challenged those denials in the conservative Fifth Circuit, based in New Orleans.

U.S. Solicitor General Elizabeth Prelogar asked the Supreme Court to review the Fifth Circuit's denial of the government's transfer

as pharmacy benefit managers. With the help of veteran Supreme Court lawyer Paul Clement of Clement & Murphy, Oklahoma has asked the justices to review a recent U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit decision that federal law preempts a 2019 Oklahoma statute regulating PBM business practices. That decision followed a court challenge to the law filed by the Pharmaceutical Care Management Association, a trade group representing PBMs.

Filed on behalf of Oklahoma Insurance Commissioner Glen Mulready, the state's appeal argues that PBMs have devastated rural and family-owned pharmacies across the country, leaving many patients without access to prescription drugs.

Concerns over the industry have led all 50 states to pass laws regulating PBMs, according to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, while both Republican and Democratic attorneys general have called on Congress to take additional steps.

PCMA general counsel Jack Linehan defended the ruling.

"From a legal perspective, the 10th [C]ircuit decision is well reasoned and consistent with longstanding precedent protecting against inconsistent and intrusive regulation of plan benefit designs," he said in a statement. "From a policy perspective, Oklahoma's position would raise costs and devastate employer and union plan sponsors who form the bulwark of our nation's private healthcare system."

Clement served as U.S. solicitor general under President George W. Bush.

The PCMA is represented by Michael B. Kimberly of McDermott Will & Emery.

The case is Mulready v. Pharmaceutical Care Management Associa-

Columbia's decision that overruled X's objections to the investigative process. The company claims the government's nondisclosure order was improper and that it should have been allowed to give Trump, or his representative, the opportunity to assert executive privilege.

Smith's office told the justices in July that the case was moot, and that the issues were not squarely presented because "no executiveprivilege issue actually existed in this case.'

The company says the case is not moot and that the Supreme Court could use the dispute over Trump's private messages to clarify the law and process surrounding objections to nondisclosure orders issued to online message platforms such as Google, Amazon or Microsoft under the federal Stored Communications Act.

The company is being represented by lawyers at Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr, including counsel of record Seth Waxman, who served as U.S. solicitor general under President Bill Clinton. Smith is represented by himself and attorneys from the special counsel's office.

The case is X Corp. v. United States, No. 23-1264.

#### **Ride-Hailing Apps Seek Arbitration of Drivers' Status**

Also at the long conference, the Supreme Court will consider petitions that both Uber and Lyft have filed seeking to escape allegations from California officials that they are misclassifying their drivers as independent contractors rather than employees.

The ride-hailing apps are appealing a California state court ruling that allowed the lawsuit to go forward despite arbitration agreements signed by the drivers. The companies say the Federal Arbitration Act prevents the state officials from litigating claims on the drivers' behalf that they would otherwise need to bring in arbitration. "The holding of the court below eviscerates the protections of the FAA and disregards this Court's repeated admonitions that arbitration agreements must be respected and enforced," Lyft wrote in its appeal.

#### The Venue Battle Underlying **CAA's Renewable Fuel Standard Program**

of some of the most noteworthy cases up for discussion

#### The End of Independent Agencies?

One of the long conference's noteworthy appeals comes from two conservative groups seeking to blow a gaping hole in a 1935 legal precedent that upheld the validity of independent agencies.

The groups, represented by the Washington firm Jones Day, have challenged the for-cause removal restrictions at the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the multimember body that has broad powers to regulate millions of consumer products.

Representing "two educational organizations" suing the CPSC over denied Freedom of Information Act requests, lawyers from Jones Day argued in their petition that the agency has enjoyed an unconstitutional level of independence Parents Protecting Our Children, violates the Constitution and American traditions of parental rights. Below, however, the group's lawsuit became one of many that have been dismissed by lower courts for lack of standing because the policy had not yet been applied to any of the group members' children.

"This Court should take this case to establish that parents subject to such a policy are harmed by it and have standing to challenge it," the group wrote in a petition filed by lawyers at America First Legal Foundation and the Wisconsin Institute for Law & Liberty.

"Doing so will have an immediate, nationwide impact, and will force lower courts to finally begin grappling with whether public schools can facilitate and hide social transitions at school from the parents," the petition stated.

The case is Parents Protecting Our Children UA v. Eau Claire Area School District, No. 23-1280.

motion in a petition filed May 20

Prelogar's office called the D.C. Circuit the "exclusive venue" for challenges to "nationally applicable" EPA actions, or local EPA actions based on nationwide scope or effect. The Fifth Circuit's decision runs up against a recent Eleventh Circuit ruling in another challenge from a small refinery to the EPA's exemption denial.

"The prospect of differing outcomes also threatens serious practical harm to the RFS program," the federal government wrote.

The respondents are represented by Perkins Coie.

The case is EPA v. Calumet Shreveport Refining, No. 23-1229.

#### **Oklahoma Takes on** Pharmacy 'Middlemen'

On May 10, Oklahoma filed a cert petition in defense of the state's "modest" regulations of "self-dealing" drugstore middlemen known tion, No. 23-1213.

#### Musk's X Objects To Secret DOJ Warrants

On May 30, Elon Musk's X Corp. filed a cert petition urging the court to throw out an order that the company secretly divulge to Special Counsel Jack Smith former President Donald Trump's private messages on the social media site as part of the prosecutor's investigation of Trump's efforts to overturn the 2020 election.

Smith's office had sought the former president's private Twitter messages around the time of the 2020 election and ordered the company not to disclose the request to the former president.

While X has handed over many of Trump's messages and the warrant's existence is now public, the company nevertheless wants the Supreme Court to review the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of

Uber is represented by Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. Lyft is represented by Munger, Tolles & Olson.

The cases are Uber Technologies v. California, No. 23-1130, and Lyft

v. California, No. 23-1132.

#### Parent Group Targets 'Zip Code Quota' Used by Elite **Boston Public Schools**

The Supreme Court's 2023 holding in Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard ending affirmative action policies in higher education has spurred a wave of

# 'Be Not Afraid': Kavanaugh on the Importance of Being Thick-Skinned

#### **BY JIMMY HOOVER**

WASHINGTON, D.C.

U.S. SUPREME Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh spoke about the importance of being thick-skinned amid blistering criticism during a rare public appearance Thursday in Washington ahead of the upcoming high court term.

"Be not afraid" is the lifelong lesson Kavanaugh said he took from a former grade school teacher he has come to rely on as a jurist.

"If you're going to be a good judge, you have to be not afraid," Kavanaugh said at The Catholic University of America Columbus School of Law. The 59-year-old justice was interviewed by J. Joel Alicea, who co-directs the school's recently established Center for the Constitution and the Catholic Intellectual Tradition

"Be not afraid to make hard decisions and get criticized, and get ridiculed and get mocked," he said, quoting from a hymn taught to him by his music teacher at Georgetown Preparatory School in Bethesda, Maryland. "In my job, you lose a lot of friends and that's just the



U.S. Supreme Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh

reality of it," he added. "But you've gotta be not afraid to do the right thing to stick to your principles and stick to your guns and have backbone. And to have ... thick skin. You gotta have really thick skin."

Appointed by former President Donald Trump in 2018, Kavanaugh has voted with the court's conservative majority in a litany of blockbuster cases in his six years on the court, delivering long-sought Republican victories on abortion, gun rights and the power of federal agencies.

In likely the most controversial decision from last term, Kavanaugh joined Chief Justice John Roberts Jr.'s opinion establishing a doctrine of broad criminal immunity for former presidents. The court's decision in Trump v. U.S. was widely seen as a win for Trump, who has been able to delay his trial on federal charges related to his conduct after the 2020 election.

Kavanaugh was not asked and did not speak about the court's Trump decision.

He did, however, provide a window into his views of the presidency by discussing the "huge influence" of having worked for former President George W. Bush from 2001 to 2006, first as a lawyer in the White House Counsel's Office and later as Bush's staff secretary. In Trump, Roberts wrote of the need for an "energetic" and "vigorous" president unencumbered by the threat of overzealous prosecutors after they leave office.

"George Bush taught us under unimaginable pressure," Kavanaugh said, reflecting on what he saw as Bush's commitment to preventing another terrorist attack after Sept. 11, 2001. "Indeed, our job has its tough moments, but it's nothing compared to being president, nothing, in my experience of watching that job up close."

While the Trump case did not come up, Kavanaugh spoke at length about another 6-3 decision written by the chief justice last term. A "course correction" is how he described Roberts' opinion in Loper Bright Enterprises vs. Raimondo overturning a 40-year-old doctrine of deferring to reasonable agency regulations when the law is unclear.

"Chevron deference" placed a "thumb on the scale" for agencies in various regulatory challenges and "distorted the separation of powers in ways that are problematic," said Kavanaugh.

Progressives say the court's now-vanquished "Chevron doctrine" of judicial deference paid respect to the expertise of federal agencies in their efforts to regulate the environment, the economy and other complex systems.

Kavanaugh, too, cautioned against "overread[ing]" Loper Bright, and said judges should still respect when Congress specifically delegates authority to federal agencies.

A lifelong Catholic raised in the suburbs of Washington, Kavanaugh is the third Supreme Court justice to speak at Catholic University School of Law's newly chartered Center for the Constitution and the Catholic Intellectual Tradition.

Justice Amy Coney Barrett spoke at a similar event hosted by CIT before the start of last term. Justice Samuel Alito Jr. appeared before her.

CIT, according to its website, 'explores the relevance of the Catholic intellectual tradition for American constitutionalism."

The center was established through a \$4.25 million gift from an anonymous donor and directed to the school through the influential conservative activist Leonard Leo.

The Supreme Court will reconvene Monday for its "long conference" to act on the large number of appeals that have piled up during their summer recess. The upcoming term will formally begin the following Monday, Oct. 7, with the court's opening two-week oral argument session.

The court will continue to hear cases through April and is expected render all of its decisions by July.

Ø Jimmy Hoover can be reached at jhoover@alm.com.

<sup>▼</sup> Submit a legal notice for publication on **nylj.com**.

## **Expert Analysis**

#### CORPORATE INSURANCE LAW

# **Supreme Court Expands Insurers' Rights in Bankruptcy Proceedings**

he U.S. Supreme Court wrapped up its 2023-24 term in June 2024, handing down a number of long-awaited rulings. In the insurance space, no decision was more highly-anticipated than that issued in *Truck Insurance Exchange* v. Kaiser Gypsum Company.

In Truck Insurance Exchange, the issue presented was whether an insurer with financial responsibility for a bankruptcy claim is considered a "party in interest" under Section 1109(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. In its June 6, 2024 opinion, the court held that such an insurer is a "party in interest," opening the door for Truck Insurance Exchange (Truck) and similarly-situated insurers to "raise and [to] appear and be heard on any issue' in a Chapter 11 case." Truck Ins. Exch. v Kaiser Gypsum Co., 144 S. Ct. 1414, 1418 (2024).

#### Truck's Perpetual Liability

Kaiser Gypsum Company and its parent company, Hanson Permanente Cement (collectively, Hanson), were manufacturers of building materials containing asbestos. Given the now well-known health risks associated with exposure to asbestos, Hanson faced extensive liability for the production and sale of these products, with over 38,000 lawsuits filed against it since the late 1970s and many more suits expected. The unpredictable guantum of future liability led Hanson to file for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in 2016, at which time 14,000 claims remained pending.

Truck had issued primary liability insurance policies to Hanson from 1965 to 1983 pursuant to which Truck is required to "investigate and defend each covered asbestos personal-injury claim or suit asserted against [Hanson], 'even if such claim or suit is ground-

HOWARD B. EPSTEIN is of counsel at Schulte Roth & Zabel and THEODORE A. KEYES is a partner at the firm. JULIA R. CUMMINGS, an associate, also contributed to this column.



#### By And Howard B. Theodore A. Epstein Keyes

less, false or fraudulent." *In re Kaiser Gypsum*, 60 F.4th 73, 78-79 (4th Cir. 2023). Under the policies, Truck is generally required to indemnify Hanson for up to \$500,000 per claim, subject to a \$5,000 per claim deductible. Since the policies do not contain a maximum aggregate limit, Truck faces potential liability up to the per-claim limit for each new covered claim or lawsuit. The policies explicitly also provide that

In the insurance space, no decision was more highlyanticipated than that issued in 'Truck Insurance Exchange v. Kaiser Gypsum Company.'

Hanson's bankruptcy or insolvency does not affect Truck's obligations thereunder, meaning that Truck's indemnification obligations survive any plan of reorganization.

#### Hanson's Plan of Reorganization

Under Section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code, a Chapter 11 debtor may establish an asbestos personal injury trust to assume the debtor's liability for asbestosrelated claims. The Section 524(g)trust shields a debtor from future liabilities by requiring claimants to channel their claims to the trust, rather than pursuing claims against debtor-related entities post-reorganization. To address its unknown future liabilities for asbestos-related claims, Hanson and certain parties participating in the Chapter 11 proceeding agreed to a proposed plan of reorganization that created such a trust. The proposed plan also transferred Hanson's rights under the Truck policies to the Section 524(g) trust, including "all rights to coverage and insurance proceeds." *Truck Ins. Exch.*, 144 S. Ct. at 1422.

Under the proposed plan, uninsured claims would be processed directly through the trust via an administrative process. In contrast, insured personal injury claims (i.e., those covered by the Truck policies) would have to be filed as tort claims in the court system and, consistent with the insurance policies, Truck would be required to indemnify Hanson for any judgments in favor of a claimant, up to the \$500,000 per-claim limit. For uninsured claims, the trust process would require claimants to submit disclosures and authorizations intended to prevent payment of fraudulent or duplicative claims. The proposed plan did not require such disclosures and authorizations in connection with insured claims.

Truck was the only participant in the Chapter 11 proceeding that objected to the proposed plan. However, the bankruptcy court overseeing the proceedings held that Truck was only permitted to challenge the proposed plan "to the extent that it was not 'insurance neutral." In re Kaiser Gypsum, 60 F.4th at 80-81. Because the proposed plan did not "alter Truck's rights or obligations under the policies," the court concluded that the proposed plan was insurance neutral and, as a result, ruled that Truck was not a "party in interest" under Section 1109(b) entitled to challenge other aspects of the proposed plan. Id. The U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit both agreed with the bankruptcy court and affirmed the ruling on appeal.

#### The Supreme Court Weighs In

In a unanimous 8-0 opinion, the Supreme Court overruled the Fourth Circuit's deci- » Page 6

# Will Jones Day Now Prioritize Settlement Talks in Dad Bias Case?

#### **BY ABIGAIL ADCOX** WASHINGTON, D.C.

A FEDERAL judge's decision last week to maintain a long-running sex discrimination suit against Jones Day will likely put more pressure on the parties for settlement discussions, observers say. The decision moves the case, brought by two married former Jones Day associates, toward a trial.

However, it's unclear if both sides will be able to reach a resolution before trial in the 5-year-old dispute. If it does indeed go to trial, it would be unusual, some legal observers say, although part of the reason it may go the distance is the plaintiffs are representing themselves.

"It's not at all uncommon for sex discrimination cases to survive summary judgment motions. But it's far less common for such cases to go to trial rather than to be settled. This Jones Day case has been unusually contentious. So, perhaps that's why the parties haven't been able to reach agreement on a settlement," said J.H. "Rip" Verkerke, a law professor and director of the program for employment and labor law studies at the University of Virginia School of Law.

Plaintiffs Mark Savignac and Julia Sheketoff, who met when clerking for U.S. Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, claim that Savignac was unceremoniously fired in 2019 without warning when his son was only 2 weeks old, after the pair sent an email to leadership calling out the firm's parental leave policy.

The policy provided 18 weeks of leave for mothers who give birth and adoptive parents of all genders, but only 10 weeks of leave for fathers. The couple claims the policy was discriminatory against fathers.

On Wednesday, U.S. District Judge Randolph Moss in Washington, D.C., ruled in favor of Jones Day in tossing out claims alleging that the firm discriminated against Sheketoff by paying her less than men in its D.C. office.

Moss also threw out claims contending that the firm violated the District of Columbia Family and Medical Leave Act by termi-



Julia Sheketoff

nating Savignac while on leave, and dismissed claims that the firm improperly retaliated against the couple after they filed their complaint by demeaning them in a news release.

However, claims revolving around the firm's parental leave policy still remain.

Valdi Licul, a longtime plaintiffs' employment and antidiscrimination litigator at Wigdor, indicated it's unclear whether both sides will be able to resolve the matter on their own, noting that the case has been "zealously litigated on both sides." Before last year, the case was held up for several years in sanctions and discovery disputes.

However, Licul said that "the majority of cases, when we get to this point, regardless of whether it's a counseled case or pro se case, there is some discussion of settlement."

"I think this case is not the typical pro se case because you have very, very highly intelligent and really legal stars here who are plaintiffs," Licul said.

It differs from a \$200 million gender discrimination lawsuit Jones Day faced several years ago. The six female plaintiffs in that case employed well-known plaintiffs firm Sanford Heisler Sharp to represent them.

Ultimately that case never made it to the courtroom, as all of the plaintiffs gradually dropped their individual gender bias claims, with the last plaintiff filing to dismiss the claims in July 2021. The specifics of how the women's individual claims against the firm were resolved are not public.

It's noteworthy that pro se attorneys Savignac and Sheketoff have now gotten past summary judgment, while plaintiffs-side litigators in the other discrimination case against Jones Day didn't.

However, Susan Carle, a law professor at American University Washington College of Law, indicated that in the current case against Jones Day, Savignac and Sheketoff may not be motivated to settle.

"They're not paying attorneys' fees, and they're obviously doing this because of the principle of the thing, and so I'm sure they think of this as sort of a test case," Carle said.

If it does go to a jury trial, it's not likely to "be a very long trial," Carle added, noting that it appears there are fewer claims left to resolved.

However, the judge's ruling is sealed at the moment, as both sides have until Oct. 2 to file any proposed redactions before it is made public.

Savignac and Sheketoff and representatives for Jones Day, which represents itself, did not respond to requests for comment on the ruling.

Since his termination from Jones Day, Savignac has continued to work in Big Law. He was promoted to counsel at Steptoe & Johnson at the beginning of 2023. Sheketoff had been working as an assistant federal public defender for the District of Columbia in appeals, according to a LinkedIn profile that is no longer active.

Abigail Adcox can be reached at aadcox@alm.com.

#### Letters Welcome

The Law Journal welcomes letters from its readers for publication. They must contain the names and addresses of correspondents. Letters should be of reasonable length and submitted with the understanding that all correspondence is subject to the editorial judgment of the newspaper in considering duplication, length, relevancy, taste and other criteria. Letters may be e-mailed to Andrew Denney at adenney@alm.com.



YOU ASKED. WE LISTENED. WE DELIVERED. THE NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION'S BRAND-NEW MEMBERSHIP MODEL IS NOW AVAILABLE!



# JOIN TODAY

to start benefiting from our new model at NYSBA.ORG/MEMBERSHIP



Current NYSBA members can renew their membership beginning on October 1st.



New York State Bar Association





# **Outside Counsel**

# **Deductibility of Promotional Expenses: Stock Car Racing?**

Jeffrey A.

Taxpayers have the right to con-

test federal tax liabilities but need

to follow applicable procedural

rules. The Internal Revenue Code

provides that the underlying tax

liabilities may not be contested in

the CDP hearing except in the case

where the taxpayer did *not* receive

the statutory notices of deficiency

regarding such liabilities or other-

wise was not afforded the oppor-

tunity to dispute the liabilities. See

There were no billboards

ing Avery's law practice.

Avery did have his name

and name of his law firm

in small print on a decal

located at the rear of the

The court noted that it is

typical of drivers to have

cars.

substantiate.

their names on their racing

The Tax Court decided to review

Avery's claims on the merits. The

IRS conceded that Avery had not

received the notices of deficiency,

although it had sent the notices

to Avery's last known address via

certified mail. The Tax Court (Judge

Albert Lauber) considered Avery's

claims regarding the underlying

liabilities, and more specifically,

Avery's arguments in support of

the deductibility of the auto racing

expenses that Avery was able to

trial, the court directed the IRS

and Avery to each file a post-trial

brief. Curiously, Avery failed to file

his brief. The court in a footnote

stated that although it could enter

a decision against Avery because

he neglected to follow the judge's

direction pursuant to the court's

At the end of the Tax Court

Dodge Viper, his racing car.

or newspaper ads promot-

section 6330 (c)(2)(B).

Galant

n connection with promoting his law practice, James Avery deducted for income tax purposes expenditures he incurred to support his activity as a racing car driver. Avery characterized these expenditures as advertising expenses related to promoting his law practice. The IRS disallowed the expenses as not ordinary and necessary to Avery's practice as a personal injury lawyer. James W. Avery, TC Memo 2023-18 ("James *W. Avery*"), on appeal 10th Circuit of Appeals (No. 23-9004).

Let's step back a moment and take a quick look at the global Motorsports Industry, a huge multibillion-dollar industry that encompasses the many facets of motor sports, including, for example, the racing of Formula 1, Touring, Sports and Stock car automobiles. Avery engaged in stock car racing.

Two income tax-specific questions are 1) whether the racing activity expenses were incurred in connection with a trade or business and 2) whether the racing activity itself constituted a trade or business.

#### 'Mise en Scene'

IRS filed tax liens against Avery's property and held a collection due process (CDP) hearing. IRS claimed that it had sent statutory notices of deficiencies (90-day letters) for the years 2008 through 2013 to Avery's last known address. Based on the premise that Avery had the opportunity to contest the underlying tax liabilities in the Tax Court, the IRS settlement officers would not consider either Avery's challenges to the underlying tax liabilities or his proposed offer in compromise. Avery then petitioned the Tax

Court. Upon an IRS' determination in a CDP matter, the taxpayer has 30 days to petition the Tax Court. See Internal Revenue Code section 6330(d).

Generally, taxpayers are only allowed one bite at the apple.

JEFFREY A. GALANT is counsel to Meltzer, Lippe, Goldstein & Breitstone's business & real estate taxation, trusts and estates, tax exempt organizations and private wealth and taxation practice groups.

## **IN BRIEF**

« Continued from page 1

Hockey League players filed Walker, who earned \$27.34 mil- the amended complaint WednesRule 123(b), it would consider the deductibility issue on the merits.

#### **Racing Activities**

Avery carried on his law practice in Denver, Colorado, including meeting with his clients. He engaged in auto racing activities in Indiana and other places in the Midwest, and on the East Coast. The court reported that it did not find "synergy" between Denver and any of the racing venues. In other words, there appeared to be no meaningful connection between Avery's law practice in Denver and his racing activities in the Midwest and on the East Coast.

There were no billboards or newspaper ads promoting Avery's law practice. Avery did have his name and name of his law firm in small print on a decal located at the rear of the Dodge Viper, his racing car. The court noted that it is typical of drivers to have their names on their racing cars.

Avery contended that his auto racing activities promoted his law practice. For example, his racing activities provided a good conversation starter when he met with potential referral sources, namely, lawyers, doctors and other professionals. Unfortunately, Avery was unable to provide an example of his obtaining a personal injury matter due to his racing activities, although he apparently did receive a consultation matter involving a vendor dispute. In effect, and cutting to the chase, the court was not convinced that Avery's racing activities were anything more than a hobby.

According to the Tax Court, "[i]n determining whether an expense is 'ordinary and necessary' within the meaning of section 162(a), the courts have focused on the tax-payer's primary motive for incurring the expense and on whether there is a reasonably proximate relationship between the expense and the taxpayer's occupation. (Citations omitted.) If an expenditure is primarily motivated by personal considerations, no deduction is allowed. (Citations omitted.)"

The court agreed with the IRS that Avery's "racing-related costs were not ordinary and necessary expenses of his busi- » Page 7

CHL players are generally between 16 and 21 years of age

# ALM. REPRINTS & LICENSING



to Lawyer For information, contact Carol Robertson

at 212-457-7850. or email crobertson@alm.com

# Maximize Your Recognition

To compete in today's highly crowded legal marketplace, you need an added edge over your competitors. Highlighting your recognition in ALM publications provides an authoritative and convincing way to impact your target audience. With ALM reprints, e-prints, permissions and plaques, you can showcase the acknowledgement that you have earned and leverage that endorsement.

# Order your custom reprints today.

Contact: 877-256-2472 | reprints@alm.com

almreprints.com



lion, just \$13,622 more than Dinh's fiscal 2024 payout.

In fiscal 2023, Dinh earned \$10.7 million, which placed him 23rd on the highest-paid list.

The severance Dinh received in fiscal 2024 included the compensation he would have been entitled to collect if he had stayed through the June 30, 2025, expiration of his employment contract, as well as the cash value of Dinh's unvested equity.

Dinh's successor, former Campbell Soup General Counsel Adam Ciongoli, joined Fox in December and earned \$9.2 million in his abbreviated Fox fiscal year that ended June 30.

Most of that was in the form of \$4.6 million in restricted stock, "granted to offset the value of awards forfeited from his prior employer," according to the proxy statement.

Ciongoli's salary was \$1.02 million.

-Chris O'Malley

#### NCAA Announces Defense **Team in WDNY Hockey Players Antitrust Class Action**

Goldberg Segalla and Wilkinson Stekloff have lined up to represent the National Collegiate Athletic Association in an amended lawsuit accusing the NCAA of prohibiting a class of athletes from playing Division I men's ice hockey in violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act.

Freedman Normand Friedland and Berger Montague on behalf of former Canadian day in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of New York

"The NCAA and its membership institutions have agreed, through certain bylaws, to prohibit anyone who has played in the CHL from playing Division I hockey," according to the allegations in the amended complaint. "Plaintiffs and the class allege that Defendants' anticompetitive conduct has caused them to sell their labor for below-competitive prices, to lose out on valuable athletic scholarships, and to be excluded from Division I. Such injuries are plainly of the type the antitrust laws were intended to prevent."

Former CHL players Rylan Masterson and Nicholas Avakyan are the plaintiffs seeking damages on behalf of themselves and members of the proposed class. They also seek an injunction barring the NCAA from continuing its alleged illegal boycott of athletes with CHL playing experience.

Avakyan joined this amended class action as a plaintiff and "will be irreparably harmed if he is forced to play another season at a level below NCAA Division I hockey," according to a motion his counsel filed Wednesday seeking preliminary injunctive relief.

As the governing body of college sports, the NCAA permits United States Hockey League players to play Division I hockey while denying CHL players of the same privilege, according to the complaint.

and typically receive a stipend of between \$250 and \$500 per month, which is comparable to the benefits USHL players receive, Freedman Normand founding partner Edward Normand argues in the motion.

The amended complaint cites the proposed \$2.75 billion NCAA settlement agreement that will provide revenue-sharing to college athletes for their name, image and likeness if approved by a federal judge in California.

In addition to the National Collegiate Athletic Association, other defendants named in the complaint include Canisius University, Niagara University, Rochester Institute of Technology, Boston College, Boston University, University of Denver, Quinnipiac University, University of Notre Dame, Stonehill College and the University of Saint Thomas.

Phillips Lytle and Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer represent the defendant colleges, while Wilkinson Stekloff and Goldberg Segalla represent the NCAA in this antitrust class action.

U.S. District Judge John L. Sinatra Jr. of the Western District of New York scheduled a status conference for Sept. 30 to discuss scheduling in the case. He also granted the NCAA's consent motion for an extension to answer the plaintiff's complaint by Oct. 25.

Counsel for the NCAA and defendant colleges did not respond to a request for comment on this article.

—Sulaiman Abdur-Rahman

#### Calendar

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

#### **CUNY School of Law**

"Beyond Incarceration": A Lecture by Prof. James Forman Jr., this year's W. Haywood Burns Chair in Human & Civil Rights. With R. Dwayne Betts & Cassandra Cean-Owens 6 p.m.-8:30 p.m. CUNY School of Law 2 Court Square, Long Island City Reception and book signing to follow.

www.law.cuny.edu/event/2024burns-chair-welcome-jamesforman-jr-in-conversation-withreginald-dwayne-betts-cassandra-cean-owens/

#### NY State Bar (CLE)

**Breaking Barriers:** Advancing DEI & Belonging in the Legal World https://nysba.org/events/breaking-barriers-advancing-deibelonging-in-the-legal-world/ 1 MCLE credit Virtual

#### Everything You Need to Know About Escrow Accounts https://nysba.org/events/every-

thing-you-need-to-know-aboutescrow-accounts/ 4 MCLE credits Virtual

#### **Practising Law Institute**

Presentation Skills for Attorneys 2024

2 p.m. – 4:10 p.m. https://www.pli.edu/ programs/presentation-skillsfor-attorneys

# Verdicts&Settlements

#### **MOTOR VEHICLE**

Plaintiffs Claimed Collision Caused Spinal And Shoulder Injuries

#### Settlement: \$2,625,000

Brandie Diaz and Rosy Kaiser-Lombardo v. Victory Food Service Corporation and Chris Blair Pollard, No. 800947/2022E

#### Court: Bronx Supreme

**Plaintiff Attorney(s):** Evan R. Levtow; Khavinson & Mandronico, P.C.; New York NY for Brandie Diaz,, Rosy Kaiser-Lombardo; Tim Mandronico; Khavinson & Mandronico, P.C.; New York NY for Brandie Diaz,, Rosy Kaiser-Lombardo; Chrissy Grigoropoulos; The Grigopolous Law Group; Ridgewood NY for Rosy Kaiser-Lombardo

**Defense Attorney(s):** Brian Frankel; Law Office of Dennis C. Bartling, Esq.; Westbury, NY for Rosy Kaiser-Lombardso; Dennis C. Bartling; Law Office Of Dennis C. Bartling; Westbury, NY for Chris Blair Pollard; Renaud Bleecker; Law Office of Brian Rayhill; Elmsford, NY for Victory Food Service Corp.

**Facts:** On Dec. 1, 2021, plaintiff Brandie Diaz, 41, a pharmacy technician, was a passenger in a vehicle operated by plaintiff Rosy Kaiser-Lombardo, 59. As they were making a left turn from Rosedale Avenue onto Story Avenue, in the Bronx, their car was struck on the passenger side by a truck operated by Chris Blair Pollard, who was passing Kaiser-Lombardo's car in the middle lane before attempting to make the same left turn onto Story Avenue. Diaz and Kaiser-Lombardo each claimed injuries to their neck and back. Diaz also claimed injuries to her left shoulder.

Diaz and Kaiser-Lombardo sued Pollard and Pollard's employer, Victory Food Service Corp. Diaz and Kaiser-Lombardo alleged that Pollard was negligent in the operation of his vehicle and that Victory Food Service was vicariously liable for Pollard's actions.

Pollard and Victory Food Service brought a counterclaim against Kaiser-Lombardo, alleging that Kaiser-Lombardo was negligent in the operation of her car.

Diaz and Kaiser-Lombardo claimed that Pollard cut their vehicle off and struck it on the passenger side when Pollard aggressively tried to make the same left turn. They alleged that Pollard's unsafe and aggressive actions caused the crash.

Pollard claimed that the incident was a minor sideswipe collision and that Kaiser-Lombardo was at least partially responsible for the accident.

**Injury:** Diaz was taken via ambulance to Montefiore Hospital, while Kaiser-Lombardo sought treatment six days later.

While at the hospital, Diaz was found to have injuries to several areas of her body, including neck, lower back and left shoulder. Specifically, Diaz claimed she sustained multilevel cervical discopathy, including herniated cervical discs at the C3-4, C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7 levels and a bulging cervical disc at the C2-3 level. She also claimed she sustained bulging lumbar discs at the L4-5 and L5-S1 levels with thecal sac effacement and bilateral neural foraminal narrowing. In addition, Diaz claimed she sustained injuries to her left shoulder, including a tear of the superior labrum anterior to posterior (a SLAP tear), a partial rotator cuff tear, a biceps tendon tear, synovitis and impingement syndrome.

In February 2022, Diaz underwent surgery to treat her left shoulder. The procedures included a bursectomy, lysis of adhesions, and debridements of the supraspinatus tendon, subscapularis tendon, SLAP tear, anterior labrum and biceps tendon. The also had extensive debridement, coracoacromial ligament release, and major synovectomy. However, she claimed she was left with post-operative adhesive capsulitis.

In March 2022, Diaz underwent surgery to cervicalgia of her cervical spine. She also received bilateral medial branch blocks to the cervical spine at the C3, C4, C5 and C6 dorsal rami to treat the bilateral facet joints at C3-4, C4-5, and C5-6. During the procedure, she received anesthesia, which was administerd via a fluoroscopic locational needle placement.

Diaz later underwent an additional cervical procedure in May 2022. Specifically, she underwent a bilateral cervical facet radiofrequency rhizotomy, and a radiofrequency ablation at C4, C5, C6 and C7 to treat the bilateral cervical facet joints at C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7. The procedures were performed with a fluoroscopic localization of a needle placement.

In July 2022, Diaz underwent surgery to treat her lumbar injuries. Specifically, she underwent lumbar discectomies at the L4-5 and L5-S1 levels, which were performed using a multiplanar fluoroscopy. She also underwent annuloplasties at the L4-L5 and L5-S1 levels. In addition, Diaz underwent a lumbar discogram, and transforaminal, epidural, steroid injections L4-5 and L5-S1.

Later, Diaz received a epidural injection, trigger point injections and epidurograms to the cervical spine in December 2022. That same month, she received an epidural, steroid injection under fluoroscopy, trigger point injections, and an epidurogram to the lumbar spine. In addition, Diaz underwent several months of physical therapy, chiropractic care and acupuncture.

Diaz asserted that her whole life changed after the accident and that will have metal rods and hardware in her body for the rest of her life.

Kaiser-Lombardo claimed she sustained injuries to her cervical and lumbar spine. She sought treatment for her alleged injuries six days after the accident. Kaiser-Lombardo claimed she sustained herniated cervical discs at the C5-6 and C6-7 levels and bulging cervical discs at the C3-4 and C4-5 levels. She claimed she sustained bulging lumbar discs at the L2-3, L3-4, L4-5 and L5-S1 with lumbar radiculopathy.

Kaiser-Lombardo underwent an epidurogram of the lumbar spine, and received epidural injections to her lumbar and cervical spine. She also underwent a two-level anterior discectomy and fusion, and a laminectomy to her lumbar spine at the L4-5 and L5-S1 levels, which was performed on Sept. 8, 2023. She had a two-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion at the C5-6 and C6-7 levels, which was performed on May 16, 2023.

Kaiser-Lombardo claimed she continues to treat her injuries with physical therapy. She also claimed she could no longer work due to her condition.

Defense counsel asserted that the plaintiffs' injuries and damages could not be caused by the subject accident, as the incident involved a low-speed, minor impact.

**Result:** The parties negotiated a pretrial settlement. On Nov. 30, 2023, Victory Food Service, Pollard and Kaiser-Lombardo negotiated a settlement with Diaz. The \$925,000 settlement was established via the guidance of a mediator at NAM (National Arbitration and Mediation). Of that total settlement, the insurer for Victory Food Service and Pollard, Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co., paid \$900,000 from its primary policy, which provided \$1 million of coverage, and the insurer for Kaiser-Lombardo, Government Employees Insurance Co. (Geico), paid \$25,000 from its primary policy, which provided \$100,000 of coverage.

On March 15, 2024, Pollard and Victory Food Service negotiated a \$1.7 million settlement with Kaiser-Lombardo. The settlement was paid from the remaining balance left on the primary policy held by the insurer for Pollard and Victory Food Service, Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co., as well as from the defendants' excess policies, which were held by RSUI Group Inc. and Travelers Property Casualty Corp.

#### PREMISES LIABILITY

#### Gas Station Failed To Remove Snow And Ice From Walkway: Lawsuit

#### Verdict: \$1,637,500

Andre Turner v. 160 Saw Mill LLC, No. 54920/2021

Court: Westchester Supreme, NY

**Plaintiff Attorney(s):** Jason Lesnevec; The Law Offices of Michael S. Lamonsoff, PLLC; New York NY for Andre Turner

**Defense Attorney(s):** Christopher Walsh; Della Jacono & Ross PLLC; White Plains, NY for 160 Saw Mill LLC, Harjas NY Inc.

**Facts:** On Dec. 18, 2020, plaintiff Andre Turner, a truck driver in his 40s, was walking into a gas station convenience store on Saw Mill River Road in Elmsford. He slipped and fell on a walkway directly in front of the store's entrance. Turner claimed ankle injuries.

Turner sued the property's owner, 160 Saw Mill LLC, and the property manager, Harjas NY Inc. Turner alleged the defendants were liable for a dangerous condition that caused his fall.

Turner specifically claimed that he had slipped on ice on the walkway. Plaintiff's counsel argued that the defendants had negligently failed to remove the ice.

Per plaintiff's counsel, the gas station had working surveillance cameras that faced the location of the fall. Turner's counsel alleged that the defendants failed to save the camera footage despite receiving a preservation letter. The judge issued a spoliation jury instruction.

Defendant 160 Saw Mill LLC claimed it was an outof-possession landlord and thus could not be held liable for the accident. After the plaintiff's case-inchief, 160 Saw Mill LLC filed a motion for dismissal that was granted. The jury only considered the claim against Harjas NY.

Harjas NY argued that a non-party snow removal contractor had salted the walkway and cleared the ice prior to the fall. To support this argument, the gas station manager presented a receipt that supposedly showed he had paid the contractor for snow removal services the day before the incident. The defense concluded that the walkway was safe and that Turner was responsible for his own fall.

Plaintiff's counsel countered that the manager was instructed to submit all of the gas station's snow removal records. However, per Turner's counsel, the manager only produced the single receipt, which was printed on lottery paper. Plaintiff's counsel also subpoenaed the contractor, who testified that he did not begin snow removal services at the subject property until the year after Turner's fall.

**Injury:** The trial was bifurcated.

Turner went by ambulance to Westchester Medical Center. He was treated and released.

Turner claimed tears to his right ankle's interosseous syndesmotic ligaments, anterior inferior tibiofibular ligament, anterior talofibular ligament and calcaneofibular ligament. He also claimed derangement, soft tissue contusions, tendinosis, tenosynovitis and effusion in that ankle.

After two months of physical therapy, Turner underwent ankle reconstruction surgery in March 2021. The partially arthroscopic procedure included extensive debridement and fixation.

Turner continued physical therapy until 2022. He was recommended for future arthroscopic surgeries. Turner did not return to work after the fall.

Turner sought recovery of past and future medical expenses, past and future lost earnings and damages for his past and future pain and suffering.

The defense's orthopedic surgery expert concluded Turner only suffered an ankle sprain that healed within a few months and did not necessitate surgery.

**Result:** Following the liability trial, the jury determined that Harjas NY was negligent in failing to remove the snow and ice. The jury further concluded that this negligence was a substantial factor in causing Turner's accident. The jury also determined that Turner was not negligent.

Following the subsequent damages trial, the jury awarded Turner \$1,637,500.



# Build Your Legal Team.

Go to lawjobs.com and choose the most qualified candidates.

lawjobs.com Your hiring partner

ÁLM.

# New York Law Iournal

Serving the Bench and Bar Since 1888



150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017

#### Gina Passarella, Editor-in-Chief of Global Legal Brands

Joe Pavone Senior Director of Sales - West, Marketing Solution Donald Chalphin, Global Director of ALM Event Sales & Sponsorships

> Andrew Denney, Bureau Chief

Christine Simmons, Peputy Bureau Chie

Submissions Editor: ristie Rearic

**Reporters:** Mimi Lamarre, Brian Lee, Emily Saul

Art Department: Monika Kozak, Rafal Pytel Ryland West, photographer

Decisions: Jason Ducena, William Thiess

Calendars: Patricia Kane, editor

Production: Agnieszka Czuj, Susan Ferguson, Steve Warren

> Web: Lora Hollien

Copy Desk: Sean Gossard

## ÁLM.

The New York Law Journal (ISSN 0028-7326) (USPS 383020) is published daily except Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays by ALM, 150 East 42nd Street, Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017. Periodicals postage paid at New York, N.Y. and at additional mailing offices.

# Bankruptcy

#### « Continued from page 3

sion, holding that an insurer with financial responsibility for a bankruptcy claim is a "party in interest" under Section 1109(b), and that such a party may "'raise and may appear and be heard on any issue' in a Chapter 11 case," including to object to a proposed plan of reorganization. Truck Ins. Exch., 144 S. Ct. at 1418.

The court began its analysis by reviewing the text of Section 1109(b), noting that the broad language allowing "any 'party in interest' to 'appear and be heard on any issue'" is capacious. Id. at 1423-24. The statute provides a non-exhaustive list of certain parties that could be considered parties in interest, including the debtor, its creditors, equity holders, and certain committees. The court stated that each of the listed parties could be directly impacted by a proposed plan of reorganization, either (i) "because they have a financial interest in the estate's assets," or (ii) "because they represent parties that do." Id. at 1424. The court then examined the ordinary meaning of the words "party" and "interest," finding that the meaning of each word individually and together as a phrase confirms that the term "party in interest" should be interpreted broadly. "Party' in this context is best understood as '[a] person who constitutes or is one of those who compose ... one or [the] other of the two sides in an action or affair; one concerned in an affair; a participator; as, a party in interest," and "'[i]nterest' is best understood as '[c]oncern, or the state of being concerned or affected, esp[ecially] with respect to advantage, personal or general." Thus, taken together, the term "party in interest" means parties "that are potentially concerned with or affected by a proceeding." Id. Next, the court turned to the historical context behind Section 1109(b)'s enactment. The court observed that over time, Congress has expanded the rights of stakeholders to participate in reorganization proceedings. While earlier bankruptcy statutes provided limited rights to creditors and stakeholders or allowed only certain types of stakeholders to participate in proceedings, laws

BOARD OF EDITORS Matthew Biben, Sheila Birnbaum, Sheila Boston, Mary Eaton, Robert Giuffra, Taa Grays, Ruth S. Hochberger, Patricia M. Hynes, Roberta Kaplan, Victor A. Kovner, Judith Livingston Scott E. Mollen, Carolyn Nussbaum Thomas Oliva, David Schulz, Alan Vinegrad, Dwight Yoo, Mark C. Zauderei EDITORS EMERITUS

#### Floyd Abrams, H. Rodgin Cohen,

Robert B. Fiske Jr., Barry Kamins Charles G. Moerdler, Herbert Rubin

#### ×

ALM: 212-457-9400; 800-888-8300

New York City Newsroom: 212-457-7958 Advertising: 212-457-9465

Legal Notices: 866-305-3058

Designated by the New York Court of Appeals pursuant to Article VI, Section 28(b) of the State Constitution Designated by the Appellate Divisions, First and Second Departments, pursuant to authority conferred on them by Section 91[1] and [2] of the Judiciary Law. Designated by the U.S. District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts

such as Section 1109(b) aimed to enhance participation in the bankruptcy process.

Lastly, the court discussed the purpose of Section 1109(b). Recognizing the risk of a very debtor-friendly plan of reorganization in Chapter 11 proceedings with limited stakeholder participation, Congress drafted Section 1109(b) broadly to "serve ... the policies of inclusion underlying the [C]hapter 11 process." Id. at 1425.

Applying this analysis, the court found that Truck and other similarly-situated insurers squarely fit into the term, "parties in interest." For example, plans of reorganization can (i) directly adversely affect certain contractual rights of insurers, such as the right to control the defense of claims and negotiate settlements: (ii) be collusive at the expense of the insurer; and (iii) abolish the debtor's obligations to "cooperate and assist" the insurer in defending covered claims. Simply put, the court explained, "[w]here a proposed plan 'allows a party to put its hands into other people's pockets, the ones with the pockets are entitled to be fully heard and to have their legitimate objections addressed." Id. at 1426.

claims did not change the District Court's analysis, since Truck had not been contractually entitled to the additional disclosure protections under the terms of the insurance policies.

ALM SENIOR MANAGEMENT

Bill Carter, CEO

Matthew Weiner, President, Marketing Services

Erin Dziekan, Sr. VP, Human Resources

Josh Gazes, Sr. VP, Operations

Dan Herman, Sr. VP and Global Corporate Controller

Mark Okean, Sr. VP, Finance

Jimi Li, Chief Technology Officer

Molly Miller, Chief Content Officer

Allan Milloy, Chief Sales Officer, Paid Content

Carlos Curbelo, VP, Legal Market Leader

Richard Caruso, VP and GM, Legal Media

Patrick Fuller, VP, ALM Legal Intelligence

Ð

**READER'S SERVICES** 

For subscriptions and to purchase

back issues, call 1-877-256-2472.

For questions regarding reprints

and permissions, call 1-877-257-3382,

e-mail reprints@alm.com,

or visit almreprints.com

Send decisions of interest to

decisions@alm.com

To access decisions and articles published

in the Law Journal, visit nylj.com

of New York as a newspaper of general

Postmaster: Send address changes to the

in civil and admiralty causes.

circulation for the publication of legal notices

New York Law Journal, 150 East 42nd Street.

Mezzanine Level, New York, N.Y. 10017. Available

on microfilm and microfiche. Rates on request.

The New York Law Journal® is a registered

trademark of ALM Media Properties, LLC.

The court found that application of the insurance neutrality doctrine "is conceptually wrong and makes little practical sense.' Id. By focusing on the insurer's rights and obligations pre-reorganization, the court explained, the insurance neutrality doctrine overlooks how a proposed plan might "alter and impose obligations on insurers." Id. In this case, the proposed plan would have "transformed [Hanson's] asbestos liabilities into bankruptcy claims that Truck will now have to indemnify ... without the protections of disclosure requirements in place for uninsured claims filed directly

# Off the Front / Expert Analysis / Off Page 2

# Associates

« Continued from page 1

Manges summer watched Anthony Edwards drop 29 points on the Dallas Mavericks to keep the Minnesota Timberwolves alive in the NBA Western Conference finals.

How would that Weil summer go on to describe the firm to law school classmates in the fall? "The best." they said.

If only it were that simple. While summer associates do expect to get spoiled by their firms at some point. most tend to value access to real work, mentorship and training above an expensive night out on the town.

Asked what the most memorable part of the experience was, far more summers gushed about the real legal work they did and the mentorship they got along the way. A Debevoise & Plimpton summer said their most memorable experience was "royally screwing up an assignment and getting some of the best, most constructive feedback I have ever gotten," the associate said. "That alone endeared me to the firm and firm-life. Showed me it was a place I can grow in."

Summers also remarked on firm culture and work-life balance. Contrary to what they'd heard in law school, this year's summer associates encountered partners who achieve a healthy balance between work, family life and hobbies. "People were genuinely happy to work here because discussions often encompassed non-work topics (e.g., hobbies, travel plans, etc.)," said an O'Melveny & Myers summer.

Therein lies a tricky balance for firms that maintain top-of-market billable hour requirements. On one hand, firms can show too little of the real deal and have summer associates develop unrealistic expectations. On average, total satisfaction scores differed by 0.5 out of 5 between the survey of summer associates and the American Lawyer's survey of midlevel associates, with the median firm scoring 4.865 out of 5 for summer associate satisfaction but 4.359 for midlevel satisfaction.

On the other hand, giving summers a more realistic experience means pushing them harder than peer firms that demand less. "Good surprise: how genuinely kind everyone at the firm is," a Paul Weiss summer associate said. "Bad surprise: worked through holidays and weekends (expected for an associate but not expected as a summer)."

Meanwhile, a summer at Sullivan & Cromwell said they worked unforgiving hours as a summer, but also appreciated the firm's candor. "It's good that the firm doesn't hide the ball, but my concern is that if summers are worked hard, surely associates are worked many times harder," the summer said. "On the whole, though, my assessment is that the pros outweigh the cons."

RankHumbur displayNumbur displayNumbur displayNumbur display1Paul Hastings4.9973737%2Gifted Chance4.9903581%4Baler Michance4.990174%5Margan Level Mark191948%6Ado Shaarman4.974567Fenedick Mark4.9111948%8Cadvalader,4.9241337%9Poschauer Rose4.9276.897%10Balak Rome4.9226.897%11Walin Gorphal &4.924136.7%12Ottowey Myet4.9226.897%13Skippard Mullin Ruiner & Karlen4.8777%14Willer Cutter Placeireigh Murthon4.87136.9%15Rishare Form4.877197%16Cahil Gromp Struss4.87136.9%17Alin Curry Struss4.871397%18Karancel And4.853<98%19Stater Forsk Hantin4.863493%10Cahil Gromp Struss4.871377%12Stater Forsk Hantin4.863493%13Stater Forsk Hantin4.863493%14Cahil Gromp Struss4.8713<77%15Stater Forsk Hantin4.863493%16Cahil Gromp Struss4.8	The	e 2024 Summer	Associates	s Satisfactio	n Survey
1Pud Hassings4.9973777%2Cifford Chance4.9903531%3Morgan. Levin McKenale4.9901794%5Daken Moris4.9711948%6Ado Shaarman4.9745564%7Ferwick Kews4.9711948%8Calvallader, Wckershaarman4.92413394%9Postauer Rose4.9378394%10Blain Rome4.9253294%11Weil, Gostal & Morges4.9226893%12Omkewey Myers4.9226893%13Sheppard Hullin Robers4.8997781%14Weil, Group Straus8.897197%15Shahl & Strauer Kein Mellan Barbers4.8977181%16Cahll Group Straus8.897781%17Akin Group Straus8.897781%18Strauer Kein Mellan Barbers4.875194%19Kahler Group Straus4.867474%10Kahler Strate Barbers4.867474%12Jener Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate74%74%13Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate8.89%717114Akin Corne Strate Strate Strate Strate Strate8.89%71 <th>Rank</th> <th>Firm</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Rank	Firm			
3Baker McKerale4.98813.3PM4Baker McKerale4.9307.794%5Lanee Morris4.9709.69.6%6A&O.Sheeral4.9219.08.6%7Fennick & West4.9219.2%9.2%9Poskauer Rose4.9326.89.2%10Blank Romp4.9226.89.2%11Blank Romp4.9226.89.2%12Oldversy & Myers4.9226.89.2%13Sheppard Mullin Richer & Haupy Winthrog4.8913.127%14Miller Cutler Pickering Mullin Richer & Haupy Winthrog4.8479.19.115Oldversy & Myers4.8779.18.116Cahll Gordvall Singer Examp4.8779.18.117Adacer Sredu4.8607.78.118Karanet Lean Nafalli Singer Examp4.8679.19.119Karanet Lean Nafalli Singer Examp4.8719.19.110Karanet Lean Nafalli Singer Examp4.8619.79.110Karanet Lean Nafalli Singer Examp4.8719.19.110Karanet Lean Nafalli Singer Examp4.8619.19.110Karanet Lean Nafalli Singer Examp4.8719.19.111Mater Examp4.8719.19.19.112Jancet Examp4.8619.19.19.113 <td>1</td> <td>Paul Hastings</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	1	Paul Hastings			-
BodusBodusHermHerm1Baken Morris4.9799.694962Name Morris4.9719.56.84.002Fernack Kates4.9719.24803Calcuslader, Arm4.932249.2510Bank Rome4.932249.2511Well, Gorthow4.9326.29.3512Oldwarp Moyre4.922689.3513Shepper Mullin anges4.9378.29.714Diffuer Cuter Reinder4.8993.77.915Shane Trausan Reinder4.8917.17.916Reinder4.8917.17.917Anne Earth Mallin Reinder4.8917.17.918Silpatick Forwance4.877.19.919Karmer Levin Mates4.879.19.910Maner Earth4.8619.19.911Bauer Earth4.8619.19.912Incert All ford4.8619.19.913Stance Kate4.8619.19.914Hole Kate4.8619.19.915Sillawar Kites4.8619.19.916Incert Black4.8619.19.117Hole Kate4.8619.19.118Sillawar Kites4.8619.19.119Sillawar Kites4.8619.19.110 <td>2</td> <td>Clifford Chance</td> <td>4.990</td> <td>35</td> <td>81%</td>	2	Clifford Chance	4.990	35	81%
48 bace Not. Series4,9907794%94%5Ado Sharma4,9705064%7Fernick & Watt4,9711372%9Prostaue Rose4,9375894%10Blank Rome4,9375894%11Blank Rome4,9226823%12Ordveny & Myers4,9226837%13Sheppard Mullin Rohree & Myers4,9226877%14Winer Cutler Pickering Myers4,8397477%15Pilskury Winthrog Shave Fitman4,8397386%16Cahil Gords4,8497381%17Kiner & Handra Kayer Korma4,8471596%18Kiner & Handra Kayer Korma4,8571627%19Kiner & Kandra Kayer Korma4,8673172%20Joner & Handra Kayer Korma4,857363621Joner & Kayer Korma4,857363622Joner & Kayer Korma4,8573637%23Saliton Formal4,8573637%24Kenthel & 4,8503372%25Saliton Korma4,8513172%26Saliton Korma4,8513637%27Saliton Walke4,8553636%28Saliton Walke4,8553637%29Saliton Walke4,85536%37% <td< td=""><td>3</td><td></td><td>4.988</td><td>103</td><td>84%</td></td<>	3		4.988	103	84%
SDuare Morits4.9793696%6AQS Disarman4.9745566%7Ferwick & AGN4.9375864%8Cadwalader, Arman4.9375894%10Bink Rome4.9262492%10Well, Gordwalader, Arman4.9372493%11Well, Gordwalader, Arman4.9373067%12CMAneys Myers4.9326893%67%13Sheppard Mullin4.9153067%14Wilner Goldwal4.89777%77%15Rihder Hampton4.8917181%16Kain Guro Straus4.8471697%17Kain Guro Straus4.8471697%18Karent Lein Natalis4.8751697%19Karent Lein Natalis4.8752697%10Mayer Brown4.86117027%12Jenter K Block4.86113097%13Sincel Frank, Harris4.86113097%14Kale Stranker4.86113097%15Jackson Wellwit4.86113097%16Hak Richardon4.85113077%16Hak Richardon4.85113077%17Hak Richardon4.85113077%18Kenberdon4.85113077%19Holmat King4.73113077% <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1 0 0 0</td> <td>17</td> <td>0404</td>	1		1 0 0 0	17	0404
6ABO Sharman4.9749565%64%7Fewick Awst4.9711948%8Cadvalactor4.92191048%10Backsome4.92692%92%11Will, Geshal &4.9246392%12Okaleer, Margion4.924939397%13Shepper Mayers4.9246397%14Willer, Clank4.8901497%15Shikher & Hampton4.8901497%16Calification4.8947127%17Alin Gurdon &4.8947127%18Killer, Clank Markin4.89715197%19Kamer Clein Markin4.89715197%10Kamer Clein Markin4.89715197%12Aline Frank4.89715197%13Kamer Clein Markin4.89715197%14Kaleer Clein Markin4.89715197%15Saleer Markin4.89715197%16Kaleer Markin4.89715197%14Fish & Richardon4.89715197%15Saleer Markin4.89715197%16Kaleer Markin4.89715197%16Kaleer Markin4.89715197%15Saleer Markin4.89715197%16Kaleer Markin4.99715197%					
8.Cadwalader, witcersham & Tatk9.494013.72%9.Proskuerskee4.9375.809.4%10.Wancerskee4.9226.809.3%11.Wancerskee4.9226.809.3%12.Ordeversk & Margerskee4.9226.809.3%13.Shepperd Mullin orer4.9897.102.7%14.Wilner Cadora4.8891.407.4%15.Shilbury Winthrom orer4.87718.406.9%16.Kall Gurop Strauss toker & 4.87718.406.9%17.Kaln Gurop Strauss toker & 4.87718.408.4%18.Kall Gurop Strauss toker & 4.87718.408.4%19.Kall Gurop Strauss toker & 4.86634.009.2%20.Apper Norm4.8611076.2%21.Jenner Kall Strate toker & 4.8611076.2%22.Jenner Kall Strate toker & 4.8611076.2%23.Sulfarkanon4.65113.007.5%24.Jenner Kall Strate toker & 4.78113.007.5%25.Sulfarkanon4.76113.007.5%26.Kall Strate toker & 4.7418.3%7.5%27.False Strate toker & 4.7418.3%7.5%28.Kall Strate toker & 4.7412.5%7.6%29.Sonder Kall Strate toker & 4.74013.007.5%29.Kall Strate toker & 4.7413.1%7.5%<					
Network and the set of the	7		4.971		
9Proskauer Rose4.937S894%10Bank Rome4.9262492%11Wein Colsal &4.9226.893%12Ordeveny & Mayres4.9226.893%13Sheppard Mulin4.9153037%14Wilner Colsan4.8999737%15Pilsbury Winthrop4.84438%16Schuft Gerone &4.8921447%17Akue Gump Strauss4.8471869%18Karne Levin Natalis4.8771864%19Karne Levin Natalis4.8771864%20Mayer Room4.8611076.7%21Einer & Biock4.8622963%22Dechert4.8661392%23Schuline4.8512663%24Fish & Sitcharcion4.851137%25Sullivan & Comwell4.851139%26Lochert4.8542663%27Folge Later Heritigton4.73139%28Kalicharcion4.851139%29Norton Rose Fultytigt4.854219%20Lochert4.73139%21Folge Later Heritigton4.73139%23Magno Ballet4.73139%24Haga Locell4.74139%25Magno Ballet4.74<	8	· · ·	4.940	13	72%
10Blank Rome4.9262.442.439.2%11Welk, Gotthal & Walker, Valker, Va	~		4 0 2 7	50	0.40/
11Weil, Gotshal & Warges4.92410384%12Ordevry & Myers4.9226693%13Sincher & Hannon1.9153.06.7%14Richer & Hannon4.899777%15Pildbury Winthrop4.8944.386%16Cahill Gordon & Shaw Pittoner Stauss4.871186%17Akue Gomp Strauss4.877186%18Sipatrick Townsend & Krame Levin Natalis Striver & Kanos4.871186%12Jenner & Block4.862296%13Sinver Kalsons4.8671076%14Existon4.8611076%15Sinver Kalsons4.8611076%16Sinkinardson4.85113<	-				
MangesMang					
13Bickner & Hampton Decr4.9153057%14Wilner Cutler Decr4.8999777%15Bilabury Winthrop Shaw Pittomsend & Reinded4.8914386%16Schull Gordon & Reinder Levin Natule4.8617177%17Akin Gump Strauss Reinder Levin Natule4.8671687%18Kinpatt Konsend & Kaper Levin Natule4.8677181%10Kamer Levin Natule Kaper Rown4.8677181%20Mayer Brown4.8663492%21Erice, Fank, Harris Kackson4.8677181%22Jenner Kelok Lackson4.8663492%23Dechert4.8663492%24Jenner Kelok Lackson4.8653671%25Sultana Kelok Lackson4.8653671%26Luckon Walter4.8513571%27Feley Rustom4.8513571%28Evershed Suther4.033171%29Norton Ros Fullopit Matter4.033171%30Unikaters4.7318187%31Heigh Develk Matter4.7313187%32Heigh Develk Matter4.7313187%33Manatt Phelps & Matter4.7313187%34Heigh Develk Matter4.7313187%35Matter4.7			-1.92-1	105	0170
Richer & HamptonImage: stateRicher of Hale and Normer\$39000000000000000000000000000000000000	12	O'Melveny & Myers	4.922	68	93%
14Wielmer Cutler born4.99997.30077%15Riskur Winnthrop Shaw Wirth4.8443186%16Cahll Gordon & Shaw Wirth4.8491174%17Rauer Science Science4.8771869%18Kinn Gump Strauss4.8771884%19Karne Levin Naffals Science4.8751184%20Ager Rown4.8663497%21Fried, Frank, Harris, Science4.8663497%22Jenner Kall Science4.8663497%23Gerchert4.8663497%24Fish & Richardson4.86113077%25Sullwa Kall Science4.8513177%26Sullwa Kall Science4.8513177%27Fish Richardson4.8513177%28Sullwa Kall Science4.7323131%29Noton Rose Fulbryt4.801139%20Indikers4.7321331%21Hogan Lovell4.732139%23Simpson Talce & 4.732139%24Matter Phalps4.743139%25Matter Phalps4.743139%26Goodwin Pocter4.732329%27Rosee Kall4.743139%28Koher Kall4.743139%29Go	13		4.915	30	67%
inReckering Hale and Name Withmann NSelectSelectSelect15Rilksbury Winthmann NSelectS	14		4.899	97	77%
11Pilsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman4.894434386%13Cahill Corporation Alance & Feld4.8931474%13Kaindel4.893712%14Kaindel4.877186%15Stacktor Stacktor4.867188%10Karamer Levin Nafalls & Frinder & Alactorson4.867518%12Finde Katachson4.8663492%12Jenner & Block4.8663492%13Cahchar & 4.8663492%3614Fish & Kathardson4.867319%15Sullwan & Comwell4.86110762%16Jeskon Walker4.854369%14Folse & Kathardson4.854317%15Folse & Kathardson4.854317%16Kathardson4.854317%16Kathardson4.854317%15Kathardson4.731129%16Kathardson4.732138%17Sulfiffe4.732138%18Santerier4.732138%19Kathard4.732138%10Cadaton (Alance72%339%11Stacker4.732138%12Manat, Phelps &4.741143313Santer4.732138%14 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Non-PitternameNon-PitternameNon-PitternameNon-PitternameName Karbon8.881471%Name Karbon8.87180%Name Karbon8.87180%Name Karbon8.871684%Name Karbon8.871684%Name Karbon8.86784%Name Karbon8.8639Name Karbon8.7639Name Karbon8.7639Name Karbon8.7639Name Karbon8.7639Name Karbon8.7639Name Karbon8.7639Name Karbon8.7639 <td>15</td> <td></td> <td>4 894</td> <td>43</td> <td>86%</td>	15		4 894	43	86%
ReindelNetwork PreduAlse of the sector of the secto			1.001		
11Akin Gump Straus Hauer & Field4.8847122%18Kinpatrik Townsend & & 4.8751869%19Kornet Levin Natalis & Frankel4.8751684%20Mayer Brown4.8697781%21Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson4.8673192%22Deene & Block4.8663492%23Dechert4.8663492%24Fish & Richardson4.8653893%25Sullivan & Cromwell4.86110762%26Jackson Walker4.8522666%27Folge & Lardner4.8635371%28Versheds Sutherland4.8291372%29Notron Rose Fullippit4.8002093%30Gond Lovells4.7635159%31Simpson Thacher & A.75417178%33Simpson Thacher & A.75417178%34Kelley Drye & Waree4.7328295%35Manatt, Phelps & A.75417387%3336Goodwin Procter4.7328295%37Bracewell4.7333260%38Robins Kaplan4.7333483%39Goodwin Procter4.7328295%39Soodwin Procter4.7328295%39Soodwin Procter4.7328295%30Noo	16		4.889	14	74%
Hauer & FieldHauer & Field18Namer Levin Markal& A8771869%20Mayer Brown& A8071684%20Karnare Levin Markal& A8675181%21Fried, Frank, Harris, Shiver & Block& A8673492%22Dechert& A8663492%23Ochert& A8673172%24Fish & Richardson4.86110762%25Jalivan & Cronwell& A8073677%26Jackson Walker4.8515377%27Foley & Lardner4.8613172%28Versheck Sturhetal4.8613172%29Norton Rose Fulbrigh4.8613172%20Linklaters4.8002095%21Hogan Lovells4.7633178%23Kaley Orbe Maren4.7320100%24Kaley Orbe Maren4.73219%25Marit Phelps4.733275%26Mobins Kalan4.733275%27Potsnell4.733275%28Mobins Kalan4.6673375%29Nock-Halty Ekoty4.6673375%20Mobins Kalan4.6673375%21Potsnell4.6673375%23Shoky Hardy & A6673435%24Potsnell4.66734 </td <td>17</td> <td></td> <td>1 881</td> <td>71</td> <td>720%</td>	17		1 881	71	720%
Stocktonickick19Kramet Levin Nafaal Kramet Levin Nafaal 	17		4.004	/1	7270
Namer Levin Nafalla4.8071684%0Mayer Brown4.8097781%21Fried, Frank, Harris, Sinver & Jacobson4.8063492%23Jeneret Block4.8063492%24Fish & Richardson4.8053893%25Sullivan & Crommel4.80110762%26Jackson Walker4.8055371%27Foley & Lardner4.8145877%28Eversheds Sutherland4.8291372%29Norton Rose Fulbright4.8002095%31Gurick, Herrington & Malters4.7635159%33Simpson Thacher & Atolick4.7635159%34Kelley Dry & Ware4.731299%35Manatt, Phelps & Atolick4.7431367%36Goodwin Procter4.7328290%37Bracewell4.7328290%38Robins Kaplan4.7033483%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Molosen & Katz73%3379%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%41Poisnell4.7328290%42Poisnell4.7328290%43Shock, Hardy & Bacon6.644.648444Sagre Drinker Bidde<	18		4.877	18	69%
R FrankelNome20Mayor Brown4.86977.081%.021Frick Frikor & Jacobson8.67.031.062%.023Decher & Block4.86134.093%.024Fish & Richardson4.861107.062%.025Sullvan & Cronwell4.861107.062%.026Jacson Walker4.89.010.067%.027Folge & Andree4.89.013.07%.028Norton Rose Fulbrigh4.80.053.07%%.029Norton Rose Fulbrigh4.80.020.09%20Indikaters4.76351.09%%.021Hogan Lovells4.76312.09%%23Kelley Dree Mare4.76312.09%%24Montal, Pholps & Artis12.09%%9%%25Montal, Pholps & Artis12.09%%9%%26Montal, Pholps & Artis4.732.09%%27Pacewell4.733.48%%28Montal, Pholps & Artis4.733.48%%29Goodwing4.733.48%%20Goodwing4.733.48%%21Pacewell4.733.48%%24Pacewell4.733.48%%25Goodwing4.6613.49%%26Goodwing4.6373.49%%27Pacewell4.733.49%%28Good	10		4 975	16	810/
21Fried, Frank, Harris, Inver & Jacobson4.8675184%22Jenner & Block4.8663492%23Oechert4.8663893%24Fish & Richardson4.86110762%25Sullivan & Cromeel4.86110762%26Jackson Walker4.8611377%27Foley & Lardner4.815877%28Eversheds Sutherland4.8291372%29Notron Rose Fullippit4.8055397%30Linklares4.8035159%31Gurick, Herrington & Atzliffe4.731178%32Hogan Lovells4.7481594%34Kalley Drye & Waren4.7320100%35Manat, Phelps & Atzliffe4.7431379%36Robins Kalan4.7382197%37Baczewell4.7033483%38Robins Kalan4.6615473%39Goodwin Procter4.7328297%41Paczewell4.7328297%42Dorsey & Whitney4.667343343Shook, Hardy & 8.2004.6873397%44Rager Direke Biddl4.6644534%45Oorsey & Whitney4.667643446Courigon & Ruber Biddl4.6641452%47Ropes & Gray<	19		C10.7	10	0470
Shrive & JacobsonNome & JacobsonAlseNome & Shok22Jeener & Block4.8663497%23Decher & Block4.8653897%24Fish & Richardson4.86110762%25Sullivan & Cronwell4.8611377%26Jeckson Walker4.8291377%27Foley & Lardner4.8002095%30Unklaters4.8002095%31Strictferringtom4.7635157%32Hogan Lovells4.7635157%33Sarriett4.76311077%34Kelley Drye & Waren4.7631377%35Manold & Porter Ray artiett4.7131387%36Godowin Proters4.7131387%37Bacewell4.7321387%38Robins Kaplan4.7321387%39Godowin Proters4.7321387%31Godowin Proters4.7321387%32Holande Knight4.6611497%34Shock Hardy & Baco4.6431497%34Shock Hardy & Baco4.6611497%35Godowin Proters4.6611497%36Maline & Kalz4.6611497%37Bacewell4.6611497%38Raper Drinker Biddi6.636.497% <td>20</td> <td>Mayer Brown</td> <td>4.869</td> <td>77</td> <td>81%</td>	20	Mayer Brown	4.869	77	81%
22Jenner & Block4.8663492%23Dechert4.8663963%24Fish & Richardson4.86510763%25Sulfuar Koromel4.85112068%27Foley & Lardner4.8545877%28Eversheds Sutherlan4.8291372%29Norton Rose Fulbrigh4.8002095%30Unklaters4.8011295%31Orrick, Herrington & Simpson Thacher & Amant, Phelps & Scholer7141295%32Kaley Drye & Ware4.73020100%33Barnat, Phelps & Annat, Phe	21		4.867	51	84%
23Dechert4.8662963%24Fish & Richardson4.8653893%25Sullivan & Cromwell4.85110762%26Jackson Walker4.8515877%28Eversheds Sutherland4.8291372%29Norton Rose Fulbright4.8002095%30Linklaters4.8002095%31Orick, Herrington &4.7635159%32Hogan Lovells4.7635194%34Kelley Drye & Waren4.75020100%35Maniler, Phelps &4.7414877%36Choline Ropea4.7322290%37Bracewell4.7321387%38Robins Kaplan4.7321387%39Goodwin Proter4.7322290%41Polisnelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6673173%43Shock, Hardy & Bacon4.6644573%44Regree Drinker Biddl4.663616%45Holland & Knight4.6611110%45Holland & Knight4.6611110%45Holland & Knight4.6611110%45Mintz4.6371110%46Gorsey & Whitney4.6611110%47Ropes & Drinker Biddl4.6371110% <t< td=""><td>22</td><td></td><td>4 866</td><td>34</td><td>97%</td></t<>	22		4 866	34	97%
24Fish & Richardson4.8653893%25Sullivan & Cromwell4.8611076.2%26Jackson Walker4.854587727Foley & Lardner4.8545877%28Eversheds Sutherland & 4.8291372%29Norton Rose Fulbright4.8002095%30Linklaters4.8002095%31Surgerich4.7835159%32Hogan Lovells4.7635159%33Simpson Thacher & Annatt, Phelps & Choler4.7414877%34Kelley Drye & Warren4.7502090%35Minatt, Phelps & Choler4.7382576%36Robins Kaplan4.7311387%37Bracewell4.7328290%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%40Neack Ratz6.331387%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%41Polsinelli4.6341483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6616197%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6644573%44Regerich/Kerbidel4.6636443%45Gerich/Kerbidel4.6636443%45Kench4.6611101%45Mintz4.6631110%45Mintz4.6631110% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
25         Sullivan & Cromwell         4.861         107         6.2%           26         Jackson Walker         4.855         26         6.8%           27         Foley & Lardner         4.829         13         77%           28         Eversheds Sutherland         4.800         20         95%           30         Linklaters         4.800         20         95%           31         Orrick, Herrington & Simpson Thacher & Valley         4.763         51         59%           32         Hogan Lovells         4.754         171         78%           34         Simpson Thacher & Valley         4.741         48         77%           35         Manatt, Phelps & Scholer         4.732         82         90%           36         Robins Kaplan         4.735         13         87%           37         Bracewell         4.736         34         83%           40         Robins Kaplan         4.735         13         87%           41         Pacewell         4.735         34         83%           42         Dorsey & Whitney         4.667         64         54           43         Shook, Hardy & Baco         4.663         64<					
21         Foley & Lardner         4.854         58         77%           28         Eversheds Sutherland         4.829         13         72%           29         Norton Rose Fulbright         4.800         20         95%           30         Linklaters         4.800         20         95%           31         Orici, Herrington &         4.763         51         59%           32         Hogan Lovells         4.763         20         100%           34         Kelley Drye & Warren         4.750         20         100%           35         Manila & Potrer Kape         4.741         48         77%           36         Arnold & Potrer Kape         4.732         82         90%           37         Bracewell         4.732         82         90%           38         Robins Kaplan         4.732         82         90%           410         Polsinelli         4.733         34         83%           42         Dorsey & Whitney         4.661         26         84%           43         Shock, Lardry & Bazo         6464         45%         73%           44         Reagre Drinker Biddle         4.662         11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</td></t<>					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
28Eversheds Sutherland4.8291372%29Norton Rose Fulbright4.8055371%30Linklaters4.8002095%31Orick, Herrington & Surciffer4.7871239%32Hogan Lovells4.7635159%33Simpson Thacher & Affant4.75417178%34Kelley Drye & Warren4.75020100%35Minatt, Phelps & Choler4.7414877%36Bocowillo4.7321387%37Bracewell4.7311387%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, Rose & Katz4.7033483%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6673379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6644573%44Ragee Drinker Biddel Rager Affanker Biddel Berman, Caldwall Berman, Caldwall Berman, Caldwall Berman, Caldwall Berman, Caldwall Berman, Caldwall Berman, Caldwall 	26	Jackson Walker	4.855	26	
29Norton Rose Fulbright4.8055371%30Linklaters4.8002095%31Orrick, Herrington & Arriance Arriance Arri	27	Foley & Lardner	4.854	58	77%
30Linklaters4.8002095%31Orrick, Herrington & ATAT1239%32Hogan Lovells4.7635159%33Simpson Thacher & Barltett4.75417178%34Kelley Drye & Warren4.75020100%35Maart, Phelps & Arnol & Porter Kap Scholer4.7481594%36Arnold & Porter Kap Scholer4.7414877%37Bracewell4.7328290%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%40Waching Nether4.7328290%41Polsinelli4.7328290%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Rager Drinker Biddle4.6786160%45Holland & Knight4.664549%46Covington & Burling4.6646449%47Ropes & Gray4.6641122%48Baker, Donelson, Barkowitz4.6311452%50Wintz4.6311452%51Finnegan, Henderson, Duringer4.6321110%52Freshfields Bruchaus Duringer4.6311110%53Wintson & Strawn4.6223795%54Oup Hitney4.6261110%55Benesch4.6341493%<	28	Eversheds Sutherland	4.829	13	72%
31Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe4.7871239%32Hogan Lovells4.7635159%33Simposon Thacher & Bartlett4.75417178%34Kelley Drye & Warren4.75020100%35Manatt, Phelps & Philps & A1784.7481594%36Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer4.7481387%37Bracewell4.7351387%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, Racewell4.7033483%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Faegre Drinker Biddle & 4.6786160%45Holland & Knight4.6644573%46Covington & Burling4.6636449%47Ropes & Gray4.6641152%50Wiltke Far & Gal- Bearman, Caldwell & Bearman, Caldwell & Bearman	29	Norton Rose Fulbright	4.805	53	71%
Sutcliffe4.7635159%32Hogan Lovells4.7635159%33Simpson Thacher & alvelter4.75020100%34Kelley Drye & Warren4.7631594%35Philipelps & scholer4.7481594%36Arnold & Porter Kaye scholer4.7311387%37Bracewell4.7321387%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%40Scholer4.7328290%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Faegre Drinker Biddle gerkomize4.6786160%45Holland & Knight4.6644573%46Covington & Burling4.6646958%47Ropes & Gray4.6606958%48Baker, Doneson Backer, D					
33         Simpson Thacher & Bartlett         4.754         171         78%           34         Kelley Drye & Warren         4.750         20         100%           35         Manilps         4.748         15         94%           36         Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer         4.741         48         77%           37         Bracewell         4.732         82         90%           38         Robins Kaplan         4.732         82         90%           39         Goodwin Procter         4.732         82         90%           40         Wachtell, Lipton, Wachtell, Lipton, Rose Katz         4.703         34         83%           41         Polsinelli         4.703         34         83%           42         Dorsey & Whitney         4.687         33         79%           43         Shook, Hardy & Bacon         4.644         16         60%           44         Faeger Drinker Biddle & Arrold & Afold         4.678         61         93%           45         Holland & Knight         4.664         49         94%           47         Ropes & Gray         4.643         110         72%           50         Mintz         4.643 <td>31</td> <td></td> <td>4.787</td> <td>12</td> <td>39%</td>	31		4.787	12	39%
BartiettA.75020100%34Kelley Drye & Warren4.7481594%35Manatt, Phelps & Philps & Scholer4.7414877%36Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer4.7313887%37Bracewell4.7321387%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, Steen & Katz4.7052672%41Polsienli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6444573%44Faegre Drinker Biddle Reager Drinker Biddle Reager Drinker Biddle4.6786149%45Holland & Knight4.6636449%46Covington & Burling4.6631127%47Ropes & Gray4.6641127%48Baker, Donelson, Backrowitz4.6431127%50Willke Farx Gal- Schourer6.63711010%51Ensche Lausen, Caldwell & Schourer4.6373131%53Mintz4.64311100%54Day Pitney4.6223795%55Rensche4.6973295%56Day Pitney4.62111100%57Reingerber4.5431293%58Baker, Donelson, Schender & Schender &3033%59Enscheld & S	32	Hogan Lovells	4.763	51	59%
34Kelley Drye & Warren4.75020100%35Manatt, Phelps & Phillips4.7481594%36Arold & Porter Kaye Scholer4.7414877%37Bracewell4.7382576%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Wachell, Lipton, Rosen & Kaz4.7033483%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6844573%44Regen Drinker Biddle & Reath4.6786160%45Holland & Knight4.6616958%46Covington & Burling4.6636449%47Ropes & Gray4.6631152%50Miltz4.6431452%50Miltz Fart & Gal- Barman, Caldwell & Berkowitz4.6333032%51Finnegan, Henderson, Finnegan, Kenderson, Dunner4.6223795%54Day Pitney4.62111100%55Benesch4.5251493%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%57Lewis Roca Rothegeber4.5505133%58Vedder Price4.5545057%59Fox Rothschild4.5505641%59Fox Rothschild<	33		4.754	171	78%
313         Manat, Phelps & Phillips         4.743         15         94%           330         Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer         4.741         48         77%           331         Bracewell         4.732         13         87%           332         Goodwin Procter         4.732         82         90%           400         Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz         4.703         34         83%           410         Polsinelli         4.703         34         83%           420         Dorsey & Whitney         4.687         33         79%           43         Shook, Hardy & Bacon         4.644         45         73%           44         Faegre Drinker Biddle & Af78         61         60%         84%           45         Holland & Knight         4.663         64         49%           47         Ropes & Gray         4.661         61         25%           48         Baer, Donelson, Barna, Caldwell & Barna, Cald	24		4 750	20	1000/
PhillipsIndexIndexIndex36Arnold & Porter Kaye SA.7138477%37Bracewell4.7331387%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, S4.7033483%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Faegre Drinker Biddle A.6786160%45Holland & Knight4.6636449%46Covington & Burling4.6636158%47Ropes & Gray4.6631152%48Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz4.63711072%50Willkie Far & Gal- Brabow, Garrett & Dunner4.63711072%51Brinegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Beringer4.6323795%53Winston & Strawn4.6223795%54Day Pitney4.62011100%55Benesch4.5151417100%56Cokingerber4.5161295%57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.5161295%58Vedder Price4.5251495%59Forknerfall4.5161295%59Forknerfall4.5161					
ScholerImage: scholerImage: scholer37Bracewell4.7382576%38Robins Kaplan4.7328290%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, Nosen & Katz4.7033483%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Fæger Drinker Biddle Reath4.6636449%45Holland & Knight4.6636449%46Covington & Burling4.6636158%47Ropes & Gray4.6631452%48Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Danelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Danelson, Baker, Danelson, <td>55</td> <td></td> <td>4.740</td> <td></td> <td>9470</td>	55		4.740		9470
37Bracewell4.7382576%38Robins Kaplan4.7351387%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz4.7052672%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitney4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath4.6786160%45Holland & Knight4.6644573%46Covington & Burling4.6636449%47Ropes & Gray4.660695948Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & 	36		4.741	48	77%
38Robins Kaplan4.7351387%39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz4.7033483%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitery4.6673379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Facer Drinker Biddle4.67861160%45Holland & Knight4.6636449%46Covington & Burling4.6636449%47Ropes & Gray4.6631452%48Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Calvell & Berkowitz4.63311072%50Kilke Farr & Gal- Dunner4.63711072%51Finnegan, Henderson, Parabow, Garrett & Dunner4.6333032%53Winston & Strawn4.6223795%54Day Pitney4.6223795%55Rensch4.61417100%54Benesch4.5151493%55Köntgerber4.5061293%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.6141710%58Velder Price4.5565057%59Fox Rothschild4.5765057%50DLA Piper4.5765057%51Hunton Andrews Garretts4.5611493%52Pauly, Weiss, Rifkind,	37		1 738	25	76%
39Goodwin Procter4.7328290%40Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & katz4.7052672%41Polsinelli4.7033483%42Dorsey & Whitery4.6873379%43Shook, Hardy & Bacon4.6842684%44Facer Drinker Biddle & Reath4.6786160%45Holland & Knight4.6636449%46Covington & Burling4.6636449%47Ropes & Gray4.6606958%48Baker, Donelson, Baker, Donelson, Eerkowitz4.6431452%50Wilke Farr & Gal- Berkowitz4.63711072%51Finnegan, Henderson, Parabow, Garrett & Duner4.6333032%53Winston & Strawn4.6223795%54Day Pitney4.62111100%55Benesch4.6223795%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%58Velder Price4.5501493%59Fox Rothschild4.5505057%61Hunton Andrews Garrison4.5611493%59Fox Rothschild4.5505057%61Hunton Andrews Garrison4.5512463%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Hamilton4.5234137%63Crowell & Moring4.5423037%64				-	
Rosen & Katz         Interpart         Rosen & Katz         Rosen & Katz <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Polsinelli         4.703         34         83%           42         Dorsey & Whitney         4.687         33         79%           43         Shook, Hardy & Bacon         4.684         26         84%           44         Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath         4.678         61         60%           45         Holland & Knight         4.664         45         73%           46         Covington & Burling         4.663         64         49%           47         Ropes & Gray         4.660         69         58%           48         Baker, Donelson, Baram, Caldwell & Berkowitz         4.643         14         52%           50         Wilkle Fart & Gal- Igher         4.637         110         72%           51         Finegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner         4.637         30         32%           53         Winston & Strawn         4.62         37         95%           54         Day Pitney         4.62         11         100%           55         Benesch         4.62         32         89%           56         Choate Hall & Stewart         4.614         17         100%           57         Lewis Roca         4.505	40	Wachtell, Lipton,	4.705	26	72%
42         Dorsey & Whitney         4.687         33         79%           43         Shook, Hardy & Bacon         4.684         26         84%           44         Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath         4.678         61         60%           45         Holland & Knight         4.660         64         49%           46         Covington & Burling         4.660         69         58%           47         Ropes & Gray         4.660         69         58%           48         Baker, Donelson, Barman, Caldwell & Berkowitz         4.643         14         52%           49         Mintz         4.643         14         52%           50         Willkie Farr & Gal- Iagher         4.637         110         72%           51         Finnegan, Henderson, Durner         4.637         30         32%           52         Feshfields Bruckhaus Deringer         4.627         82         90%           53         Winston & Strawn         4.627         11         100%           54         Day Pitney         4.626         11         100%           55         Benesch         4.52         37         95%           56         Choate Hall & Stewart					
43         Shook, Hardy & Bacon         4.684         26         84%           44         Faegre Drinker Biddle         4.678         61         60%           45         Holland & Knight         4.664         45         73%           46         Covington & Burling         4.660         69         58%           47         Ropes & Gray         4.660         69         58%           48         Baker, Donelson, Barman, Caldwell & Berkowitz         4.643         14         52%           49         Mintz         4.643         14         52%           50         Willkie Farr & Gal- lagher         4.637         110         72%           51         Finnegan, Henderson, Durner         4.633         30         32%           52         Freshfields Bruckhaus         4.632         37         95%           53         Winston & Strawn         4.627         82         90%           54         Day Pitney         4.626         11         100%           55         Benesch         4.627         32         89%           56         Choate Hall & Stewart         4.614         17         100%           58         Vedder Price         4.565					
44         Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath         4.678         61         60%           45         Holland & Knight         4.664         45         73%           46         Covington & Burling         4.660         69         58%           47         Ropes & Gray         4.660         69         58%           48         Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz         4.643         14         52%           49         Mintz         4.643         14         52%           50         Willkie Farr & Gal- lagher         4.637         110         72%           51         Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner         4.633         30         32%           53         Winston & Strawn         4.622         37         95%           54         Day Pitney         4.621         11         100%           55         Benesch         4.622         37         95%           56         Choate Hall & Stewart         4.614         17         100%           57         Lewis Roca Rothgerber         4.556         32         8%           58         Vedder Price         4.556         32         8%           59         Fox Rothschild		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
& Reath         Image: Mark and the state of the st					
46         Covington & Burling         4.663         64         49%           47         Ropes & Gray         4.660         69         58%           48         Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz         4.643         14         52%           49         Mintz         4.643         14         52%           50         Wilkle Farr & Gal- lagher         4.637         110         72%           51         Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner         4.633         30         32%           52         Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer         4.633         30         32%           53         Winston & Strawn         4.627         82         90%           54         Day Pitney         4.626         11         100%           55         Benesch         4.627         82         90%           56         Choate Hall & Stewart         4.614         17         100%           57         Rothgerber         4.600         10         91%           58         Vedder Price         4.576         32         89%           61         Hunton Andrews         4.565         56         41%           62         Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & S		-			
47Ropes & Gray4.6606958%48Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz4.6432145%49Mintz4.6431452%50Willkie Farr & Gal- lagher4.63711072%51Finnegan, Henderson, Dunner4.63622& 88%52Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer4.6333032%53Winston & Strawn4.6278290%54Day Pitney4.62611100%55Benesch4.61417100%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.6001091%58Vedder Price4.5951493%59Fox Rothschild4.5763289%60DLA Piper4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Moore4.5552467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Moore4.5562467%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5366761%64Holland & Hart4.49022100%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.4902429%67Nelson Multins Riley & K Hamilton4.4723077%68Crowell & Moring4.4223077%69Fisher & Phillips4.4223077%70Reed Smith <td>45</td> <td>-</td> <td>4.664</td> <td>45</td> <td>73%</td>	45	-	4.664	45	73%
48Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz4.646214.5%49Mintz4.6431452%50Willkie Farr & Gal- lagher4.63711072%51Finnegan, Henderson, Dunner4.63622& 88%52Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer4.6373032%53Winston & Strawn4.6278290%54Day Pitney4.62611100%55Benesch4.6223795%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.5001091%58Vedder Price4.5951493%59Fox Rothschild4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5502467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5366761%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5366761%64Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Lamitton4.5324137%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & & 4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring & 4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Hexner4.3122175%					
Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz4.6431452%49Mintz4.6431452%50Willkie Farr & Gal- lagher4.63711072%51Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner4.63622& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &					
49Mintz4.6431452%50Willkie Farr & Gal- lagher4.63711072%51Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner4.6362288%52Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer4.6378290%53Winston & Strawn4.6278290%54Day Pitney4.62611100%55Benesch4.6223795%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.6001091%58Vedder Price4.5951493%59Fox Rothschild4.5763289%60DLA Piper4.5652467%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5366761%63Croavath, Swaine & Moore4.5366761%64Iclaary Gottlieb Steen Moore4.4223077%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & 	40	Bearman, Caldwell &	4.040	21	40%0
50Wilkle Farr & Gallagher4.63711072%51Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner4.63622& 88%52Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer4.6333032%53Winston & Strawn4.627&290%54Day Pitney4.62611100%55Benesch4.6223795%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.6001091%58Vedder Price4.5951493%59Fox Rothschild4.5763289%60DLA Piper4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5366761%63Cravath, Swaine & & Hamilton4.5234137%64Holland & Hart4.49022100%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4712170%68Crowell & Moring4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%					
lagherIdagher1 lagherIdagher51Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner4.6362288%52Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer4.6333032%53Winston & Strawn4.6278290%54Day Pitney4.62611100%55Benesch4.6223795%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.6001091%58Vedder Price4.5951493%59Fox Rothschild4.5763289%60DLA Piper4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5366761%63Cravath, Swaine & Amilton4.5366761%64Leary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.42122100%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4712170%68Crowell & Moring4.4223077%69Fisher & Phillips4.43894687%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%					
Farabow, Garrett & Dunner         Image: Constant of the symbol of t	50		4.03/	110	12%
DunnerImage: constraint of the section of	51		4.636	22	88%
52Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer4.6333032%53Winston & Strawn4.6278290%54Day Pitney4.62611100%55Benesch4.6223795%56Choate Hall & Stewart4.61417100%57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.6001091%58Vedder Price4.5951493%59Fox Rothschild4.5763289%60DLA Piper4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, 		,			
53       Winston & Strawn       4.627       82       90%         54       Day Pitney       4.626       11       100%         55       Benesch       4.622       37       95%         56       Choate Hall & Stewart       4.614       17       100%         57       Lewis Roca Rothgerber       4.600       10       91%         58       Vedder Price       4.595       14       93%         59       Fox Rothschild       4.576       32       89%         60       DLA Piper       4.565       24       67%         61       Hunton Andrews Kurth       4.550       56       41%         62       Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison       4.544       79       63%         63       Cravath, Swaine & Moore       4.536       67       61%         64       Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton       4.536       67       61%         65       Debevoise & Plimpton       4.523       41       37%         66       Holland & Hart       4.490       22       100%         67       Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough       4.472       30       77%         68       Crowell & Moring       4.42	52	Freshfields Bruckhaus	4.633	30	32%
54         Day Pitney         4.626         11         100%           55         Benesch         4.622         37         95%           56         Choate Hall & Stewart         4.614         17         100%           57         Lewis Roca Rothgerber         4.600         10         91%           58         Vedder Price         4.595         14         93%           59         Fox Rothschild         4.576         32         89%           60         DLA Piper         4.565         24         67%           61         Hunton Andrews Kurth         4.550         56         41%           62         Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison         4.544         79         63%           63         Cravath, Swaine & Moore         4.536         67         61%           64         Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton         4.536         67         61%           65         Debevoise & Plimpton         4.523         41         37%           66         Holland & Hart         4.490         22         100%           67         Relson Mullins Riley & Scarborough         4.472         30         77%           68         Crowell & Moring					
55         Benesch         4.622         37         95%           56         Choate Hall & Stewart         4.614         17         100%           57         Lewis Roca Rothgerber         4.600         10         91%           58         Vedder Price         4.595         14         93%           59         Fox Rothschild         4.576         32         89%           60         DLA Piper         4.576         50         57%           61         Hunton Andrews Kurth         4.565         24         67%           62         Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison         4.550         56         41%           63         Cravath, Swaine & Moore         4.536         67         61%           64         Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton         4.536         67         61%           65         Debevoise & Plimpton         4.523         41         37%           66         Holland & Hart         4.490         22         100%           67         Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough         4.472         24         29%           68         Crowell & Moring         4.472         30         77%           69         Fisher & Phillips					
56         Choate Hall & Stewart         4.614         17         100%           57         Lewis Roca Rothgerber         4.600         10         91%           58         Vedder Price         4.595         14         93%           59         Fox Rothschild         4.576         32         89%           60         DLA Piper         4.576         50         57%           61         Hunton Andrews Kurth         4.565         24         67%           62         Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison         4.550         56         41%           63         Cravath, Swaine & Moore         4.544         79         63%           64         Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton         4.536         67         61%           65         Debevoise & Plimpton         4.523         41         37%           66         Holland & Hart         4.490         22         100%           67         Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough         4.472         24         29%           68         Crowell & Moring         4.422         30         77%           69         Fisher & Phillips         4.432         30         77%           70         Reed Smith <td></td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		· · · ·			
57Lewis Roca Rothgerber4.6001091%58Vedder Price4.5951493%59Fox Rothschild4.5763289%60DLA Piper4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5505641%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5447963%64Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.5366761%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Scarborough4.4712170%69Fisher & Phillips4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3122175%					
58         Vedder Price         4.595         14         93%           59         Fox Rothschild         4.576         32         89%           60         DLA Piper         4.576         50         57%           61         Hunton Andrews Kurth         4.565         24         67%           62         Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison         4.550         56         41%           63         Cravath, Swaine & Moore         4.544         79         63%           64         Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton         4.536         67         61%           65         Debevoise & Plimpton         4.523         41         37%           66         Holland & Hart         4.490         22         100%           67         Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough         4.472         24         29%           68         Crowell & Moring         4.471         21         70%           69         Fisher & Phillips         4.432         30         77%           70         Reed Smith         4.389         46         87%           71         Boies, Schiller & Flexner         4.312         21         75%		Lewis Roca			
59Fox Rothschild4.5763289%60DLA Piper4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5505641%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5447963%64Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.5366761%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4712170%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%		Rothgerber			
60DLA Piper4.5765057%61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5505641%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5447963%64Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.5366761%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%					
61Hunton Andrews Kurth4.5652467%62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5505641%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5447963%64Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.5366761%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%					
KurthIndexIndexIndex62Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison4.5505641%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5447963%63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5366761%64Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.5366761%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%					
Wharton & GarrisonImage: Constant of the second	01		сос.т	27	0770
63Cravath, Swaine & Moore4.5447963%64Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.5366761%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4712170%69Fisher & Phillips4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & 	62		4.550	56	41%
MooreMooreImage: Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton4.536G7G1%65Debevoise & Plimpton4.5234137%66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4712170%69Fisher & Phillips4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%	62		A E A A	70	620/
& Hamilton         4.60         4.60           65         Debevoise & Plimpton         4.523         41         37%           66         Holland & Hart         4.490         22         100%           67         Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough         4.472         24         29%           68         Crowell & Moring         4.471         21         70%           69         Fisher & Phillips         4.422         30         77%           70         Reed Smith         4.389         46         87%           71         Boies, Schiller & Flexner         4.312         21         75%	сζ		4.544	79	03%
65         Debevoise & Plimpton         4.523         41         37%           66         Holland & Hart         4.490         22         100%           67         Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough         4.472         24         29%           68         Crowell & Moring         4.471         21         70%           69         Fisher & Phillips         4.422         30         77%           70         Reed Smith         4.389         46         87%           71         Boies, Schiller & Flexner         4.312         21         75%	64		4.536	67	61%
66Holland & Hart4.49022100%67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4712170%69Fisher & Phillips4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%		& Hamilton			
67Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough4.4722429%68Crowell & Moring4.4712170%69Fisher & Phillips4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%		•			
ScarboroughKernel68Crowell & Moring4.4712170%69Fisher & Phillips4.4223077%70Reed Smith4.3894687%71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%					
69         Fisher & Phillips         4.422         30         77%           70         Reed Smith         4.389         46         87%           71         Boies, Schiller & Flexner         4.312         21         75%	0/		7.472	24	2970
70         Reed Smith         4.389         46         87%           71         Boies, Schiller & Flexner         4.312         21         75%	68	Crowell & Moring	4.471	21	70%
71Boies, Schiller & Flexner4.3122175%	69	Fisher & Phillips	4.422	30	77%
Flexner					
	71		4.312	21	75%
avmonion and more other them landship the setter states and the se					

#### The Court Rejects the 'Insurance Neutrality' Doctrine

The court next rejected the lower courts' application of the insurance neutrality doctrine. The insurance neutrality doctrine considers whether a plan of reorganization "increase[s] the insurer's pre-petition obligations or impair[s] the insurer's pre-petition policy rights." Id. at 1427. Because Truck would have retained the same coverage defenses that it had under the policies and Hanson retained its obligations to assist and cooperate with Truck in defending claims before and after Hanson's reorganization under the proposed plan, the District Court determined that the proposed plan was insurance-neutral. As part of this determination, the District Court rejected Truck's argument that the proposed plan would breach Hanson's obligation to cooperate and assist Truck in defending covered claims. The proposed plan's disparate treatment of insured versus uninsured

#### with the [t]rust." Id. The court emphasized that Truck's financial exposure may be directly affected by the proposed plan, which is sufficient to give Truck a right to voice objections to the plan.

#### **Looking Forward**

The Supreme Court's opinion in Truck Insurance Exchange is expected to provide insurers with financial responsibility for bankruptcy claims more leverage in bankruptcy proceedings. At minimum, insurers will expect to have a seat at the table for plan negotiations. Rather than being limited to raising objections that a proposed plan of reorganization alters their pre-reorganization obligations, insurers will likely seek to negotiate more favorable outcomes that reduce their potential future liabilities.

Given the Supreme Court's emphasis on maximizing participation in bankruptcy proceedings and its broad interpretation of Section 1109(b)'s "party in interest" language, we may also see other stakeholders come forward arguing that they too are parties in interest and attempting to have their voices heard in future proceedings. While the Supreme Court noted that there are surely limits to which stakeholders could be considered parties in interest in Chapter 11 proceedings, courts will have to determine where to draw the line for more peripherally-interested parties on a caseby-case basis.

# Conference

#### « Continued from page 2

lawsuits challenging admissions policies across the country.

In a Supreme Court petition, the Boston Parent Coalition for Academic Excellence urged the justices to review a First Circuit decision rejecting the group's challenge to a temporary quotabased admissions system elite Boston public schools used during the pandemic.

For the 2021-22 school year, the schools shifted from a standardized test admissions program to one in which it set aside seats for

students with the highest grade point averages in each Boston neighborhood. The BPCAE claims this was an unconstitutional form of affirmative action to use raceneutral proxies to increase diversity at the schools to the detriment of white and Asian students.

The First Circuit held that schools could constitutionally consider socioeconomic factors as a way to increase student body diversity, and that whites and Asians were still admitted in disproportionately higher numbers than others.

In its petition, the group framed its question as "whether an equal protection challenge to facially race-neutral admission criteria is barred simply because members of the racial groups targeted for decline still receive a balanced share of admissions offers commensurate with their share of the applicant pool."

The coalition is represented by the Pacific Legal Foundation.

The case is Boston Parent Coalition for Academic Excellence v. The School Committee for the City of Boston, No. 23-1137.

Ø Jimmy Hoover can be reached at jhoover@alm.com.

Renew your subscription. Call 1-877-256-2472.

#### Pockets Lined, Butts in Chairs

This year's class of summer associates is an optimistic bunch. Per survey results, summers indicated that they were less concerned about getting job offers and less worried about job security altogether. Indeed, more summers said they planned to be at the same firm in five years compared to last year's class.

Weekly compensation also went up by nearly \$500 to an average sum of \$4,543. "The government takes so much money out of our paychecks each week," a Covington & Burling associate said when asked about the most surprising part of the summer.

(Despite this, law firms actually made fewer offers to summer associate candidates in 2024, per data from the National Association for Legal Placement. "At the very least, firms across the industry appear to be projecting excess capacity in the near term—or at least a decreased need for new talent-resulting in one of the softest recruiting cycles since the Great Recession," NALP executive director Nikia Gray told the American Lawyer in March.)

The prevalence of fully in-person programs also went up, from 33.8% of respondents indicating they were asked to attend every day last year to 37.5% this year.

What's more, while a handful of summer associates appreciated the willingness of firms to maintain hybrid work policies, law firm offices were generally held in high regard. "My office moved into a brand-new building which is absolutely stunning," a Norton Rose Fulbright summer said.

#### **Real Work for Real Clients**

While most firms did well in summer associate satisfaction for categories such as providing interesting work, interactions with partners and associates, and overall inclination to accept a full-time offer, firms' ability to offer real legal work and substantive training and guidance separated the top-ranked programs from the rest.

In open-ended responses, summers brought up those types of

experiences more often than lavish, expensive outings. "I got to work on a cert petition which was one of the most exciting intellectual experiences I've ever had," said a summer associate at Arnold & Porter Kave Scholer. "The topic was very challenging but I had a lot of help from the attorneys on the team and it was just really thrilling to get an opportunity like that as a summer."

Likewise, an associate at Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz said they worked on a pro bono matter involving felony voting rights, while » Page 7

## Perspective

# The Family Court Assigned Counsel Panel: If Not You, Who?

f not me, who?" is a question that I ask myself often. I decided to become a lawyer after asking myself this question; I wanted to help people and saw becoming a lawyer as a means to that end. When I settled down in a community after going out on my own, I learned that my new community's fire and EMS services were reliant upon volunteers to answer the call. "If not me, who," I asked myself, and promptly answered the question by signing up and training to become a firefighter and then an Emergency Medical Technician in my community.

When I joined the New York bar several decades ago, my goals were lofty; I wanted to get out there, open a practice, and help people. During my first decade in practice. Learned a living and represented my clients in a variety of matters. When I came home at the end of each day, I could not shake the feeling that I was not doing enough with my law license to make a difference. I recognized the awesome responsibility that I had as a NYS licensed attorney; yet, I questioned if I was living up to my ideals. That was before I found the Assigned Counsel Panel

in the Manhattan Family Court. I, like many attorneys, was unfamiliar with the Assigned Counsel system in Family Court. About 15 years ago, I found myself working on cases in the Family Courts and would often come across attorneys that the judges would call "Assigned Counsel Attorneys." These attorneys represented indigent litigants. They were impressive attorneys—zealous advocates and highly skilled in their craft. They helped adults and children who could not afford counsel. They fought to keep families together. They represented traumatized adults and children who were often separated from one another through the court process. These attorneys were doing important work, vital work. I was so impressed by the skill and compassion of these attorneys that I started doing my homework to learn more about the system that provided Family Court litigants with access to counsel, the Assigned Counsel system.

I learned that through a seri-

#### **BY PHILIP KATZ**

ous of impactful court decisions, the right to counsel for indigent litigants in criminal and family related matters became etched in stone in the State of New York. Starting with Gideon v. Wainwright, (372 US 335 [1963]), the right to counsel in criminal proceedings became a nationwide right for all defendants. Our Court of Appeals, in Matter of Ella B., (30 *NY2d* 352 [1972]) recognized that indigent parents and children in civil family legal proceedings are entitled to counsel in many cases. The indigents' right to counsel in Family Court was codified in the

Our statewide Family Court panels are in crisis. They are in need of new, experienced practitioners to replace the many who have been retiring in recent years as the numbers of litigants in need of Assigned Counsel services have increased.

#### New York State Family Court Act Article 2, § 261 in 1975.

I also learned that Assigned Counsel attorneys are independent attorneys-they have their own practices, do their own private work, and they dedicate part of their time to taking on indigent clients in our Criminal, Family, Supreme, and Appellate courts. Attorneys are compensated for their Assigned Counsel work-albeit far below what privately retained attorneys are compensated for similar workby the City and State. They maintain their own private practices and represent private clients

as well. After seeing Assigned Counsel panel members at work in Family Court, and after learning about how the system works, I asked myself again, "if not me, who." I answered the question by applying for certification as an Assigned Counsel panel member in the Manhattan Family Court. I can honestly say, 15 years later, that joining the Assigned Counsel panel was one of the most satisfying career decisions that I have ever made.

Since becoming a member of the Assigned Counsel panel, I have been working with some of the most experienced, talented, and kindhearted attorneys that I have

ever met. I have helped hundreds of adults and children address family legal conflicts. But for the work of my colleagues and I, many of these adults and children would have been without legal counsel at times when they needed it the most. Now, when I return home at the end of a long day, I feel like I am using my law license to make a profound difference in my client's lives.

Unfortunately, however, our statewide Family Court panels, are in crisis. They are in need of new, experienced practitioners to replace the many who have

been retiring in recent years as the numbers of litigants in need of Assigned Counsel services have increased. I ask my colleagues to consider the important work that the Assigned Counsel panels do statewide. I ask you all to consider, "if not you and I, then who?" will do this work for those most in need of legal services in our Family Courts? Please consider

applying to your local Family Court panel where you can dedicate a portion of your practice to this important work. To apply for membership as a

Family Court Assigned Counsel panel member in your area, here are some useful links:

- 1. For the Appellate Division, First Department: www.nycourts.gov/courts/ ad1/Committees&Programs/ CounselChildren&Parents (LG)/Panel%20Application. pdf
- 2. For the Appellate Division, Second Department: www. nycourts.gov/courts/ad2/ AFC/Attorneys%20for%20 Children/AFC%20Application.pdf

3. For the Appellate Division, Third Department: Email: ad3oac@nycourts.gov

**4.** For the Appellate Division, Fourth Department: www. nycourts.gov/courts/ad4/ AFC/Menu-FAQ.pdf

PHILIP KATZ is a founding partner at Fink & Katz and is a Family Court Assigned Counsel panel member in the Appellate Division, 1st Dept.



Off the Front / Outside Counsel

Adams and his lawyer Spiro, both at left, speak to members of the media outside federal court at 500 Pearl Street on

ment, which details an alleged years-long scheme to accept bribes masked as campaign donations and benefits like luxury travel and perks in exchange for Adams' influence in the city.

The mayor, who has said he will not resign, is officially charged with

#### conspiracy to commit wire fraud, federal program bribery, receiving campaign contributions from foreign nationals, wire fraud, solicitation of contributions from foreign nationals and bribery.

He maintains his innocence. Outside court, Spiro told the press his client had no knowledge

"There are no emails, text messages or any corroboration whatsoever that the mayor knew of anything having to do with these campaign donations," Spiro said. 'The entire body of evidence is from one staffer."

What you have not learned is that that staffer has lied," he added. Adams is due back in court on Wednesday.

@ Emily Saul can be reached at esaul@alm.com

"It becomes as much a matter of judgment as to what's the right thing to do-and due process for him-and the city's interest in controlling its own fate," Briffault said. "Even without a conviction, as a result of these charges hanging over him, it's going to be very hard to govern effectively."

The most recent time a governor tried to remove the city's mayor was more than 90 years ago, when then-Gov. Franklin D. Roosevelt made his run for president while trying to remove Jimmy Walker on allegations of corruption. Walker opted resigned in September 1932.

Goldfeder said Walker tried to fight the governor's broad authority for removal, but failed.

Briffault said it's uncertain if Adams would even have grounds to file a lawsuit.

"He'd obviously have standing, because he'd be losing his job. He could bring a federal action, I suppose. Maybe there might be a challenge to the statute that gives the governor such unlimited power."

As for suggested legislative

# Friday. Adams

« Continued from page 1

# Hochul

« Continued from page i these options could be driven by Adams' resigning to strike a deal with prosecutors. "The two major players here are the mayor and the governor," Goldfeder said. "The mayor can decide to resign and fight the charges. The mayor can decide

"The second player is the governor who can decide to remove him. From her public statements, she is reviewing her powers-and having read the indictment she's considering what her options are.'

Columbia Law School professor Richard Briffault spoke to the particulars, or lack thereof, of Hochul's options via Public Officers Law, under which her authority to remove Adams is so broad, the professor suggested lawmakers might want to consider fine-tuning the statute at some point.

"State law on the governor's power says very little, and basically gives the governor unlimited power of removal," Briffault said. "I don't think the governor is subject to any particular process, other than giving him a copy of the charges against him, and an opportunity to be heard in his defense," the professor added.

A section of the law lays out more formal proceedings, but Briffault said it's optional, "written as, 'the governor may do this and that,' including the governor could appoint somebody to conduct an

investigation or hearing." Briffault suggested the governor could conceivably treat the federal indictment as her investigation, or she could ask a judge, district attorney or the attorney general to appoint someone to head it.

'What I find kind of interesting is, as far as I can tell, the law doesn't say what the basis for removal has to be," Briffault said. He said there's a notation about

expressly say that.

Asked his view for what would be the smoothest handling of the circumstances, Briffault asserted, "It would be a very, very, very dramatic thing for the governor to remove the mayor of the largest city in the state and in the country. So'I imagine the governor would want to be pretty careful and pretv certain that there are serious charges that warrant removal." Briffault said the governor will certainly continue to weigh how the indictment impacts Adams' ability to effectively govern, even if he ultimately beats the charges.

of the alleged crimes.

to stay and fight the charges."

charges, which implies criminality as the framework, but it doesn't

existed 'a proximate relationship

between the expenditure and the

business of the taxpayer.' (Citations omitted.) [Avery] has not

carried his burden of proving any

such 'proximate relationship' here.

And the evidence conclusively

showed that he engaged in car

racing primarily for personal enjoy-

ment, not to advertise his legal

In a similar vein, the Tax Court

(Judge L. Paige Marvel) in *Berry* 

v Commissioner, T.C. Memo 2021-

42, denied an S corporation's

deductions for automobile racing

expenses incurred in support of

the racing activities of the owner's

son (who also owned some of the

S corporation's shares) since the

court did not find the needed con-

nection of such expenses to the

promotion of the corporation's

real estate development and con-

on the corporation's income tax

return, petitioners (the S corpora-

tion's shareholders) argued that

such expenses were advertising

expenses incurred to promote the

corporation's real estate develop-

ment and construction business.

Although not classified as such

struction business.

services.'

# Visa

#### « Continued from page

transaction fees and that because merchants pass on those costs to customers, Visa's abuses hit the pocketbooks of all Americans. "Visa's unlawful conduct affects not just the price of one thing, but the price of nearly everything," Attorney General Merrick Garland said in a statement.

But San Francisco-based Visa is girding for a fight. In a statement, Visa General Counsel Julie Rottenberg calls the suit "meritless," and court records show the company has hired two Washington, D.C., heavy-hitters to press its case-Beth Wilkinson of the litigation boutique Wilkinson Steklof, and Jonathan Gleklen, chair of the U.S. antitrust practice at the Am Law 50 firm Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer.

He and Rottenberg used to be Arnold & Porter colleagues, with both starting their legal careers at the firm in the 1990s. Rottenberg started there in 1995, became a partner in 2001 and left in 2008 to become head of U.S. litigation for Visa. She's been Visa's legal chief since 2021.

In her statement, Rottenberg said, "Anyone who has bought

something online, or checked out at a store, knows there is an ever-expanding universe of companies offering new ways to pay for goods and services.

She said the DOJ lawsuit 'ignores the reality that Visa is just one of many competitors in a debit space that is growing, with entrants who are thriving. When businesses and consumers choose Visa, it is because of our secure and reliable network, world-class fraud protection, and the value we provide."

But Rottenberg's 107-word statement only lays out the company's response in broad strokes, without addressing any of the business deals or practices cited in the suit as abusive.

For example, the suit alleges that the company squeezes out competitors by contracting with merchants to steer most if not all of their digital transactions to Visa, while employing so-called "cliff pricing," with Visa levying penalties on merchants if they fall short of sales quotes.

Additionally, the DOJ alleges Visa tamped down potential threats of competition from financial technology firms, including PayPal and Apple, by paying them to not offer services that would compete with Visa's debit and credit cards.

The suit quotes from a message from a former Visa chief financial officer that characterized the strategy this way: "Everybody is a friend and partner. Nobody is a competitor."

In the lawsuit, the DOJ asks the court to order Visa to stop structuring prices to reduce competition and to set limits on the number of financial services companies with business arrangements tied to Visa's debit network. It also wants the court to limit the number of pacts Visa has with other firms that limit those firms' right to compete with Visa.

'Without intervention, Visa will continue to insulate itself from competition and subvert the competitive process in this essential industry that fuels U.S. commerce, all the while enriching itself at the expense of the American people who ultimately bear the brunt of Visa's unlawful monopoly and the lack of competition its conduct has wrought," the government said in the complaint.

While shares of Visa have risen 17% in the past year, they tumbled 7% after the DOJ announced its lawsuit Tuesday-the steepest drop in nearly three years.

Ø James Palmer can be reached at jpalmer@alm.com

Racing

#### « Continued from page 4

ness as an attorney. It is neither 'necessary' nor 'common' for attorneys to incur such costs. [Avery] greatly enjoyed car racing, which he found more exciting than his previous hobby of acquiring collector cars and participating in car shows. But we find that both activities were hobbies. No deduction is allowed for personal expenses of this kind. See §262(a) (disallowing deductions for 'personal, living, or family expenses').'

The court examined prior cases. "This case is distinguishable from prior cases - e.g., involving car dealerships, construction companies, and companies engaged in the sale and leasing of aircraft—in which we found car or motorcycle racing expenses to be deductible advertising costs. (Citations omitted.) In several of these cases, the fact that unrelated sponsors compensated the driver for displaying their logos confirmed the seriousness of the racing activity. (Citations omitted.) In each of those cases we found as a fact that there

action, Briffault said, "It would be a good idea for somebody to amend this-to give some basis for removal."

Brian Lee can be reached at blee@alm.com

Alternatively, the petitioners in Berry argued that the racing activity itself was a trade or business. However, based on the circumstances that there was no racing activity or income earned in the taxable year before the court, the court dismissed the alternative argument as "ill-conceived."

Unlike Berry, Avery did not take the bait, *i.e.*, that his auto racing activity itself constituted a trade or business. In appropriate cases, of course, such an argument is not far-fetched. For example, the existence of a trade or business is easily demonstrable by professional racing car drivers, whether operating as sole proprietorships or as employees of their self-owned business entities.

On its merits, the Tax Court in James W. Avery denied the deductibility of the auto racing expenses since it did not find the needed connection between the law practice and the racing activities. The court did remand the case to the IRS to consider Avery's offer in compromise. TC Memo 2023-18.

This saga may not be over. Avery has appealed to the Tenth Circuit. No. 23-9004.

Rally

« Continued from page 1

cio told the Law Journal: "Yeah, I went to Trump's rally. There's a lot of things that are wrong with our country right now, so that's why I felt I needed to be there."

Spaccio, who represented himself in the state investigation, added that he doesn't regret his actions. "I would do it over again. We lose our rights when we go on the bench, and it's not right," he said.

Concerning his heated public disagreements with local officials, Spaccio said. "I was shouting at officers I knew that threatened to arrest me for disorderly conduct because I had a bird cannon on my roof. I was emitting noise because (a neighboring business) had a vacuum on his roof that put out as much noise as my bird cannon."

Spaccio said he had grown

tired of his neighbor's noise, and frustrated by what he said was inaction by local government. He said he was told that the village didn't have a noise ordinance.

"So I told the mayor that if this is the case, I'll put a bird cannon up on my roof, and when he runs that thing, I'll run the bird cannon," he explained.

Spaccio said he chose to resign rather than incur the expense of defending himself.

"I make \$12,000 in my job; I'm not going to spend \$10,000 for a lawyer to defend me."

Spaccio also said he was fed up with the impacts of statewide bail reform. "You don't use bail as a punishment, but you should be able to put bail on people that need bail," he asserted. "We couldn't do anything and they were blaming judges for letting people go.'

Spaccio's been a justice of the Montour Falls Village Court since

November 2001, and a justice of the Montour Town Court since January 2005, with his terms expiring in March 2026 and December 2025, respectively.

The commission said he signed a stipulation agreeing never to seek or accept judicial office at any time in the future.

Commission administrator and counsel Robert H. Tembeckjian said in a statement:

"Judges may not engage in political activity except for a limited time when running for office, and even then there are constraints on what they may do. Whether or not a judge was a candidate, attending the rally in Washington on January 6, 2021, was impermissible. So is invoking one's judicial title in the midst a testy personal dispute."

Brian Lee can be reached at blee@alm.com.

# Associates

« Continued from page 6

a Mayer Brown summer helped draft an amicus brief opposing a state anti-abortion bill. "I got to take complete ownership of the assignment, within bounds that were clearly communicated to me from the start, and was able to create something I'm really proud of," the associate said. "I received overwhelmingly positive feedback on my work, which was very rewarding and reassuring.

Even more mundane matters for real clients were plenty to keep summer associates engaged. Numerous associates said they were surprised to be doing actual

legal work straight away, adding that they were comforted by the trust firms placed in them. "I was actually relied on to get work done on time for real matters, not just helping out with simple tasks," a Norton Rose summer said.

Come quittin' time, the most memorable experiences for summers frequently had a personal touch.

At Akin, summers went to see a partner's Green Day cover band perform. A Fisher & Phillips summer accidentally hit a partner in the face during pickleball. The Washington, D.C., office of Arnold & Porter hosted a French-themed event for the Paris Olympics complete with baguette fencing, legal textbook shot put and table tennis.

Firm leaders also played their

part. Hunton Andrews Kurth managing partner Samuel Danon took summer associates river rafting, while Morgan, Lewis & Bockius chair Jami McKeon hosted a party at her home for more than 100 summer associates, full-time associates and partners.

"Jami's ability to create such a welcoming atmosphere is a testament to the firm's values and the importance placed on building meaningful connections." the Morgan Lewis summer associate said. The experience was amazing and left a lasting impression, making me even more excited to start my career here."

Dan Roe can be reached at droe@alm.com.

651101/19 Chung Tai Printing

(china) v. Florence Paper Corp. 653439/24 Church St. Apt. Corp. v.

Liebert 450965/20NYC v. Crisari Rlty. Inc.

850231/22 Crown Bank v. 193 Henry St. LLC Et Al

159366/21 De Araujo v. Titanium

154545/24 Del Mundo v. Dd West

29th LLC C/o Douglaston Dev. Et

653312/24 Desai v. Ninety Five Wall

157287/20 Duchimaza v. Hp Linden

Const. Services, Inc. Et Al

651288/24Di Giulio v. Rizzo-

Blvd Housing 156659/24 Dumas v. NYC Et Al

150113/24 Eadicicco v. Citnalta

Const. Et Al 653982/23 Edward Tyler Nahem

654985/23 Eggerud v. West End 84 Units LLC Et Al

155906/24 Enobakhare v. NYCHA

151510/24 Fabers Arcade Inc. v. 799

Lex Rlty. Associates LLC Et Al 153645/22 Farmer v. Village Square

Services, Inc. v. Sevan Sevan,

190277/23 Ferreira v. Allied Bldg.

Prods. Corp. Et Al 161105/21Fifth Partners LLC v. Punch House Flatiron LLC Et Al

652006/24 Finkelstein v. Hsbc Bank

158792/18 First Majestic Silver v.

160071/21Flaherty v. Dixon 190093/16Fogel v. American Int'l 651081/24Forever Funding v. Nfx

32nd St. 150049/20 Galvan v. Ery Tenant LLC

156437/22 Garcia v. Simon 153179/19 Garcia v. 122-130 East

23rd St. LLC 950545/21 Garcia v. NYC Et Al

156105/21 Garcia v. The Board

of Mgrs. For Edgar House

Lease LLC Et Al 160166/20 Geloso v. Port Auth. of

155506/24 Georgia Properties

159649/22 Grange v. Metro.

Transportation Auth.

Health 154386/24 Grant v. Morrow

Inc. v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal

156010/23 Govt. Employees Ins. Co.

v. Angela Abramov Plischtejew

157973/24 Grant v. NYS Dept. of

654531/24 Greenwoods Equipment

158042/23 Hansoms Properties LLC

v. NYC Office of Administrative

Trials And Hearings (oath) 160390/23 Hardy v. NYCTA Et Al

Finance v. Lustre Skin Bar

152422/24 Gregory v. Bureau of Vital Statistics Et Al

100186/23 Gu v. Keita 654231/23 Hall v. Li

Condominium Et Al 153246/22 Gavilanes v. 919 Ground

Networks 157980/21 Fredericks v. 6 West

158553/23 Estevez v. Thomas

Pizza Prods. 654699/22 Fedex Corporate

Inc.

USA

Heitz

New

Al

St. LLC Et Al

Fine Art v. Lee

Mccabe

# Court Calendars

## **First Department**

APPELLATE DIVISION CALENDAR FOR THE OCTOBER TERM

**TUESDAY, OCT. 1** 

2 P.M. 19/5417 People v. Vincent Hanemann 24/2941 AIG Property v. MTS Power Systems

23/5645 H., Tyra v. Tariq M. 23/6793 Giuffre v. Oh 23/3425 Rodriguez v. Riverside

Center 23/5024 Koretz v. 363 East 76th St 22/5792 People v. Jose Perez 23/3172 State Farm v. Equinox 23/3968 Landa v. Friedman 24/640 Marshall v. City of NY 19/5058 People v. Angel Ramos 24/2623(2) Bapaz NYC v. Assa Properties 24/3704 Porter v. Bachner 24/370(2) U.S. Bank v. Mave Hotel

23/5605 609 Realty v. NYS Division of Housing 24/1878 Allen v. Allen 23/3967N Timoyanis v. Zhongmeng (U.S.A.) Co.

24/408N Acosta-Romero v. Fong WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2

2 P.M.

22/1872 People v. Domingo Rivera 24/1577 Mehmeti v. Karlin 24/920 A., Khiara 24/1853 Juman v. Cape Church 23/3690 Employers Insurance v. Dominion Insurance 22/3365 People v. Junior Colin 23/4487 Patterson Belknap v. HoganWillig, PLLC 23/5417 ACP Housing v. ABJ Milano 24/1110 Spicer v. Garda World 23/3854 De Souza v. Hudson Yard Construction 23/4475 People v. Deashae Calhoun 24/335 Espinal v. MPI Management 23/4912 Bertram v. Metropolitan Transportation 24/2812(2) Jones v. Jacobs 23/6521 Perez v. Citv of NY 23/2379N Dziura v. Human Development Association 23/6019(2)N Barons Media v. Shapiro Legal Group THURSDAY, OCT. 3 2 P.M. 19/2561 People v. Harvey Zimmerman 23/2252 East 85th Garage v. NYC Dept. of Buildings 23/4511(2) L., Tashawnda v. Ladarius P. 23/2724 Orenstein v. 301 E. 78 St. 23/3676 Krohn v. Reyes 19/5232 People v. Ibrahim Bah 24/950 French v. NYS Dept. of Labor 24/2787 Macauley v. New Line Structures 23/5274 Zhong Lun Law Firm LLC v

Zhong Lun Law Firm 23/4499 People v. Lockett Curtis 23/4867 Yarusso v. Sewell 24/1881 Noyack Medical v. Osk IX 24/2610 Rivera v. F & S Contracting 23/5329 Tibbs v. De'Longhi America 23/5368N Parker v. Trustees of the

Spence School 24/710N Bey v. City of NY 23/3953N Grgurev v. Licul TUESDAY, OCT. 8

23/6072 O., Olivia 24/1465 DeOleo v. 90 Fifth Owner

18/2149 People v. Jamie Guerrero

23/4115 Protetch v. Jocar Realty

23/448 People v. Miracle Wilkins

23/6390(2) Gilchrist v. Your First

Home 24/2447 Innovative Securities v.

23/4432 Starnella v. Ganti

2 P.M. 22/5122 People v. John Curtis 23/3150 Anonymous v. Anonymous

23/3450 Smith v. City of NY 23/3819 Arel Capital Partners v. HFZ RES Portfolio 21/4139 People v. Calvin Lili 23/5620 AL Infinity v. Innovative Concepts 23/5507 Bonilla v. Vaszer 24/290(3) A&A Management v. Khassidov

23/4037 Polymetcor Trading v. Traxys North America 19/4777 People v. Hamidou Diallo 23/6016 Chiarovano v. 237 Park

23/4810 Cioppa v. ESRT 112 W 34th 24/34 Legal Aid Society v. Records

Access Officer 23/5559 Fatty v. City of NY 19/5397 People v. Kevin R. 24/259N Ogando v. 40 X Owner 23/3367N Marcus v. Marcus WEDNESDAY, OCT. 16

2 P.M. 23/5178 People v. J. Ledesma 23/4630 Shanghai Pearls & Gems v.

Paul 23/3982 M., Children 24/2438 Dali NYC v. Shav 24/261 Newson v. Vivaldi Real

Estate 18/4545 People v. Jose Urena 24/1692(2) Regions Bank v. Vativorx LLC 23/4940 Rysiejko v. City of NY 23/6079 Pressley v. 535 Greenwich LLC 23/6225 Howard v. NYC Police Department 23/4055 People v. Larick Micheaux 23/5919 GIT, Inc. v. Ouinn 24/2510 Cartagena v. Hansford 23/4006 Zatizabal v. Citv of NY 23/25 People v. Mamadou Diallo 23/5599(2) 333 Johnson v. Maple 333 Johnson 23/4145N Amcojor Realty Corp. v.

Butter Management 23/5707N Bacon v. Nygard THURSDAY, OCT. 17

2 P.M. 19/5568 People v. Shakim Pierce 23/6200 NYCTL 2019-A Trust v. Opulski 23/4301 D., Leyda v. Richard L. 24/164 A. M. v. Sconzo 23/2259 Lind v. Tishman Construction 23/3035 Antonio v. VS 125 LLC 23/5421(1) \*PHC William v. 156 William St 23/5561(1) \*PHC William St v. 156 William St 23/1262 Ymaj v. Empire State 22/2667 People v. Maurice Hill 23/3739 Onofre v. 243 Riverside Drive 22/4563 People v. Royce Corely 23/4957(2) CSN Realty Corp v. 2252 Third Ave 20/1800 People v. Thurston Stewart 23/3585N HSBC Bank v. Proctor 23/4126(2)N 361 Broadway Assoc v. Foundations Group 23/5581N Wilmington Savings v. Moretta

24/196N Chen v. 215 Chrystie Venture

#### TUESDAY, OCT. 22 2 P.M.

22/5128 People v. Alexander Carno 24/2176 Clarke v. NYC Transit Authority 24/818 I., Jahir v. Sharon W. hold a public hearing on Legislative and Executive 23/4281 Kim v. Francis 23/3209 ROC-Le Triomphe v. compensation. Concept Salon 23/3177 Kalaf v. PSEG Long Island WHEN: Thursday, October 10, 2024 B Public Hearing 23/1437(1) \*People v. Malik Branch 23/4114(1) \*People v. Malik Branch TIME: 12:00 Noon 23/4605 Martinez v. Partnership 92 23/2990 Stuyvesant Town v. NYS Division Housing 24/1605 Atlantic Center v. City of NY 23/5468 Lauren v. Hotel Pennsylvania 24/3(2) AC 31, LLC. v. Fawer 23/4968(2) Gama v. 2001 Story Tower 23/3832 Doxiadis v. Triborough Bridge 21/2099 People v. Donald Davis 24/712(2)NOuik Park v. Bridgewater Operating 23/4333N Cadwalader v. Mod Champagne WEDNESDAY, OCT. 23 2 P.M. 23/4974 People v. Antoine Galloway 24/410 Pierre, an Infant v. City of 23/4149 E., Ardes v. Stephane S. 23/5006 Betancourt v. ARC NYC123 Corp. 23/3860(1) \*Kosachuk v. 9197-5904 Ouebec 23/3869(1) \*Kosachuk v. 9197-5904 Ouebec 23/716 People v. Abraham Hernandez 23/3788 Caminiti v. Extell West 57th St. 24/908 Largo 613 v. Stern 23/3814 Irvine v. City of NY 19/1453(1) People v. Robert Ortiz 23/4650(3) Pepen v. Lascano 23/5034 2497 Realty Corp. v. Fuertes 23/6113 Jenkins v. Gina B. 20/2115 People v. Ross Campbell 23/3342N Robert Marson Testamentary v. 4 W. 16th St 24/2698(2)N Guerra v. Munoz Corporan 24/3621N Duncan v. United Capital THURSDAY, OCT. 24 2 P.M. 18/2647 People v. Robert Hinton 24/4527 Hendricks v. Fennel 22/3611 People v. Jabon Walker 24/1101(2) Bayview Loan v. Dalal 23/3759 Escolastico v. Rigs Management 23/2886 Orr v. Vornado Realty 23/4633(2) People v. Kiron Ritchens 23/3571 Santana v. San Mateo LLC Construction 23/6037 Doe v. Young People's Chorus 23/4148 Starr Indemnity v. Monte 24/488 People v. Terrance Graham 23/6520(2) HMC Assets v. Tsimmer 23/6265 Schmidt v. Board of Directors 23/4936 Fishman v. Isales 23/6382 Travalja v. 135 West 52nd 23/3179 Yakte Properties v. Milner 23/6761(3)N Ray v. Ray 23/1831(2)N Cumma v. Menkes Kushnir \* \* \* The following cases have been

scheduled for pre-argument confer

ence on the dates and at the times

indicated:

#### NOTES U RT 0 С

#### **U.S. COURT OF APPEALS** FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

**Applications Being Sought for Bankruptcy** Judgeship

Application Deadline is Oct. 11

The United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit invites applications from qualified candidates for a 14-year appointment as United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Eastern District of New York, with a duty station in Central Islip, New York. The selection process will be confidential and competitive. Applicants will be considered without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, sexual orientation, or disability.

The current annual salary of a United States Bankruptcy Judge is \$223,836.

The Second Circuit uses an open and competitive selection process. All applications are screened by a Merit Selection Committee. The Committee will review applicants using the following criteria: legal competence evidenced by experience with complex legal issues; an aptitude for legal scholarship and writing; familiarity with the courts and court processes; commitment to equal justice under the law; characteristics indicative of a sound judicial temperament; a reputation for integrity, good character and ethical behavior; and physical and mental health sufficient to meet the demands and tenure of the position. The Merit Selection Committee will select a limited number of applicants for interview and will conduct appropriate due diligence inquiries into the candidates' backgrounds and qualifications. Upon a majority vote of the Second Circuit Judicial Council the Council will forward the Merit Selection Committee's Report with any recommendations or comments to the active judges of the Court of Appeals. The selected nominee will be required to satisfy FBI and IRS background investigations prior to appointment.

Basic qualifications for consideration include:

1. Membership in good standing of at least one state bar, the District of Columbia bar, or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico bar, and never other than membership in good standing of every bar of which the applicant has been a member; and

2. A minimum of five years of legal practice experience.

Application forms are posted on the Court's website at http://www.ca2.uscourts.gov or may be obtained by calling (212) 857-8700.

Completed application packages must be in the format required by the Second Circuit and received no later than October 11, 2024

#### **NEW YORK STATE COMMISSION ON LEGISLATIVE,** JUDICIAL AND EXECUTIVE **COMPENSATION**

#### Hearing Notice: October 10

WHAT: The New York State Commission on Legislative. Judicial and Executive Compensation will

This hearing will be viewable online via a live webcast. Information on remote reviewing will be posted at: http://www.nyscommissiononcompensation.org on the day of the meeting.

If you would like to testify, please contact the Commission in advance by email, at: nyscompensation@ gmail.com or by regular mail, at: NYS Commission on Legislative, Judicial and Executive Compensation, 64 Beaver Street, Box 454, New York, NY 10004. Interested parties and members of the public may also submit written submissions to nyscompensation@ gmail.com or by regular mail (address above). Written submissions will be posted on the Commission=s website.

In March of 2015, Part E of chapter 60 of the Laws of 2015 was enacted, providing for a quadrennial commission to Aexamine, evaluate and make recommendations with respect to adequate levels of compensation and non-salary benefits@ for judges, members of the Legislature and certain Statewide elected officials and Executive Branch officers named in Executive Law '169. The Commission was charged, first, with issuing Afindings, conclusions, determinations and recommendations@ to the Governor, the Legislature and the Chief Judge with regard to judicial compensation. That report was released on December 4, 2023. A separate report, relating to legislative and executive compensation, is due by November 15, 2024. Any recommendations would take effect on January 1, 2025.

Chapter 60 sets forth a number of factors to guide the Commission=s work of determining appropriate salary levels, including, but not limited to, the overall economic climate in New York; rates of inflation; changes in public sector spending; levels of compensation and non-salary benefits received by professionals in government, academia and private and nonprofit enterprise; and the state=s ability to fund increases in compensation.

As prescribed in chapter 60, the Commission consists of seven members appointed by the leaders of all three branches of New York State government. Three members are appointed by the Governor; two (including the Chair) by the Chief Judge; and one each by the President Pro Tem of the Senate and the Speaker of the Assembly. The findings and recommendations require a majority vote but they must also be supported Aby at least one member appointed by each appointing authority.@ The Commission=s Chair shall preside but not vote on matters relating to legislative and executive compensation.

The Commission may recommend up to two adjustments in legislative and executive salary levels, each commencing on January first, following a November general election of members of the Legislature. The Commission is deemed dissolved following issuance of the report due no later than November 15, 2024.

#### **U.S. DISTRICT COURT** Eastern District

#### Applications Being Accepted for Magistrate Judge Positions in Brooklyn **Deadline is Oct. 23**

Chief Judge Margo K. Brodie announced today that the Judges of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York have appointed a Merit Selection Panel composed of attorneys and residents of the district. (See Administrative Order No. 2024-14 on the district's website.) The Panel will consider candidates for three United States Magistrate Judge vacancies in Brooklyn, New York, for eight (8)-year terms, to be created by the retirement of U.S. Magis-

Judicial Hearing Officers Part 91 Hon. C. Ramos Part 93 Hon. Marin Part 95 Hon. Edmead SUPREME COURT **Motion Calendars** 

Room 130, 9:30 A.M. **60** Centre Street

SUPREME COURT **Motion Dispositions** from Room 130 **60 Centre Street** 

Calendars in the Motion Submission Part (Room 130) show the index number and caption of each and the disposition thereof as marked on the Room 130 calendars. The calendars in use are a Paper Motions Calendar, E-Filed Motions Calendar, and APB (All Papers By)Calendar setting a date for submission of a miss ing stipulation or motion paper. With respect to motions filed with Request for Judicial Intervention, counsel in e-filed cases will be notified by e-mail through NYSCEF of the Justice to whom the case has been assigned. In paper cases, counsel should sign up for the E-Track service to receive e-mail notification of the assignment and other developments and schedules in their cases. Immediately following is a key that explains the markings used by the Clerk in Room 130.

Motion Calendar Key: ADJ—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Courtroom

(Room 130). ARG-Scheduled for argument for date and part indicated. SUB (PT #)-Motion was submitted to part noted. WDN—Motion was withdrawn on

calendar call. SUB/DEF—Motion was submitted on default to part indicated. APB (All Papers By)—This

motion is adjourned to Room 119 on date indicated, only for

submission of papers. SUBM 3—Adjourned to date indicated in Submission Court Room

(Room 130) for affirmation or so ordered stipulation.

S—Stipulation. Consent.

C MOTION—Adjourned to Commercial Motion Part Calendar.

NY

FINAL-Adjournment date is final

#### **60 CENTRE** STREET

Submissions Part MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Submission

1 100083/24 De La Rosa v. NY Presbyterian Hosp. 2 100610/23 Watkins v. Nordstroms

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

155065/24189 Ave C B H v. Green

652475/2327-21 27th St. Sponsors

654793/23320 West 87 LLC v. 320

652796/234 Central Plaza Hldgs. v.

v. Saaj Two LLC Et Al

House 2023 Corp. Et Al

West 87th St., Inc.

v. Kanta

155865/24 Hayes v. Sydney 158229/22 Hereford Ins. Co. v. 167 Store And Staff TUESDAY, OCT. 1

Ry Inc Ft Al 150892/24 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Atherton 152844/24 Hernandez v. Southern

Submission Land Co. 154458/22 Hossain v. Diaz 1 100716/24 Knights v. City Univ. of

652410/24 Hume St. Mgt. Consultants Ltd. v. Tower Mgt. Paperless Judge Part 652134/24110 West 40 Hldgs. LLC

Hldgs. 655273/23 Hundred Horizons LLC v. The Board of Mgrs. of The Village Green West Condominium A/k/a Village Green West Condominium Board of Mgrs. 57870/24 in The Application of 706 Drive LLC NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal 157670/24 in The Matter of The Application of F.J.H. Rlty. Inc. v. NYC Loft Board Et Al 650115/23 Integon Nat. Ins. Co. v. Zheng 155825/21 Jacob Auslander As Administrator of The Estate of Gary Auslander v. NYC Et Al 950242/20 Josev v. NYC Et Al 656349/21 Kapitus Servicing, Inc. v. Randol 651882/23 Kataman Metals v. Macquarie Futures USA 160854/17 Klg Jewelry LLC v. 706 Madison LLC 156820/23 Knaster v. Connaught Tower Corp. Et Al 153313/23 Krentsel Guzman Herbert v. The Law Offices of Denis J. Kennedy 651456/18 L. Raphael NYC C1 Corp. v. Solow Bldg. Co. 151900/24 Lantigua Vargas v. 200 Wadsworth Ave. Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al 152361/18 Leon v. Ddg 100 Franklin LLC 151729/17 Lewis v. Hallen Const. Co. 653206/23 Linden Associates L.P. Et Al v. Shepherd Buccini 950268/20 Lopez v. NYC Et Al 158514/21 Lopez v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 652278/23 Lu v. Zhu 153568/22 Luvera v. NYC 950130/21 M. v. NYC 650906/23 Madison 45 LLC v. Campbell 161223/19 Mather v. Hfz Kik 30th St. Owner LLC 157733/23 Mayer v. Lash Decor & Spa Inc. Et Al 159414/21 McLaughlin v. NY Belmont Partners 158399/18 McMurray v. Cp V. Ts Fulton Owner 656782/21 Meimaris v. Royce 159639/17 Melendez v. NYCHA 159417/22 Mitchell v. About Your Skin... LLC Et Al 451898/18 Morel v. NYCTA 157653/23 Mosley v. Records Access Officer 150862/24 Muy Loja v. 829 Park Ave. Corp. 950428/21N. v. NYC 655518/23 Naghavi v. Douglas 651577/24Nbg Partners Usb v. Smith 650802/24 Nyff Events v. Christmas House USA, Inc. 156035/21 Okai v. West 108th St. Hdfc., Inc. 650217/23 Omansky v. 300-302 East 119 St. Hdfc Et Al 160684/20 Ortiz v. NYCTA 152008/21 Pacific Indemnity Co A/s/o Theodore Hovivian And Marianne Hovivian v. Jp Roche Inc. 156551/21 Parris v. Reyes 655002/22 Penske v. B. Riley Financial, Inc. Et Al 450750/24 People of The State of NY v. Yellowstone Capital LLC Et Al 950328/21 Perez v. NYC Et Al 158280/22 Perez v. NYCHA 159223/17 Pfeifer v. Academy Express 161909/23 Pichardo v Action Environmental Services, Inc. Et Al 156941/24 Plant Craft Foods, Inc. v.

Acuna

OBEX Securities 22/2008 People v. Austin Johnson 24/169 Ocean Trails v. MLN TopCo 23/6456 Commissioners State Ins. v. Z Builders Assoc. 24/743 Pena v. Rhodes 2 23/2802 Wykstra v. 304-306 East 83rd 23/4804N Messer v. Hughes 24/1005N Orellana v. 115 Enterprises Group 24/869(2)NAntoniello v. Santelmo WEDNESDAY, OCT. 9 2 P.M. 23/1425 People v. Darren Cassanova 24/105 Good Gateway v Thakkar 22/4782 C., Stephanie v. Ricardo E. 24/27 Adebanio v. Johnson 23/6237 Jera-Salazar v. 250 Park 23/163 People v. Jair Garcia 23/5694 Ganieva v. Black 23/6575 Williams v. Mount Sinai Health System 24/3079 OH 126th St. Housing v. Berkley Insurance 23/3946 Melikov v. 66 Overlook Terrace 18/2387 People v. Feliks Kayumov 23/2984 Ingram, Yuzek v. McCullar 23/5509 Davis v. YMCA 24/2729 Fouad v. Milton Hershey School 23/5010 ARCPE1 v. Public Service Mutual 23/4477 People v. Elik Johnson 23/5414N Aristocrat Plastic v. Silva 23/2865N Farrell Limousine v. Macro Consultants THURSDAY, OCT. 10 2 P.M. 19/3962 People v. Marcos Cruceta Castillo 23/4935 Saquisili v. Harlem Urban Dev. 23/1803 M., Denim 23/3728 H. W., by Guardian v. NYC Dept. of Housing 23/2172 Ortiz v. Country Beer 23/3771 People v. Eli Kirlew 23/5190 Thorobird Grand v. M. Melnick & Co. 23/2419 Delcid v. Park Ave 24/3815 Ruiz v. BOP 245 Park 23/4857 People v. Christopher Hernandez 24/3740 International Business v GlobalFoundries 23/4712 Celestine v. Bonte 23/5700 Adler Windows v. Freidheim 23/4022 Snazzi Reporting v. Veritext 23/6409 ARK644 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 18/3135 People v. Hockeem Smith 23/3651N Vaccaro v. ESRT Empire State Building 24/3826N Wasserstein v. McCarthy TUESDAY, OCT. 15 2 P.M.

17/3013(1) People v. Corey King 23/6470 Ramirez v. 34-10 Development 23/4902 D., Muhamede v. Shanice M.

WHERE: New York State Bar Association, 1 Elk Street, Albany, New York 12207. City View Room Renwick, P.J., Manzanet, Kapnick, Webber and Kern, JJ. MONDAY SEPT. 30 9:30 A.M. 809537/23 Energo LLC v. Vataj **TUESDAY, OCT. 1** 10 A.M. 159627/22 Emmanual Associates v. Cullinan 11:30 A.M. 150293/20 Gould v. OTG Management 1 P.M. 654796/23 Owens v. New Empire WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 10 A.M. 35469/18 Wells Fargo Bank v. Guzman 11:30 A.M. 157465/21 Wyper v. Irani THURSDAY, OCT. 3 10 A.M. 653772/23 Barger v. Malkin FRIDAY, OCT. 4 10 A.M. 650126/23 Penn Hotel v. JCMC W. 34 Mezz MONDAY, OCT. 7 12 P.M. 652140/24 Lifshitz v. Brody 2 P.M. 653661/20 Simon Property v. Tahari WEDNESDAY, OCT. 9 10 A.M. 653023/2021 Pavarini McGovern, LLC v. VBGO Collegiate THURSDAY, OCT. 10 10 A.M. 655311/23 Arena Limited v. Chalets FRIDAY, OCT. 11 10 A.M. 656758/22 Triple 555 v. United Garment Group TUESDAY, OCT. 15 10 A.M. 650698/22 CLSA Americas v. Mayo THURSDAY,, OCT. 17 10 A.M. 651076/23 Great Rock Capital v. Banmiller 655306/18 American Infertility v. **MONDAY, NOV. 4** 10 A.M.

650693/21 Pardee v. Mercury

Capital Advisors

APPELLATE TERM 60 Centre Street Room 401 10 A.M. The following cases are on for submission. No appearance is necessary. **TUESDAY. OCT. 1** Tisch, J.P., James, JJ, Perez, JJ. 17/176 People v. Balla, Tounkara 18/275 People v. Doli, Isaac Longmore, 18/340 People v. Marlando 19/237 People v. Jimenez Vasquez, Wilhim 19/246 People v. Marte/Flores, Jefry 19/253 People v. Johnson, Willie 20/174 People v. Lopez, Placido 21/012 People v. Quist, Andrew 21/013 People v. Balmos, Jonathan 22/084 People v. Jacobs, Cleveland 23/036 People v. Encarnacion, Franklin 23/063 People v. Muller, Victoria 23/065 People v. Quiroz, Richard 24/081 Chew v. Mckenzie, Michael Eddie 24/093 1711 Boone Avenue Alhudais, Eisa Dba 24/094/096 Vno Lf 50 West 57th v. Mangia 57 Inc 24/097 Pentagram Design v. Change Of Heart 24/104/106 Aryeh Realty v. 18 E. 69th St Tenant Llc 24/107 Corp. v. T Mobile Wolt, Danna Gal **Busy preparing** for trial? Need an expert witness? **ALMEXDOR** is FREE to help. · FREE access to thousands of listings of legal experts nationwide in thousands of specialties • FREE searches by name, region and area of expertise FREE access to experts' resumes FREE contact information -- get in touch with experts quickly and directly ALM.EXPERTS almexperts.com

trate Judges Lois Bloom and Cheryl L. Pollak, effective May 17, 2025 and August 1, 2025, respectively, and by the appointment of U.S. Magistrate Judge Sanket J. Bulsara as United States District Judge, effective on the date of the signing of the Presidential Commission in December of 2024. All applications for the magistrate judge vacancies must be received by October 23, 2024. The **New York** County **SUPREME COURT Ex-Parte Motion Part** And **Special Term** Part **Ex-Parte Motions** Room 315, 9:30 A.M. **Special Term Proceedings** Unsafe Buildings Bellevue Psychiatric Center Kirby Psychiatric Center Metropolitan Hospital Manhattan Psychiatric Center Bellevue Hospital The following matters were assigned to the Justices named below. These actions were assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on the date indicated and the Request for Judicial Intervention forms that have been filed in the court with such initial activ ity in the case. All Justices. assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. In addition. listed below is information on Judicial Hearing Officers. Mediation, and Special Referees. **IAS PARTS** 2 Sattler, J.: 212 (60 Centre) 3 Cohen, J.: 208 (60 Centre) 4 Kim: 308 (80 Centre) 5 Kingo: 320 (80 Centre) 6 King: 351 (60 Centre) 7 Lebovits: 345 (60 Centre) 8 Kotler: 278 (80 Centre) 9 Waterman-Marshall: 355 (60 Centre) 11 Frank: 412 (60 Centre) 12 Stroth: 328 (80 Centre) 13 Silvera: 422 (60 Centre) 14 Bluth: 432 (60 Centre) 15 James, T.: 438 (60 Centre) 17 Hagler: 335 (60 Centre) 18 Tisch: 104 (71 Thomas) 19 Sokoloff: 540 (60 Centre) 20 Kaplan: 1227 (111 Centre) 21 Tsai: 280 (80 Centre) 22 Clynes: 136 (80 Centre) 23 Schumacher 304 (71 Thomas) 77R Bahr: Room 300 24 Katz: 325 (60 Centre)

25 Tingling: 1254 (111 Centre) 26 Perry, P: 684 (111 Centre) 27 Sharpe: 1045 (111 Centre) 28 Headley: 122 (80 Centre) 29 Ramirez: 311 (71 Thomas) 30 McMahon: Virtual (60 Centre) 32 Kahn: 1127B (111 Centre) 33 Rosado: 442 (60 Centre)
34 Ramseur: 341 (60 Centre)
35 Dominguez: 289 (80 Centre) 36 Saunders: 205 (71 Thomas) 37 Engoron: 418 (60 Centre) 38 Nock: 1166 (111 Centre) 39 Adams: 623 (111 Centre) 41 Moyne: 327 (80 Centre) 42 Morales-Minera: 574 (111 Centre) 43 Reed: 222 (60 Centre) 44 Pearlman: 321 (60 Centre) 45 Patel: 428 (60 Centre) 46 Latin: 210 (71 Thomas) 47 Goetz: 1021 (111 Centre) 48 Masley: 242 (60 Centre) 49 Chan: 252 (60 Centre) 51 Chesler: 543 (60 Centre) 52 Johnson: 307 (80 Centre) 53 Borrok: 238 (60 Centre) 54 Schecter: 228 (60 Centre) 55 d'Auguste: 103 (71 Thomas) 56 Kelly: 204 (71 Thomas) 57 Kraus: 218 (60 Centre) 58 Cohen, D.: 305 (71 Thomas) 60 Crane: 248 (60 Centre) 61 Bannon: 232 (60 Centre) 59 James, D.: 331 (60 Centre) 62 Sweeting: 279 (80 Centre) MFPKahn: 1127B (111 Centre) MMSP-1: 1127B (111 Centre) IDV Dawson: 1604 (100 Centre) PART 40TR JUDICIAL MEDIATION **On Rotating Schedule:** Kanlan 1227 (111 Centre) Silvera 422 (60 Centre) EARLY SETTLEMENT ESC 1 Vigilante 106(80 Centre) ESC 2 Wilkenfeld 106 (80 Centre) SPECIAL REFEREES 60 Centre Street 73R Santiago: Room 354 75R Burzio: Room 240 80R Edelman: Room 562 82R Wohl: Room 501B 83R Sambuco: Room 528 84R Feinberg: Room 641 85R Shamahs: Room 324 88R Lewis-Reisen: Room 324 JHO/SPECIAL REFEREES 80 Centre Street 81R Hewitt: Room 321 87R Burke: Room 238 89R Hoahng: Room 236

SPECIAL REFEREE

71 Thomas Street

Bertel Dev. 152074/24455 Quincy Deli Corp. v. Tekcard, Inc. Et Al 158894/19517-525 West 45 LLC v. Kelley 950886/21A. v. NYC 650875/24 Academic Health Professionals Ins. Assoc. - A Reciprocal Insurer v. Singh Ahluwalia 153453/19Admiral Indemnity Co. v. Klein 156980/24 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. A/s/o Aaron R. Harrison v. Kasap 654238/24 Alpine Advance 5 LLC v. Cam's Transport Co. Et Al 150928/22 Alsaffar v. 44 Dev. LLC 152571/23 American Express Nat. Bank v. Lee 151531/24 American Express Nat. Bank v. Petan 656015/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Bvrd 655981/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Cummings 656016/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Reyes Ramirez 655986/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Simon 152164/22 Amerimax Capital v. Kravitz 654155/24 Application of Daniel Robert Klemm For An Order Pursuant To Article 75 of The Cplr Confirming An Arbitration Award v. Centaurus Financial Inc. Et Al 157309/24 Aguino v. Amazon.Com Services LLC Et Al 157980/24 Aulston v. NYS Office of Unclaimed Funds Et Al 160038/20 Avella v. Sweenev & Conroy 161420/18 Batista v. Metro. Transportation 650257/22 Beauty Hldgs. LLC v. Saks & Co. LLC Et Al 650560/23 Bechtel Infrastructure And Power Corp. Et Al v. Mattawoman Energy 157200/24 Bellevue South Associates v. Td Bank 155655/23 Bidot v. Oneill 655617/21 Board of Mgrs. of The 432 Park Condominium v. 56th And Park (NY) Owner 155767/24 Board of Mgrs. of Village Green West Condominium v. Hundred Horizons LLC Et Al 190295/23 Brenda Bost v. Conopco, Inc., A Subsidiary of Unilever Home & Personal Care USA, Sued Individually And As Successor-In-Interest To Chesebrough Manufacturing Co. A/k/a Chesebrough-Pond's USA Co. Et AL 158063/24 Brown v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al 654561/22 Bull Hill v. Hfz Member Rb Portfolio LLC Et Al 158681/23 Burnell v. NYCHA 156475/24 Calise v. Adolfo Carrion 156476/24 Camilo v. Saico 154454/21 Carchi v. Uws Prop. Owner LLC Et Al 651382/23 Card Payment Services LLC v. NYC Gourmet Deli Inc. D/b/a NYC Gourmet Deli Et Al 652832/24 Chayo v. Cottingham 158399/22 Cheick Konate And Awa Konate As Administrators of The Estates of Alissata Kante And Adiaratou Kourouma Et Al v 1829-1835 7 LLC 158213/24 Chua v. Huang



650593/24 Reliable Exports Ltd Et

Al v. Haselson Int'l Trading, Inc.

101253/23 Richardson v. Twu Local

190150/19 Rosemary Torio v. A.O.

190040/20 Savianeso v. Aerco Int'l

652173/24 Scher v. I.J. Peiser's

Sons, Inc. 190270/19 Scheriff v. A.O. Smith

190225/21 Schwartz v. Aerco Int'l,

152609/23 Sonaike v. The Fortune

Society, Inc. 190125/23 Spinelli v. A.O. Smith

Corp. Et Al 190049/19 Tirado v. Amchem

Groundco LLC Et Al

Peretz

Prod.s, Inc. 162005/23 Tractel Ltd. v. 20 Tsq

190071/19Vincifora v. 3m Co.

160232/23 Whitfield v. Institute For

Community Living, Inc. 190037/23 Woitovich v. A.O. Smith

Motion

190245/21 Gottlieb v. A.O. Smith

190065/24 Kmiotek v. A.O. Smith

190219/21 Mata v. Air & Liquid

190273/23 Prestia v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al

190322/23 Rajcevich v. Mrc Hldgs.,

Inc. As Successor To Primerica

Part 14

Justice Arlene P. Bluth

**60 Centre Street** 

Phone 646-386-3219

Room 432

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

652658/2275 First Ave. Club LLC v

Unted Glass Systems Corp. Et Al

158042/23 Hansoms Properties LLC

v. NYC Office of Administrative

Trials And Hearings (oath) 152361/18 Leon v. Ddg 100 Franklin

157653/23 Mosley v. Records Access

157838/21 NY Marine And General

Ins. Co. Et Al v. Clear Blue Ins.

157308/18 Sara's Studios v. Sparkle

Automobile Ins. Co. v. Advantage

159124/19O'Brien v. One Penn

158399/18 McMurray v. Cp V. Ts

152796/2245 John NY LLC v.

156938/22875 Tenant Corp. v.

Voutsina

Abrams

LLC

Officer

Co. Et Al

Plaza LLC

World LLC

Radiology

654161/22 Stagen v. Neu

158590/21 State Farm Mutual

650859/23 Structure Tone, Inc. v

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

650580/23 Aicon Art LLC v. Aicon

Training v. Lutke 153181/18 Gumersell v. Port Auth.

Services Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al

Part 15

Justice Ta-Tanisha D. James

60 Centre Street

Phone 646-386-4462

Room 438

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

365568/21 Wolfson-Moche v. Moche

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

320243/21 Baksh v. Baksh

322821/21 Foster v. Foster

Dominguez

Franklin v. Emft

LLC

Rehabilitation

Bannerman

Bannerman

651398/21 Definitions Private

652826/22 Pro Camps v. Public

Scottsdale Ins. Co. Et Al

656358/22 Yang v. Hall

Contemporary LLC

Fulton Owner

Water Prods. Co Et Al

Water Prods. Co., Et Al

Water Prods. Co Et Al

Water Prods. Co Et Al

Systems Corp.

151787/20 Shon v. Humble Tv

Smith Water Prods. Co

Water Prods. Co

Inc. Et Al

Postal Et Al

153999/22 Plauzio v. 509 W 34 152587/22 Polanco v. Six Ten Mgt. Corp. Et Al 652171/24 Police Benevolent Assoc of The NYC, Inc. Et Al v. NYC Et Al 157533/24 Quishpi Tenezaca v. NYC 654375/24 Real Estate Sales Force, Inc. v. Mercer Partners Int'l 160830/21 Ripp v. 1350 B'way. LLC Et Al 152555/21 Rivera v. Ail Interiors Corp. 653650/24 Rodriguez v. Rf3 Properties Investor 3 LLC Et Al Westchester, Inc. 157308/18 Sara's Studios v. Sparkle World LLC 157168/22 Saunders Ir v NYC Et Al 157203/22 Schumacher v. 509 W 34 154395/24 Segura v. Tao Group, Inc Et Al 650617/24 Sendibel Trading v. Petroleos De Venezuela 652861/24 Shapiro v. Phillips 805353/22 Shields v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. (harlem Hosp. Center) Et Al 650223/21 Solidx Mgt. LLC v. Vaneck Securities Corp. 152076/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Henry 650859/23 Structure Tone, Inc. v. Scottsdale Ins. Co. Et Al 156388/24 Sudnik v. NYC 650369/24 Surrey v. Surrey 155374/24 Tao Group Operating LLC v. Roman 161060/23 Telesco v. Awr Group, Inc. Et Al 652073/24 The Ategrity Specialty Ins. Co. v. La Rocca Mgt. LLC Et 155154/24 The James N. Karas Revocable Trust Et Al v. Hoppe 156944/24 The Legal Aid Society v. Records Access Officer 651939/24 Tillis v. Zar Rlty. NY LLC Et Al 652859/23 Tokio Marine Specialty Ins. Co. v. Allied World Assurance Co. (u.S.), Inc. Et Al 158015/24 Toribio v. NYC Et Al 652052/24 Valentine & Fordham LLC v. Reimagine Excellence & Achievement Consultant House 850126/24 Valley Nat. Bank v. W29 534 Highline Owners LLC Et Al 153810/22 Villada v. Pollack 159680/22 Vincent v. 1374 Third Ave. Inc. Et Al 654143/24Waheed v. Burke 160257/23 Walford v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al 129 LLC

157017/20Washington v. Metro 158227/22Wayne v. NYC Et Al 154172/23Wesco Ins. Co. v. Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. 100089/24Wexler v. Minc 652047/24 Windermere Owners LLC v. Isaacson 151746/24 Woodbury v. John Doe

Inc. D/b/a Thai Therapy Service Et Al **TUESDAY, OCT. 1** 

850138/2357th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Gilson 850345/2357th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Tauscher 151041/24670 River Rlty. Corp. Et Al v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal 850233/18938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffcrest Housing 320665/23 Aguiar v. Soares 154638/24 Aharoni v. Kaymak 654286/24 Akf Inc v. Sassy Engines Inc. Et Al 654289/24 Akf Inc v. Wagers Trailer Sales, Inc. Et Al 653893/23 Akf Inc. v. Louisa Ridge Adult Day Services, Inc., Et Al 152580/24 Alvi v. S.M.G. Supply Inc. Et Al 655226/20 American Express Nat. Bank v. Puente Enterprises, Inc. D.B.A. Sky Canyon Love 656155/20 American Express Nat. Bank v. Puente Enterprises, Inc. D/b/a Sky Canvon Love Et Al 653845/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Margarita Taxi Inc. 950051/19 Ark59 v. Archdiocese of NY 651372/24 Atlantic Specialty Ins. Co. v. Irws 950499/21B v NYC 155493/22 Baerga v. NYC Et Al 653565/19Baratov v. Trubitsky Esq 151637/21Barba v. F. J. Sciame Const 113795/11 Beverley Hotel Associates v. Ramos De Almeida 157377/23 Bldg Mgt. Co., Inc. v. Sureka 152565/23 Board of Mgrs. of Towers on The Park Condominium v Williams 157149/22 Brache-Moran v. Stf 247 Audubon Ave. Hldg. LLC 190328/23 Calabrese v. Pfizer, Inc., Et Al 152050/22 Calderoni v. 260 Park Ave. South Condominium Et Al 154986/24 Castagliola v. Safepan Scaffolding LLC Et Al 156065/22 Cianfrone v. 590 Madison Ave 155983/24 Coburn v. NYC Dept. of Investigation 155441/22 Colon v. Chesapeake Owners Corp. Et Al 952052/23 Crawford v. Ratner 651485/24 Crews Vineyard v. Cp3 Hldgs. 950246/21 D. v. NYC 653231/24 Dist. Council 37 v. The Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al 652895/24 Dolgopolov v. Dronsky 654099/23 Empire State Diving Services LLC v. Endurance American Ins. Co 805045/24 Estate of Judith Brook Et Al v. Ruotolo Esq 158777/22 Eusebio v. Figueroa 653563/24 Family Funding Group LLC v. The Chosen Vision Group Al LLC Et Al 152524/23 Fanas-Rojas v. Cylear 158166/24 Fecteau v. NYC Human Resources Admin. 653626/23 French v. NYS Dept. of Labor Et Al 150063/24 Garvin Const. Prods. v. Mastro Brothers, Inc. Et Al 950759/21 Goldberg v. City of New York Et Al 153213/23 Gresseau v. NYCTA Et Al 157942/21 Guayara v. H.P.S.O.N.Y., 153181/18 Gumersell v. Port Auth. of NY 157326/22 Gutenbrunner v. Neue Galerie NY 190026/22 Hall v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et 153653/21 Hernandez Pena v. Metro. Transportation 850141/20 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Mitchell 157677/24 in The Matter of The Application of Michael Mojtahedi v. Christopher Craddock 653274/24 in The Matter of The Petition of State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Laycock 156313/24 Infinity Standard Ins. Co. v. Triborough Asc 653644/24 Itria Ventures LLC v. Westech Security And Investigation Inc Et Al 450432/23 L v NYC Et Al 656912/20 Jds Const. Group LLC v. Copper Services 155276/24 Kavasutra 6th St. Inc. v. NYC Bd. of Ed. of Health Et Al 651885/24 Khca Funding LLC Et Al v. Versitv Invest 652722/24 Knightsbridge Funding LLC v. Detroit Environmental Solutions 805428/17 Labby v. Cummings Md 652201/23 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Champagne 654755/17 Lissner v. Erenberg 152245/24 Lozada v. NY Developers & Mgt. LLC Et Al 160927/20 Martucci v. 500 W25th Owner LLC LLC

159209/18 McCallum v. Westchester Ambulette 155370/20Mejia-Devaldez v. NYC 400286/14 Moore v. NYC 151379/22 Napoli v. 50 Hymc Owner 152720/24 Nishida v. Energy Plus NY Inc. 158319/22 Ordinary Faces LLC v. David Turner Architect 651471/22 Owen v. Array Us, Inc. Et 100726/23 Partridge v. Authentic Brands Group LLC 153276/19 Perrone v. Suez Water

850663/23 Popular Bank Fka Banco Popular North America v. Regan 652451/24 Prod. Spring LLC Et Al v. Baby Brezza Enterprises 805326/23 Rich v. Andrew Lo M.D. 160751/21 Ritorto v. 1350 150904/22 Robinson v. Fifth Ave. Sadc Inc. D/b/a/ 5th Ave. Social Adult Dav Care 653022/24 Rossi Marketing Group, Inc. Et Al v. McGuigan 160347/15 Rubin v. NYC 951039/21 S. v. NYC 650582/24 Samson v. 91st St. Tenants Corp. Et Al 452136/18 Sanchez v. Con Ed Co. 155544/19 Sanseverino v. Empire Outlet Builders 190225/21 Schwartz v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al 155231/23 Sentinel Ins. Co. Ltd. As Subrogee of Manhattan Institute, Inc. v. Gfp Real Estate 151950/23 Shaver Law Group v. Corinthian Partners 950096/20 Sirignano v. Archdiocese 805279/19Sragow v. Jaffin 150820/24 State Farm Fire And

Casualty Co. v. Nazario 159073/23 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Ace Med Supplies, Inc. Et Al 159253/22 Sutton v. NYCH&HC Corp. 150582/22 Sylvan Hosp.ity Group, Inc. v. St. Giles Hotel 805169/22 Tapia v. Falguni Patel 653233/24 Tfk First Ave. v. Diguiseppe Architect 451094/24 NYC Et Al v. Big John's Roofing LLC Et Al 158516/16 Torres v. NYC 850241/23U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. 440 B'way, Rlty, Associates 153241/24 Whga Garvey Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. v. 136 West

> E-Filing Submission Part **Adjourned for** Working **Copies Part** Part 2 Justice Lori S. Sattler 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3852 Room 212 MONDAY, SEPT. 30

654827/23 Bent II v. Cirone 159366/21 De Araujo v. Titanium Const. Services, Inc. Et Al 654745/22 Nat. Interiors, Inc. v. Cny Const. 701 LLC Motion 654827/23 Bent II v. Cirone TUESDAY, OCT. 1 651345/23118 St. Marks Rlty. Corp. v. 118 St Marks LLC Et Al 655329/2112 East 46th LLC v. Nat Medical Fellowships, Inc. 655580/21 Chung v. Abad Jr. 652010/23 Flagstar Bank v. Zebian Int'l Facades Solutions New York, Inc. Et Al 657512/19Henick-Lane, Inc. v.

American European Ins. 654503/22 Hml Ins Inc. v. Genworth Life Ins. Co. of NY 159084/22 Inm Zv v. Schwartz 452627/20 Int'l Business v. Nhk Cosmomedia America, Inc. 653632/23 Kanari v. 246 East 77th St. Associates 651993/23 Kmr v. Pinson 654888/22 Marius Spada Living Trust v. Spada 153469/22 Ortiz v. Nerves Los Tres Preservation 656473/21 Rosemex, Inc. Et Al v. Srs Enterprises Inc. 154705/23 Schoenkin v. Harbor House Owners Corp. 654291/23 Stoncor Group, Inc. v. Eg Munoz Const. LLC D/b/a Egm Builders Et Al 652670/16 Win Win Advisory Group LLC v. U Studios LLC Part 3 Justice Joel M. Cohen 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3287 **Room 208** MONDAY, SEPT. 30 651242/21242 Tenth Investors Lp v. Gvc 242 Tenth Sponsor 652796/234 Central Plaza Hldgs. v. Bertel Dev. 654561/22 Bull Hill v. Hfz Member Rb Portfolio LLC Et Al 850231/22 Crown Bank v. 193 Henry St. LLC Et Al 650799/24 Osakana v. Wegmans Food Markets Inc. Et Al Motion 651242/21242 Tenth Investors Lp v. Gvc 242 Tenth Sponsor 650799/24 Osakana v. Wegmans Food Markets Inc. Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1 651815/24 H.I.G. Realty Financing II v. Kuperwasser 652271/23 Manhattan Beer Distributors LLC D/b/a NY Wine & Spirits v. Onebev USA Ltd Et Al 651471/22 Owen v. Array Us, Inc. Et 160665/18 Shagalov v. Paul Kasmin Gallery, Inc. 651743/23 Steinberg v. Tanico 652863/23 The Royal Promotion Group, Inc. v. Xylyx Bio Inc. Et Al 650622/23 Zapfel v. Xerox Corp. Motion 651815/24 H.I.G. Realty Financing II v. Kuperwasser 651743/23 Steinberg v. Tanico Part 6 Justice Kathy J. King 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3312 Room 351 TUESDAY, OCT. 1 805330/18 Funicello v. Stavropoulos 805018/18 Song v. Marn 805169/22 Tapia v. Falguni Patel Motion 805330/18 Funicello v. Stavropoulos 805018/18 Song v. Marn Part 7 Justice Gerald Lebovits 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3746 Courtroom 345 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 150976/2350 West St. Condominium Et Al v. Jdm Washington St. LLC 151531/24 American Express Nat. Bank v. Petan

#### COURT NOTES

application form can be accessed online at the district's website: https://www.nved.uscourts.gov/ forms/application-us-magistrate-judge-vacancy-docx. Please see the attached public notice for instructions on how to submit applications for the magistrate judge vacancies.

Within one hundred and fifty (150) days from its appointment on July 24, 2024, the Panel must report to the Court its recommended slate of candidates for consideration for the magistrate judge vacancies. See the vacanacy notice below:

> **Three Full-Time Federal** Magistrate Judge Vacancies

There are three (3) upcoming full-time United States Magistrate Judge vacancies at the Brooklyn Courthouse of the Eastern District of New York at 225 Cadman Plaza, Brooklyn, New York, effective on a date to be determined in December 2024, on May 17, 2025, and August 1, 2025, respectively. The duties of the position are demanding and wide-ranging, and will include: (1) conduct of preliminary proceedings in criminal cases; (2) trial and disposition of misdemeanor cases; (3) conduct of various pretrial matters and evidentiary proceedings on delegation from the judges of the district court; (4) trial and disposition of civil cases upon consent of the litigants; and (5) assignment of additional duties not inconsistent with the Constitution and laws of the United States

The jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge is specified in 28 U.S.C. § 636. To be qualified for appointment, an applicant must: (a) be a member in good standing of the bar of the highest court of a state, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the U.S. Virgin Islands for at least five years; (b) have been engaged in the active practice of law for a period of at least five years (with some substitutions authorized); (c) be competent to perform all the duties of the office, of good moral character, emotionally stable and mature, committed to equal justice under the law, in good health, patient and courteous, and capable of deliberation and decisiveness; (d) be less than 70 years old; and (e) not be related to a judge of the district court. An applicant should have federal court experience and be knowledgeable in federal civil and criminal practices and procedures.

A Merit Selection Panel (appointed by Administrative Order 2024-14) composed of attorneys and residents of the district will review all applications and recommend in confidence to the judges of the district court the five persons whom it considers best qualified for each vacancy. The Court will make the appointments following FBI and IRS investigations of the appointees. An affirmative effort will be made to give due consideration to all qualified candidates, including women and members of minority groups. The salary of the position is, as of this notice, \$223,836.00 per annum. The term of office is eight years.

Please note that the application form can be accessed online at the district's website: https://www. nyed.uscourts.gov/forms/application-us-magistratejudge-vacancy-docx. Applications must be personally prepared by the applicant and must be received no later than October 23, 2024. Applications should be electronically submitted at https://edny.app.box. com/f/89d572dd4ab644979ed53683c7005545. Instructions are available on the district's website.

The district is an equal opportunity employer.

#### **U.S. DISTRICT COURTS** Eastern and Southern Districts

**Court Invites Public Comment on Proposed Changes to Local Rules Deadline is Oct. 6** 

In accordance with Rule 83(a) of the Federal Rules

in reference to the Joint Local Rules in effect as of July 1, 2024, which are available at:

https://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/local-rulesdocuments-and-administrative-orders

https://nysd.uscourts.gov/rules

The proposed amendments include:

(1) a provision authorizing limited-scope representation for pro se litigants in civil cases (amended Local Civil Rule 1.4);

(2) the replacement of page limits with word limits for all briefs filed in civil cases (amended Local Civil Rules 6.3, 7.1, & 11.1);

(3) a provision permitting both districts to adopt policies governing the possession and use of electronic equipment (amended Local Civil Rule 1.8);

(4) a new criminal rule establishing default deadlines for expert witness disclosures (new Local Criminal Rule 16.2);

(5) a new criminal rule governing pro se submissions by represented defendants in criminal cases (new Local Criminal Rule 49.2); and

(6) new local social security rules, to codify existing administrative and standing orders in both districts addressed to such cases (new Local Supplemental Social Security Rules).

Each proposal is followed by a committee note providing relevant context for the change. (As in the current Joint Local Rules, notes for all rules will be consolidated in an Appendix.)

The proposed changes are available at https:// nysd.uscourts.gov/rules/proposed-amendments. Where a proposed change contemplates amending an existing rule, the proposal is followed immediately by a redline reflecting the changes from the existing rule(s). Otherwise, a redline is not supplied.

There is a 90-day period during which comments may be provided, which closes on October 6, 2024. Comments should be submitted only once. A comment submitted through either court's website or in letter form will be considered by both courts.

Comments submitted electronically are preferred, and may be submitted through a form available on either court's website at the following links:

https://www.nyed.uscourts.gov/proposed-amendments

https://nysd.uscourts.gov/rules/proposed-amendments

Alternatively, written comments may be submitted in letter form to:

**Robert Rogers** Counsel to the Clerk of Court United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007-1312

#### **FIRST DEPARTMENT**

Appellate Term Filing Dates for the November Term

The November 2024 Term of the Court will commence on Nov 4, 2024.

The last dates for filing for that term are as follows:

#### **TUESDAY, OCT, 1**

805394/18Ai Juan Cheng v. Lau 805288/18 C. v. Jaffe 805022/20 Segal v. McCance

Part 33 Justice Mary V. Rosado 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3894 **Room 442** 

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

157309/24 Aquino v. Amazon.Com Services LLC Et Al 150113/24 Eadicicco v. Citnalta Const. Et Al 155906/24 Enobakhare v. NYCHA 160071/21 Flaherty v. Dixon 158229/22 Hereford Ins. Co. v. 167 Rx Inc Et Al 156820/23 Knaster v. Connaught

Tower Corp. Et Al 151900/24 Lantigua Vargas v. 200 Wadsworth Ave. Ltd. Liability Co. Et Al

159691/23Wallace v. Cheng 650256/24Waverly Real Estate v. 153568/22 Luvera v. NYC 158280/22 Perez v. NYCHA 652131/24Wdf, Inc. v. NYCHA 152555/21 Rivera v. Ajl Interiors 654329/23 Welkin Mechanical v.

Corp. 154395/24 Segura v. Tao Group, Inc.

Et Al 155154/24 The James N. Karas Revocable Trust Et Al v. Hoppe TUESDAY, OCT. 1

154638/24 Aharoni v. Kaymak 150376/23 Trienis v. New Tsi Hldgs. Inc. Et Al

#### Part 34

Justice Dakota D. Ramseur 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-4370 Room 341

#### MONDAY, SEPT. 30

153313/23 Krentsel Guzman Corp. 190207/21 Ras v. A.O. Smith Water Herbert v. The Law Offices of Prods. Co., Et Al 190037/23 Woitovich v. A.O. Smith Denis J. Kennedy 157203/22 Schumacher v. 509 W 34 100610/23 Watkins v. Nordstroms

#### Store And Staff TUESDAY, OCT. 1

150418/23 Barrios v. 125 West End Associates 113795/11 Beverley Hotel Associates v. Ramos De Almeida 159692/19 Bowman v. NYC 158760/23 Bretl v. 581 Hudson St. 151143/23 Briones v. Rvl Group LLC 155554/21 Burns v. The Vincent M. Ponte Trust U/a Frank Ponte 2003 Fa. 152336/23 Butler v. Menon Investments 159229/22 Castillo v. Edgecombe Parc Condominium Et Al 161525/21 Cedillo Cedillo v. 170 East 83rd St. LLC Et Al 156065/22 Cianfrone v. 590 Madison Ave. 152279/22 Ciccotto v. The 220 Central Park South Condominium Et Al 805146/22 Compagnucci v. Central Park South Associates 160888/21 Con Ed Co. of New York, Inc. v. Alanric Food Distributors, Inc. Et Al 159612/23 Davis v. NYC Et Al 952202/23 Doe v. Venetis 152884/21 Doyle v. Waterworks Jv Judlau Contracting, Inc. 153772/23 Esquivel v. Bes 2 LLC Et Al 158777/22 Eusebio v. Figueroa 155509/22 Fuentes Gutierrez v. 26 Sherman Residence LLC Et Al 154037/23 Furtado v. Equinox Hudson Yards, Inc., D/b/a Equinox Fitness Club Et Al 156080/22 Gamberg v. Cubesmart 158948/22 Garcia Carballeira v. The Claridge Owners, Inc. Et Al 152272/23 Gelder v. Terence Cardinal Cooke Health Care Center Et Al 161024/20 Ginestri v. NYC 155705/19 Goldman v. Le Chambord Condominium 157326/22 Gutenbrunner v. Neue Galerie NY 158230/22 Halligan v. St. Marks

#### Bros. Inc. Et Al 155304/22 Hereford Ins. Co. v. Accelerate Radiology 153397/23 Huma v. Terrastone Ellwood Hldgs. L.P. Et Al 154985/22 Irigoin Baca v. 225 East lty Par

656016/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Reyes Ramirez 152164/22 Amerimax Capital v. Kravitz 151792/23 Axos Design Inc. v. R K Custom Living Corp Et Al 650257/22 Beauty Hldgs. LLC v. Saks & Co. LLC Et Al 650950/18 Colonial Funding Network, Inc. v. Vanderbilt Trading USA 451679/23 Comm'rs, of The State Ins. Fund v. Manhattan Concrete

of Civil Procedure and Rule 57(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Boards of Judges of the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York invite the public to comment on proposed changes to their Joint Local Rules.

The proposed amendments, which resulted from the work of a committee of representatives from both courts and the bar in both districts, are made

Part 11

60 Centre Street

Phone 646-386-3314

**Room 412** 

v. 34west28th LLC

Tekcard, Inc. Et Al

158213/24 Chua v. Huang

St. LLC Et Al

Fine Art v. Lee

of Mors

Finance v. Lustre Skin Bar

655273/23 Hundred Horizons

LLC v. The Board of Mgrs.

of The Village Green West

Condominium A/k/a Village

650906/23 Madison 45 LLC v.

Tank Lining Co., Inc.

Petroleos De Venezuela

654183/22 National Union

Tank Lining Co., Inc.

Tank Lining Co., Inc.

Community Renewal

East 3rd St. Associates

952052/23 Crawford v. Ratner

Investigation

Inc. Et Al

Motion

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

654968/231779 81st St. LLC v.

Fire Insurance Company of

654021/24 Corporate Collections

651288/24 Di Giulio v. Rizzo-

Llp

Al

LLC v. Willkie Farr & Gallagher

Mccabe 151510/24 Fabers Arcade Inc. v. 799

Lex Rlty. Associates LLC Et Al

Punch House Flatiron LLC Et Al 651081/24 Forever Funding v. Nfx

Networks 653206/23 Linden Associates L.P. Et

Al v. Shepherd Buccini 655874/21 Magnetic Builders Group

v. 88 Wall St. 652171/24 Police Benevolent Assoc.

of The NYC, Inc. Et Al v. NYC Et

158595/23 Residential Board of

Trump Tower Condominium v.

Sealane Properties, Inc. Et Al

651029/24 Stargo Mechanical

NY Inc. v. Peak Mechanical Solutions Inc. Et Al

652073/24 The Ategrity Specialty Ins. Co. v. La Rocca Mgt. LLC Et

652896/21 Zaleski Properties v. 2412 Church LLC Et Al

650950/18 Colonial Funding

Trading USA

Network, Inc. v. Vanderbilt

654021/24 Corporate Collections

Llp 651029/24 Stargo Mechanical

Solutions Inc. Et Al

Labor Et Al

NY Inc. v. Peak Mechanical

LLC v. Willkie Farr & Gallagher

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

653626/23 French v. NYS Dept. of

651011/23 Mezzatesta v. Pivar

650582/24 Samson v. 91st St.

151950/23 Shaver Law Group v.

159073/23 State Farm Mutual

Automobile Ins. Co. v. Ace Med

153241/24 Whga Garvey Housing

Dev. Fund Co., Inc. v. 136 West

Motion

Part 9

Justice Kathleen C.

Waterman-Marshall

60 Centre Street

Phone 646-386-3848

Room 355

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

365879/23 Femenella v. Myatieva

320024/24 Lackwood v. Lackwood

Motion

320024/24 Lackwood v. Lackwood

303515/21 Thomas v. Thomas

303515/21 Thomas v. Thomas

365181/24 Anonymous v.

365181/24 Anonymous v.

Anonymous

Anonymous

651011/23 Mezzatesta v. Pivar

Tenants Corp. Et Al

**Corinthian Partners** 

Supplies, Inc. Et Al

129 LLC

Motion

161105/21 Fifth Partners LLC v.

The Clerk's Return, Record on Appeal, Appendices, Notice of Argument and Appellant's Briefs must be filed on or before Sept. 9, 2024.

Respondent's Briefs must filed on or before Oct. 1,2024.

Reply Briefs, if any, must be filed on or before Oct. 10, 2024.

651842/23 Dental Recycling North America, Inc. v. Mid--Atlantic Justice Lyle E. Frank Торсо 654871/22 Ecosafety Consultants Inc. v. Stepping Stone Const. Mgt. Inc. 654099/23 Empire State Diving MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Services LLC v. Endurance 655034/2336 West Associates LLC American Ins. Co. 653793/22 Hutcher v. Madison 152074/24455 Quincy Deli Corp. v. Square Garden Entertainment Corp. Et Al 155767/24 Board of Mgrs. of Village 157677/24 in The Matter of The Application of Michael Mojtahedi Green West Condominium v v. Christopher Craddock 156313/24 Infinity Standard Ins. Co. Hundred Horizons LLC Et Al 651382/23 Card Payment Services v. Triborough Asc 654920/23 Monticello v. Cacace LLC v. NYC Gourmet Deli Inc. D/b/a NYC Gourmet Deli Et Al 656174/23 Olshan Frome Wolosky Llp v. Louis Kestenbaum Et Al 653439/24 Church St. Apt. Corp. v. Liebert 653312/24 Desai v. Ninety Five Wall 100726/23 Partridge v. Authentic Brands Group LLC 653233/24 Tfk First Ave. v. Diguiseppe Architect 451094/24 NYC Et Al v. Big John's 653982/23 Edward Tyler Nahem 654985/23 Eggerud v. West End 84 Units LLC Et Al Roofing LLC Et Al 151815/23 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. 150049/20 Galvan v. Ery Tenant LLC 654531/24 Greenwoods Equipment Assoc. v. Hasegawa Motion 157677/24 in The Matter of The Application of Michael Mojtahedi Christopher Craddock 151815/23 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Hasegawa Green West Condominium Board Part 13 Justice Adam Silvera Campbell 654183/22 Nat. Union Fire Ins. Co. 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3736 of Pittsburgh v. American Pipe & **Room 422** MONDAY, SEPT. 30 157533/24Quishpi Tenezaca v. NYC 650617/24Sendibel Trading v. 158349/18 Alonso v. 401 East 74 Owners Corp. 160685/21 Barkouch v. Stellar Trucking Co., Inc. Et Al 190295/23 Brenda Bost v. Conopco, Inc., A Subsidiary of Unilever Pittsburgh v. American Pipe & Home & Personal Care USA, Sued Individually And As 654183/22 Nat. Union Fire Ins. Co. Successor-In-Interest To of Pittsburgh v. American Pipe & Chesebrough Manufacturing Co A/k/a Chesebrough-Ponds A/k/a Chesebrough-Pond's USA Co. Et Al 105397/10 Cabassa v. NYCTA Mohamed 654009/222386 Hempstead, Inc. v. 160852/21 Chen v. Alvarenga Cruz 152346/13 Dembele v. Action Wfg Nat. Title Ins. Co. Et Al 151041/24670 River Rlty. Corp. Et Carting Environmental 190277/23 Ferreira v. Allied Bldg. Al v. NYS Div. of Housing And Prods. Corp. Et Al 150952/15Fishman v. Mta Bus Co. 654286/24 Akf Inc v. Sassy Engines 190093/16 Fogel v. American Int'l 158981/18Hawkins v. Diop 656155/20 American Express Nat. 158849/20 Kayman v. 215 West 91st Bank v. Puente Enterprises, Inc. St. 154289/19Lanzetta v. Figarella D/b/a Sky Canyon Love Et Al 156217/22 Battery Park City Auth. 159324/18 Leahey v. Tutor Perini D/b/a The Hugh L. Carey Battery Bldg. Corp. 159320/16 Miral, Inc. v. Kovac Park City Auth. v. Pier A Battery Park Associates 656267/23 Bungalow Living v. 302 Media Group, Inc. 651428/19Mooregroup Corp. v. Foundations Group I, Inc. 155983/24 Coburn v. NYC Dept. of 654620/17 Nelson v. Harlem East LLC 162017/19Nunez v. Singh

159699/20 Ramos v. Neelam Const. Corp. 155006/23 Reitman v. Robinson 100973/18 Rivera v. Cohen 805222/18 Sec v. Yoe 162085/15 Yu v. 96 Rockwell LLC TUESDAY, OCT. 1 190041/19 Alvarez Ubinas v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co. 190088/19 Bassier v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co 190328/23 Calabrese v. Pfizer, Inc., Et Al 190296/20 Casaravilla v. Avon Prods. 150150/18 Casillas v. Port Auth. of NY 453187/23 NYC v. Joseph M Kaaied 155077/23 Cuellar v. Christie's Inc. Et Al 650773/24 Cummo v. Kerzner 160227/23 Dassie v. Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale And Dorr 190166/19 Donovan v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co. 190018/21 Festa v. A.O. Smith Corp. 190093/16 Fogel v. American Int'l 653269/20 Frank Recruitment Group Inc. v. Titus Group, Inc. 190245/21 Gottlieb v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al 190026/22 Hall v. Aerco Int'l, Inc. Et Al 656028/23 Huang v. NY Food And Drink Gravesend, Inc. Dba Popeyes-Popeye Louisiana Kitchen Et Ål 190063/17.Jackson v. 3m Co. 157179/23 Kenny v. Catholic Charities Community Services Et Al 190065/24 Kmiotek v A O. Smith Water Prods. Co Et Al 151513/24 Mangone v. Brown Harris Stevens Dev. Marketing 190219/21 Mata v. Air & Liquid Systems Corp. 157316/12 Michael Zola v. Ek Triangle 190063/20 Munoz v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co 653128/22 Nanodx, Inc. v. Tdk Electronics, Inc. 157452/23 NY Communities For Change Et Al v. NYS Unified Court System/office of Court Admin 151610/23 Papacosta v. Fiscardo, Inc. Et Al 109488/11 Perrone v. Metro. Transportation 154923/18Perry v. Lighting Group 160903/23 Petersen v. Empire Hldgs. & Investments 190273/23 Prestia v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al 190322/23 Rajcevich v. Mrc Hldgs. Inc. As Successor To Primerica Corp. 159454/23 Ramirez v. Proud Moments Licensed Behavior Analysts Pllc 190207/21 Ras v. A.O. Smith Water Prods. Co., Et Al

321584/23 Pena v. Pichardo 151376/23 Jacinto Pucha Ochoa v. Cauldwell-Wingate Co. 154463/22 Jarde v. Gilbane, Inc. Et Part 17 Justice Shlomo S. Hagler AL 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3283 160484/22 Johnson v. Bre 44 Wall Owner 155188/21 Jordan v. Court Officer Courtroom 335 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Anthony Pisano 154001/23 Lewis v. 181st 952230/23 Anonymous v. Cosby Jr Washington Heights Associates 154389/23 Lika v. Saks Fifth Ave. 160038/20 Avella v. Sweeney & Conroy 150524/18 Board of Mgrs. of 654755/17 Lissner v. Erenberg 158545/22 Little v. 11 W 32, Inc. Et AL 153219/18 Con Ed Co. v. Source 154068/23 Longfellow v. Barney Const., Inc. 157287/20 Duchimaza v. Hp Linden 154807/22 Macas Aguilar v. Ab Capstone Builders Corp Et Al Blvd Housing 156105/21 Garcia v. The Board 158685/22 McKinley v. NYCHA of Mgrs. For Edgar House Condominium Et Al 157869/21 Milner v. Mlg 904 Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 159244/22 Mizgala v. Rcpi 159639/17 Melendez v. NYCHA Landmark Properties 952063/23 Picard v. Cosby Jr. 159878/21 Morris v. Sternberg 952222/23 Tarshis v. Cosby Jr. 154565/22 Nancy Bass Wyden y Motion Davidson Dawson & Clark Llp Et 952230/23 Anonymous v. Cosby Jr 150524/18 Board of Mgrs. of Al 154550/23 Olar v. Hudson River Franklin v Emft Park Trust 952063/23 Picard v. Cosby Jr. 153412/22 Oliver v. Leonard 158541/23 Paguay v. Schulman 158776/22 Pan v. Harlem Urban 952222/23 Tarshis v. Cosby Jr. **TUESDAY, OCT. 1** Dev. Corp. Et Al 159889/22 Pastuna v. F.G. Interior 155420/19 Cunnane v. Mastermind Towing Inc 159154/21 Echeverre v. 125 West Renovation Corp. Et Al 150722/23 Perez Guzman v. 500 W End Associates LLC Et Al 152305/20 Hall v. Msg Arena 170 LLC Et Al 154525/22 Pine v. Motivate LLC Et 156273/20 Harkins v. Board of Al Mgrs. of 99 John 150049/22 Potts v. Hp 360 156171/19 Hylton v. Wtc Tower 1 Preservation Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al 153437/17 Lucky of 195 Madison St. 159723/23 Powers v. Empire City Subway Co. (ltd.) Et Al v. Creif 109 LLC 156417/17 Squillace v. Mbh 185 Malcolm LLC 159596/22 Rawley v. Paramount Group Inc Et Al 150536/20 Trustees of Columbia v. 151338/23 Reinoso v. Times Square Rael Automatic Sprinkler Hotel Owner 158285/22 Rhodes v. Flower World Motion 153437/17 Lucky of 195 Madison St. v. Creif 109 LLC Et Al 153744/23 Rivera v. 55th Clinton Associates 158844/22 Rosario v. 161 Hldg. Ltd Part 19 654219/22 Salas v. Equinox Hldgs. Justice Lisa A. Sokoloff 60 Centre Street Inc. 152852/22 Sampson v. 203 Henry Phone 646-386-3979 St. Rlty. Corp. Et Al Room 540 154907/16 Singh v. NYC 159910/22 Sledge v. Rochdale Part 24 **Matrimonial Part** Village 160296/22 Soon-Osberger v. Wien Justice Michael L. Katz 158968/22 Staley v. Marriott Int'l, 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3285 Inc. D/b/a Marriott Marguis Et Al 150829/23 Tolchinsky v. Castaneda **Courtroom 325** 150837/22 Tracev v. Verizon New MONDAY, SEPT. 30 York, Inc. Et Al 160960/18 Tucker v. NYC 300915/22 Henry-Thompson v. 153967/22 Velaj v. Elvanian Thompson—2 P.M. 305088/19Singh v. Singh 160620/21 Vij v. Mercedes-Benz Manhattan Motion 157496/23 Wissa v. Rodriguez 305088/19 Singh v. Singh 653569/20 Zimmerman v. 410-57 Corp. 160398/22 Zumba Malan v. Petretti Part 30V Justice Judith N. McMahon & Associates LLC Et Al **60 Centre Street** Motion 646-386-3275 154001/23 Lewis v. 181st MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Washington Heights Associates 805146/20Auguste v. Steinberger Part 37 805214/20 Birsky v. Doyle **IAS Part** 805395/19 Mattis v. Schrope Justice Arthur F. Engoron 805102/18 Morales v. Villagecare 60 Centre Street 646-386-3222 805157/20 Sterling Ceballo v. **Room 418** MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Motion 652757/15140 W. 57th St. Bldg. v. 805157/20 Sterling Ceballo v. Berrie

654155/24 Application of Daniel	309892/15 Schechter v. Schechter			1	1	950242/20 Josey v. NYC Et Al
Robert Klemm For An Order Pursuant To Article 75 of The	365400/22Wang v. De Carvalho- Wang			alen		950268/20 Lopez v. NYC Et Al 950739/21 Mickel v. NYC Et Al
Cplr Confirming An Arbitration	Motion					950328/21 Perez v. NYC Et Al
Award v. Centaurus Financial	365518/23 Ramos v. Mills					950210/21R. v. NYC
Inc. Et Al 650560/23 Bechtel Infrastructure	309892/15 Schechter v. Schechter					950149/19 Rivera v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al
And Power Corp. Et Al v.	Part 53					950536/20Sz v. Archdiocese of NY
Mattawoman Energy 157200/24 Bellevue South	Commercial Div.	652077/23 Li v. Fyli Tribe, Inc. Et Al	157264/19Sosa v. NYC	150191/23 Gryta v. Mta Bus Co. Et	159330/19 Polanco v. 7 Days Towing	TUESDAY, OCT. 1
Associates v. Td Bank	Justice Andrew S. Borrok	652873/23 Medex Diagnostic And Treatment Center v. Hudson Ins.	157809/21 Tabas v. NYC Et Al 153553/14 Torres v. NYC	Al 154309/23 Harrigan-Brantley v.	Inc 159275/19Rampersaud v.	950499/21B. v. NYC
654231/23 Hall v. Li 652278/23 Lu v. Zhu	60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3304	Co.	160351/21 Velez v. Con Ed Co. of	Wang	Dumanyan	950255/19 Bizzarro v. Archdiocese of NY
654375/24 Real Estate Sales Force,	Room 238	652155/23 Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. v. Terminal One Group Assoc.	New York, Inc. 151163/21Worg v. NYC	150005/22 Harris v. Hunter Ambulette Ambulance Inc. Et Al	152306/22 Rivers v. Mid Haulers LLC Et Al	157290/22 Cannady v. Sintec Media
Inc. v. Mercer Partners Int'l	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	655503/23 Rotenstreich v. Lesches	151165/21 Worg V. NYC 154194/22 Wright v. NYC Et Al	152749/23 Hiatola v. K.L. Breeden &	452355/20 Rodriguez v. Hargrey	Inc. Et Al 950246/21 D. v. NYC
805353/22 Shields v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. (harlem Hosp.	651101/19 Chung Tai Printing	654318/23 Spicer v. Garda World	Motion	Sons	Saul	950240/21D. V. NYC 950211/19Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
Center) Et Al	(china) v. Florence Paper Corp.	Consulting (uk) Ltd. 452784/23 The People of The State	450052/21 Bhatti v. NYC	158406/23 Hook v. Coronel 154458/22 Hossain v. Diaz	155848/21 Rojas v. Andrade-Tipan 154531/23 Sesay v. Lynch	950210/19Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
155429/24 Sonin & Genis Attorneys At Law LLC v. NYCTA Et Al	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	of NY v. Gemini Trust Co.	Part 8	150593/23 James v. Baxter	160602/22 Utter v. Ftouhi	950208/19Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950213/19Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
650369/24 Surrey v. Surrey	651485/24 Crews Vineyard v. Cp3 Holdings	Motion	Justice Lynn R. Kotler	154990/19 Kessler v. Rc Structures Inc.	158789/21 Vargas v. Moran Automotive & Towing, Inc. Et Al	950212/19Doe v. Archdiocese of NY
156944/24 The Legal Aid Society v.	656912/20Jds Const. Group LLC v.	654008/24 Chabad of Gramercy	80 Centre Street	160112/20 Khalid v. Fink	157009/20 Woney v. Rose	950759/21 Goldberg v. City of New York Et Al
Records Access Officer	Copper Services	Park v. Lesches 655503/23 Rotenstreich v. Lesches	Phone 646-386-3572 Room 278	154228/21 Kim v. Diallo	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	450432/23 J. v. NYC Et Al
Motion 155429/24 Sonin & Genis Attorneys	Part 54	452784/23 The People of The State	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	152817/21 Lancaster v. Suleymanov 159838/19 Lee v. Cisse	451708/22 Bond v. NYC Et Al	950328/21 Perez v. NYC Et Al
At Law LLC v. NYCTA Et Al	<b>Commercial Div.</b> Justice Jennifer G. Schecter	of NY v. Gemini Trust Co.	161420/18 Batista v. Metro.	151733/23 Leiba v. Cb Livery	160120/20Brodsky v. NYC Et Al 160793/20D'Amico v. NYC	951039/21 S. v. NYC 950096/20 Sirignano v. Archdiocese
TUESDAY, OCT. 1	60 Centre Street	Part 61	Transportation	Leasing LLC Et Al 161100/22 Lieberman v. Welington	158032/23 Suazo v. NYC Et Al	of NY
152177/24319 Bway LLC v. Finley	Phone 646-386-3362	Commercial Div. Justice Nancy M. Bannon	158063/24Brown v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al	150011/21 Linder v. Hussein	Part 28	Part 23
Jr. 654289/24 Akf Inc v. Wagers Trailer	Room 228	60 Centre Street	152008/21 Pacific Indemnity Co.	158514/21 Lopez v. Uber	Justice Lisa S. Headley	Justice Eric Schumacher
Sales, Inc. Et Al	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	Phone 646-386-3169	A/s/o Theodore Hovivian And	Technologies, Inc. Et Al 155328/21 Luff v. Politz	80 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3696	71 Thomas Street Phone 646-386-3754
654341/23 Bldg Mgt. Co., Inc. v. Hen 653563/24 Family Funding Group	654490/24At&T Services, Inc. v. Broadcom Inc., Et Al	Room 232	Marianne Hovivian v. Jp Roche Inc.	151600/21 Maldonado v. Santana	Room 122	Room 304
653563/24 Family Funding Group LLC v. The Chosen Vision Group	651660/24 Bayonne B v. Barrington	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	153999/22 Plauzio v. 509 W 34	157376/22 Martinez v. Access Transportation Group	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	MONDAY, SEPT. 30
LLC Et Al	Media Group 650118/24 Change Lending v. 777	654526/23 Ck Opportunities Fund I v. Morgan Stanley Senior	156388/24 Sudnik v. NYC	156785/22 Mendoza v. Nasser	159417/22 Mitchell v. About Your	153453/19Admiral Indemnity Co. v.
158046/24 in The Matter of Easy 342, Inc. v. NYC Et Al	Partners LLC Et Al	Funding, Inc. Et Al	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	160459/22 Mieses v. Gvc II Inc. Et Al 156104/21 Minzer- Nussbaum v.	Skin LLC Et Al	Klein
805428/17 Labby v. Cummings Md	652063/23 Clover Private Credit	651882/23 Kataman Metals v. Macquarie Futures USA	653549/24 Const. Rlty. Safety Group Inc. v. The Hyland Group	Henry	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	654238/24 Alpine Advance 5 LLC v. Cam's Transport Co. Et Al
652201/23 Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. Et Al v. Champagne	Opportunities Origination (levered) II v. Sanberg	Motion	653231/24Dist. Council 37 v. The	160496/22 Mitchell v. Nilda Limo.	155441/22 Colon v. Chesapeake Owners Corp. Et Al	651456/18L. Raphael NYC C1 Corp.
654575/24 Riley v. McEvoy	650787/24 I.A.T.S.E Nat. Health	654526/23 Ck Opportunities Fund	Board of Education of The City School Dist. of NYC Et Al	Service Inc Et Al 159141/22 Mohamed v. Justiano	Part 35	v. Solow Bldg. Co. 161223/19Mather v. Hfz Kik 30th
653022/24 Rossi Marketing Group, Inc. Et Al v. McGuigan	& Welfare Fund Et Al v. Avenu Insights & Analytics	I v. Morgan Stanley Senior	158166/24 Fecteau v. NYC Human	451703/22 Nerys Quezada v. Castle	Justice Denise M Dominguez	St. Owner LLC
150820/24 State Farm Fire And	656782/21 Meimaris v. Royce	Funding, Inc. Et Al	Resources Admin. 161320/19 Gonzalez v. 58 East	Richardson 156551/21 Parris v. Reyes	80 Centre Street	TUESDAY, OCT. 1
Casualty Co. v. Nazario	652052/23 Shawmut Woodworking & Supply, Inc. D/b/a Shawmut	TUESDAY, OCT. 1 654395/22 Daiwa Corporate	Partners LLC Et Al	154167/18 Petalas v. Epic Agami	Phone 646-386-4299 Courtroom 289	152050/22 Calderoni v. 260 Park
Motion	Design And Const. v. Gloveworx	Advisory LLC v. T-Rex Group, Inc.	157942/21 Guayara v. H.P.S.O.N.Y.,	Cab Corp 159223/17 Pfeifer v. Academy		Ave. South Condominium Et Al 155276/24 Kavasutra 6th St. Inc. v.
152177/24319 Bway LLC v. Finley Jr.	USA-Wtc 650223/21 Solidx Mgt. LLC v.	651885/24 Khca Funding LLC Et Al v. Versity Invest	Inc. 160418/18 Harlem Rlty. Associates	Express	Part 41	NYC Bd. of Ed. of Health Et Al
158046/24 in The Matter of Easy	Vaneck Securities Corp.	v. versity invest Motion	v. 108 Lexington Operating LLC 157735/24 in The Matter of The	161909/23 Pichardo v. Action Environmental Services, Inc. Et	Justice Nicholas W. Moyne 80 Centre Street	155544/19Sanseverino v. Empire Outlet Builders
342, Inc. v. NYC Et Al 654575/24 Riley v. McEvoy	650846/23 Tulip Creations Private Ltd. v. Q4 Designs LLC	654395/22 Daiwa Corporate	Application of Imaan Corp. v.	Al	Phone 646-386-3984	Part 29
Part 43	Motion	Advisory LLC v. T-Rex Group, Inc.	NYC Et Al 100716/24 Knights v. City Univ. of	159330/19 Polanco v. 7 Days Towing Inc	Room 327	Justice Leticia M. Ramirez
Justice Robert R. Reed	654490/24At&T Services, Inc. v.	Transit Authority	NY	153518/23 Pousa Jr v. Bayer	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	71 Thomas Street
60 Centre Street	Broadcom Inc., Et Al	Settlement Part	156598/24 Law Offices of Michael	159275/19 Rampersaud v.	156980/24 Aig Prop. Casualty Co. A/s/o Aaron R. Harrison v. Kasap	Phone 646-386-3016 Room 311
Phone 646-386-3238 Room 222	652052/23 Shawmut Woodworking & Supply, Inc. D/b/a Shawmut	60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3281	S. Lamonsoff v. Michelstein & Ashman	Dumanyan 151707/21 Rivera v. Structure Tech	152571/23 American Express Nat.	
TUESDAY, OCT. 1	Design And Const. v. Gloveworx	Room 408	159209/18 McCallum v. Westchester	NY Inc.	Bank v. Lee 656015/23 American Transit Ins.	Part 36
155123/20 Bagatelle Little West	USA-Wtc TUESDAY, OCT. 1	Med Mal Settlement	Ambulette 159135/21 Ortiz v. 7 Hanover Fee	152306/22 Rivers v. Mid Haulers LLC Et Al	Co. v. Byrd	Justice Verna L. Saunders 71 Thomas Street
12th LLC v. Jec II	655780/23 Chan v. Ho	Part 1	Owner Co. LLC Et Al	156003/19Robinson v. Delgado	655981/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Cummings	Phone 646-386-3733
650794/21 Everseal Bags Corp. v. NYU Langone Hosps.	653286/24 Eichner v. Rtw	60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-5758	100399/24 Pennant v. Mta NYCTA 650572/21 Schottenstein v. Levine	452355/20 Rodriguez v. Hargrey Saul	655986/23 American Transit Ins.	Room 205
652094/21 J.P. Morgan Ventures	Retailwinds Acquisition LLC Et	Room 325	158516/16 Torres v. NYC	155280/22 Rodriguez v. Simeone	Co. v. Simon 151956/23 Baker v. Flynn—3 P.M.	MONDAY, SEPT. 30
Energy v. Miami Wind I 650203/22 Miller v. 22 Ericsson	Al 652044/24 Korean American		100463/24 Zavgorodnaya v. NYS Div. of Human Rights	155848/21 Rojas v. Andrade-Tipan 160732/22 Santiago v. Gago	654699/22 Fedex Corporate	156475/24 Calise v. Adolfo Carrion 653050/21 Chetrit 1412 LLC Et Al v.
Owner LLC Et Al	Physicians Ipa, Inc. v. Seoul	80 CENTRE	Part 12	160968/22 Scott v. Malave Jr	Services, Inc. v. Sevan Sevan, Inc.	1412 K B'way. Cafe Inc Et Al
452353/18 People of The State of v. Fischman	Medical Group, Inc. Et Al 451637/20NYCHA v. Cowi		Justice Leslie A. Stroth	154531/23 Sesay v. Lynch 157474/22 Smith v. Luo	150892/24 Hereford Ins. Co. v.	TUESDAY, OCT. 1
651686/23 Reigo Securitization	Consulting Inc.	STREET	80 Centre Street	156399/22 Sougoule v. Fortune Jr.	Atherton	651940/21 Babe You v. Wgst Prod.
Sponsor 2021-1 v. Northwind Financial Corp. Et Al	Motion	Part 4	Phone 646-386-3273 Room 328	159378/22 Spotorno v. Ogando-	652410/24 Hume St. Mgt. Consultants Ltd. v. Tower Mgt.	ion, Inc., A Florida Corp. Et Al 150582/22 Sylvan Hosp.ity Group,
451533/19 State of NY v. Austin	653286/24 Eichner v. Rtw	Justice Judy H. Kim		Rosario 161038/21 Suder v. Jakson	Hldgs.	Inc. v. St. Giles Hotel
Motion	Retailwinds Acquisition LLC Et Al	80 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3580	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	151453/22Ugbomah v. K. L.	157870/24 in The Matter of The Application of 706 Drive LLC	Part 46
650203/22 Miller v. 22 Ericsson	Part 57	Room 308	151729/17 Lewis v. Hallen Const. Co.	Breeden & Sons 160602/22 Utter v. Ftouhi	v. NYS Div. of Housing And	Justice Richard Latin
Owner LLC Et Al	Justice Sabrina Kraus	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	158789/21 Vargas v. Moran	Community Renewal 160854/17 Klg Jewelry LLC v. 706	71 Thomas Street Phone 646-386-3279
Part 40TR Administrative	60 Centre Street	155506/24 Georgia Properties	158295/21 Abraham v. NYC Et Al	Automotive & Towing, Inc. Et Al 157017/20Washington v. Metro.	Madison LLC	Room 210
Coordinating Part	Phone 646-636-3195 Room 218	Inc. v. NYS Div. of Housing And Community Renewal	155086/20 Altay v. Liman Restaurant, Inc.	153381/22 West v. Babiker	652859/23 Tokio Marine Specialty Ins. Co. v. Allied World Assurance	MONDAY, SEPT. 30
60 Centre Street	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	159279/21 Blackmore v. Fossner	157009/20 Woney v. Rose	Co. (u.S.), Inc. Et Al	161149/23 Billini v. 217 Haven
Phone 646-386-3722 Room 422	158450/22134 Jane St. LLC v.	150904/22 Robinson v. Fifth Ave.	Timepieces Clock Shop, Inc. Et Al	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	652052/24 Valentine & Fordham LLC v. Reimagine Excellence &	Avenue—10 A.M. 155733/22 Brown v. 200 Chelsea
	Harbor House Owners Corp. 950042/19Ark28 v. Archdiocese of	Sadc Inc. D/b/a/ 5th Ave. Social Adult Day Care	155832/21 Brager v. Quality Bldg.	152580/24 Alvi v. S.M.G. Supply Inc. Et Al	Achievement Consultant House	Corp.—11 A.M.
Part 44	NY	Part 5	Services Corp Et Al 100801/22 Bruce v. NYC Dept.	152524/23 Fanas-Rojas v. Cylear	652047/24 Windermere Owners LLC v. Isaacson	157959/22 Chojolan Hernandez v. Paragon Jv Prop III LLC Et
Justice Jeffrey H. Pearlman 60 Centre Street	950056/19Ark67 v. Archdiocese of	Justice Hasa A. Kingo	of Education And United	155636/21 Ortiz v. Kasson	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	Al-2:45 P.M.
Phone 646-636-3370	NY 950517/21 Barbosa v. NYC Et Al	80 Centre Street	Federation of Teachers (uft)	<b>Part MED-2</b> Justice Samuel E.	655226/20 American Express Nat.	161318/19 Clarke v. 684 Rsd LLC
Room 321	154454/21 Carchi v. Uws Prop.	Phone 646-386-3374 Room 320	152590/20 Clark v. Bpp Kips Bay Hldg. LLC Et Al	Justice Samuel E. Wilkenfeld	Bank v. Puente Enterprises, Inc.	155517/23 Davidson v. Lendlease
MONDAY, SEPT. 30	Owner LLC Et Al 950070/21 Carter v. Archdiocese of	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	656221/18 Colazzo v. Diehard	80 Centre Street	D.B.A. Sky Canyon Love 150063/24 Garvin Const. Prods. v.	(us) Const. Lmb Inc. Et Al—10
365022/24 Cestra v. Cestra 365744/23 Dowling v. Dowling	NY	157168/22 Saunders Jr v. NYC Et Al	Exterminating Inc. 159636/23 Dandridge v. Imperial	646-386-3689 Room 106	Mastro Brothers, Inc. Et Al	A.M. 151338/22 Drabczyk v. Anthem Blue
365385/21 Etienne v. Etienne-	950148/19 Cintron v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al	157106/22 Saunders Jr V. NYC Et Al 158015/24 Toribio v. NYC Et Al	160172/20 Davis v. Esplanade	Early Settlement	Part 52	Cross & Blue Shield LLC-2:15
Louissaint 152422/24 Gregory v. Bureau of	950340/21 D. v. Archdiocese of NY	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	Gardens Inc Et Al 151781/23 Erath v. Lendlease (us)	Part 1	City Part	P.M. 161342/19Ellis v. Newmark & Co.
Vital Statistics Et Al	950035/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950358/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY	150379/23 Abalos v. NYC Et Al 400228/14 Abdush Shabid v	Const. Lmb Et Al	Justice Miles J. Vigilante	Justice Jeanine R. Johnson 80 Centre Street	Real-10:30 A.M.
365812/23 Rakos v. Igho TUESDAY, OCT. 1	951179/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY	400228/14Abdush-Shahid v. N.Y.P.D.	159330/20 G. v. NYCHA 158178/21 Lebron v. College Morris	80 Centre Street Room 106	Phone 646-386-3742	157980/21 Fredericks v. 6 West 32nd St.
653845/24 American Transit Ins.	Et Al 950403/21 Feldman v. NYC Et Al	157751/20Alicia Rodriguez v. NYC	Ave. Associates LLC Et Al		Room 307	153246/22 Gavilanes v. 919 Ground
Co. v. Margarita Taxi Inc	950545/21 Garcia v. NYC Et Al	158375/21 Alix v. NYC Et Al 451975/20 Almodovar v. NYC	155709/19 Maldonado v. Concord Hosp.ity	MONDAY, SEPT. 30 151871/17 Bokman v. Manhattan	MONDAY, SEPT. 30	Lease LLC Et Al 156014/22 Godwin v. Pitt St. L.P. Et
320523/22 Georgiadis v. Georgiadis 365320/22 Liu v. Buckley	950130/21 M. v. NYC 950428/21 N. v. NYC	155467/19Anagnostos v. NYC	160927/20 Martucci v. 500 W25th	Motor Cars Inc.	155825/21 Jacob Auslander As Administrator of The Estate of	Al—10:30 A.M.
365421/20 Owen v. Johnson	950234/21 P. v. NYC	155493/22 Baerga v. NYC Et Al 450052/21 Bhatti v. NYC	Owner LLC 153276/19 Perrone v. Suez Water	150086/19Chiu v. NYC 150183/16Gulyamov v. 426 Hbh LLC	Gary Auslander v. NYC Et Al	160996/22 Hardy v. Records Label- 12 Noon
365328/20 Summers v. Castelli	950443/21 Reed v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al	154470/19Butts v. NYC Et Al	Westchester, Inc.	154522/22 Jackson Ram v. NYCHA	TUESDAY, OCT. 1	152159/23 Medina Haz v. 32
Part 45 Commercial Div.	950359/21 Urban v. Roman Catholic	151865/21 Collins v. Bernstein 160793/20D'Amico v. NYC	157958/19 Plaza v. Clune Const. Co. Lp	153271/19Kolodziej v. Long Island Rail Road Co.	160120/20Brodsky v. NYC Et Al 160347/15Rubin v. NYC	Gramercy Park Owners Corp.— 11:30 A.M.
Justice Anar Rathod Patel	Archdiocese of NY Et Al 153341/21 Williams v. 349-59 Lenox	451199/23 Diarra v. NYC Et Al 153396/19 Diaz v. NYC	160412/20 Pooler v. 550 Madison	161009/17Lik v. NYC	158032/23 Suazo v. NYC Et Al	151151/22 Naula v. St. Tropez

805379/22 Akin v. Nat. Avc LLC Et Al 805373/22 Butler v. Touijer M.D. 805050/24 Crisafulli v. Rogova 805045/24 Estate of Judith Brook Et Al v. Ruotolo Esq 805066/19G.B. v. Ouaegebeur 805169/19 Houston Carver v. Dewitt Rehabilitation 805380/23 Javakian v. The New Jewish Home - Sarah Neuman Center 805402/18 Lluberes v. Engel 805038/24 Lutter v. Sethi M.D. 805464/23 Morgenstern v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al 805340/20 Raphan v. 150 Riverside Op 805326/23 Rich v. Andrew Lo M.D. 805279/19 Sragow v. Jaffin

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

#### **111 CENTRE** STREET

#### Part 20

Matrimonial Justice Deborah A. Kaplan 111 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3300 Courtroom 1227

Part 25 Guardianship Justice Aija Tingling 111 Centre Street

Phone 646-386-5675 Room 1254 Part 27

Justice Carol Sharpe 111 Centre Street Phone 646-386-5625 Room 1045

32 **Mortgage Foreclosure** 

Part no v. Empire Justice Francis A. Kahn, III 111 Centre Street Phone 646-386-5607

Room 1127B MONDAY, SEPT. 30

158792/18 First Majestic Silver v. Heitz

#### TUESDAY, OCT. 1

850138/2357th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Gilson 850345/2357th St. Vacation Owners Assoc., Inc., By And Through Its Board of Directors v. Tauscher 850233/18938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffcrest Housing 850102/20 Argentic Real Estate v. Nuvo Ciao-Di LLC 152565/23 Board of Mgrs. of Towers on The Park Condominium v. Williams 850218/15 Bosco Credit V Trust Series v. Johnson 850141/20 Hilton Resorts Corp. v. Mitchell 850158/19 Home Loan Investment Bank v. Padilha 150975/18 Jennifer Fishbein v. Jane Goldman Et Al. 850663/23 Popular Bank Fka Banco Popular North America v. Regan 850164/23 U.S. Bank Na v. Simpson 850241/23 U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. v. 440 B'way. Rlty. Associates Motion 850102/20 Argentic Real Estate v. Nuvo Ciao-Di LLC 850218/15Bosco Credit V Trust Series v. Johnson 850164/23 U.S. Bank Na v. Simpson Part 38 Justice Louis L. Nock 111 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3235 Room 1166 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 652134/24110 West 40 Hldgs. LLC v. Saaj Two LLC Et Al 155065/24189 Ave C B H v. Green House 2023 Corp. Et Al

v. Kanta Zheng Randol

#### TUESDAY, O 155123/20 Bagatelle Lit 12th LLC v. Jec II

# Room 42

Part 21

**City Part** 

Room 280

Motion

Motion

Part 22

Room 136

ifth LLC Et Al

161009/17 Lik v. NYC Part 62 **City Part** Justice J. Machelle Sweeting 80 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3274 **Room 279** MONDAY, SEPT. 30 157973/24 Grant v. NYS Dept. of Health 162399/23 Vargas v. NYS Div. on Human Rights Et Al 158227/22 Wayne v. NYC Et Al Motion 162399/23 Vargas v. NYS Div. on Human Rights Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1 451708/22 Bond v. NYC Et Al 159253/22 Sutton v. NYCH&HC Corp. Part 73R **Special Referee** Justice Diego Santiago 60 Centre Street Room 354 Part 75R **Special Referee** Justice Stephen S. Burzio **60 Centre Street Room 240** Part 81R **Special Referee** Justice Lancelot B. Hewitt 80 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3680 Room 321 Part 84R **Special Referee** Justice Jeremy R. Feinberg 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3207 Room 641 Part 87R **Special Referee** Justice Joseph P. Burke 80 Centre Street Phone 646-386-5541 Room 238 Part 88P **Special Referee** Justice Deborah E. Edelman 60 Centre Street **Room 158** Part 89R **Special Referee** Part 2 Justice Sue Ann Hoahng **80 Centre Street** Wilkenfeld Phone 646-386-3676 Room 236 Room 106 **71 THOMAS** STREET Part 18 Justice Alexander M. Tisch 71 Thomas Street Phone 646-386-3472 **Room 104** MONDAY, SEPT. 30 950886/21A. v. NYC 950221/20Connearney v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950149/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950476/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950348/21 Feldman v. NYC Et Al 950468/21 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al

Pitt St. L.P. Et 652475/2327-21 27th St. Sponsors ecords Label-652832/24 Chavo v. Cottingham 650115/23 Integon Nat. Ins. Co. v. az v. 32 ners Corp. 656349/21 Kapitus Servicing, Inc. v. Tropez 656876/21 Medrano v. Paseo ominium Et Al-Noon Transportation, Inc. Et Al-10 151016/21 Takhman v. 320 East 65th LLC Et Al—12:30 P.M. A.M. 655518/23 Naghavi v. Douglas 159680/22 Vincent v. 1374 Third 651577/24 Nbg Partners Usb v. Ave. Inc. Et Al Smith TUESDAY, OCT. 1 650802/24 Nyff Events v. Christmas 157149/22 Brache-Moran v. Stf 247 House USA, Inc. 650217/23 Omansky v. 300-302 East 119 St. Hdfc Et Al Audubon Ave. Hldg. LLC 153850/23 Diaz Paredes v. Vema 653650/24 Rodriguez v. Rf3 Group LLC Et Al Properties Investor 3 LLC Et Al 158837/22 Guberman v. NYC Dept. 654143/24 Waheed v. Burke of Education Et Al 154172/23 Wesco Ins. Co. v. 151379/22 Napoli v. 50 Hymc Owner Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. 158319/22 Ordinary Faces LLC v. 100089/24 Wexler v. Minc David Turner Architect TUESDAY, OCT. 1 155231/23 Sentinel Ins. Co. Ltd. As Subrogee of Manhattan Institute, Inc. v. Gfp Real Estate 651372/24 Atlantic Specialty Ins. Co. v. Irws 153708/22 White v. Sp 41 Park LLC 157377/23 Bldg Mgt. Co., Inc. v. Et Al-10:30 A.M. Sureka 651615/19Fisher Essex v. NY Motion Marine And General-10 A.M. 153850/23 Diaz Paredes v. Vema 653644/24 Itria Ventures LLC Group LLC Et Al v. Westech Security And 158837/22 Guberman v. NYC Dept. Investigation Inc Et Al of Education Et Al 450747/23 NYC Employees Part 55 Retirement System v. Bynoe Sullivan Justice James D'Auguste 656731/22 Vrex Const., Inc. v. 71 Thomas Street Phone 646-386-3289 Ortege-2:15 P.M. **Room 103** Part 39 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Justice Suzanne J. Adams 111 Centre Street 158894/19517-525 West 45 LLC v. Phone 646-386-3619 Kelley 450965/20NYC v. Crisari Rlty. Inc. Courtroom 623 100186/23 Gu v. Keita MONDAY, SEPT. 30 155865/24 Hayes v. Sydney 158399/22 Cheick Konate And Awa 152844/24 Hernandez v. Southern Konate As Administrators of Land Co. The Estates of Alissata Kante 150862/24 Muy Loja v. 829 Park Ave. And Adiaratou Kourouma Et Al v. 1829-1835 7 LLC Corp. 155374/24 Tao Group Operating 153645/22 Farmer v. Village Square LLC v. Roman Pizza Prods. 161060/23 Telesco v. Awr Group 153179/19Garcia v. 122-130 East 23rd St. LLC Inc. Et Al 151746/24 Woodbury y. John Doe 159414/21 McLaughlin v. NY Inc. D/b/a Thai Therapy Service Belmont Partners Et Al 160684/20 Ortiz v. NYCTA TUESDAY, OCT. 1 152587/22 Polanco v. Six Ten Mgt. Corp. Et Al 160830/21 Ripp v. 1350 B'way. LLC 654804/22 Domus Design Centers, Inc. v. Moving Stairs, Inc. Et Al 652722/24 Knightsbridge Funding 153810/22 Villada v. Pollack LLC v. Detroit Environmental TUESDAY, OCT. 1 Solutions 152720/24 Nishida v. Energy Plus 160751/21 Ritorto v. 1350 NY Inc. Part 42 Part 58 Justice Emily Morales-Justice David B. Cohen Minerva 111 Centre Street 71 Thomas Street Phone 646-636-3347 Phone 646-386-3237 **Room 305** Room 574 TUESDAY, OCT. 1 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 158261/19 Rector v. Bdg Gotham 652325/2227 Ht LLC Et Al v. Residential Hudson Excess Ins. Co. 653778/22 Reeps v. Freedom Part 56 Mortgage Corp. Et Al Justice John J. Kelley Part 47 71 Thomas Street Phone 646-386-5281 Justice Paul A. Goetz Room 204 111 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3743 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Room 1021 654793/23320 West 87 LLC v. 320 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 West 87th St., Inc. 100083/24 De La Rosa v. NY 150928/22 Alsaffar v. 44 Dev. LLC Presbyterian Hosp. 653800/15 Arthur v. 1809-15 7th 450606/22 Dellapena v. Hhh Ave. Housing 157980/24 Aulston v. NYS Office of Acquisition 805208/22 Fuentes v. The NY And Unclaimed Funds Et Al 158681/23 Burnell v. NYCHA Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al 805315/22 Javier Muse v. New 154545/24 Del Mundo v. Dd West York-Presbyterian/weill Cornell 29th LLC C/o Douglaston Dev. Et Medical Center Et Al Al 805316/21 Roberts v. Goel M.D. 156010/23 Govt. Employees Ins. Co. v. Angela Abramov Plischteiew 805030/24 Stone v. Dubey M.D.

950019/21 Zippo v. Roman Catholic Children's Archdiocese 152515/21 Zubowski v. Lemx Corp. Motion 158450/22134 Jane St. LLC v. Harbor House Owners Corp. TUESDAY, OCT. 1 950039/19 Ark13 v. Archdiocese of NYCHA 950079/19Ark14 v. Archdiocese of 950040/19Ark19 v. Archdiocese of 950036/19Ark4 v. Archdiocese of NY 950051/19 Ark59 v. Archdiocese of NY 950839/21 Calandrino v. Manhattan Bible Church, Inc. Et Al 950090/21 Candace Ballard v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950017/19Caramanno v. Archdiocese of NY 950821/21 Dietsch v. The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950721/20 Doe Xxv v. Archdiocese of NY 950431/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950433/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950158/21 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950260/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950254/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950256/19 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950066/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950064/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950065/20 Doe v. Archdiocese of NY 950178/19 Guerrero v. The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950054/20 Jas#1 Doe v. Brandeis School 950052/20K. v. Archdiocese of NY 950087/19 Mendoza v. The Rom Catholic Archdiocese of NY Et Al 950735/20 S. v. NYC Part 59 N.Y.P.D. Justice Debra A. James 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3351 Room 331 TUESDAY, OCT. 1 850011/13938 St. Nicholas Ave. v. 936-938 Cliffcrest Housing 653565/19 Baratov v. Trubitsky Esq 651660/21 Gabriela v. Chrislex Staffing Ltd D/b/a Part 60 **Commercial Div.** Justice Melissa A. Crane 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3310 **Room 248** MONDAY, SEPT. 30 NYCHA 150612/23320 W 15 LLC v. Bnp Coverage Inc. Et Al 650875/24 Academic Health Professionals Ins. Assoc. - A Reciprocal Insurer v. Singh Ahluwalia 655617/21 Board of Mors, of The 432 Park Condominium v. 56th And Park (NY) Owner 652006/24 Finkelstein v. Hsbc Bank USA 653268/21 Sikaly M. Wolchok v. Cape Church Associates **TUESDAY. OCT. 1** Et Al. 654008/24 Chabad of Gramercy Park v. Lesches 652359/22 Chan v. Havemeyer

 Justice Anar Rathod Patel
 Inclusives of NU brain
 Analysis
 Analysis

 60 Centre Street
 LLC Et Al
 10163/19El Bevy Adm
 10163/19El Bevy Adm

Phone 646-386-3632 **Room 428** 

#### TUESDAY, OCT. 1

155771/242000 Cr Aquisitions v. Wcp Real Estate Fund Iv 652801/24 Acrisure v. Woodruff-Sawyer & Co. 653931/24Ancoris Securities LLC Et Al v. Hardee Fresh LLC 651314/24 Oberon Securities v. Glaam Co., Ltd.

#### Part 48 **Commercial Div.**

Justice Andrea Maslev 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3265 Room 242

#### MONDAY, SEPT. 30

652401/23 Ennead Architects v. Cbi Connect, Inc. 651347/22Gnhc 1703-518 v. Venari Partners 651445/23 Grain Belt Express Hldg. LLC v. Invenergy Transmission LLC Et Al 653715/23 Guardhat Inc. v. Cb Technologies, Inc. 655868/20Hsbc Bank USA v. Phh Mortgage Corp. 652334/13 Khan v. Garg 651518/22 Ongor v. Highmore Group Advisors 655002/22 Penske v. B. Riley Financial, Inc. Et Al 652861/24 Shapiro v. Phillips Motion 651347/22 Gnhc 1703-518 v. Venari Partners **TUESDAY, OCT, 1** 652451/24 Prod. Spring LLC Et Al v. Baby Brezza Enterprises 655783/23 Ts Falcon I v. Golden

Mountain Financial Corp. Et Al Part 49 **Commercial Div.** Justice Margaret A. Chan

60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-4033 Room 252

#### MONDAY, SEPT. 30

651939/24 Tillis v. Zar Rlty. NY LLC Et Al 850126/24 Valley Nat. Bank v. W29 534 Highline Owners LLC Et Al

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

#### 652895/24 Dolgopolov v. Dronsky Part 51

Matrimonial Part Justice Ariel D. Chesler 60 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3846 **Room 543** 

#### MONDAY, SEPT. 30

365454/20 Cacucciolo v. Shanon 365245/21 Schapira v. Demirdag 365797/23 Severinsen v. Severinsen

#### Motion

365245/21 Schapira v. Demirdag 365797/23 Severinsen v. Severinsen

#### TUESDAY, OCT. 1

320665/23 Aguiar v. Soares 365629/23 Brooks v. Brooks 365743/23 Cesbron v. Terny 365523/22 Denham v. Hagie 365092/21 Laurie v. Laurie 365696/23 Neumann v. Crichton 365658/23 Pineiro v. Herrera 365518/23 Ramos v. Mills 365499/22 Saad v. Mishv

Hldgs. LLC

101643/19El Bey v. Admin. For 160782/21 Quezada v. Ls-14 Ave 151296/22 Quincy Mutual Fire Ins. 100248/20El-Bey v. NYC Police Co. A/s/o Rth Rlty. Corp. v. Pve 158301/17 Elkhezzani v. NYC LLC Et Al 151448/21 Ferreira v. NYC Et Al 156979/21 S.R. v. NYCHA 157807/21 Fluellen v. NYC Et Al 155402/21 Tipan v. Amazon.Com Services LLC Et Al 151536/23 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al 161206/21 Gross v. NYC Et Al 157811/20 Turan v. Kmo-361 Rlty. 155434/18 Gutierrez-Miranda v. Associates 153538/24 Voorhees v. NYC Dept. of 154709/23 Kahn v. Warner Bros Mental Health And Hygiene Discovery, Inc. Et Al 153869/20W. v. Pozernick 451375/22 Meija v. NYC Et Al 157786/19Wang v. Xiong 155926/21Waterman v. Msg Arena 155370/20 Mejia-Devaldez v. NYC 151737/20 Mohammed v NYC 400286/14 Moore v. NYC 452096/21 Moreno Dejesus v. NYC 154169/20 Nolasco v. NYC Justice Richard A. Tsai 155623/12 Olmo v. NYC 80 Centre Street 153243/20 Power v. NYC Et Al Phone 646-386-3738 159311/21 Quinones v. NYC Et Al 161746/19 Razak v. Adams Harms 452684/22 Reginald Frazier v. NYC MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Et Al. 150436/19 Romero v. NYC 159650/18 Aldino v. NYC 156659/24 Dumas v. NYC Et Al 452136/18 Sanchez v. Con Ed Co. 152298/19 Simon v. NYC 160166/20 Geloso v. Port Auth. of 159649/22 Grange v. Metro. 154257/20 Sk v NYC 157264/19 Sosa v. NYC Transportation Auth. 160390/23 Hardy v. NYCTA Et Al 157809/21 Tabas v NYC Et Al 153553/14 Torres v. NYC 451898/18 Morel v. NYCTA 160351/21 Velez v. Con Ed Co. of 160257/23 Walford v. Metro New York, Inc. 151163/21Worg v. NYC Transportation Auth. Et Al 154194/22 Wright v. NYC Et Al 159650/18 Aldino v. NYC Part 5 TUESDAY. OCT. 1 City Part 153213/23 Gresseau v. NYCTA Et Al Justice Hasa A. Kingo 153653/21 Hernandez Pena v. 80 Centre Street Metro. Transportation Phone 646-386-3374 154837/24 Maldari v. Metro. Room 320 Transportation Auth MONDAY, SEPT. 30 157168/22 Saunders Jr v. NYC Et Al 154837/24 Maldari v. Metro. 158015/24 Toribio v. NYC Et Al Transportation Auth. TUESDAY, OCT. 1 150379/23 Abalos v. NYC Et Al **Motor Vehicle** 400228/14Abdush-Shahid v. Justice James G. Clynes 157751/20Alicia Rodriguez v. NYC 158375/21Alix v. NYC Et Al 80 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3271 451975/20Almodovar v. NYC 155467/19Anagnostos v. NYC MONDAY, SEPT. 30 155493/22 Baerga v. NYC Et Al 450052/21 Bhatti v. NYC 154835/20 Acosta v. Rahman 161360/20Ahmed v. Yo 154470/19Butts v. NYC Et Al 150772/23 Anderson v. Turo, Inc. Et 151865/21 Collins v. Bernstein 160793/20D'Amico v. NYC 152432/23 Asif Siraj A/k/a Jarvis Sid 451199/23 Diarra v. NYC Et Al v. Gottesman 154769/22 Beltre Matos v. Singh 153396/19Diaz v. NYC 101643/19El Bey v. Admin. For Children's 100248/20El-Bey v. NYC Police 154274/21 Benigno v. Drame 155655/23 Bidot v. Oneill 157128/22 Braga v. Lyft, Inc. Et Al 158301/17 Elkhezzani v. NYC 151448/21 Ferreira v. NYC Et Al 150294/21 Braga v. Singh 151730/23 Burr v. Coulibaly 157807/21 Fluellen v. NYC Et Al 151536/23 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al 161206/21 Gross v. NYC Et Al 156476/24 Camilo v. Saico 157339/22 Cannistraci v. Lewis 155434/18 Gutierrez-Miranda v. 160366/22 Castillo v. Fofana 150084/23 Castillo v. Dorjee 154709/23 Kahn v. Warner Bros 159344/21 Castro Romero v. Pskowski 159243/22 Chen v. Ruben-Discovery, Inc. Et Al 451375/22 Mejia v. NYC Et Al 155370/20 Mejia-Devaldez v. NYC Fernandez Aka Jean Carlos 151737/20 Mohammed v. NYC Ruben-Fernandez 400286/14 Moore v. NYC 150191/22 Coarse v. Riviera 452096/21 Moreno Dejesus v. NYC Produce Corp. Et Al 150911/22 Connolly v. Holowchak 155077/20 Diaz v. Nagua Taxi Inc. 154169/20 Nolasco v. NYC 155623/12 Olmo v. NYC 153243/20 Power v. NYC Et Al 157302/20 El-Yamani v. F.B.M. Cab 159311/21 Quinones v. NYC Et Al Corp 161746/19 Razak v. Adams Harms 452684/22 Reginald Frazier v. NYC 158902/21 Elliott v. Genao 151351/22 Emile v. Nrecaj 158553/23 Estevez v. Thomas 150436/19 Romero v. NYC 159998/19 Felix v. C & J Bus Lines 452136/18 Sanchez v. Con Ed Co. 152298/19 Simon v. NYC 160200/22 Flores v. Svlbert 156437/22 Garcia v. Simon 154257/20 Sk v NYC 154386/24 Grant v. Morrow

150272/21 McClure Sawyer v. Kassman 161449/17 McDonald v. Usm, Inc. 150215/19Mendoza v. Uno Const. Corp. 151714/14 Minarik v. 255 Cabrini Condominium 157110/15 Perez v. NYC 150193/18 Priority Capital LLC v. United Credit Solvers 150080/18S & R Properties of New v. Cromwell 150391/18 Stewart v. Trustees of Columbia 153369/20 Sy v. Roosevelt Landings Owner LLC 152681/22 Tamez v. Agjmurati 154138/17 Valentine v. NYU Hosps. Center 153615/22 Verizon NY Inc. v. E-J Electric Installation Co. 150053/21 Wang v. Madison 158834/19Winters v. W2001z/15cpw Rlty. **TUESDAY, OCT. 1** 151105/19Barrado Alonso v. Cabgram Developer LLC 160214/19Byrnes v. Rp1185 LLC 159354/19 Compeau v. Metro. Museum of Art 160270/21 Cruz v. Msmc Residential Rlty. LLC Et Al 153664/22 Epstein v. Key Food Stores Co-Operative, Inc. Et Al 152080/21 Flores v. R.G. Ortiz Funeral Home Inc. Et Al 151179/16 Greater NY Mutual v. Harleysville Worcester 159102/15 Jones v. Vornado NY Rr One L.L.C. 154007/13.Jones v. Tda Const., Inc. 150612/18 Konstantinidis v. NYC 160104/16 Marguez v. NYCHA 151220/21 McKinley v. Wesbuilt Const. Mgrs. 150488/20 Merino v. Larstrand Corp. 152167/20 Mills v. Polanco 158160/20 Muller v. Rasheed 152756/13 Norman v. Olive Branch 150800/20 Sanchez-Mateo v. Antonio's Tratoria Corp. 152638/20 Shvti v. 9 Peridom Inc 158068/18 Szymczyk v. Hudson 36 LLC 151680/17 T & T 130 Pizza Corp. v. Walsam 130 Mad LLC 151221/20 Weeden v. Lukezic 151777/20Wesco Ins. Co. v. Atomix NY Inc. 151824/20 Wright v. 1229-1273 Rlty. LLC Early Settlement Justice Samuel E. **80 Centre Street** MONDAY, SEPT. 30 154274/21 Benigno v. Drame 150191/22 Coarse v. Riviera Produce Corp. Et Al 452583/20De La Cruz Cueva v. Rodriguez 155077/20Diaz v. Nagua Taxi Inc. 158853/19Fasulo v. NYC 156882/19 Green v. NYCHA 159838/19Lee v. Cisse 161100/22 Lieberman v. Welington 158418/16Lm General Ins. Co. v. Bullet Auto Sales And 151600/21 Maldonado v. Santana 157376/22 Martinez v. Access Transportation Group 159141/22 Mohamed v. Justiano 154347/21 Morales v. American United

157670/24 in The Matter of The Application of F.J.H. Rlty. Inc. v. NYC Loft Board Et Al 157733/23 Mayer v. Lash Decor & Spa Inc. Et Al 156035/21 Okai v. West 108th St. Hdfc., Inc. 450750/24 People of The State of NY v. Yellowstone Capital LLC Et

156941/24 Plant Craft Foods, Inc. v. Acuna 152076/24 State Farm Mutual

Automobile Ins. Co. v. Henry Motion 653800/15 Arthur v. 1809-15 7th

Ave. Housing TUESDAY, OCT. 1

151637/21 Barba v. F. J. Sciame Const. 154986/24 Castagliola v. Safepan Scaffolding LLC Et Al 653274/24 in The Matter of The Petition of State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. v. Laycock

152245/24 Lozada v. NY Developers & Mgt. LLC Et Al Part 26

Justice Phaedra F. Perry 111 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3308 Room 684

**Part Integrated Domestic Violence** Justice Tandra L. Dawson 100 Centre Street Phone 646-386-3868

Room 1604 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 350024/13 Olson v. Olson TUESDAY, OCT. 1

365118/24 Zanet v. Zanet

#### **CRIMINAL TERM**

Part Tap A Justice Biben Phone 646-386-4107 100 Centre St. Room 1100, 9:30 A.M. Part Tap B

Justice Statsinger Phone 646-346-4044 100 Centre St Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

Part 22 Justice Mennin Phone 646-386-4022 Fax 212-295-4890 111 Centre Street Room 928, 9:30 A.M.

Part 23 Justice N. Ross Phone 646-386-4023 Fax 212-295-4891 100 Centre Street Room 1307, 9:30 A.M.

Part 31 Justice D. Kiesel Phone 646-386-4031 Fax 212-401-9260 100 Centre Street Room 1333, 9:30 A.M.

Part 32 Justice Carro Phone 646-386-4032 Fax 212-401-9261 100 Centre Street Room 1300, 9:30 A.M.

Part JHO/Part 37 Justice Adlerberg Phone 646-386-4037 100 Centre Street Room 1600, 9:30 A.M.

Part 41 Justice Dwyer Phone 646-386-4041 Fax 212-401-9262 100 Centre Street Room 1116, 9:30 A.M.

Part 42

Justice Wiley Phone 646-386-4042 Fax 212-401-9263 111 Centre Street

Part 75 Housing Justice Mandelbaum Phone 646-386-4075 Corp. 111 Centre Street Room 583, 9:30 A.M. Spa, Inc. Part 77 32364/18 Hughes v. Ndoci Justice Obus 34712/20 James v. 4020 White Phone 646-386-4077 Plains Road 100 Centre Street Room 1536, 9:30 A.M. Hosp. 302945/16 Keeling v. Salvo Part 81 801363/21 King v. Kebe Justice C. Farber 800394/21 Lebron v. Hussain Phone 646-386-4081 Fax 212-401-9270 Re 100 Centre Street 20105/19 Loveless v. Diouck Room 1317, 9:30 A.M. 29642/19 Matos v. Perselis Part 85 303356/16 Moss v. Moss Justice Hayes Phone 646-386-4085 Fax 212-401-9113 111 Centre Street Medical Room 1523, 9:30 A.M. 801361/22 Quattlebaum v. Part 92 Providence Rest D/b/a Providence Rest Inc Et Al Justice Mitchell Phone 646-386-4092 42357/19 Rahman v. Asare 34410/18 Rodriguez v. Islam Fax 212-295-4914 111 Centre Street 24520/18 Rosa v. Shah Room 1234, 9:30 A.M. 26348/15 Sandoval v. Asare Part Housing Associates Justice E. Biben 804290/21 Schenholster v. Phone 646-386-4093 Centennial Elevator 111 Centre Street Room 1333, 9:30 A.M. Repairs Inc. Part 93 Boulevard Justice Scherzer 22533/19 Soto v. Ortiz Phone 646-386-4093 25543/20 Stoudymire v. Kone 100 Centre Street Room 1333, 9:30 A.M. 20430/19 Swanston-Bristol v. Niangadou Part 95 25009/17 T. v. McKenzie Justice D.Conviser Phone 646-386-4095 Fax 212-401-9137 Hosd. 111 Centre Street Room 687, 9:30 A.M. 28669/16 Velasquez v. Vadi Part 99 Justice Burke Phone 646-386-4099 Fax 212-401-9270 Inc. 100 Centre Street Room 1530, 9:30 A.M. 302274/16 Al-Zokary v. Diallo Mamadou Dian Part N-SCT 24172/20 Albanese v. Sanogo 21486/19Ali v. Kabore Justice Peterson Phone 646-386-4014 804472/22 Arrovo v Beretta Fax 212-401-9272 34353/20 Asamoah v. Atkinson 100 Centre Street 31061/19Baena v Calderon Room 218, 9:30 A.M. Part IDV Inc. 20738/19 Capellan v. Almonte Justice Dawson Phone 646-386-3579 Fax 212-884-8938 Inc. 100 Centre Street Room 1604, 9:30 A.M. Inc. Et Al 21132/12 Delgado v. NYCTA 801971/21 Espada v. Kovskaya SURROGATE'S Gruppa Corp. 32045/19 Figueroa v. NYC COURT 20249/20 Galvez v. Scott Surrogate Hilary Gingold 24711/15 Gamble v. Kats Surrogate Rita Mella 807947/21 Grajales v. Forrester 31 Chamber's Street 27950/20 Inges v. Peck New York, NY 30120/19 Jordan v. Cisse See court's webpage for informa-21643/20 Komoni v. Bowman tion about appearances: Visiting Surrogate's Court | NYCOURTS. Wholesale & Retail 24182/18 Miranda v. Karimv Bronx County United 23110/19 Peck v. Bonet **SUPREME COURT** Inc. Et Al 30507/18 R. v. Carbonell **EX PARTE AND** URGENT 29319/17 Rosario v. Thomas **MOTIONS PART** Cerabona 21941/19 Sanyang v. Cordero The Following is the German 23811/19 Schifano v. Santiago List of Sittings in the Ex Parte Urgent 25089/20 Shahidi-Mcdonald v. Motions Part on the Dates Specified: TRIAL TERM 718-618-1248 **Day Calendar Court Notices** Key to Submission Motion Calendar FS = Fully submitted. FSN = Fully Submitted, No LLC Opposition AD.I=adjourned to the marked date for oral argument in the above calendar part. Answering papers are to be submitted on the original return date in Room 217. \* \* \* Corp. **MENTAL HYGIENE PART** Justice Betty Owen Stinson A Supreme Court calendar will NYC be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted at Jacobi Hospital, Pelham Parkway and Eastchester Road, Room 8E20, and North Central Bronx Hospital, 3424 Kossuth Avenue, Room 13A32, on alternate Wednesdays commencing at 9 A.M. A Supreme Court calendar will be called and Mental Hygiene Hearings will be conducted at Bronx Psychiatric Center, 1500 Waters Place, Thompson Building, First Floor every Wednesday at 10:30 A.M. or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard. Mortgage Foreclosure Sales in Supreme Court, Bronx County are no longer conducted in Room 118M. All Mortgage **Foreclosure Sales in Supreme** Corp. Court, Bronx County are con-ducted in Room B-129 (Ground Floor). Sales will be con-ducted on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Fridays at 2 P.M. No Mortgage Foreclosure Sales shall be conducted in **Bronx County on Thursdays Trial Assignment Part** Justice Joseph E. Capella Phone 718-618-1201 Room 607, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30 29933/20 Adams v. Nizametdinov 812137/23 Aleman v. Alberto 27250/19 Barbosa v. Polu M.D. 801889/22 Battle v. Hiqma Tran LLC Et Al 31209/17 Beck v. Bonilla 25303/15 Cabrera v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al 21028/19 Camilo v. Pagan 33595/18 Cardona-Gonzalez v Propark. Inc. 28427/18 Carino v. Luna 23688/17 Cullins v. Ihemaguba 300703/17 Diallo v. Lopez

Justice Mitchell J. Danziger 22677/19 Hefele v. Sayeda Family Phone 718-618-1207 Room 707, 9:30 A.M. 32252/20Henriquez v. Villarojas 33912/19Henry v. Victoria's Nails & MONDAY, SEPT. 30 809147/21 Abreu v. NYC Et Al 33861/19 Ameyaw v. NYC 31087/19 Annunziata v. NYC 814923/22 Arai v. Con Ed Co. of 26191/16 Kalemi v. Mount Sinai New York, Inc. Et Al 21967/20 Beniquez v. NYC 807506/22 Bowens v. NYC Et Al 815319/22 Brown v. NYC 819381/22 Brown-Sibblies v. The 811066/22 Lopez v. Plaza Associates NYCTA Et Al 800783/23 C. v. NYC Et Al 24886/14 Cabrera v. NYC 33031/20 Candelario v. NYC Et Al 802505/22 Mejia v. E243 Hldgs. LLC 26972/18 Canini v. Manhattan And Bronx Surface 812254/21 Patabendige Cooray v. 21625/20 Carruthers v. NYC 812995/22 Cecil Morrison Dames v. Cintron 24012/18 Pecoroni v. Emergency Shri Sainath 807152/23 Cofield v. NYC 813288/21 Colon v. Senitta 300190/17 Crawford v. NYC 802339/21 Creager v. Jp Morgan 30337/20 Quezada v. Doukoure Chase Bank Et Al 812907/21 Croom v. Buriboev 805555/23 Daley v. NYC Et Al 801778/22 Daniels v. NYC Et Al 30342/17 De Leon v. NYCTA 812272/21 Delacruz v. NYC Et Al 33742/18 Sanoussi v. Anderson 34111/19 Diallo v. NYCTA 814793/21 Diaz v. NYC Et Al 803240/23 Diaz v. NYC Et Al 817626/21 Diaz v. NYC Et Al 24377/16 Sillah v. Acme American 802345/22 Dukuray v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al 22852/18 Smith v. 1564 Southern 29686/19 Dzib v. NYC 27214/16 E. v. NYC 22610/20 Edwards v. NYC 817174/22 Escalera v. NYC Et Al 28155/18 Evanjelista v. NYCHA 33893/19 Fabian v. NYC 35073/19 Fernandez De Diaz v. 24264/19 Tineo v. Zeisser Rlty. LLC NYCTA 32564/19 Townsend v. St. Barnabas 28497/16 Flores v. NYC 802100/23 Frias v. Lemle & Wolff, Inc. Et Al 23984/17 Westbrook v. Fernandez 808930/21 Gonzalez v. Restani 20114/19 Wilford v. Bnv Homecare Const. Corp. Agency 22520/15 Wilkerson v. Americare, 803209/23 Gooden v. Joby Rlty. Corp. Et Al 21576/15 Green v. Metro. Transportation TUESDAY, OCT. 1 818385/22 Grubbs v NYC Et Al 23840/98 Gueits v. NYC 814901/22 H v NYC Et Al 815139/22 H. v. NYC Et Al 803583/23 Hamilton v. NYC 800711/22 Ally v. Alice L. Kulick 3 20011/16 Hausler v. NYC 28652/17 Henriquez v. NYC 304864/15 Herrera v. NYCTA 24115/16 J v. NYC 801972/21 Jacobs v. NYC Et Al 28648/16 Bond v. Midtown Express, 812857/21 Jata v. The NYCTA Et Al 22434/20 Johnson v. NYCTA Et Al 812921/21 Jollon v. NYC Et Al 31309/17 Chang v. Logan Bus Co., 28053/17 Jones v. NYC 807524/21 Davis v. Dtg Enterprise 31310/17 Jones v. NYC 814485/21 Ka-M v. NYC Et Al 819118/22 Lee v. Harris Hands Community Services, Inc. Et Al 22941/19 Earle v. Nunezquinones 30108/20 Lieberman v. Manesis 20155/15 Livingston v. MABSTOA 23885/17 Lopez v. NYC 815326/22 Lora v. Ann-Gur Rlty Corp. Et Al 20871/14 Love v. NYC 807433/21 Marrow v. NYC 24147/20 Martinez v. 3201-13 Park Rlty. 815732/22 Mason v. NYC Et Al 33999/18 Lascano v. Caribe Beer 26485/17 Mason v. NYC 812235/21 Mata v. NYC Et Al 25938/19 Mack v. R&F Limo., Inc. 801815/23 McConneghey v. NYC 804594/23 McLarty v. NYC 22129/19 Marthans v. Nwaohuocha 22003/20 McNeill v. NYC 25893/16 Medina v. Medina 801857/22 Naar v. 2855 Gc LLC Et Al 801790/22 Michel v. NYC Et Al 812225/22 Millet v. NYC Et Al 24227/18 Nasef v. Olr Three, Inc. 23402/17 Newman v. Drink King Distributing 31291/17 Nwaohuocha v. American 806522/22 Moore v. NYC Et Al 31839/17 Munford v. NYCTA 808555/21 Naccari v. NYC 805346/21 Nelson v. NYC Et Al 26394/19 Perdoncin v. Perdoncin 27393/16 Nieves v. NYCTA 20173/20 Nieves v. Benas 802871/22 R. v. Bh & S Leibman 815767/22 Noriega v. NYC Et Al 27638/17 Ortiz v. NYC 27248/17 P v NYC 31955/18 Ramos v. Cabrera Veras 302549/15 Pacheco v. NYCTA 302566/16 Rodriguez v. Aponte 807412/22 Paul v. Brown 26522/20 Peart v. NYC Et Al 802976/23 Pena v. NYC Et Al 23356/15 Pena v. NYC Dept. of 33302/19 Perez v. Aqua 3065 Gc LLC 21275/14 Perez v. NYC 22447/19 Petway v. NYCTA 25447/20 Poccia v. NYC 31037/19 Polanco v. 233rd St.

24945/17 Guaraca v. West 25th St.

805195/23 Coulibaly v. NYCTA Et Al Part 3/33 815929/22De Las Nueces v. Valerio 816122/23Delgado-Viera v. NYC Et 803256/24 Diakite v. Peace 301016/10Diaz v. NYC 800447/21Dixon v. NYC 800523/21 Dixon v. NYC Et Al 803769/24 Edwards v. NYC Et Al 802727/21Emener v. NYC 27311/18Estrada-Valentin v. NYC 808400/23 Fabian v. NYC Et Al 801563/24 Ferrer v. NYC Et Al 820698/23 Figueroa v. Jones 26343/19 Font v. NYC 808231/24 Francica v. NYCTA Et Al 818344/23 Furci Jonny Ramirez Sena v. NYC Et Al 815362/23 Gallardo v. NYC Et Al 809770/24 Garrick v NYC Et Al 813552/23 Garris v. NYC Et Al 26045/20 Gomez v. NYC 813567/23 Gonzalez v. NYC Et Al 805780/24 Graham v. NYC 21486/20 Gray v. NYC 804644/24 Guaba Morillo v. Giardino 815871/23 Guevara v. NYC Et Al 806471/23 Gumaneh v. NYC Et Al 817473/23 Happy v. The NYCTA Et Al 803349/24 Harris v. NYC Et Al 27482/17 Harrison v. NYC 820293/23 Hinks v. NYC Et Al 35483/20 Horne Heyliger v. NYC Et Al 800620/24 Hunt v. NYC Et Al 818913/23 Idal v. The NYCTA Et Al 810611/23 J. v. Board of Education of NYC Et Al 31233/18 Jackson v. NYC 813041/23 Jeffery v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al 804529/24 Jimenez Papote v. NYC 801231/24 Jones v. NYC Et Al 302295/15 Joue v. NYC 807209/24 Kabir v. NYC Et Al 818818/23 Keller v. NYC Et Al 806228/22 King v. The NYC; Et Al 34166/20 Knight v. NYC 810019/23 Knight v. NYC Et Al 805412/22 Lamb v Kaufman 8th Ave. Associates L.P. Et Al 811080/24 Lewis v. NYC Et Al 812222/22 Linton v. NYC 27405/19 Lockett v. NYC 804986/23 Long v. NYC 801396/23 Loran v. NYC Et Al 805501/24 Lucero v. NYC Et Al 806586/23 Lynette Colon v. Amanda Cove 808100/23 Madison v. NYCTA Et Al 812198/23 McCarron v. Melhado 30071/19 McClinton v. NYC 801943/24 Minniefield v. NYCTA Et Al 807242/24 Mojica v NYC Et Al 820092/23 Moran v. NYC Et Al 815095/22 Morel v. NYCTA Et Al 30690/18 Mouzon v. NYC 23675/20 Mouzon v. NYC 818911/22 Murray v. NYC Et Al 25642/20 Nieves v. NYC 24781/19 Nofal v. NYC 806520/23 Norman v. NYC Et Al 28002/18 O'Meally v. NYC 35684/20 Okolo v. John Doe Et Al 804569/24 Ortiz v. Doe 21070/14 Owens v. NYC 33668/19 Parker v. NYC 805814/24 Parsons v. Perri 807341/24 Pasha v. NYC 807897/24 Pasha v. NYC 807143/23 Pauldon v. NYC Et Al 816666/23 Pendergrass v. NYC Dept. of Correction Et Al 804997/24 Perez v. NYC 23441/20 Perez v. NYC 34107/19 Pesantes v. NYC 304960/15 Phillips v. NYC 31780/18 Pickering v. NYC 805920/23 Powell v. NYC Et Al 802925/24 Price v. NYC Et Al 25186/20 O. v. NYC 801980/21 Quintana v. NYC 28538/19 Reese III v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al 300257/14 Reyes v. NYC 801430/21 Rivera v. NYC 819675/23 Rodriguez Gomez v. NYCHA (NYCHA) Et Al 31580/18 Rodriguez v. NYC 21924/16 Sanchez v NYC 814881/23 Sanchez v. NYC Et Al 801261/24 Sandra Garcia v. NYC Et 817651/23 Santos v. Giacomo & Gennaro Patricia's of Tremont Et Al 809701/24 Scipio v. NYC 809784/21 Serrano v. NYC Et Al 33556/20 Serrano v. NYC 820592/23 Service Jr. v. NYCTA Et Al 814206/22 Sherwood v. NYC Et Al Partnership 813408/22 Powell v. NYC Et Al 802129/24 Simon v. NYC Et Al 25183/18 Simpson v. NYC 28400/19 Smith v. NYC 800445/23 Query v. NYC Et Al 24570/20 Rahman v. NYC 22426/19 Ramos v. Con Ed Co. 34203/20 Ramos v. Antonia Pantoja 801897/23 Summers v. Manhattan And Bronx Surface NYCTA Et Al Preparatory Academy Et Al 807199/21 Tavarez v. NYC 300821/13 Ramrattan v. NYC 24635/15 Taveras v. NYC 22704/19 Taylor v. NYC 801472/22 Rifas v. NYC 808524/24 Techeira v. NYC 808514/24 Thelismond v NYC 24484/16 Rivera v. NYC 819115/22 Tovar v. NYC Et Al 811395/22 V. v. NYC Et Al 802409/23 Rodriguez v. The NYCTA 28254/20 Rodriguez v. Police Officer 26613/17 Vazquez v. NYC Alexande Ponceando 23718/13 Vazquez v. NYC 803453/23 Rodriguez v. NYCTA Et Al 817129/23 Ventura v. NYC 804267/24 Waters v. Edmond 802601/21 Rodriguez v. NYC 811546/22 Roman v. NYC Et Al 816929/23 White v White 30751/17 Williams v. NYC 23705/18 Romero v. NYC 809663/21 Rosado v. NYC Et Al 804894/23 Rowe v. NYC Et Al 26468/18 Witter v. NYC WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 28878/18 Ruiz v. NYC Fire Dept. 812617/24 Arzu-Rivas v. NYC Et Al 25805/18 Barcelona v. NYC 803692/23 Burton v. NYC Et Al 29347/17 Sanchez v. Network 29729/18 Dennis v. NYC Infrastructure, Inc. 25528/19 Evans v. NYC 29912/20 Sasko v. NYC Et Al 812356/24 Francisco v. NYC Et Al 816341/21 Simon v NYC 22995/14 Gardiner v. NYC 812619/24 Hamilton v. NYC Et Al 31661/18 Smith v. NYC 23291/19 Smith v. NYC 24795/17 Horne v. NYC 28690/17 Smith-Marcano v 20534/17 Karlin v. NYC Highbridge Community Housing 812096/21 Levy v. NYC Et Al 23027/18 Mitchell v. NYC 803617/23 Sosa v. NYC 23575/19 Springer v. NYC 808938/24 Morante v. NYC Et Al 812391/22 Stanback v. NYC Et Al 310875/11 Soto v. NYC 27821/17 Stephenson v. NYCTA 22226/16 Touray v. NYC 24379/14 Stone v. NYC 31543/20 Suares v. NYC 22175/16 V v. NYC 816382/22 Watkins v NYC 32444/18 Sumpter v. NYC 31948/20 Tartarone v. NYC Et Al 26385/15 Williamson v. Cross 810895/22 Thames v. NYC Et Al Part 4 25010/20 Thomas v. NYC Justice Andrew J. Cohen 25491/18 Torres v. NYC Phone 718-618-1212 303089/10 Trejo v. NYC Room 413, 9:30 A.M. 28150/19Vazquez v. NYC MONDAY, SEPT. 30 820452/23 Evasguinan v. Nyt College Point LLC Et Al 300825/17 Wilson v. NYC 22721/20 Wright v. NYC Et Al 808833/24 in The Matter of The Application of M.S.F. v. W. **TUESDAY, OCT. 1** TUESDAY, OCT. 1 803623/24 Abbott v. NYCHA Et Al 21090/18 Alvarez v. 3220 Perry LLC 816581/21 Abreu v. Knic LLC Et Al WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 802231/23 Adames v. Galaxv General Contracting Corp. Et Al 300817/16 Lee v. Gjushi Rlty. LLC 810920/22 Adams v. NYC Et Al Part 5 803802/23 Amanda Van Stry As Ltd. Justice Alison Y. Tuitt Administrator of The Estate of Javier Velasco v. NYC Et Al Phone 718-618-1224 Room 415, 9:30 A.M. 805792/23 Amanze v. NYCTA Et Al 801854/24 Arias v. NYC Et Al MONDAY, SEPT. 30 808900/24 Armstrong v. NYC Et Al 802740/21 Arroyo v. NYC 810649/21 Dunn v. Amanda's Cove 813109/23 Asantewaa v. Perez 33723/20 Suero v. Norman Rlty. & 801274/23 Atkins v. NYC Et Al Const. 807291/24 Austion v. The NYCTA Et TUESDAY, OCT. 1 805438/24 Gonzales v. Rolex Rlty. 807353/23 Barbosa v. NYC Et Al Co. LLC Et Al 802201/21 Bent v. NYC 42048/23 Pinkhasov v. Zherka 808505/24 Bido v. NYC Part 6 803443/24 Boothe v. NYC Et Al 806972/23 Bridgett v. NYC Et Al Justice Laura G. Douglas Phone 718-618-1246 814430/22 Brown v. The NYCTA Et Room 811, 9:30 A.M. 817248/23 Cabral v. Kirvens WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 819623/23 Cabrera v. NYCTA Et Al 27757/20 Dunn v. 416 East 187th St. 820450/23 Campbell v. NYC Et Al LLC

807638/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Electrodiagnostic & Physical Med Pc A/a/o Leonardo Ceballos 34290/20 Bayport Const. Corp. v. Baez Hughes Dev. Corp. Et Al 814253/21 Benjamin v. Gobel Hldg. Corp. Et Al 808703/21 Carreon v. United Nations Int'l School Et Al 815011/21 NYC v. 2710-2712 East Tremont LLC. Et Al 808901/21 Cooperativa Del Taxista De NY Corp. v. Adames 300149/19 Creekstone Juban I LLC v. Xl Ins. America, Inc. 26963/19 Daly Ave. LLC v. Alma Rlty. Corp. 2790/23 Edwards v. Geico Indemnity Co 810517/23 Gotham 149 Rlty. LLC v Chai Care 149th LLC Et Al 805880/21 Hanasab v. Annas House Missions Min. Inc Et Al 25228/17 Hebrew Home For The Aged At Riverdale v. Stevens 800929/24 in The Matter of The Application of The Progressive Casualty Ins. Co. v. To Stav The Arbitration Sought To Be Had By Jose Ramon Espinoza 27783/19 Jimenez-Collado v. Fuertes Gun Hill Road 811252/22 Jopal Bronx v. Davis Jr 803210/21 Kambia Chios Rlty. LLC v. Utica First Ins. Co. 303884/15 Lopez v. 3405 Putnam Relty Corp 805540/22 Lynn's Pl. Housing Dev. Fund Co. v. Scottsdale Ins. Co. Et Al 813628/24 Rivermill Hldgs. v. John Hancock Assignment Co. Et Al 34006/18 Rosado v. NYC 814702/22 Santana v. Bainbridge Marion LLC Et Al 30997/18 Staten v. NYC 808578/21 Stricker v. Woodlands Owners Inc. 811496/21 Vasquez v. Mosholu Petrol Rlty. LLC, Amg Mosholu Ouickserve LLC. Dunkin' Brands, Inc., And S&M Bronx Inc. 813039/21 Zottola v. Zottola 813908/21 Zottola v. Zottola TUESDAY, OCT. 1 34254/19 Rivera v. NYC 809244/22 Rodriguez v. Schindler Elevator Corp. Et Al WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 805909/21 Malave v. Wagner Associates LLC Part 8 Justice Bianka Perez Phone 718-618-1205 Room 704, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30 23967/20 Ruiz v. Alemar TUESDAY, OCT. 1 809324/21 Disla-Santos v. Martinez WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 802075/23 Baron v. Cohen 814713/22 Jackson v. Friendly Motor Inn Et Al 23647/19K v NYCHA 800234/23 V. v. Children's Aid College Prep Charter School 20055/17 Wallace v. Dee-Gree Mgt. Corp. Part 9 (LPM) Justice Myrna Socorro 814015/22600 Associates LLC Et Al Hldg. 810401/24 Caba v Inc Et Al LLC

Pacific Owner LLC Et Al 813214/22 Reynario Rojas Fuentes v. Be Bronx Builders 35472/19 Robinson v. Imbriale 804855/23 Tamaquiza Ichapanta v. 101 Lincoln Associates Prop. LLC Et Al 807221/23 Vasquez v. 1407 B'way. LLC Et Al 816100/22 Vega-Fajardo v. Simcha Giles Dev. 812879/21 Zapata Ballestero v Siegel Family Associates LLC Et Al WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 1921/22 Adesanya v. Davidoff 34327/19 Aponte v. 298 East Village Owner LLC 814883/21 Bokum v. The Trustees of Columbia Univ. in NYC 811852/22 Caceres v. 1548 Jesup Rltv. LLC Et Al 23157/18Diaz v. Tishman Const. 808174/21 Estrada Hernandez v. River Terrace I LLC Et Al 810505/21 Estupinan v. 1516 Park Ave. Partners LLC Et Al 816204/21 Flores v. Prop Q LLC 806288/22 Fuentes Ayala v. 3240 Riverdale Ave. Corp. 816918/22 Jimenez Camano v. Mentore 305810/14 Lopez v. Ceylon Leasing Ltd 816210/22 Martin v. Poe Affiliates 817034/22 Martinez Carranza v. 280 Meeker LLC Et Al 800181/22 Munoz v. NY Univ. Et Al 814905/21 Narvaez Reinoso v. 1726 Davidson LLC 802389/24 Rivera v. 166 Archer Ave Co. LLC Et Al 812375/22 Rojas v. Southern Blvd Hotel LLC Et Al 815536/21 Sillah v. Bop Ne LLC Et 25589/18 Vlad v. Tj 104 Restaurant Corp. Part 13 (MV) Justice Patsy Gouldborne Phone 718-618-1236 Room 706, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30 814529/22 Ababio v. Freenjoy, Inc. Et Al 813588/22 Abreu v. Towns 800371/22Acosta v. Rodriguez 810354/21 Acosta v. Bautista-Nova 21813/20 Acosta-Rodriguez v. Dye 818870/22Ali v. Grabowski 23091/20 Alikaj v. Alubankudi 819284/22 Allen v. Mrkulic 32887/20 Allstate Ins. Co. As Subrogee of Edward Food Inc. v. Jerome One Stop Services Inc. Et Al 818563/22 Almonte v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al 804481/22 Alvizo v. Mochiah 800799/22 Amtrust Ins. Co. of Kansas, Inc. v. Hotsiame 32227/20 Anderson v. Davis 25655/20 Arroyo v. Kepecs 24726/20 Artiles Rosa v. Disilvestre

Phone 718-618-1625 Room 402, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30

Columbia Univ. Et Al

Rental, Inc. Et Al

800734/21 Barnett v. Allen

25386/20 Bello v. Johnson

Limo Auto Corp Et Al

Inc. Et Al

804897/21 Bowers v. Shillingford

803756/24 Brown Hicks v. Garcia

806479/22 Brown v. Aj Hybrid Auto

818291/22 Baxter v. Paniagua

29162/18 Beltrandi v. Rafique

Independent Living, Inc. Et Al

LLC Et Al

v. Illinois Union Ins. Co. 816083/21 Aguilar v. Sea Rise 1 Dev. Co. LLC Et Al 306563/14 Alvarez v. 179 Ludlow

805591/21 Delgado Delgado v. One Forty West Fordham Road LLC Et

Al 808490/22 Diaz Mazariegos v. Senior Const. Corp. Et Al 29069/20 Donnery v. Skansa USA 302303/14 Estevez v. Slg 100 Park 816681/22 Gomez Avilez v. Mingji Ave4 Rlty. LLC Et Al 26129/17 Henry v. West 25th St. Housing 802421/24 Mejia Vasquez v. NY Public Library Et Al 808338/21 Montenegro Martinez v. Trinity NYC Hotel 807689/21 Montenegro Martinez v. Rxr Church-Div. Tower A Hldgs. LLC Et Al 34081/19 Newby v 1262 B'way Equities LLC 816838/22 San Agustin Dimas v. 131-151 Purchase St. Investor #1 31159/17 Sanango v. Fairfield Views Inc 29836/18 Santamaria v. N7 Owner 27096/19 Sarante v. Courtlandt Dev 811083/23 Silva v. Bethel Tabernacle African Methodist Episcopal Church Et Al 31470/18 Vines v. Judlau Contracting TUESDAY, OCT. 1 32964/20 Aranda Lopez v. 430 Dean LLC 810219/22 Arias Pinales v. 249 W 28th St. Properties 302956/15 Broughton v. 553 Marcy Ave. Owners 817055/21 Cajamarca v. 6 East 43rd St. Corp. Et Al 802320/23 Campoverde Robles v 2150c LLC Et Al 818247/22 Cardenas Travieso v. 1245 Elgh LLC Et Al 32090/19 Chacha v. Pride Lodging LLC 27502/19 Connolly v. Civic 411 Wales Corp. 818806/22 Delgado Hoyos v. Terminal Fee Owner Lp Et Al 816110/22 Diaz Murillo v. E 135 And 3rd Ave Owner LLC Et Al 814479/23 Estevez Erazo v. Hp Walton Ave. Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al 817466/22 Estrada v. Rashid 814914/21 Francisco Munoz v. Kbe Bldg. Corp. Et Al 817175/22 Garcia v. 3190 Webster Ave. Associates LLC Et Al 817524/22 Gomera Garcia v. Third And Thirty Four LLC Et Al 815553/22 Grullon Garcia v Redeemer Evangelical Lutheran Church Et Al 819427/23 Gutierrez v. on Star Mgt. LLC Et Al 815660/22 Guzman v. The Manlyn Dev. Group, Inc. Et Al 814097/23 Guzman v. L & A Developers Group Inc Et Al 817266/22 Hernandez v. NY Foundation For Senior Citizens Inc. Et Al 809694/21 Herrera v. M.N.C. General Contractors Corp. Et Al 20091/19 Howell v. 380 Madison 807791/21 Howell v. Comref 380 24589/17 Jaquez v. 515 West 29th St. Owner LLC 31720/17 Kelly v. Pergament Mall of Staten 29117/20 Kovalchuk v. 1560 Gc LLC 818514/22 Lala v. Roman Catholic Church of Saint Anselm And Saint Roch 817612/23 Lopez Castelan v. 1546 Wpr LLC Et Al 802814/23 Lopez v. 287 Henry St. LLC Et Al 813729/21 Luis Ortiz v. Pratt Const. And Restoration Inc. Et Al 817245/22 Maria Ochog v. Riverdale Park Corp. Et Al 815996/21 Martinez v. 26 Sherman Residence LLC Et Al 817920/22 Minando v. Delta Air Lines, Inc. Et Al 816755/22 Montaleza-Rojas v. 800686/23 Hidalgo v. Finley Integrity Contracting, Inc. Et Al

58th St. LLC Et Al

Const. Inc. Et Al

Way Mgt. Group LLC

804437/22 Hill v. Danyaya 807985/22 Holmes v. NYC Et Al 805730/22 Morales v. Vno 225 West 818579/22 Ortega Yambay v. Gordon 813682/21 in The Matter of The Petition of Bahodur Sodikzoda v 809827/21 Ortiz v. Lendlease (us) The Black Car Fund 24973/20 Itzhaki v. Gamil 801337/24 Owusu-Agvemang v. 35330/19 Javier v. Sajid 32331/18 Jenkins v. Shalomov Storage Post/3350 Park Ave 30013/18 Pinto v. 708 Third Ave. 29311/20 Khan v. Rosario Associates 814786/21 Rendon Palamino v. 1010 814421/22 Liautaud v. Yupacuzco 34141/20 Liphete v. Huang 806252/22 Liriano v. Estevez 807325/21 Livingston v. Jimenez Alfonso 33005/20 Lopez v. Suhel 27801/18 Lopuzzo v. Chirico 30226/20 Los Santos v. Sakho 814001/22 Lovett v. Montes 815950/22 Lowrey v. Supreme Auto Corp Et Al 811337/21M. v. Geico Ins. Co. 813598/22 M. v. Brathwaite 28567/17 Maisonet v. Delacruz 21306/20 Maldonado v. Vivar 816458/22 Maldonado v. Lifeline Ambulance Service, Inc. Et Al 809515/22 Manu v. Sixt Rent A Car LLC Et Al 811104/21 Martinez v. City Livery Leasing Queens Inc. Et Al 810390/21 Maxwell v. Bah 802663/22 Miller v. Onwurah 804348/22 Mims v. City Livery Leasing Et Al 805193/22 Moncrieffe v. Onwurah 813791/22 Montas v. Ortiz 805671/21 Montesdeoca v. Coastal Distribution of 816894/22 Montilla v. Mushevey 801241/23 Moore v. Evans 20623/20 Morales v. Ben Hur Transporation Corp. 31488/19 Morgan v. New Jersey Transit Corp. 809693/21 Munshi v. Novas-Ramirez 814969/21 Nash v. NYC Metal Recycle Corp. Et Al 817335/23 Ndiaye v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al 22644/20 Neggie v. Smith 816156/22 Nunez v. Fathalla 31600/19 Nunez v. Perez-Paulino 817875/23 Ortega Tejada v. Cleston 807861/21 Osorio v. Kraut 800930/22 Ouedraogo v. Diallo 29973/20 Pacheco v. Santos Jr. 819191/22 Parra v. Tzoumas 806001/22 Pasley v. Salsedo 803772/23 Pena v. J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc. Et Al 817791/22 Peralta Patino v Dunbuya 42024/23 Peralta v. Caines 807498/22 Perez v. Reyes Luis Miguel 806513/21 Perez v. Garfinkel 814699/22 Perez-Corvo v. Herrera 801515/22 Phillips v. Reid 815101/22 Pippins v. Blanchette 30117/17 Quintanilla v. Lamothe 819323/22 Raglan v. Parker 812883/21 Ramirez v. Brazoban De La Cruz 815821/22 Reves v. Hamilton 806676/21 Richardson v. Brown 808174/22 Richberg v Albassan 33155/18 Rivas v. Alba 811717/21 Roberts v. Tumminia 811325/21 Robinson v. Solomon 815277/22 Rodriguez v. Perez-Perdomo 810888/22 Rodriguez v. Cu Quiej 804128/22 Rodriguez v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 814213/22 Rodriguez v. De Los Santos 814698/22 Rosario v. Morgan 816605/22 Aybar v. The Trustee of 805589/22 Ross v. Zahran 809017/21 Rowley v. Ortega Garcia 815817/22 Bailey v. Aleph Logistics 803664/22 Saleh v. Chavez 807926/21 Balaurea v. Islam 806367/22 Balseca v. Ryder Truck 807822/21 Salinas v. Perullo 805409/21 Samb v. Cisse 800562/22 Sana v. American United 800256/23 Barilette v. Velazquez

Transportation Inc. Et Al 24539/18 Sanchez v. Segarra 818553/22 Barksdale v. Concern For 23749/20 Santiago v. Byrd 811980/22 Sidhilall v Br Movind 817603/21 Simmons v. Estrella Auto Mall LLC Et Al 25220/19 Simpson v. Pryce 802158/23 Singh v. Saiba 811514/21 Bernardez v American 30914/17 Soares v. Rahmatulloev United Transportation Inc., Et Al 802202/22 Sotero v. Fernandez-810856/22 Bonilla Gordillo v. Rigo Lugo 816174/21 Springer v. Troncoso

26541/20 Steele v. Sukraj 809931/22 Stewart v. Gomes 804602/22 Thomas v. American United Et Al 800685/23 Thompson v. Drossman 818523/22 Thompson v. S 814428/22 Tineo v. Grant 816273/23 Torrence v. Aguilar 801021/22 Uddin v Veve 814912/22 Urena v. Balaji 816524/21 Urena v. Stanganelli 811261/22 Valdez v. Northeast Truck Rental And Leasing LLC Et 816324/22 Valentine v. Prado Echeverria 801955/22 Vargas Vasquez v. Dpv Tansportation, Inc. Et Al 27201/19 Vargas-Gil v. Metro Livery Leasing 25811/19 Vasquez Torres v. Morel 817350/22 Vasquez v. Arias-Batista 42018/23 Veloz Moronta v. Reves Rumaldo 814772/22 Walker v. Mayer 801818/22 Walker v. Sinera 806342/22 Weiss v. Martinez 815926/22 Weiss V. Maitine 815926/22 West v. Jaikaran 811753/21 White v. Tucker 22479/19Wiggan v. Moya Fuentes 800136/23Williams v. Simple Livery Leasing Corp. Et Al 801142/21 Williams v. Felder 33632/18 Williams v. Pinnock 32603/18 Yarrell v. Doe 802108/22 Zelaya v. Mamun **TUESDAY, OCT. 1** 33045/18 Acosta v. Cintas Corp. 21460/20 Alvarado v. Rahman 803312/24 Arias v. Fabio Guzman 809961/23 Beltran Cardenas v. Singh 810340/21 Buestan v. Kenneh 818568/22 Burgos v. Rodolfo 807100/23 Cabrera v. Pepsi Cola Bottling Co. of NY Inc Et Al 807401/23 Dejesus v. Jurgensen Trucking LLC Et Al 22529/17 Dejesus v. NYC 819481/23 Del-Carmen v. Fluta 35712/20 Diaz v. Ortega 804503/24 Fernandez v. NYCTA Et Al 813938/21 Gilmore v. Contreras 801315/23 Gomez v. Cafiero 811011/23 Green v. Psaja Corp. 809518/23 Grieco v. Covlin 800154/23 Huertas v. Alam 811849/23 Hwang v. Peters 810662/21.Jalloh v. Urena 800237/22 Jordan v. Simmons 20428/20 Lee v. Bonifacio 804743/22 Lopez-Garcia v. Gomez 804712/22 Mahmud v. Mei 819240/23 Martinez v. Fort 817355/22 Matos v. Fofana 30945/20 Mema v. Chichayortiz 803396/24 Morocho v. Cabrera Nunez 20996/20 Pena-Arredondo v. Estevez 813262/21 Perez v. Almonte 806794/23 Persia v. Endeavor Content LLC. Et Al 819121/23 Recio Gonzalez v. Newkirk 810694/21 Singh v. Ingraffea 804844/24 Taveras v. Mirabel Matos 32569/19 Young v. Cordero 818359/22 Zambrano Sanchez v. Jkk Enterprises, Inc. Et Al WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 816315/21 Blanco v. Gonzalez 819199/23 Cruz v. 2d23 Acgisition LLC Et Al 34255/20 Green v. American United 807414/23 Hoz v. Dervisevic 26692/20 Jones v. Gedin 800677/24 Metz v. Crest Transportation Services, Inc. Et Al 807311/24 Mulleano v. Bah 800804/23 Oleaga v. Marotta 20011/20 Omeragic v. Knight 804561/23 Patterson v. Agustin

#### Part 51 Justice Edwards Phone 646-386-4051 Fax 212-401-9264 **100 Centre Street**

Room 1324, 9:30 A.M. Part 52 Justice T. Farber Phone 646-386-4052

Fax 212-401-9265 111 Centre Street Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 53

Justice Rodney Phone 646-386-4053 100 Centre Street Room 1247, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 54

Justice Antignani Phone 646-386-4054 111 Centre Street Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 56

Justice Drysdale Phone 646-386-4056 111 Centre Street Room 724, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 59

Justice J. Merchan Phone 646-386-4059 Fax 212-295-4932 100 Centre Street Room 1602, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 61 Justice Clott

Phone 646-386-4061 Fax 212-401-9266 100 Centre Street Room 1130, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 62

Justice M. Jackson Phone 646-386-4062 Fax 212-401-9267 100 Centre Street Room 1111, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 63

Justice Hong Phone 646-386-4063 111 Centre Street Room 631, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 66

Justice Pickholz Phone 646-386-4066 Fax 212-401-9097 111 Centre Street Room 1047, 9:30 A.M. Part 71 Justice L. Ward Phone 646-386-4071

Fax 212-401-9268 100 Centre Street Room 1104, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 72

Justice R. Stolz Phone 646-386-4072 Fax 212-401-9269 100 Centre Street Room 1123, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 73

Justice Roberts Phone 646-386-4073 Fax 212-401-9116 111 Centre Street Room 763, 9:30 A.M.

# 33782/19Doukoure v. Etienna

27867/18 Florenzan v. First Care of New York, Inc. 22416/18 Foudja v. NYC 20691/18 Fox v. Hane 26469/19G & G Seafood, Inc. v. M. Slavin & Sons 31328/20 Galvez v. Castillo 303168/14 Garcia v. Carrion 26728/17 Garris v. Saleh 34772/19 George v. Oasis Hosp.ity

25007/20 Doucoure v. Kwon

28847/19 Duffy v. Thakkar

Inc.

Hanna & Jina Ny, Inc. 34921/19 Sidi v. Pimentel 27007/18 Son v. Sanchez 303732/15 Speights v. Woodstock Terrace 29612/19 Tanoh v. Santos Jimenez 34519/18 Tounkara v. Mack 32662/20 Wallace v. Teouri WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 802468/22 Ajtunixcoy v. American 33205/18 Reves v. NYC United Transportation Inc. Et Al 23367/19B. v. 2485-87 Univ. Ave. 25156/18 Berrios v Rodriguez Et Al 29491/18 Bynes v. NYCH&HC And 20342/19 Carella v. Kings Harbor Multicare Center 31436/20 Cole-Kelly v. Larrison 23349/20 Colon v. NYC Dept. of 21558/17 Connor v. Hurricane Mgt 809036/21 Culpepper v. American United Transportation Inc. Et Al 800559/21 Dawson v. Food Bank For 32941/19S. v. NYC 20788/19S. v. NYCTA 34431/19 Delgado v. Nyp Hldgs., Inc. 23595/17 Diaz Ramirez v. Appelbaum 27147/15 Diaz v. Darmetta 816539/21 Drummond v. Pendergrass Esq. 26107/19 Duncan v. Sherman Creek Rlty. Corp. 33412/20 Garcia v. Reliant Transportation, Inc. 805134/21 Gerena v. Dia 800839/21 Hamilton v. Bonilla 801598/23 Harris v. Doe 31728/18 Jenkins v. Citadel Care Centers Group 20819/20 Jones v. 253 Hldgs. Inc. 20467/16 Justo v. Arbee Mgt. Ltd. 303115/16 Lasalle v. NYC 31193/17 Marych v. Follini 32582/18 O'Shea v. Procida Const. 22924/18V v NYC 31938/17 Ogbuehi v. Rose 27205/20W. v. NYC 26998/18 Ortega v. Hammouri 24403/17 W. v. NYC 805828/21 Pena v. Nunez Tavarez 26661/18 Peterson v. Acer Packaging & Supplies 300833/15 Quintero v. Merchant Funding 27430/18 Raskina v. Circle Lodge And Kinder Ring 25953/20 Rodriguez v. P.A. Cab Corp. 27316/19 Rowley v. Jerome Jsd Hldgs. 35003/19 Ruiz De Tarazona v. Checo Mendez 801792/22 Sanchez v. Sanchez Peralta 23257/20 Stokes v. Ebanks 303828/14 Tejeda v. 2856 Rlty. LLC 35123/19Thompson v. Everest Scaffolding Inc. Al 300322/17 Vasquez Carranza v Memorial Hosp. 801196/21Webster v. Beth Abraham Health Services A.K.A. Beth Abraham Services Et Al 23666/19White v. Kim **ADR Part** Phone 718-618-3081 Rooms 701M-701A

#### 34918/20 Calo v. NYC Part 2 802287/24 Candelaria v. Scg 320 Justice Elizabeth A. Taylor 811318/21 Cannon v. NY NYCTA Et Phone 718-618-1275 Al 811168/22 Chiber v. Jlj Enterprises Room 710, 9:30 A.M. Inc Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1 802695/24 Clase Morillo v. Johnson 819120/22 Colbourne v. NYC Et Al

803266/23 Conde v. NYC Et Al

809373/23 Cooper v. NYC Et Al

819723/23 Costello v. NYC Et Al

812465/21 Monsanto v. Simpson WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2

32839/20 Ramos v. Escoboza

#### Part 7 Justice Wilma Guzman Phone 718-618-1288 Room 624, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, SEPT. 30 803009/231797 M & K Laundromat,

#### Inc. Et Al v. 200 West Tremont LLC Et Al

815086/22 Bueno v. Williamson 801178/24 Burgos v. Bakemark USA 816018/23 C. v. Graham 816601/22 Cabrera v. Little Lisa Bus Co., Inc. Et Al 812166/21 Candelaria v. Aurich 801571/22 Cardona v. Nagnango 809509/23 Cartagena v. Nancy & Cesar Car Service Corp. Et Al 813423/21 Casey v. Frite Service Corp. Et Al 817603/22 Castro v. Verizon NY Inc. Et Al 801091/21 Charriez v. Bright 817138/22 Chavez v. Saleh 813810/22 Cokely v. Lj Trucking NY 814538/22 Cruz v. Patel 804021/22 Cruz-Polanco v. Valenzuela 809489/22 D. v. Lamouth 34484/20 Davis v. Zaman 33561/20 De La Cruz v. Maines Paper & Food Service 20944/20 De La Cruz v. Maines Paper & Food 813793/22De Paula v. Lala 34210/20 Deleon-Gonzalez v. Fluidio Bautista-Nova 814312/21 Deloatch v. Grubbs 801876/21 Dembele v. Vanalstyne 76011/12 Detchkov v. Encina-Detchkov 804513/22 Diaz-Minaya v. Busumuru 33121/20Dukes v. The Marshark Craft Co. Inc. Et Al 809155/21 Duncan v. La Rosa Almonte 809605/22 Dupree v. Allen 35708/20 Ebanks v. Singh 20258/20 Elder v. Ryebrook Taxi 816769/22 Elwan v. Sumbagonzalez 818488/23 Escotto v. Lyons 806974/21 Evelyn v. Martinez 802696/22 Fellah v. Tenesaca 812218/22 Ferreira v. Metro. Transportation Auth. Et Al 303774/16 Ferrer v. Cespedes 33410/20 Figuereo v. Navas-Alicea 815258/22 Figueroa v. Alam 815712/22 Figueroa v. Reyes Feliz 800329/21 Fragale v. Otero 31892/19 Frias Perez v. Pina 808974/22 Gabriel v. Acosta 809204/22 Gani v. Hussain 816165/21 Garcia v. Lopez Saeteros 800108/23 German v. Ean Hldgs. LLC Et Al 801648/21 Gluck v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 818327/22 Gnuan v. Mrr Car Inc Et AI 26856/19 Gomez v. Affordable Leasing 816337/22 Gomez v. Le 801092/23 Gomez v. Rivas 808116/23 Gonzalez Silva v. Rodriguez 811284/22 Gonzalez v. Bright Car Wash Inc. Et Al 33913/20 Gonzalez v. Sene 28335/20 Gonzalez v. Moumouni Takpa 810531/22 Gonzalez v. Lopez 807065/22 Graham v. Mayorga 809258/22 Grullon v. Sanchez 819583/23 Guaman v. Benting, Inc. Et Al 20323/20 Guerra v. Tko Transportation, Inc. 807297/22 Guerrier v. Corporate Express Inc. Et Al 801122/23 Guitierrez v. Rodriguez 23003/20 Guridys v. Sepulveda 804528/21 Guttilla v. B'way. Bridge 800848/22 Hayes v. Casadobrea 800974/22 Henry v. Johnson 811834/21 Hernandez v. Algahim 803460/22 Herrera Mercedes v.

Ruiz

23714/19 Reid v. R.J.T. Motorist Service, Inc. 819667/23 Romulus v. Burnett 805981/24 Rosario Reynoso v Niambele 813560/23 Slattery v. Garcia 815817/21 Stevens v. Deas 807767/23 Walker v. Darby

Part 12 Justice Kim A. Wilson Phone 718-618-1396 Room 414, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, SEPT. 30 813510/24 Peachtree Settlement

Funding v. John Hancock Life Ins. Co. Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1

21120/18 Rodriguez v. 187 St. Mazal LLC

WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 802341/22 C. v. Davidson Nyc

Part 14 (MV) Justice John A. Howard Phone 718-618-1244

Room 708, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

27563/19 Arcia v. Eagan 22003/19 Arevalo-Pastuizaca v. Ofori 807968/23 Barry v. Manhattan Beer Distributors Et Al 802165/22 Briso Perez v. Ground Services Inc., Et Al 812991/21 Clarke v. Martos 801308/22 Eziri v. NYCHA Et Al 800763/22 Fabian v. Jafori 801760/21 Gilmore v. Greene 27845/20 Haq v. Eagan 805561/22 Jones v. Bruce 808986/21 Kerr v. Con Ed 808108/21 Knox-Bishop v. Gurliacci 813269/22 Laboy v. Roberts 31152/17 Lopez-Ramos v. Hans 25342/19 Lopez-Ramos v. Hans 805943/23 Martinez v. Utf Trucking, Inc. Et Al 23928/16 Matteo v. Cambell 815127/22 Mercredi v. Terron 806148/22 Morgan v. Bruce 801337/21 Navarro v. American United Transport 812441/22 Nunez v. Kruse 801323/23 Ramos Jr. v. Vasquez 20982/18 Rodriguez v. Hans

801330/21 Rodriguez v. Abm Industries, Inc. 800461/21 Rojas v. Emergency Aid Training, Inc. 811258/22 Salifu v. Sinai I Inc. Et Al 30852/18 Shenery v. Niola 806500/21 Tang v. Martinez 812205/22 Vera v. Amazon Logistics Inc Et Al

25841/20 Walker v. Devine TUESDAY, OCT. 1

803639/22Adamson v. Chaudhardy 805834/22 Akunaeziri v. Parris 815597/22 Alarbi v. Perez 807369/23 Arocho v. Dixon 811802/21 Bermejo v. Lhv Precast Inc. Et Al 20569/20 Blanco v Perez 809035/22 Bonk v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 801019/23 Brown v. Winn 808730/23 Capellan Vargas v. Obi Bello 812394/22 Cartagena v. Ryder Truck Rental, Inc. Et Al 800001/23 Castillo - Concepcion v. Keep It Rolliing Inc. Et Al 810688/22 Cisse v. Yang 804098/23 De Martino v. Terry 820172/23 German De Trinidad v. Vasquez Perez 817797/23 Haradinaj v. Dougall 804997/23 Haxhaj v. Little Lisa Bus Co. Inc. Et Al 25147/20 Icard Castillo v. United Network 808468/22 Jaffe v. S&R Medallion Corp. Et Al 32017/20 Jimenez v. Kantemir 32347/20 Johnson v. City Car & Limo. 25694/20 Johnson v. NYCTA Et Al 803988/24 Laguna Valle v. Badia Almonte 816241/22 Leon Perdomo v. Pitre Henriquez 816492/21 London v. Mullins

27893/20 Maldonado v. Klein 801773/23 Matias v. Dhl Express (usa), Inc. Et Al 22931/20 Miller v. Consol. Bus

Transit

30146/18 Lopez v. Cb Livery Leasing LLC 22840/20 Mansour v. Uber Technologies, Inc. 30837/17 Markus v. Ean Hldgs. LLC 816319/22 Montoya v. Shack Transit Inc. Et Al 813007/21 Quarshie v. Kalga 30155/20 Ramirez v. Morales Gallego 21039/18 Rivera v. NYCTA 25538/18 Sarh v. NYCTA

23564/20 Simmons Young v. Tunkara 25474/18 Timberlake v NYC 23552/18 Torres v. Lont 813053/22 Velez v. Can Trucking Inc. Et Al 42212/19 Walker v. Manhattan And

Bronx Surface 28103/18 Wright v. Metro. NYCTA WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2

807980/23 Acevedo v. Villa

22620/20 Brown v. Valdez

808512/22 Cabrera v. Jackson

817389/22 Camara v. Pv Hldg. Corp

802305/23 Cameron v. Mta Et Al

819526/23 Cruz v. Cabinit NY LLC

817188/23 Dunlap v. Stanley Ruth

33210/19Eichner v. Qp Hosp LLC

813332/23 Garcia v. Martinez Baez

813107/23 Gomez v. Hendricks

803229/23 Gomez v. Singh

803111/22 Gonzalez v. Doe

804725/23 Gunter v. Ramsey

801055/22 Hernandez v. Tangbi

800265/23 Jackson Melendez v

812432/22 Martinez-Puiols v

820397/23 Mercado y Milea

26593/19 Miller v. Nutakor

810275/22 Moore v. Pinto

809760/22 Moran v. White

800959/24 Oates v. Wallace

807342/21 Perez v. Clark 812371/21 Peterson v. Yadav

813628/21 Richards v. Walls

Enterprises Inc. Et Al

28478/20 Royster v. Torres

21920/20 Sherpa v. Ferreras

29638/20 Smalls v. Jackson

815640/22 Tifas v. De Jesus

816106/22 Timmons v. Uber

Technologies, Inc. Et Al

804720/23 Torres v. Williams

803822/22 Touray - Trawalleh v.

33095/19 Torres v. Al Hajeh

Praileau

Inc. Et Al

Orthman

Logistics, Inc. Et Al

813725/23 Tedesco v. Amazon

804385/23 Montes v. Maynard

803356/23 Paige v. Aleph Logistics 303578/16 Pena-Kelly v. Curet

21683/20 Ramirez v. Vasquez 803582/24 Reddick v. Buggy Et Al

814123/23 Robinson v. Autorama

Quality Transportation Corp Et Al

Prestige Towing & Recovery, Inc.

25542/19 Mauro-Rodriguez v. Soto

802969/23 Medina v. Chaiya Sosa,

Leasing Corp. Et Al 30863/19 Middleton v. Petterson

801771/23 Celestino-Riveras v.

Vinanzaca-Pomaquiza

814200/23 Doctor v. Blocker

Co., LLC Et Al

802737/22 Camacho v Davitt

LLC

Et Al

Et Al

Et Al

Inc. Et Al

814351/23 Almonte v. Dawes

Inc. 33171/19Emilio Cardoza v. Hp Savoy Park II Housing 29234/20 Garcia v. Acacia Sendero 35324/19Berger v. Jem Leasing Verde II 29443/20 Garcia v. Worrell

Schools, Inc.

25731/20 Gonzalez v. Rudin East 55th St. LLC 32645/20 Hernandez v. Restoring Communities Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 816392/21 Hossain v. Evergreen

Tiebout Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 814305/23 Imbaquingo Chiscuel v. 44 Victory LLC 25102/20 Jimenez v. 111 West 57th Partners

23977/18 Juarez v. NYC

29809/20 Kastrati v. Cord Mever Dev. Co. 31808/19 Lebreault Nin v. Lex Contracting 23795/19 Lesniak v. 411 Wales Rlty. 23654/18 Lopez v. 2264 Morris Ave.

Housing 27507/19 Mabery v. A.J. Pegno Const. Corp. 30248/18 Mayers v. 285 Madison Owner 33458/19 Ovando Zepeda v. 540 Fulton Owner LLC 28211/18 Pacheco v. Mayore Estates

LLC 22406/20 Pearce v. 1633 Hylan LLC 30109/18 Perez v. Bcd A LLC 27051/19 Polanco v. Prospect Resources, Inc. 33029/18 Ramirez v. Sister Strength

Housing 814162/22 Reyes Narvaez v. The Promesa Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 23755/20 Rosenblatt v. 545 E. 166th St. Housing 33370/19Vasquez Mendez v.

Madison 30 31 Owner LLC 814264/22 Velecela Lema v. Lcs Restoration Corp. Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1 31029/19 Aredondo v. Hudson 888

808257/23 Rose v. Ari Fleet Lt Et Al Owner LLC 813990/23 Custodio v. Castillo 30035/20 Martinez v. 117 East 72nd St. 26260/19 Rivera Hernandez v. 233

West 125th St. Part 22 Justice Marissa Soto

Phone 718-618-1193 Room 709, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30

815645/22 Castillo v. Parkash 1780

22760/14 Garcia v. NYCHA

810929/21 Grullon v. Citvwide

26198/20 Kozeli v. Renali Rlty.

811596/22 Massa v. 2105 Monty

33871/19 Samuels v. Los Nietos

Justice Paul L. Alpert

Phone 718-618-1617

Room 408, 9:30 A.M.

Part 27

Justice Naita A. Semaj

Room 701 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

381231/11 Citimortgage, Inc. v.

Garcia 820697/23 Longbridge Financial v.

Angela Littlejohn Swan 24721/19Pagan v. Morris Heights

TUESDAY, OCT. 1

809885/21 Brown v. Queensland

802513/24 Christiano v. Adam's European Contracting, Inc. Et Al

Accident Indemnification Corp.

819606/23 Hall v. Motor Vehicle

807374/24 Jesenia Santiago v.

Santiago 812694/23 Niksa v. NY School

807518/23 Perez Peralta v. J & N

802456/21 Polanco v. Borek Ooz

818322/22 Rodriguez v. Boston

Clinton Housing Developement Fund Corp. Et Al 804247/23 U.S. Bank Trust Nat.

813380/22 Us Bank Nat. Assoc. v.

802214/23 Wilmington Savings

WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2

807060/23 Cuevas v. Willis Edge

NY Installations Inc Et Al

**Pointe Acquisition** 

812912/23 David Dawud v. Empire

Fund Society v. Gibson

Const. Group Corp. Et Al

Const. Auth. Et Al

Assoc. v. Gibson

Fund

Tessel

Investment Corp. Et Al

Phone 718-618-1226

Rlty. Corp. 811286/22 Nolan v. The Woodlawn

Mobile Response Corp. Et Al

807505/23 K v. 901 Walton Ave. Rity.

28619/20 Vallejo v. Cova Concrete 27699/20 Buchanan v. Reservoir Corp. 801961/23 Vallejo v. Windy Farm Associates LLC 817003/21 Guzman Cardec v. on The Road Automotive Group Inc., 811594/21 Viloria-Cruz v. Nuriyev Et Al 21889/17 Youngblood v. Olr Four Inc 32610/18 Quinones v. Frias

29823/20 Zuniga Paguay v. TUESDAY, OCT. 1 802384/24 Andujar v. 2084 Creston Ave. RIty. LLC Et Al

LLC

Justice Robert T. Johnson Part 18 Justice Wanda Y. Negron

Part 16

Phone 718-618-1203 Room 622, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30

812075/21 Arroyo v. Harry

815440/21 Hutchinson v. Charlton 819294/22 Williams v. Williams TUESDAY, OCT. 1 4611/19 Alvarado v. Almonte

Cemetary Et Al 31832/19 Pichardo Sanchez v. 3645/19 Alvarenga v. Gaitan Jamaica Commercial 31762/18 Reyes v. 60 East 196th St. 809438/21 Brown v. Kelly Jr. 2588/21 Carson v. Santana LLLC

LLC Et Al

Court Calendars

Part 21

Justice Ashlee Crawford Phone 718-618-1435 Room 401, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30

28021/20 Araujo v. Viaveb LLC

32673/19Diaz v. P&K Contracting.

MONDAY, SEPT. 30 804044/21 Hanooman v. Hanooman 32590/19 Argueta Caceres v. Hotel Carlyle Owners 29131/19 Burgos Flores v. Dalton

**TUESDAY, OCT, 1** 4577/02 Deleo v. Deleo 808406/23 Gonzalez-Tobar v. Vargas

Part 28

Justice Latia W. Martin

Phone 718-618-1254

Room 621, 9:30 A.M.

Perez 805098/21 Hawkins v. Hawkins

WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 29115/20 Corniel v. Corniel 5323/12 Toussaint v. Toussaint

813240/21 Zurita v. Zurita Part 29

Justice Shawn T. Kelly Phone 718-618-1248 Room 623, 9:30 A.M.

Part 30 Justice Erik L. Gray

Phone 718-618-1320 Room 602, 9:30 A.M.

MONDAY, SEPT. 30 813559/22 Jimenez v. 3190 Webster

Ave. Associates LLC Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1

809874/21 Acevedo v. 57 Lynch St. LLC Et Al 800910/23 Alvarez v. 770 Empire LLC Et Al 803890/22 Antoniv v. NYC Et Al

810731/22Arias Ordonez v. NY Developers & Mgt. LLC Et Al 806942/24 Bolden v. Metro Mgt. & Dev., Inc. Et Al 810297/21 Carreno v. Acacia Sendero Verde II Housing Dev. Fund Co., Inc. Et Al 803235/23 Chavez v. 92-54 Qb Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 804707/22 Cipriano Mazariegos v. 319 Lenox USA LLC Et Al 800483/23 Contreras Nunez v. 83-97

Apollo Owner LLC Et Al 810835/22 Coronel Brito v. Spofford 1b Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 810002/24 Cortorreal v. Perez 808854/24 Cruz v. Eascthester Heights Prop. Owner LLC Et Al 812411/22 Deane v. Fordham Univ.

Et Al 801816/24 Donald v. Loyolacastilla 801131/23 Estrada v. Rxr-Lba Red Hook Court St. Owner LLC Et Al 813732/22 Florentino v. El Sol/defoe Jv Et Al 808908/23 Goicochea v. Noble Bx LLC Et Al 802256/23 Herrera Cloter v. 105-02 Forest Hills LLC 808160/21 Knoblock v Port Auth of NY And New Jersey, Delta Air Lines, Inc., Jervis B Webb Co. And Ida Exterior Systems LLC

812495/22 Lambert v. Fsf NY LLC Et Al 800599/24 Lopez v. Penske Truck Leasing Co Et Al

24783/19 Martinez v. East River Housing Corp. 812767/23 Oliva Cacho v. 37 Ave

Richouse LLC Et Al 810239/21 Paca v. 17702 Jamaica

Corp. 809038/24 Perry v. Fabre 809035/24 Pluviose v. Hub Truck

Rental 813424/21 Quintuna Hidalgo v. Triton Const. Co.

806219/21 Reyes v. 16 Fulton Partners LLC 807577/23 Sanchez v. 47-09

Skillman Rlty. LLC 802545/24 Santana Guichardo v.

2750 Boston Road LLC Et Al 810973/22 Santos v. Aqua Rity. LLC 812677/22 Stengel v. NYCTA Et Al 810128/21 Ugaldez Sanchez v.

Rp1185 LLC Et Al 806846/21 Vargas Valdez v. 540 West 189th Group LLC Et Al 803640/23 Vargas v. Corbex Inc. Et Al

804277/22 Yakule v. Alba Services, Inc. Et Al WEDNE 802148/22 Adams v. Polar Beverages Et Al 808401/22 Alvarado Jr. v. Citnalta Const. Corp. Et Al 802128/22 Alvarez v. Vital Brookdale Housing Dev. Fund Corp Et Al 34217/20 Bernardez v. Pigranel Mgt. Corp 34069/19 Bucci v. NYC 806779/23 Campoverde Rodriguez v. Watson Ave Estates LLC Et Al 801979/23 Connaire v. Bud South LLC Et Al 801124/21 Corona Diaz v. 138 Shobro 810644/22 Diaz Genao v. Wi/bsrep III 390 Park LLC Et Al 815926/23 Fofana v. Toto 808672/21 Gassama v. 1461-1469 Third Ave Owner LLC Et Al 801775/23 Gassama v. 22nd And 11th Associates 805968/24 Goudiaby v. Foodfest Depot 811405/22 Hays v. 38 Westchester Sq LLC Et Al 811802/24 Henry v. Bennet Owusu Et Al 803766/23 Hernandez Gomez v. 44-01 Northern Owner 812999/22 Lopez Cativo v. 3745 Riverdale Ave. LLC Et Al 807080/21 Lopez Garcia v. Bpp Pcv Owner LLC Et Al 803464/21 Luzuriaga Miranda v. Gc Capital Group LLC Et Al 812960/21 Mateo Arias v. 111 Roosevelt Dev. LLC Et Al 810981/21 Mendez Granada v. Lo Sardo General Contractors, Inc. Et Al 33794/19 Oliveras v. Automated Conveyor Systems 806010/21 Pizarro v. Suffolk Const. Corp. Et Al 808570/23 Ramirez v. Bbm Const. Corp. Et Al 800609/24 Robertson v. Sanders 805311/22 Rodriguez Castano v. 544 Park Ave. Rlty. LLC Et Al 33685/18 Sambula v. Mg Dolphin LLC 819549/23 Santana Garcia v. Ryer 26 LLC 813711/21 Santos Borges v. Mega Contracting Group 819412/23 Sarmiento v. Method General Contractors LLC Et Al 803418/21 Tacuri Brito v. Noa-Lion LLC Et Al 808291/22 Thomas v. Bedford 203 Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al Part 32 (COM) Justice Fidel E. Gomez Phone 718-618-1203 Room 403, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30 814542/243777 Independence Corp. v. Castillo 29090/2094 E 208 St. Partners LLC v. Certain Underwriters At Lloyds 805034/22 Abreu v. Rush 810925/24 Andrade v. Mjs Interiors Corp. Et Al 801946/22 Barr v. Crosby 816555/21 Bastian v. Metro Livery Leasing LLC Et Al 805888/22 Bonilla v. Alam 803047/22 Brown v. Mario 800887/21 Cirino v. Antonetty 802542/22 Colthrist v. Figueroa 811179/22 Damas v. Oil Creek Plastics Inc Et Al 800517/22 Dawson v. Sullivan 810985/22 Dejesus v. Delgado-Martinez 814971/21 Desir v. Li

809668/22 Fernandez v. Sciubba III 815457/21 Fernandez v. Adekoye 811581/22 Flowers v. Doe 806650/21 Gilmore v. Bah 802094/22 Haydarov v. Metro Livery Leasing Et Al 29340/19 Hvac Depot Inc. v. Empire Air Systems LLC 811851/22 James v. Benounane 800424/22.Jenkins v. Kone 804083/22 Jimenez v. Amazon Logistics, Inc. Et Al 27766/19 Kingsbridge Associates v. John K Footwear Corp. 806875/22 Lebron v. Lozovyi 804730/22 Marchan v. Vargas Garcia 804086/21 Mellone v. Olivero Dds 811111/22 Morocho v. Simms 806533/22 Obisue v. Mohammad 806824/22 Oyesanya v. Acevedo 812351/22 Pena Hernandez v. Performance Transportation LLC D/h/a Performance Food Group, Inc. Et Al 803066/22 Perez Sierra v. Ambia Miah 804410/22 Perez v. Amazon Logistics Inc Et Al 803866/22 Pinheiro v. Mohammed 801034/22 Public Administrator of Bronx County v. Rodriguez 801478/21 Ramos v. Citi Connect 31024/19 Rodriguez v. Negroni 804450/22 Santiago v. Holland 801152/22 Shafi v. Tillis 808039/24 Sobro Third LLC v. So Capt Loui Bronx Inc. Et Al 804578/22 Soto v. Colon 802554/22 Thorne v. Soni 802748/22 Torrez v. Ean Hldgs, LLC Et Al 813503/23 Vanliner Ins. Co. v. Flat Rate Movers 809944/21 Williams v. Cabbo Service Corp. TUESDAY, OCT. 1 30463/19 Vero v. Posimato WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 802734/21 Norman Rlty. & Const. v. 151 East 170th Lender LLC Part 34 Justice Michael A. Frishman Phone 718-618-1349 Room 705, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30 817101/21 Aguilar v. Chang D.D.S. 300462/15Aguilar v. Ecozone Inc. 817131/22Akins v. Atkin M.D. 23033/20 Almanzar De Varga v. Bronxcare Health System Et Al 806772/23 Almanzar v. Schramm M.D. 816293/21 Alston v. Gentile Md 801689/24B. v. Bigby C.N.M. 805239/21 Baez v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al 815904/21 Bautista-Salazar v. Nolan D.D.S. 23173/19 Beck v. Koleilat 811820/21 Bernice Solis v. St. Barnabas Hosp. Et Al 804841/24 Bhuiyan v. Vitale M.D. 29709/20 Blake v. Montefiore Medical Center 810636/21 C.U. v. Patel M.D. 807121/23 Cafarelli v. Latib M.D. 801613/23 Calderon v. Gandhi D.D.S. 26364/20 Camera v. Eugenio 806328/22 Caprio v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al 810121/22 Caravella v. McAuliffe M.D. 816601/21 Cherry v. Vazzana M.D.

25181/14 Choudhury v. Rodionova 806584/23 Clarke Jr. v. Pua M.D. 34296/20 Corcino v. Polcino Md 807690/21 Coriolan v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al 21287/18 Cuevas-Vazquez v. Xian 20784/17 D. v. Montefiore Medical Center 25514/14 Davis v. Cliffside Rehabilitation 35283/20 Davis-Waugh v. Zhang M D 23139/20 De La Rosa v. Rosenfeld 817086/23 Delgado v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. 800205/23 Deluca v. Matherly 29916/20 Dennis v. Montefiore Medical Center 20695/19 Diaz v. Montefiore Medical Center 27490/19 Djelovic v. Zeidman 32865/20 E. v. Patrick M.D. 803057/23 E.R.D. v. Russman D.O. 30209/19 F. R. v. Woroch 807107/23 Faith McFall Smith v. Dr. Mark A. Ramirez 812803/21 Fletcher v. Pelham Pkwy. Nursing Care And Rehabilitation Facility 801995/23 French v. Sbnh Acquisition 803799/23 Genesis Oue-Ly, Bradley 22867/18 Gill v. Yao 21000/16 Gilliard v. Bello 26888/20 Gomez v. Ruvo D.O. 806593/23 Gonzalez v. Gill 801750/21 Gorney v. Kupferman Dds 804781/22 Green v. Khasidov 302880/16 Guadalupe v. Botros 25342/17 Guadalupe v. Weiner 810592/23 Guzman v. Morr Md 800188/22 Hill v. Bronxcare Health System A/k/a Bronx-Lebanon Hosp. Center Et Al 807092/21 Hoque v. Chhabra D.D.S. 807698/21 Ingram v. Kastenbaum M.D. 25166/20 James v. Jaafar M.D. 26949/19 Jeffries v. Montefiore Medical Center 804340/21 Jenkins v. Medalliance Medical Health 810994/21 Johnson v. Misbahuddin M.D. 802874/22 Kelly v. Seniorcare Emergency Medical Services 812069/23 Khemraj v. William-Russo 26077/20 Kissifou v. Bronx Lebanon Hosp. Center 803599/22 Kociaj v. Derose Jr. 801176/22 Kone v. Moise M.D. 304870/09 Kotraight v. Montefiore Medical Center 801470/22 L. v. Tako M.D. 22065/20 Lanier v. Kim 28292/16 Laporta v. Dave 805136/23 Lappe v. Metitiri M.D. 801242/22 Lora v. Yager M.D. 31427/19 M v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al 817597/22 M. v. Shav 27246/20 Macario v. The Plaza Rehabilitation And Nursing Center 818249/22 Maldonado v. St. Barnabas Hosp. 807127/22 Marambio v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al 4143/22 Maria Torres v. Does 810626/22 Marin v. Johnson M.D. 817020/21 Maritza Sanchez v. Sahgal M.D. 801382/21 Martin v. Montefiore Health System Inc. 802807/23 McDonald v. Mallett M.D. 21215/12 McElligott v. Gruson 22401/16 McKenzie v. Jack D. Weiler Hosp. 803366/23 Miller v. Hanau M.D. 26107/17 Moore v. Montefiore Hosp. 800247/22 Morris v. Deluca M.D. 807147/23 Mota v. Montefiore Medical Center 812795/22 Nugra v. St. Barnabas Hosp. 814869/23 Nunez v. Albert Einstein - Weiler Hosp. Et Al 306345/09 Oquendo v. Beth Abraham Health 807976/24 Ortega v. Hebrew Home For The Aged At Riverdale 810988/23 P v Kane Rn

814906/21 Patricia Fitzgerald-Powell As Proposed Administrator of The Estate of Anthony Powell Jr v. Fieldston Operating LLC D/b/a Fieldston Lodge Care Center Et Al 811174/21 Pedersen v. Rochester Center For Rehabilitation And Nursing Et Al 300033/19 Pena v. Jack D. Weiler Hosp. 31723/19 Peterson v. Wilson Orthopaedics 813997/22 Pichardo v. Dr. Emily Women's Health Center Et Ål 807735/22 Polanco v. Schoengold 810060/23 Pozarycki v. Hebrew Home For The Aged At Riverdale 28515/20 Quinones v Bagchi 35124/20 Ramos v. Heller 815936/22 Rangasamy v. Steiner Dpm 32342/18 Reaves v. Montefiore Medical Center 817505/22 Remigio v. Choi M.D. 800634/22 Reyes v. Espaillat D.D.S. 34252/18 Rheubottom v. Shining Huang 23240/20 Richards v. Haglof M.D. 24187/20 Riley v. Curato D.O. 809014/22 Rizy v. Bastidas Dmd 23351/18 Rocco v. Montefiore Medical Center 22703/20 Rodriguez v. Rao 813815/23 Rodriguez v. Montefiore Medical Center 32837/20 Rodriguez v. Montefiore Medical Center 310366/10 Rodriguez v. Montefiore Medical Center 811457/23 Romano v. Fromer Md 804948/21 Rosenberg v. Wilson 806697/22 Ruiz v. Croll M.D. 23782/20 S v Montefiore Medical Center

Et Al

815114/21 Sanchez v. Lazarus D.O 24479/18 Sanders v. Hebrew Home For The Aged 25579/18 Sarmiento v. Montefiore Medical Center 806729/23 Schwartz v. Greenstein M.D. 809387/23 Seltzer v. Choi M.D. 25132/14 Slowe v. Eloundou 30731/18 Stevenson v. Montefiore Medical Center 801992/21 Stone Jr. v. Raul Avila Inc. 816038/21 Suarez v. Khan Md 23768/19 T. v. Ramaley 808703/24 Talley v. Ha

807244/21 Taveras v. Bakshi M.D. 808216/22 The Estate of Judith Marie Lamia v. Bridges M.D. 804181/24 Thomas v. Deboccardo

803734/21 Tonkin v Montefiore Medical Center 813488/22 Torres v. Yared M.D. 24567/20 Vasquez v. Bronx Lebanon Hosp. Center Et Al 806599/24 Vasquez v. Vangara M.D. 28448/18 Vega v. Koshy 818775/23 Villanueva v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. Et Al 23765/20 Walker v. Bronx Harbor Health Care Complex, Inc. Et Al 814941/22 Watson v. Kevel M.D. 802105/23 Williams v. Nagula M.D. 819621/23 Yvonnia Torres-Fambro v. Tcprnc LLC Et Al **TUESDAY, OCT. 1** 

808054/21 Agomas v. Sumon M.D. 819382/22 Aiezza v. Campbell D.M.D. 812365/21 Allah v. St. Barnabas

Hosp. Et Al 22606/20 Arango v. Nyack Hosp. 27078/20 Archibald v. Debello D.P.M. 809031/23 Ayala v. Patrick M.D. 27466/19 Baylor v. Nadel M.D. 807465/22 Burgaj v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al 810134/22 Buxton v. Jenkins M.D. 816838/23 C v. Robertson M.D. 814615/21 Calloway v. Choi M.D. 802435/21 Carter v. Japanwal 819054/22 Cruz v. Gotham Oral Et 812766/21 D. v. Pylypiv Md 800235/21 D. v. Varquez-Ornopia 805387/21 Dominguez v. Zank M.D. 806759/22 Estrella v. United Odd Fellows And Rebekah Home, Inc. Et Al 819973/23 Falcon v. Essen Medical Associates 28863/19 Feliz v. Gottesfeld 800241/21 Jenkins v Brafman 27712/19 Lanzetta v. Montefiore Medical Center 818842/22 Lee v. Bronx-Lebanon Hosp. Center A/k/a Bronxcare Health System 28415/20 Lewis v. Retina Group 811639/23 Linares v Heller MD 802137/21 McGreggor v. Klineova 811526/23 Moore-Harltev v. Tcprnc 812043/21 Pichardo v. Levy 805914/21 Porter v. Villar M.D. 814083/21 Raisky v. Kraut D.DS. 818453/22 Raju v. Aligene M.D. 28970/20 Rodriguez v. Workmen's Circle Multicare 804773/23 Roman v. Cruz R.N. 25264/20 Rosado v. White Plains Hosp. 812059/22 Selora v. Bastidas Dmd 803714/22 Stevens v. Sprain Brook Manor Rehab 23388/14 Torres v. Union **Community Health Center** WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 810577/21 Anderson-Perry v. St. Barnabas Hosp. Et Al 805704/21 Barrett v. Gustave M.D. 811503/22 Cruz v. Terrace Acquisition II 817707/23 Deas v. Abdelnaby M.D. 803697/23 Dyal v. Gonzalez M.D. 815105/22 Garcia v. Abbott M.D. 26484/19 Gonzalez v. Medikids Pediatric Medicine 805011/23 Hassan v. Wilson Dmd 816572/23 Irene Collado on Behalf of And As Attorney in Fact For Ynosencia Collado v. Ralph Rahme Et Al 23960/15 Jones v. Mosholu Pkwy Nursing 28854/20 Ladonis v. Patel M.D. 23275/17 Law v. Bainbridge Nursing And 803351/21 Lebron v. Recabar P.T. 29606/19 Lopez v. Blum 811278/23 Lyttleton v. Rose 801902/24 Mangum v. Nae Edison 34349/18 Martinez v. Marshall 814035/21 Mateo v. Cho M.D. 817142/21 Montilla v. Montefiore Medical Center 26018/16 Pacheco v. Schwechter 806529/21 Paredes v. Yager M.D. 21904/20 Pryce v. Montefiore Medical Group Cfcc 810275/21 Robustelli v. The Jack D. Weiler Hosp. of The Albert Einstein College of Medicine 32620/20 Rosa v. Bronx Harbor Health Care Complex, Inc. 815232/21 Shepherd v. Workmen's Circle Multicare Center Et Al 33257/18 Sinclair v. Montefiore Medical Center 27506/17 Smith v. Montefiore Medical Center 813968/22 Soto v. Montefiore Medical Center Et Al 25345/17 Thibou v. Edwards 20442/17 Vazquez v. Montefiore Medical Center 819672/23 W v. Alexa L. Cohen

816539/21 Drummond v. Part 35 (DCM) Pendergrass Esq. 26107/19 Duncan v. Sherman Creek Justice Raymond P. Fernandez Phone 718-618-1216 Room 625, 9:30 A.M. Rlty. Corp. 33412/20 Garcia v. Reliant Transportation, Inc. MONDAY, SEPT. 30 805134/21 Gerena v. Dia 800839/21 Hamilton v. Bonilla 801598/23 Harris v. Doe 24603/20 Camacho v. Camacho 31728/18 Jenkins v. Citadel Care 819073/23 Mabrouk v. Lvnx Logistics 806556/22 Smith v. Musallam Centers Group 20819/20 Jones v. 253 Hldgs. Inc. 814557/24V & S 179 LLC Et Al v. NYC Dept. of Housing 20467/16 Justo v. Arbee Mgt. Ltd. 303115/16 Lasalle v. NYC Preservation And Dev. 31193/17 Marych v. Follini TUESDAY, OCT. 1 32582/18 O'Shea v. Procida Const. Corp. 31938/17 Ogbuehi v. Rose 819889/23 Beras v. NY Cross Docking LLC Et Al 800793/24 Booth v. Filco Carting 26998/18 Ortega v. Hammouri 805828/21 Pena v. Nunez Tavarez Corp. Et Al 26661/18 Peterson v. Acer 813114/23 Dawson v. Edwards Packaging & Supplies 300833/15 Quintero v. Merchant 809534/23 Ford v. Ahmed 808219/24 McDonald v. Ryder Truck Funding 27430/18 Raskina v. Circle Lodge Rental 809958/24 Moore v. Cruz And Kinder Ring 25953/20 Rodriguez v. P.A. Cab 809265/24 Saeed v. Shepherd 818745/23 Sosa Almonte v. Holland Corp. 27316/19 Rowley v. Jerome Jsd Apts. Inc. Et Al 815550/22 Thomas v. Sultan Hldgs. 35003/19 Ruiz De Tarazona v. Part 23 (MM) Checo Mendez Justice Joseph E. Capella 801792/22 Sanchez v. Sanchez Phone 718-618-1201 Room 607, 9:30 A.M. Peralta 23257/20 Stokes v. Ebanks 303828/14 Tejeda v. 2856 Rity. LLC MONDAY, SEPT. 30 35123/19 Thompson v. Everest 29933/20 Adams v. Nizametdinov Scaffolding Inc. 812137/23 Aleman v. Alberto 300322/17 Vasquez Carranza v. 27250/19 Barbosa v. Polu M.D. Memorial Hosp. 801889/22 Battle v. Hiqma Tran LLC 801196/21 Webster v. Beth Abraham Et Al 31209/17 Beck v. Bonilla Health Services A.K.A. Beth Abraham Services Et Al 25303/15 Cabrera v. Port Auth. of 23666/19 White v. Kim NY And New Jersey Et Al 21028/19 Camilo v. Pagan 33595/18 Cardona-Gonzalez v. **Pretrial Part** Justice Joseph E. Capella Phone 718-618-1201 Propark, Inc. 28427/18 Carino v. Luna Room 607 23688/17 Cullins v. Ihemaguba 9:30 A.M. 300703/17 Diallo v. Lopez MONDAY, SEPT. 30 25007/20 Doucoure v Kwon 33782/19 Doukoure v. Etienna 29933/20 Adams v. Nizametdinov 28847/19 Duffy v Thakkar 812137/23 Aleman v. Alberto 27867/18 Florenzan v. First Care of 27250/19 Barbosa v. Polu M.D. New York, Inc. 801889/22 Battle v. Hiqma Tran LLC 22416/18 Foudja v. NYC 20691/18 Fox v. Hane 26469/19 G & G Seafood, Inc. v. M. Et Al 31209/17 Beck v. Bonilla 25303/15 Cabrera v. Port Auth. of NY And New Jersey Et Al Slavin & Sons 31328/20 Galvez v. Castillo 21028/19 Camilo v. Pagan 303168/14 Garcia v. Carrion 33595/18 Cardona-Gonzalez v 26728/17 Garris v. Saleh Propark, Inc. 34772/19 George v. Oasis Hosp.ity 28427/18 Carino v. Luna Inc 23688/17 Cullins v. Ihemaguba 24945/17 Guaraca v. West 25th St. 300703/17 Diallo v. Lopez Housing 22677/19Hefele v. Sayeda Family 25007/20 Doucoure v. Kwon 33782/19Doukoure v. Etienna Corp. 32252/20 Henriquez v. Villarojas 28847/19 Duffy v. Thakkar 27867/18 Florenzan v. First Care of 33912/19 Henry v. Victoria's Nails & New York, Inc. Spa, Inc. 32364/18 Hughes v. Ndoci 22416/18 Foudja v. NYC 20691/18 Fox v. Hane 34712/20 James v. 4020 White 26469/19G & G Seafood, Inc. v. M. Plains Road Slavin & Sons 26191/16 Kalemi v. Mount Sinai 31328/20 Galvez v. Castillo Hosp. 302945/16 Keeling v. Salvo 303168/14 Garcia v. Carrion 26728/17 Garris v. Saleh 801363/21 King v. Kebe 800394/21 Lebron v. Hussain 34772/19 George v. Oasis Hosp.ity Inc. 24945/17 Guaraca v. West 25th St. 811066/22 Lopez v. Plaza Associates Re 20105/19 Loveless v. Diouck Housing 22677/19Hefele v. Sayeda Family 29642/19 Matos v. Perselis 802505/22 Mejia v. E243 Hldgs. LLC Corp. 32252/20 Henriquez v. Villarojas 303356/16 Moss v. Moss 33912/19 Henry v. Victoria's Nails & 812254/21 Patabendige Cooray v. Spa, Inc. Cintron 24012/18 Pecoroni v. Emergency 32364/18 Hughes v. Ndoci 34712/20 James v. 4020 White Medical 801361/22 Quattlebaum v. Plains Road 26191/16 Kalemi v. Mount Sinai Providence Rest D/b/a Providence Rest Inc Et Al

Hosp. 302945/16 Keeling v. Salvo 801363/21 King v. Kebe 800394/21 Lebron v. Hussain 811066/22 Lopez v. Plaza Associates

30337/20 Quezada v. Doukoure

42357/19 Rahman v. Asare

34410/18 Rodriguez v. Islam

26348/15 Sandoval v. Asare

Housing Associates 804290/21 Schenholster v.

Repairs Inc

Inc.

Inc.

United

LLC

NYC

27147/15 Diaz v. Darmetta

33742/18 Sanoussi v. Anderson

Centennial Elevator 24377/16 Sillah v. Acme American

24520/18 Rosa v. Shah

Re 20105/19 Loveless v. Diouck 29642/19 Matos v. Perselis 802505/22 Mejia v. E243 Hldgs. LLC 303356/16 Moss v. Moss 812254/21 Patabendige Cooray v.

Cintron 24012/18 Pecoroni v. Emergency

22852/18 Smith v. 1564 Southern Medical 801361/22 Quattlebaum v. Boulevard 22533/19 Soto v. Ortiz Providence Rest D/b/a 25543/20 Stoudymire v. Kone 20430/19 Swanston-Bristol v. Providence Rest Inc Et Al 30337/20 Ouezada y, Doukoure Niangadou 25009/17 T. v. McKenzie 42357/19 Rahman v. Asare 34410/18 Rodriguez v. Islam 24264/19 Tineo v. Zeisser Rlty. LLC 32564/19 Townsend v. St. Barnabas 24520/18 Rosa v. Shah 26348/15 Sandoval v. Asare Hosp. 28669/16 Velasquez v. Vadi 33742/18 Sanoussi v. Anderson Housing Associates 23984/17 Westbrook v. Fernandez 804290/21 Schenholster v. 20114/19Wilford v. Bnv Homecare Centennial Elevator Agency 22520/15 Wilkerson v. Americare, 24377/16 Sillah v. Acme American Renairs Inc 22852/18 Smith v. 1564 Southern TUESDAY, OCT. 1 Boulevard 22533/19 Soto v. Ortiz 302274/16 Al-Zokary v. Diallo 25543/20 Stoudymire v. Kone Mamadou Dian 20430/19 Swanston-Bristol v. 24172/20 Albanese v. Sanogo Niangadou 21486/19 Ali v. Kabore 25009/17 T. v. McKenzie 800711/22 Ally v. Alice L. Kulick 3 24264/19 Tineo v. Zeisser Rlty. LLC 804472/22 Arrovo v. Beretta 32564/19 Townsend v. St. Barnabas 34353/20 Asamoah v. Atkinson Hosp. 31061/19Baena v. Calderon 28669/16 Velasquez v. Vadi 28648/16 Bond v. Midtown Express, 23984/17 Westbrook v. Fernandez 20114/19Wilford v. Bnv Homecare 20738/19 Capellan v. Almonte Agency 22520/15 Wilkerson v. Americare, 31309/17 Chang v. Logan Bus Co., Inc. 807524/21 Davis v. Dtg Enterprise Inc. TUESDAY, OCT. 1 Inc. Et Al 21132/12 Delgado v. NYCTA 302274/16 Al-Zokary v. Diallo 22941/19 Earle v. Nunezquinones 801971/21 Espada v. Kovskaya Mamadou Dian 24172/20 Albanese v. Sanogo Gruppa Corp. 32045/19 Figueroa v. NYC 21486/19Ali v. Kabore 800711/22 Ally v. Alice L. Kulick 3 20249/20 Galvez v. Scott 24711/15 Gamble v. Kats 804472/22 Arroyo v. Beretta 34353/20 Asamoah v. Atkinson 807947/21 Grajales v. Forreste 27950/20 Inges v. Peck 31061/19 Baena v. Calderon 28648/16 Bond v Midtown Express 30120/19.Jordan v. Cisse Inc. 21643/20 Komoni v. Bowman 20738/19 Capellan v. Almonte 33999/18 Lascano v. Caribe Beer 31309/17 Chang v. Logan Bus Co., Wholesale & Retail Inc. 25938/19 Mack v. R&F Limo. Inc. 807524/21 Davis v. Dtg Enterprise 22129/19 Marthans v. Nwaohuocha Inc. Et Al 21132/12 Delgado v. NYCTA 24182/18 Miranda v. Karimy 801857/22 Naar v. 2855 Gc LLC Et Al 22941/19Earle v. Nunezquinones 24227/18 Nasef v. Olr Three, Inc. 801971/21 Espada v. Kovskaya 23402/17 Newman v. Drink King Gruppa Corp. 32045/19 Figueroa v. NYC Distributing 31291/17 Nwaohuocha v. American 20249/20 Galvez v. Scott 24711/15 Gamble v. Kats 807947/21 Grajales v. Forrester 23110/19 Peck v. Bonet 26394/19 Perdoncin v. Perdoncin 27950/20 Inges v. Peck 802871/22 R. v. Bh & S Leibman 30120/19 Jordan v. Cisse Inc. Et Al 21643/20 Komoni v. Bowman 30507/18 R. v. Carbonell 33999/18 Lascano v. Caribe Beer 31955/18 Ramos v. Cabrera Veras Wholesale & Retail 302566/16 Rodriguez v. Aponte 25938/19 Mack v. R&F Limo., Inc. 29319/17 Rosario v. Thomas 22129/19 Marthans v. Nwaohuocha 24182/18 Miranda v. Karimy 801857/22 Naar v. 2855 Gc LLC Et Al Cerabona 21941/19 Sanyang v. Cordero German 23811/19 Schifano v. Santiago 24227/18 Nasef v. Qlr Three, Inc. 23402/17 Newman v. Drink King 25089/20 Shahidi-Mcdonald v. Distributing 31291/17 Nwaohuocha v. American Hanna & Jina Nv. Inc. 34921/19 Sidi v. Pimentel United 23110/19 Peck v. Bonet 27007/18 Son v. Sanchez 303732/15 Speights v. Woodstock 26394/19 Perdoncin v. Perdoncin Terrace 802871/22 R. v. Bh & S Leibman 29612/19 Tanoh v. Santos Jimenez Inc. Et Al 30507/18 R. v. Carbonell 34519/18 Tounkara v. Mack 31955/18 Ramos v. Cabrera Veras 302566/16 Rodriguez v. Aponte 32662/20 Wallace v. Teouri WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 29319/17 Rosario v. Thomas 802468/22 Ajtunixcoy v. American Cerabona United Transportation Inc. Et Al 23367/19 B. v. 2485-87 Univ. Ave. 21941/19 Sanyang v. Cordero German 23811/19 Schifano v. Santiago 25089/20 Shahidi-Mcdonald v. 25156/18 Berrios v. Rodriguez 29491/18 Bynes v. NYCH&HC And 20342/19 Carella v. Kings Harbor Hanna & Jina Ny, Inc. 34921/19 Sidi v. Pimentel Multicare Center 31436/20 Cole-Kelly v. Larrison 27007/18 Son v. Sanchez 303732/15 Speights v. Woodstock 23349/20 Colon v. NYC Dept. of Terrace 29612/19 Tanoh v. Santos Jimenez 21558/17 Connor v. Hurricane Mgt. Corp. 809036/21 Culpepper v. American 34519/18 Tounkara v. Mack 32662/20 Wallace v. Teouri United Transportation Inc. Et Al 800559/21 Dawson v. Food Bank For WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 802468/22 Ajtunixcoy v. American 34431/19 Delgado v. Nyp Hldgs., Inc. United Transportation Inc. Et Al 23595/17 Diaz Ramirez v. 23367/19 B. v. 2485-87 Univ. Ave. LLC Appelbaum

801169/22 Moore v. Amancha 800576/22 Morales v. Ozment 24936/20 Nared v. Agc Transport LLC

806310/22 Pang v. Mascari Brothers, Inc. Et Al 807905/23 Parker v. Rodnite 812138/21 Perez v. Cooper 809476/22 Reyes v. Kia of West Nyack Et Al

35395/19 Rivera v. Trzaskowski 808145/22 Rivero v. Gq-1 LLC Et Al 27137/16 Robinson v. NYCTA 812341/22 Senter v. Yang 31373/20 Steer v. Reves Perez 805603/21 Veloz-Garcia v. City Waste Services of New York, Inc

Et Al 817659/22 White v. Cabrera 813422/23 Yelverton v. Wilkerson

32039/20 Zhang v. Mascari Brothers Inc.

#### WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2

21299/20 Amankwah v. Liu 805637/22 Brathwaite v. Abreu Lagares 806973/21 Castillo Dionisio v.

Verizon Services Corp. Et Al 812984/21 Collins v. Lopez 802971/23 Colon v. Jw Consulting,

Inc. Et Al 804698/22 Cooper v. Garabito 814301/21 Gonzalez v. Mantilla 817572/21 Grayson v. Marshall 34916/19 Gutierrez v. Robinson

32197/19 Hardy v. Naranjo Maurizaca 805582/22 Jimenez v. Singh 801607/22 Liranzo v. Khan 807579/21 Maria Castillo v. Touray 34096/19 Middleton v. Santiago 817574/22 Pedrosa v. Motor Vehicle

Indemnification Corp. 804983/23 Reyes v. Uva 815034/22 Rivera v. Liou 804383/21 Rivera-Lopez v. Verity 22907/20 Sanchez v. Williams 810248/22 Taveras v. Wheeler N Wheeler Inc Et Al 31255/17 Tiday v. Leif Taxi, Inc. 30314/18 Wilson v. Espinal 803800/23 Yao v. Vasquez Auto Corp Et Al

#### Part 15 (MV)

Justice Ben R. Barbato Phone 718-618-1395 Room 702, 9:30 A.M.

#### MONDAY, SEPT. 30

42031/23 Camara v. Mendez 33530/19 Colon-Cintron v. Antigua Antigua 801617/23 Limage v. Nicasio 34745/20 Martinez v. Viruet 42032/23 Phillips v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 812042/22 Veal v. Lux Credit Consultants LLC Et Al 20811/20 Velez v. Lux Credit Consultants LLC

#### **TUESDAY, OCT. 1**

800298/22 Arias v. Trivino Chaves 22560/18 Berry v. NYCTA 24121/20 D v. A&T Transit Inc. 813435/23 Del Carmen Maggi Perez v. Recio 25770/18 Del Rosario v. NYCTA 33523/20 Dukes v. Gonzalez 29299/17 Gantt v. NYC 27185/20 Gonzalez v. Cruz 22756/18 Hendricks v. NYCTA 805340/22 Hinojosa v. Carr 808221/23 Hossan v. Quail 813863/22 Jackson v. Furcal 812337/22 Johnson v. Stone 816424/22 Kone v. Martinez Hilario 25328/18 Latimore v. NYCTA

13/21 Cordero v. Nunez Hernandez 34775/20 Dabrowska v. Waclawik Grocery Corp. 31395/19 Stewart v. Shrivinor Mgt. 5049/17 Deiesus v. Deiesus Co., Et Al 810209/22 Deleon v. Vargas 21236/13 Stillwell Cafe, Inc. v. 1680 3977/21 Fall v. Williams Eastchester Rlty. Corp. 817050/21 Vecino v. Sydney Engel 2999/22 Ford v. Ford 3648/19 Ledgister v. Campbell-Associates L.P. Et Al Ledgister WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 808020/22 Martinez v. Salgado Fuentes 809361/21 Maunday v. Hunte 32256/20 Ventura v. Paulino De La Cruz 809854/22 Munoz v. Serrano Part 25 811644/21 Oberther v. Rabbani Justice Mary Ann Brigantti Phone 718-618-1252 6972/19 Odiase v. Odiase 808526/22 Orellana v. Panora 7531/20 Poteau v. Poteau Room 407, 9:30 A.M. 800921/24 Rexhepi Leci v. Leci WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 3837/20 Rivera v. Cabassa-Rivera 803262/21 Blanco v. Glicker Rlty. L.P 3960/23 Rivers v. Rivers 2434/22 Rodriguez v. Ali Et Al 31295/19 Carino v. Urbanspace 570 3436/20 Stella v Stella 803935/22 Vega v. Vega Lexington 816764/23 Cesar v. Piterson Assy 4460/18 Walters v. Kelly 5894/22 Wilson v. Moore 26043/14 Dupree v. Mp Mgt. 26928/15 Marion Distefano As 5989/19 Wong-Gonzalez v. Gonzalez Admin v. Praxis Housing White WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 Plains 21954/20 Rivera v. Ivory 1150 806348/23 Lewis v. Lewis Concourse Corp. 20275/18 Rosado v. Bethco Corp. 4431/22 Njoh v. Simo Part 19A 24277/19 Taveras v. NYCHA 21602/15 Zayed v. NYC Dept. of Justice Alicia Gerez Design 803581/22 Zelaya v. Cordova Phone 718-618-1377 Room 600, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Part 26

806681/23 Beacrucis v. Sayegh M.D. 25494/14 Martir v. Issah 30362/19 Santiago v. McKean 27603/16 Sealy v. Amory 808955/22Vega Chavez v. NYCH&HC Corp. TUESDAY, OCT. 1 24225/19 A. v. Nathan Littauer Hosp. 33681/20 Baez v. NYCH&HC And 21634/16 Diaz v. Katz 27312/19 Francisco v. NYU Langone Health System 34798/19 Francois v. Motivala M.D.

806820/24 Garcia v. NYCH&HC Corp. 30281/18 Goldsmith v. Mount Sinai

Hosp. 818954/23 Jimenez As Administrator of The Estate of Manuel Jimenez Deceased v. Sbnh Acquisition 33510/20 Miller v. Hebrew Home For Aged At 23732/15 Nunez v. Bronx-Lebanon Hosp. Center 23891/20 Rios v. Hughes M.D. 816381/22 Rosario v. NY Presbyterian Weill Cornell Medical Center Et Al 801821/22 Velasquez v. Nae Edison WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 302654/11 Guido v. Fielding

819154/22 Irizarry v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al 30816/20 Lyles v. NYC 34499/18 Matthews Jr. v. Bronx Center For 25723/18 Mingo v. Jopal Bronx 800313/22 Real v. Nazir M.D. 21253/20 Reyes v. Workmen's Circle Home Part 20

#### Justice Veronica G. Hummel

LLC

804938/24 De Souza v. 1759-63 W. Phone 718-618-1240 Farms Rd LLC Et Al 814758/21 Dorsey v. Riverbay Corp. 806922/21 Right Price General v. Room 703, 9:30 A.M. WEDNESDAY, OCT. 2 Lord 806423/23 Susan Miller v. Hudson

23902/15 Sinera v. Bedford-Webster

25156/18 Berrios v. Rodriguez

NYLJ.COM | New York Law Tournal

29491/18 Bynes v NVCH&HC And

20342/19 Carella v. Kings Harbor

31436/20 Cole-Kelly v. Larrison

23349/20 Colon v. NYC Dept. of

21558/17 Connor v. Hurricane Mgt.

809036/21 Culpepper v. American

United Transportation Inc. Et Al

800559/21 Dawson v. Food Bank For

34431/19 Delgado v. Nyp Hldgs., Inc.

26107/19Duncan v. Sherman Creek

23595/17 Diaz Ramirez v.

27147/15 Diaz v. Darmetta

33412/20 Garcia v. Reliant

Transportation, Inc.

805134/21 Gerena v. Dia

801598/23 Harris v. Doe

303115/16 Lasalle v. NYC

31193/17 Marych v. Follini

31938/17 Ogbuehi v. Rose

26661/18 Peterson v. Acer

Packaging & Supplies

And Kinder Ring

Checo Mendez

Scaffolding Inc.

Memorial Hosp.

23666/19White v. Kim

26998/18 Ortega v. Hammouri

805828/21 Pena v. Nunez Tavarez

300833/15 Quintero v. Merchant

27430/18 Raskina v. Circle Lodge

25953/20 Rodriguez v. P.A. Cab

27316/19 Rowley v. Jerome Jsd

35003/19 Ruiz De Tarazona v.

801792/22 Sanchez v. Sanchez

303828/14 Tejeda v. 2856 Rlty. LLC

35123/19 Thompson v. Everest

300322/17 Vasquez Carranza v.

801196/21 Webster v. Beth Abraham

**CRIMINAL TERM** 

Part SCA

Justice Rivera

Phone 718-618-1378

265 East 161st Street

Room 300, 9:30 A.M.

Part T11

(Trial)

Justice Mitchell

Phone 718-618-1076

265 East 161st Street

Room 450, 9:30 A.M.

Part C

Justice Lieb

Phone 718-618-1097

265 East 161st Street

Room 320, 9:30 A.M.

Part IDV-SCT

Justice Flores

Phone 718-618-1067

265 East 161st Street

Room 420, 9:30 A.M.

Part JD/T

Justice Lieb

Phone 718-618-1097

265 East 161st Street

Room 320, 9:30 A.M.

Part TRP

Justice Fabrizio

Health Services A.K.A. Beth

Abraham Services Et Al

23257/20 Stokes v. Ebanks

Corp.

Funding

Corp.

Hldgs.

Peralta

800839/21 Hamilton v. Bonilla

31728/18.Jenkins v. Citadel Care

Centers Group 20819/20 Jones v. 253 Hldgs. Inc.

20467/16 Justo v. Arbee Mgt. Ltd.

32582/18 O'Shea v. Procida Const.

816539/21 Drummond v.

Pendergrass Esq.

Multicare Center

Corp.

NYC

Appelbaum

Rlty. Corp.

Room 500, 9:30 A.M. Part 60 Justice Barrett Phone 718-618-1007 265 East 161st Street Room 620, 9:30 A.M. Part 70 Justice Lewis Phone 718-618-1103 265 East 161st Street Room 340, 9:30 A.M. Part 71 Justice Steed Phone 718-618-1004 265 East 161st Street

Part 28

Part 29

Second Department

(K)

Joel (Q)

(K)

(K)

(S)

York (Q)

23/08137 Capio v. U.S. Bank

National Association (RO)

#### APPELLATE DIVISION

MONDAY, SEPT. 30 10 A.M. Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY Connolly, J.P., Ford, Love and McCormack, JJ. 21/01236 People of State of New York v. Davidson (K) 23/10655 People v. Blackwood, Philip (W) 22/08852 People v. Mishli, Joseph A. (RO) 20/03496 Postiglione v. Sacks & Sacks, LLP (K) 22/01198 Postiglione v. Sacks & Sacks, LLP (K) 23/01650 Matter of State Division of Human Rights v. Elite Dental Care, P.C. (Q) 22/08156 Federal National Mortgage Association v. Darretta 23/07607 Volkel v. Smithtown Gospel Tabernacle (S) 20/07458 Matter of Schiffman v. Affordable Shoes Ltd. (K) 22/08963 PNMAC Mortgage Opportunity Fund Investors, LLC v. Noushad (K) 22/06699 Hamer v. FGP America, LLC (RO) 23/05334 Conte v. Tri-State Technologies Inc. (N) 23/07417 Castillo v. 37-25 12th Street, LLC (0) 23/07922 Luque-Gallego v. Escobar

(K) 23/01037 Hernandez v. Malchus B'Chesed LLC (K) TUESDAY, OCT. 1 10 A.M. Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY

19/09112 People v. Jules,

Marckindale (RO)

23/05481 People of State

(K)

Justice Rodriguez-Morick Phone 718-618-1118 265 East 161st Street Room 430, 9:30 A.M. Part 31 Justice Zimmerman Phone 718-618-1022 265 East 161st Street Room 670, 9:30 A.M. Part 32 Justice Rosenblueth Phone 718-618-1019 265 East 161st Street Room 610, 9:30 A.M Department (0)

(Q) 23/07782 U.S. Bank Trust N.A. v. Atedgi (Q) 20/05308 Angel v. Strulovich (K) 20/09688 Angel v. Strulovich (K) 22/10530 U.S. Bank N.A. v. France LaSalle, P.J., Chambers, Taylor and Golia, JJ.

LLC (S) 23/01533 Lana LLC v. Coffie (K) 22/06373 Hooker 11, LLC v. Gean (D) 23/11415 Lori Joseph Builders, Inc. v. Torres (D) 23/06222 Giahn v. Yakubov (Q) 23/03644 Jackson v. Schindler Elevator Corp. (K) 23/09689 Scott v. 797 Quincy St, Room 540, 9:30 A.M. LLC (K) 21/09066 Cardillo v. 3707 LLC (Q) Part 77 Justice Parker 23/00801 Dibble v. Schrodel (W) Phone 718-618-1025 FRIDAY, OCT. 11 265 East 161st Street 10 A.M. Room 680, 9:30 A.M. Court To Be Held in Part 78 Brooklyn, NY Justice Marcus Brathwaite Nelson, J.P., Phone 718-618-1001 Chambers, Dowling and Ventura, JJ. 265 East 161st Street Room 600, 9:30 A.M. 19/12933 People v. Wright, William (K) 23/02922 People v. Martinez, Part 96 Justice Morales Miguel (W) 19/08357 People v. Gordon, Winston Phone 718-618-1082 265 East 161st Street 20/07201 People v. Gordon, Winston Room 460, 9:30 A.M (W) 19/10761 People v. G. (Anonymous), Victor (K) SURROGATE'S 23/05549 Matter of D. (Anonymous), Daniel R. (O) 23/05947 Matter of Mattis v. COURT Surrogate Walcott-Graham (K) 23/07249 Matter of L. Nelida Malave-Gonzalez Phone 718-618-2350 (Anonymous), Francesca: Nassau County Department of Courtroom 406 Socia (N) 23/10606 Matter of W. (Anonymous), Sapphire; Administration for Children's Serv (K) 22/02172 Strong v. State of New York (NYS) 22/02173 Strong v. State of New 22/09110 Cooper v. Branca (W) York (NYS) 23/08033 Winter v. Cornwall Police 23/02845 Matter of Grabko v. Rye Neck Union Free School District 23/10046 Matter of Moore v. Nassau (W) University Medical Center (N) 23/06417 Libertas Funding, LLC v. 23/04694 Holloway v. Orthodox Patmos Property Group LLC (K) 21/02103 M & R Real Estate LLC v. Church in America (W) 23/05014 Matter of Chappaqua Islin Anartment Corn. (S) Congress of Teachers v. Board of 23/07838 Wilmington Trust, Education of the C (W) National Association v. Kamal 23/06859 Matter of (S) 22/09385 Nationstar Mortgage LLC C.-F.F.(Anonymous), Legend; Administration for Children's Se v. Kahana (RO) 23/04708 Matter of Village of MONDAY, OCT. 7 Walden v. Teamsters Local Union No. 445 (O) 10 A.M. 22/09143 Fox Capital Group, Inc. v. SFUS LLC (N) Court To Be Held in 23/09864 Ravello v. Long Island Railroad (N) 23/11114 H & R Realty of New York Brooklyn, NY Connolly, J.P., Ford, Voutsinas and Hom, JJ. LLC v. Spirited Dragon Real Estate Developmen (Q) 21/03839 Robles v. City of New York 18/13727 People v. Pastranavillano, 21/02841 People v. Argueta, Mateo (K) 22/07316 Matter of Lane v. County (N) 22/03724 People v. Navarro, Israel of Suffolk (S) TUESDAY, OCT. 15 18/01714 People v. Simon, Milton 10 A.M. 23/06540 Matter of Esanbor v. Ativie Court To Be Held in (N) 23/07143 Matter of Calogero v. Brooklyn, NY McMahon (D) 23/08674 Matter of B. Barros, J.P., Ford, Love and Hom, JJ. (Anonymous), Mykel; B. 23/09580 Matter of G. (Anonymous) (Anonymous), Mykah; Natalie J.; G. (Anonymous), Administration (K) 23/03848 Globalized Realty Group, Kimberly Jean: (W) 23/05402 Matter of K. LLC v. Crossroads Realty NY LLC (Anonymous), Ester; Administration for Children's 22/00517 Moody-DuBois v. Service (K) 23/02422 Matter of Miller v. Perez Westchester Medical Center (W) 20/09607 Eaton v. Fiotos (K) 21/03519 Eaton v. Fiotos (K) (W) 24/00838 Matter of Miller v. Perez 20/05982 Enriquez v. Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Q) (W) 20/09084 Brandford v. Brandford 23/04228 HSBC Bank USA, N.A. v. (K) Schulman (W) 23/04241 HSBC Bank USA. N.A. v. 23/03212 Brandford v. Brandford (K) Schulman (W) 23/03730 Matter of 790 Holdings 23/11157 Brandford v. Brandford (K) Corp. v. Board of Appeals of Town 23/01528 Barnes v Warthurd of Hempstead (N) 23/05939 Matter of County of Receiver, LLC (K) 20/07854 Elbagdadi v. Silverman Orange v. City of New York (O) 23/00989 Keen v. Tishman (K) 22/08271 Herry v. City of New York Construction Corporation of New (Q)

23/02602 Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Davis (Q) 23/06114 Lara v. S& J Operational, (Q) 23/12253 People of State of New York v. Johnson (Q) 23/10181 Matter of D. (Anonymous), Brandon (Q) 23/05956 Aideyan v. Mount Vernon City School District (W) 23/07498 Matter of Camarda v. Ubert (S) 23/09446 Onewest Bank, N.A. v. Jacobs (Q) 22/08300 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Medford (Q) 21/01503 HSBC Bank USA, National Association v. Gallo (O) 23/01062 Matter of Gramble v. Putnam County Housing Corporation (P) 22/10397 Brower v. Staten Island University Hospital (RI) 20/06022 Mohammad v Rehman (RO) 20/04995 Weekes v. Tishman Technologies Corporation (K) 20/09349 Weekes v. Tishman Technologies Corporation (K) 20/07494 Garcia v. Hollander (RO) 21/02467 Sanderson-Burgess v. City of New York (Q) 24/02541 Kim v. Jetro Cash & Carry Enterprises, LLC (Q) 21/05800 Ballato v. Suffolk County Traffic and Parking Violations Agency (S) FRIDAY. OCT. 18 10 A.M. Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY Dillon, J.P., Wooten, Taylor and McCormack, JJ. 19/11472 People v. Gobin, Kevin 19/11782 People v. Gobin, Kevin (Q) 23/01199 People v. Mayorga, Norbin 23/11205 Matter of Boutin v Boutin (Q) 23/09949 Matter of Fortune v Jasmin (O) 21/01714 Langton v Sussman & Watkins (0) 20/03781 Williams v. Levine (N) 20/09761 Hervey v. Northern Westchester Hospital (W) 19/04133 CV XXVIII, LLC v. Williams (K) 23/05944 Rodriguez v. Kvatchadze (K) 21/09499 Cho v. Marcario (S) 21/0861 Matter of Town of Ramapo (R) 22/04045 Matter of Doran Construction Corp. v. New York State Insurance Fund (W) 23/04781 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Bruno (S) 23/06735 Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Bruno (S) 23/03054 Burtis v. Town of Hempstead (N) 23/09996 Parra v. K2 Herkimer, LLC (Q) 23/08926 Jenny Cho v. HJB of Bayside Corp. (Q) 23/05476 Sisalima v. Thorne Construction, Inc. (S) 23/09137 Plunkett v. 519 Gourmet Deli & Grill. Inc. No. 5 (K) 23/05028 Marinos v. Brahaj (K) **APPELLATE** TERM 2ND, 11TH and 13TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT LONG ISLAND CITY, NY Day Calendar TUESDAY, OCT. 1 9:30 A.M. Toussaint, P.J., Buggs, Ottley, JJ. 22/00055 People v. Manuel Ortega 22/00120 People v. Nelson Toe 22/00259 People v. Nareiq Millette 22/00503 People v. Shawn Chickurie 22/00763 People v. Dennis Molinary 22/00828 People v. Vincent Ranzie 23/02670 Matter of Herry v. New 22/00829 People v. Rafael Castro York City Housing Authority (Q) 21/08372 Loancare, A Division of 23/00680 People v. Elijah Walls 23/00525 Aaron Scaturro, Arthur Purvis, and Shadrack Lindo v. FNF Servicing, Inc. v. Munoz (Q) 21/05138 Montes-Vidal v. New York F.J.H. Realty, Inc.;, DHPD of NYC, DOB of NYC, and NYC Loft Board State Thruway Authority 23/00988 B&M Zhou LLC v. CA 23/00671 Queens Fresh Meadows Plaza LLC (Q) 21/07326 Baxter v. Jada LLC v. Jermaine Beckford and Gillian Beckford; "John Doe" and/or "Jane Doe" 23/00961 Burke 2 Physical Therapy, Construction & Development, Inc. (D) 22/05367 Drive New Jersey P.C., A/A/O Lewis, Destiny v. Insurance Company v. RT State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. 23/01083 Burke Physical Therapy, Hospitality Group, LLC (Q) 23/01019 Bender v. East End Bus P.C., A/A/O Townsend, Peter v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Lines, Inc (S) 23/09108 Villota v. Hua Mei Lin (K) 23/09887 B. v. Town of Oyster Bay Ins. Co. 24/00273 Central Pharmacy, (N) 23/10741 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Inc., A/A/O Roger Darbasie v. Nationwide Mutual Ins. Co. Gray (K) 23/10744 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. \*\*\* Gray (K) 23/04121 Armstead v. 123 Frost 9TH and 10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT Associates L.P. (N) TUESDAY, OCT. 15 \* \* \* 10 A.M. WHITE PLAINS, NY Court To Be Held in **Day Calendar** Staten Island, NY THURSDAY, OCT. 10 Maltese, J.P., Genovesi, Wan and Golia, JJ. 9:30 A.M. 23/05179 People v. Campbell, Driscoll, Walsh, Goldberg-Anthony D. (RI) Velazquez, JJ. 24/02088 People of State of New 19/01041 People v. Vincent York v. Forsberg (S) 20/03411 People v. Ford, Tyrone Dibenedetto 23/00088 People v. Sarah Salem 19/02718 People v. Orama, David 23/00672 People v. Rafael Camacho 23/00821 People v. Kyle Zoghby 23/00928 People v. Daniel Stamm 22/09831 People of State of New 24/00202 People v. Ricardo D. York v. Hibinski (RI) 23/07437 Matter of A. Torres 24/00218 People v. Lennon J. Scott (Anonymous), Davena; A. 23/01137 Tesco v. Llc v. Maura T. (Anonymous) Daenerys; Madden and Joe Madden;"John Administrat (RI) 24/00792 Matter of Garanin v. Bykhovsky (RI) Doe" and "Jane Doe" 23/01341 Sam Cheng v. New Honey Art Center, Inc. 23/12087 Matter of C 24/00111 Cynthia Mcmullen y. (Anonymous), Amira; J. Carlos Morocho (Anonymous), Amier: M. (Anonymo (K) 23/08140 Matter of Mendoza v. 23/08132 Sottosanti v. St. Francis Hospital (RI) 23/05653 Tsamasiros v. Jones (RI) Kings 23/06623 97 Lyman Avenue, LLC v. MTGLQ Investors, L.P. (RI) County 23/07791 Scarsella v. Liberty Coca Cola Beverages LLC (RI) 23/01586 Bombalic v. Cornelius **SUPREME COURT** 22/06376 Wells Fargo Bank, The following matters were assigned to the Justices named National Association v. Lewis 22/08798 Hanna v. Staten Island below. These actions were University Hospital (RI) 23/06472 Lakeview Loan Servicing, assigned as a result of initial notices of motion or notices of petition returnable in the court on LLC v. Rafuna (RI) the date indicated and the Request 23/08261 A. v. City of New York (RI) for Judicial Intervention forms that 23/06645 Wells Fargo Bank, National Association v. Ben have been filed in the court with such initial activity in the case. 23/09368 Santos v. Fiktus (Q) All Justices, assigned parts and courtrooms are listed herein prior THURSDAY, OCT. 17 to the assignments of Justices for the specified actions. 10 A.M. Please see the Justices Court To Be Held in information sheets for further Brooklyn, NY instruction regarding Uniform IAS Miller, J.P., Christopher, practices and procedures. Voutsinas and Ventura, JJ. **Part Assignments/RJI** 22/01251 People v. Ponce, Raul (N) **Intake Part** 22/09256 People v. Ponce, Raul (N) 22/10323 People v. Dixon, Tysean 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1592 20/08675 People v. Zolorsano, Room 282 Alfredo (Q) 20/08677 People v. Zolorsano, MONDAY, SEPT. 30

536051/23 Agy Associates Et Al v. Lg Group LLC Et Al 513193/24 Alexander v. NYC Et Al 21/08265 People v. Granger, Elie 509782/23 Alia Razzaq As The Administrator of The Estate of Ora Abdur-Razzaq v. Atlantis Operating 511393/24Almonte v. Almonte 505824/24 Alulema v. Headen 514671/24 Amjad v. Taylor 514536/24 Araujo v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 532679/21 Avanti Enterprises Inc. v. 1 & 3 on 5th Corp. D/b/a Fabiane's Cafe And Pastry Et Al 1718/20 Benjamin v. Delwey 501871/24 Carlton v. Harris 518446/24 Cfg Merchant Solutions v. Taymark 501194/24 Chanzy-Mezile v. NYCTA Et Al 509813/24 Cox Jr. v. Leroy Jr. 503428/24 Crisantos v. Dushi 505309/24 Cruz v. Lin 526253/22 Diaz v. Sanchez 512942/23 Diaz v. Chin 536138/23 Ernest v. Madrid 514984/24 Fayzullaeva v. Ellin 512392/24 Fields v. NYC Et Al 535154/23 Fleurima v. Narcisse 503837/24 Garcia v. Reynoso 525207/23 Gourdine v. Eckles-Blessitt 511321/24 Inzlicht-Sprei M.D. v. Kahan 513635/24 Jackson v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp. 508153/24 James v. Jackson Surrey Ocean LLC 524018/23 Japon Guarango v. 1020 Rogers Ave. LLC 520093/23 Keita v. NYC Et Al 516075/24 Lipsidge v. Lefferts Ave. Condominium Inc. Et Al 508216/24 Lopez v. Quincy 314 LLC Et Al 525595/23 Marangelli v. Thorne 513326/24 Mayer v. Gastor 511861/24 McCollum v. Verizon New York Inc. Et Al 524437/23 McFadden v 44 Willoughby 512022/24 Melendes Lopes v. De La Rosa-Rondon 514021/24 Moody v. Footprints Cafe Inc. 532381/22 Ochoa v. 134 West 29th St. Owners Corp Et Al 515426/24 Olivo v. Huang 526795/22 Ovalentine Johson v Lucas 507846/24P An Infant Under The Age of Eighteen By Her Mother And v. Palmer 508233/24 Park v. Vos 513129/24 Pena-Cardenas v. 444 39th St. 533651/23 Pliego v. Hollander 500206/24 Radushinsky v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 518048/23 Rahman v. Weiser 516767/24 Regis v. Black 502855/24 Rodriguez v. Joseph 506834/24 Rodriguez v. NYCHA 506237/24 Rosario v. Filipkowski 527049/23 Roytburd v. Bergen Cove Homeowners Assoc. Inc. Et Al 529182/22 Rybsztajn v. Elite Care LLC 523438/23 Salcedo Nunez v. Ess NY Corp. Et Al 528201/23 Schachter v. Jewish Board of Family And Children's Services, Inc. Et Al 509473/24 Shor v. NYC 512599/24 Silverline Services, Inc. v. Shangri-La Homecare Afh 502784/24 Simmons v. Penske Truck Leasing Co. Et Al 517709/22 Szczesniak v. Lefkovits 511552/20 Thane Ebuehi v. Sanders 528377/23 Tripp v. Diallo 520659/24 Trump Village Section 4, Inc. v. Dimitriu 529301/22 Turpin v. Ota Quincy 511149/24 Valentin v. Dawkins 501193/24 Veloz Pena v. Atlantic Chestnut Affordable Housing LLC Et Al 513350/24 Williams v. 111 Wall Fee Hldg. LLC Et Al 514719/24 Xanders v. Israel Toaza TUESDAY, OCT. 1 532624/23 Akeel Deli And Market Corp. v. Ali 523200/21 Anderson v. Whitehead 506374/24 Areizaga v. Newman 507378/24B. v. Sunset Park Children's School, Inc. 504108/24 Balde v. Tavarez 517197/24 Benvehudah v. G. 514141/23 Bissessar v. Shah 508348/24 Bobb-Semple Smith v Durazno 527121/23 Bridge Lofts LLC v. Youngwall 501154/24 Bustos v. 2864 Atlantic Rity. LLC 508465/24 Campos v. Williamsburg Pact 528007/23 Campos v. Apostolakos 501126/24 Cargill v. Ut Acquisitions LLC Et Al 514061/24 Carmona-Carrion v. Elrac 177/22 Chatteriee v. NYC 509262/24 Compton v. Lyft, Inc. Et AL 503934/24 De La Cruz Torres v. New York-Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al 512061/24 Dorenko v. 4 Daves Laundromat Corp. Et Al 511962/24 Emmanuel-Best v. App I LLC Et Al 504108/23 Fleurant v. T.W.C.A., Inc. Et Al 537296/23 Foster v. Cascocarias 519734/22 Fox Capital Group, Inc. v. Norma Jean Patterson Dba Patterson Const 500337/24 Gateau v. Target Coproration Et Al 512858/24 Gilles v. Hylan Datacom LLC 509271/24 Gold Star Restoration v. The Powerhouse Condominium Et Al 537849/23 Gonzalez v. 221 Church Ave. 504394/24 Guaman v. Mei 528821/23 H. v. Ilya 516446/24 Haggerty v. Kinlez LLC Et 517206/24 Harris v. NYCHA 511448/24 Hsbc Bank USA v. Boyd 526826/23 Jeffers v. Interfaith Medical Center Et Al 523429/22 Jiminez v. Jnd Trans Inc. 502409/24 Junaid v Paiusco 508279/21 Khusainov v. Atp Services Inc. 508085/24 Khvedelidze v. Sinai I, Inc. Et Al 514000/24 Leonce v. Alexander 508908/24 Lin v. Leodoro De Oliveira 509009/24 Lucas v. Uber Technologies, Inc. Et Al 517293/24 Mascoe v. Food Bazaai Inc. Et Al 524661/22 Mashkulli v. Mannino 527310/23 Matvey Arye v. NY Community Hosp. Et Al 514117/24 Merchan Merchan v. Otero 613/22 Mills v. Mills 511325/24 Mitchell v. Weintraub 525161/21 Mokrov v. Pjsc Aeroflot Russian Airlines 525379/23 Mondesir v. Asif 518010/24 Montes Jr. v. Brandt 509803/24 Morris Brooks v. Beals 521399/20 Morrow Equipment Co. v. Sky Materials Corp. Et Al 527384/23 Otero v. Shikder 506272/24 Oyo v. Sofi 7992/09 Palacios v. Oquendo 524790/23 Pearl Beta Funding v Armenta 533347/23 Petevka-Martin v. 268 Brighton Rlty. LLC Et Al 511894/24 Pompey v. 10 Westminster Road LLC Et Al 519140/22 Progressive Max Ins. Co. v. Coley 513813/24 Ramirez v. 8- Bit Bites Restaurant Et Al 511863/24 Rivera v. Costco Wholesale Corp. 510543/24 Roman-Deita v. Innab 512331/24A. Bhikharie v. Jeudy

LLC Et Al 506677/24 Santiago Torres v. Sirena Rlty. Corp. Et Al 507772/24 Seigel v. The NYCHA of NYC 509211/24 Silva v. Kiche 504617/20 Steven Richardson As Administrator of Estate of Jane Richardson v. Morales 523753/22 Tymoshenko v. Levitansky 523385/23 Urolov v. Wong 514166/24 Wang v. Ryder Truck Rental, Inc. Et Al 517012/24 Wilson v. Cornerstone Housing Associates Part ADR-COMM Justice Richard Montelione 360 Adams Street Phone 718-500-4012 Courtroom 574 Commercial Division Part 4 Justice Lawrence Knipel 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1630 Room 774 TUESDAY, OCT. 1 525125/231982 Fulton St. Funding LLC v. 1982 Fulton St LLC Et Al 512505/19American Express Nat. Bank v. Steuer 15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter 524017/22 Flagstar Bank v. Sweeney 19241/12 Hsbc Bank USA v. Assanah 502856/22 Tloa Mortgage v. 194 Hale LLC Et Al 524385/24 Zaman v. Victoria Capital Trust F/k/a Toorak Repo Seller I Trust Commercial Division Part 6 Justice Lawrence Knipel 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1630 **Room 774** TUESDAY, OCT. 1 525125/231982 Fulton St. Funding LLC v. 1982 Fulton St LLC Et Al 512505/19American Express Nat. Bank v. Steuer 15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter 524017/22 Flagstar Bank v. Sweeney 19241/12 Hsbc Bank USA v. Assanah 502856/22 Tloa Mortgage v. 194 Hale LLC Et Al 524385/24 Zaman v. Victoria Capital Trust F/k/a Toorak Repo Seller I Trust Motion 525125/231982 Fulton St. Funding LLC v. 1982 Fulton St LLC Et Al Commercial Division Part 8 Justice Leon Ruchelsman 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1604 Room 276 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 507066/24 Ashton v. NYC Et Al 512942/23 Diaz v. Chin 529182/22 Rybsztajn v. Elite Care LLC 529301/22 Turpin v. Ota Quincy 524993/22 USA Medical v. Labq **Clinical Diagnostics TUESDAY, OCT. 1** 505046/22 A. v. The Brookdale Hosp. Medical Center Et Al 528113/21 Aguayza Plaza v. Ean Hldgs., LLC, Enterprise Hldgs., Inc. D/b/a Enterprise Rent-A-Car Et Al 522531/23Almonte v. Royal Dumont Inc. Et Al 509845/23 Amanfo v. Laboratory Corp. of America Et Al 512945/20 Amtrust Ins. Co. of

501266/24 Romero Hernandez v 513897/23 Xu v. The Roman The Vorea Const. Companies Catholic Church of The Holy Name 523054/21 Zamanis v. The Mount Sinai Hosp. 526915/23Zambrano v. NYC Et Al 501352/23 Zhurkevich v. 1700 B'way. Owner LLC Et Al Commercial Division Part 10 Justice Larry D. Martin 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1634 Room 741 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 533922/22 Bank of NY Mellon Trust Co NA v Blackshear 504787/22 Bank of NY Mellon Trust Co. v. Williams 527561/22 Citibank v. Cappucci 521527/23 Citibank v. The Estate of Thomas O Campbell A/k/a Thomas Oswald Campbell A/k/a Thomas Campbell Et Al 29456/08 Countrywide Homes Loans, Inc., Et. Al. v. Hershkop 512323/14 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust v. Alexander 510296/16 Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. v. Taveras 516419/19 Empire State Bank v. Chen 20230/11 Hsbc Bank USA v. Villacis 529526/22 Hsbc Bank USA v. Bouskila 514116/22 Lakeview Loan Servicing LLC v. Rosenberg 537427/23 Meb Loan Trust Iv v. Landau 536851/22 Mortgage Assets Mgt. v. Battle 530083/22 Mortgage Assets Mgt. v. Davenport 521399/23 Nationstar Mortgage LLC v. Saadia 503413/19 Newrez LLC D/b/a Shellpoint v. Nieves 505922/24 Pnc Bank v. Lee 534094/23 Reliant Income Fund v. Magny 520024/22 Selene Finance Lp v. Edmond 503914/23 Sj Funding LLC v. Coppin 33689/07 U.S. Bank v. Wright 524716/20U.S. Bank Nat. Assoc. As Legal Title Trustee For Truman 2016 Sc6 Title Trust v. Smalls 536479/22 U.S. Bank Trust Nat. Assoc. v. Jacobson 23534/07 Wells Fargo Bank v. Pierre-Noel TUESDAY, OCT. 1 509073/16 Board of Mgrs. of v. A1 Works-In-Progress 502987/22 Franklin Ave. Group LLC v. Joseph 19813/09U S Bank NA v Rivera 506745/23 U.S. Bank Trust N.A. v. Polatsek Motion 502987/22 Franklin Ave. Group LLC v. Joseph Commercial Division Part 12 Justice Reginald Boddie 360 Adams Street Phone 347-401-9127-1594 Room 366 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 522328/2355 Eckford Acquisition LLC v. Pang 510669/14 Arc Electrical & Mechanical v. Koko Contracting Inc. 507107/20Balkany v. Kenner 502916/20 David v. Gottdiener 521799/18 State Univ. of New v.

1580 Associates Ltd. 510063/21 The Redrose Group, Inc. Et Al v. Ben & Ben Water Damage And Restoration TUESDAY, OCT. 1 529298/22 African Paradise Clothing Outlet Inc. D/b/a LLC

Kansas, Inc. v. Infinite Services

Inc. Et Al

African Paradise Beauty Salon v. Flatbush Tower One LLC Et Al 532863/21 Brach v. Oxford Finance 507318/24 Bruno v. 666 Kfc LLC Et Al 501611/24 Crown Display Inc. v.

Devra Party Corp.

Phone 718-618-1103 265 East 161st Street Room 340, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 11

Justice Mitchell Phone 718-618-1076 265 East 161st Street Room 450, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 12

Justice Michels Phone 718-618-3623 265 East 161st Street Room 570, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 14

Justice Busching Phone 718-618-1034 265 East 161st Street Room 660, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 15

Justice Tba 265 East 161st Street 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 16

Justice Bruce Phone 718-618-1043 265 East 161st Street Room 540, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 17

Justice Tbd Phone 718-618-1106 265 East 161st Street Room 350, 9:30 A.M

#### Part 18

Justice Yearwood Phone 718-618-3629 265 East 161st Street 9:30 A.M

#### Part 19

Justice Collins Phone 718-618-1058 265 East 161st Street Room 550, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 21

Justice Powell Phone 718-618-1133 265 East 161st Street Room 690, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 22

Justice McCormack Phone 718-618-1001 265 East 161st Street Room 600, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 23

Justice Villegas Phone 718-618-1046 265 East 161st Street Room 380, 9:30 A.M.

#### Part 24

Justice Hornstein Phone 718-618-1073 265 East 161st Street Room 440, 9:30 A.M

#### Part 27 (DV)

Justice Stone Phone 718-618-1031 265 East 161st Street Room 590, 9:30 A.M.

Association v. Montes (W)

(W) 23/02176 Altman v. Orseck (W)

(0)

22/07445 MNH SUB I, LLC v. Foley

23/05874 Buchanan v. De Orio (K) York v. DeĴesus-Jiminez (W) 23/11428 Duvernay v. Lianya Qiao 22/10466 People of State of New York v. Miles (K) 23/06281 People of State of New York v. Simms (K) 22/05295 People v. Creary, Brandon (Q) 22/10392 People v. Lebron, Luis H. (RI) 23/07006 John T. Walsh Enterprises, LLC v. Grace Christian Church (K) 24/04378 Clarke v. Town of Newburgh (0) 23/05061 Pierre v. Weir (N) 23/07440 Pierre v. Weir (N) 23/07441 Pierre v. Weir (N) 20/07297 Garratt v. Silva (Q) 23/09152 Matter of Piedra v. Arzu (Q) 23/00536 Murphy v. Hollins-Reid (D) 23/00516 Gopum Corporation v. Daley (D) 22/08065 Laris v. City of New York (K) 23/02599 Laris v. City of New York 23/07475 Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Blick (O) 22/01033 LIRA Holdings, LLC v. IGE Group, Corp. (RO) 23/10600 Matter of Hofstra University v. Nassau County Planning Commission (N) 20/08984 Matter of State of New York v. L. (Anonymous) (S) 23/02908 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Palaigos (RO) Inc. (K) FRIDAY, OCT. 4 10 A.M. Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY Barros, J.P., Wooten, Dowling and Landicino, JJ. LLC (K) 22/08002 People v. B. (Anonymous), Nathaniel (0) 20/05714 People v. Stetson-Shanahan, Render (0) 22/00603 People of State of New York v. Kiernan (D) 18/14327 People v. Wilson, Barry 23/08174 Matter of McCloskey v. (0)Unger (S) 23/09231 Matter of McCloskey v. Unger (S) 23/05661 Matter of D. (Anonymous), Esther R.-M.; C. (Anonymous), Jovanni A. O; (Q) 23/07297 Matter of W. (Anonymous), River; B. (Anonymous), Chase.; B. (Anon (Q) 23/07299 Matter of W. (Anonymous), River; B. (Anonymous), Chase.; B. (Anon (0)23/04177 Gomez v. Wickham (O) 22/07506 Gleneida Medical Care P.C. v. DBG Management Corporation (D) 22/09788 Gleneida Medical Care, P.C. v. DBG Management Corporation (D) 22/10437 Gleneida Medical Care, P.C. v. DBG Management Corporation (D) 22/03152 Matter of Katz v. Town of Hempstead (N) 21/00962 Morrison v. Long Island Railroad (S) 23/02936 U.S. Bank National

23/04843 Kessler v. Yeshiva of Central Queens (0) TUESDAY, OCT. 8 10 A.M. Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY Iannacci, J.P., Maltese, Wan and Golia, J.J. 22/02649 People v. Zitrenbaum, Zev (RO) 22/04819 People of State of New York v. Arroyo (K) 20/09483 People v Mendoza Margareth (N) 19/13713 People v. Frank, Reggie (K) 23/06815 People v. Frank, Reggie (K) 19/09089U.S. Bank National Association v. Kerendian (N) 22/08046 US Bank National Association v. Kerendian (N) 20/05934 Yi Jiang Pai v. Nelson Senior Housing Development Fund Corporation (0) 20/0563541st Road Properties, LLC v. Wang Real Property, LLC (Q) 23/02630 Beltre v. Menegos (Q) 24/01950 RKJW1 Doe v. Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, Inc. (K) 24/01952 Owen v. Watchtower Bible and Tract Society of New York, 21/06812 Miller-Albert v. EmblemHealth (K) 22/08698 Matter of Gannett Co., Inc. v. Town of Eastchester Police Department (W) 22/05176 Lopez v. Kamco Services, 21/05125 Mesoraca v. Parking Services Plus, Inc. (N) 21/09103 Sharif v. Pritam Property Inc. (Q) 22/02868 Sharif v. Pritam Property Inc. (Q) 23/06436 Joseph v. Door Restaurant Corp. (Q) 23/01358 Zupko Painting Inc v. Utica First Insurance Company 23/06527 Arnold v. Shepitka (0) 23/09186 Federal National Mortgage Association v. Unknown Heirs (Q) THURSDAY, OCT. 10 10 A.M. Court To Be Held in Brooklyn, NY Duffy, J.P., Christopher, Warhit and Taylor, JJ. 22/05475 People v. Eastman. Michael D. (P) 21/03630 People v. Duhaney, Kevin (N) 19/05041 People v. Dickerson, Rahiem (N) 22/02616 People v. Gumbs, Erwin T. (W) 24/07766 Coads v. Nassau County (N) 24/07814 Coads v. Nassau County (N) 24/08410 Coads v. Nassau County (N) 22/05509 Nurhan v. Harley (N) 21/08831 Heller v. NYC School Support Services, Inc. (Q) 21/05980 Federal National Mortgage Association v. Davis

(RI)

(RI)

Riera (Q)

(RI)

(RI)

Aron (RI)

M. (D)

Alfredo (0)

503286/22 B.L. v. Pinkusovich M.D. 512885/20 Crystal v. American 537350/22 Beaubrun v. Ogbonna Transit Ins. Co. Et Al 500717/22 Div. 1181 Amalgamated Transit Union - NY Employees 536756/22 Brighton Builder v. Empire Control Abatement 510456/23 Brooks v. River Manor Pension Fund v. NYC Dept. of Education Et Al Acquisition I 512119/23 Catania v. Or 521812/21 Chesney v. NYC 535939/23 Dma Contracting Inc. v. Koban Properties LLC Et Al NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al 512149/19 Fpg Ch 94 Amity v. 513779/22 Chester v. Herndon Pizzarotti LLC 530625/21 Guma Const. Corp. v. Ray 520557/20 Dabrowska v. 627 Builders, Inc. Et Al Leonard LLC 516866/21 David v. Quickmed 505082/23 John Liodakis As Medical Pc 521364/23 De Moura v. Rybak Dev. Executor of The Estate of Calogera Perrone v. Gpm Pediatrics Pc Et Al And Const. Corp. Et Al 527280/19 Denardo v. 5112 2nd Ave. 537430/23 Kashmir Inc v. Boltayev 510172/20 Libertas Funding v. Owner 507420/16 Edeline Moussignac v. Vomos Inc. 516192/22 New Jerusalem Church Mt. Sinai Bklyn. Hosp. of The Nazarene v. 2145 Church 503816/23 Espiñoza v. Ĝilbane Bldg. Rlty. LLC Et Al Co. Et Al 509854/23 Premier Ford Nv. Inc., Et 507337/23 Estacio v. Cw Northern Al v. Ford Motor Co. 502517/23 The Avanza Group v. Sds LLC Et Al 519734/22 Fox Capital Group, Inc. Leonard 514462/24 Transportation Leasing v. Norma Jean Patterson Dba Patterson Const. 537163/22 Gilley v. Associated Beth Corp. Et Al v. Manage Transit Rivkah Schools Inc Et Al Corp. Et Al 527257/23 Twilio Ireland Ltd. v. Sms 514212/22 Griffin v. Huh 512492/19 Groveman v. Barrah M.D. Consortium LLC 501736/21 Grullon v. 31 Spencer St. Med Mal USA LLC **Trial Readiness** 518576/23 Jacobs v. J.E.M.B. Rlty. Part Corp. Et Al 511834/23 Joachim v. Cosbert Justice Ellen M. Spodek 360 Adams Street 524923/18 Johnson v. Farias Phone 347-296-1620 534013/22 Klein v. Lasalle Jr Room 723 513864/22 Kornhiser v. Ketsbaia 517445/22 Liu v. Merlino MONDAY, SEPT. 30 538167/22 Lovitt v. The Hudson 21780/13 Alleyne v. Four Seasons Companies Inc. Et Al Nursing 522833/23 Lynn v. 555 B'way. LLC Et 5713/07 Ciesielski v. Rogove Al 528127/23 Malla Malla v. Yeshiva 525776/18 Davis v. Gotham Staffing 523086/20 Husband v. Mendoza Yagdil Torah M.D. 514887/21 Marsh v. Edmund 506734/16Inagamova v. Menorah 501362/22 Massabni v. The Modern Center For 515529/19Oriol v. Homeside Rehab Chemist 8987/15 Matos v. NYC 506594/23 McKitty v. Ross 506926/16 Smith v. Olivo 521399/20 Morrow Equipment Co. v. 513337/16 Snow v. Interfaith Sky Materials Corp. Et Al Medical Center 518844/22 Pascall v. Dr. Arcady TUESDAY, OCT. 1 Sniper General Dentistry P.C. Et Al 503937/17 Andrews v. Brookdale 518628/21 Pena-Rivas v. Martinez Hosp. Center 527320/22Blanco v. Brookdale 518361/22 Peralta v. T-Mobile USA 511717/23 Pesantez v. NY Hosp. Medical Center Et Al 506066/24 Feaster v. The NY And Developers & Mgt. LLC Et Al 508559/15 Pober v. NY And Presbyterian Hosp. Et Al 341/24 Franco v. Trinitas Regional 512263/23 Queiroga De Oliveira v. Sirena Rlty. Corp. Et Al Medical Center 502614/23 Ramadan v. Evelina 518688/17 Gurniak v. Weiss Restaurant Et Al 508813/20 Manswell v. 500694/23 Reyes-Murillo v. Fly Four Seasons Nursing & Rosary Corp. D/b/a/ Manhattan Rehabilitation Center Laser Spa 23191/05 Martinez v. Fuchs 532620/22 Rodriguez v. Lucas 509858/17 McPherson v. Ravich 526158/21 Rodriguez v. NYC Et Al 525601/22 Pena v. Prasanta C. 503073/23 Roldan v. La Barbera Chandra 507572/23 Rosenfield v. Slope Park 515866/24 Rodriguez v. Sumar Associates LLC Et Al M.D. 500620/23 Silvano v. 303 Powell 30105/09 Scott v. The Bklyn. Hosp. Rlty. LLC Et Al Center 509962/21 Silverstein v. Kalloo 521355/17 Sorenson v. NYU 519162/22 Smith v. Spruiell Langone Medical Center 535128/22 Suriel Brito v. Assembly 510810/17 Vulaj v. Weiser Md of Christian Churches 11233/15 Wojciechowska v. 517715/23 Szyszka v. Kiksz Dds Manhattan Ave. Medical 503161/23 Tennenbaum v. 52 515659/17 Z v. NYU Langone Properties Hosps. 512211/22 Tice And v. Palmer 514674/21 Torres v. B'way. 1884 LLC Et Al 504784/22 U. v. De Los Heros 509185/23 Vargas Galvez v. Kmw Villacorta Trucking LLC. Et Al

512958/23 Williams v. Cohen

511631/23 Williams v. Antonio

**Court Calendars Continued On** Page 15

#1 Global Legal Job Site

# **CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING**

LAWJOBS.COM When results matter

**Contact:** Carol Robertson

Phone: 212.457.7850

Email: crobertson@alm.com

#### **Legal Experts and Services Office/Professional Space** Services for Lawyers Services for Lawyers Services for Lawyers **Offices for Rent Offices for Rent Offices for Rent Offices for Rent Transactional Attorney** Admitted in 1987 to the New York Bar looking for virtual/remote legal work such as preparation and review of legal documents and transcripts; Preparation of Corporate Documents, Preparation of Bankruptcy Petitions (Chapter 7 & 13); Real Estate Closings; Will and Trust Preparation. Randi K. Franco, Esq. at 212-871-0833 or randikfrancoesq@gmail.com LOTS OF GOODYS LIMITED LIABILITY ENTITIES **ESTATE TAG SALES** NOTICE OF SALE **S** UPREME COURT COUNTY OF BRONX U. S. Bank National Associa-tion, as Trustee, successor in interest to Bank of America, National Associa-tion as Trustee as succes-sor by merger to LaSalle Bank National Association, as Trustee for Certificate-holders of Bear Stearns As-set Backed Securities I LLC, Asset-Backed Certifi-cates, Series 2007-HE1, Plaintiff AGAINST Angela Hyde Clarke a/k/a Angela Hyde clarke a/k/a Angela Hyde a/k/a Angela Clarke; Garfield Clarke; et al., Defendant(s) Pursuant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale duly entered Au-gust 16, 2017 I, the under-signed Referee, will sell at public auction at Court-room 711, Bronx County Su-preme Court, 851 Grand Concourse, Bronx, NY on October 7, 2024 at 2:15PM, premises known as 942 East 216th Street, Bronx, NY 10469. All that certain plot piece or parcel of land, with the buildings and improvements erected, situate, lying and being in the Borough and County of Bronx, City and State of NY, Block 4686 Lot 53. Ap-proximate amount of judg-ment \$446,433.05 plus inter-est and costs. Premises will be sold subject to pro-visions of filed Judgment Index# 380325/2013E. Only cash or certified funds pay-able to the Referee will be accepted as a deposit in the amount of ten percent of the purchase price. The auction will be conducted property established by the 12th Judicial District. Mario Biaggi, Jr., Esq., Ref-eree LOGS Legal Group LLP f/k/a Shapiro, DiCaro & Barak, LLC Attorney(S) for the Plaintiff 175 Mile Crossing Boulevard Rochester, New York 14624 (877) 430-4792 Dated: Au-gust 26, 2024 80479 LAWYERS' OFFICES AVAILABLE **Specialist in Estate Liquidation** We have appraisers and a GIA Diploma gemologist on our team. □ Several windowed offices We are insured and in business for 13 years. Please google our 5.0 reviews. at small law firm available for monthly lease to lawyers. We convert merchandise into cash assets to settle your estate. □ Financial District. Serving New York City and Long Island New York. Use of conference room, Can we serve you ? CALL 516 316-9225 reception, kitchen, library, printer, Wi-Fi, internet Attorney Attorney and receptionist services. **Personal Injury Firm Owners Quiet private space.** looking for path to retirement? My name is Jason Linden, I have a growing NYC □ Furnished, partially based personal injury firm. I am looking to strategically partner with a firm or lawyer who may be interested furnished, in retiring or simply working less. or unfurnished. If this sounds like something you would be interested in, please call me at **212-804-8440** □ \$1,200-\$2,700/month. $\Box$ 24/7 access. PARALEGAL □ Nice building. Auto defense counsel seeking paralegal for discovery demands and responses as well as other legal documents. Please call (212) 732-2225 Salary range \$50k to \$75k depending on experience. Please send resume to nisserlis@herefordinsurance.com.

# **OFFICE SPACE FOR RENT**

**Rockefeller** Plaza Room Available 7.9 x 10.6 with Window Full Service Law Suite

\$4,000 per month • 212-687-8200

# **OFFICE SPACE - WESTCHESTER**

Top floor office for rent in new Northern Westchester attorney suite. Easy 287 access-just south of TZB.

Contact mwilliams@msssv.com for details

## Anytime, Anywhere: New York Law Journal is Now Mobile

Legal professionals are always on the go, and so is their most trusted news source. New York Law Journal's mobile website and newsletter are digitally optimized-are you tapped in?

Get instant access to awardwinning legal news, 24/7.

#### newyorklawjournal.com



ÁLM.

New York Law Journal



#### SALES

#### NOTICE OF SALE

NOTICE OF SALE S UPREME COURT U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCES, SOR TRUSTEE TO LASALLE BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIA-TION, AS TRUSTEE FOR CERTIFICATE HOLDERS OF BEAR STEARNS AS-SET BACKED SECURI-TIES I LLC, ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-HE1, Plaintiff AGAINST CRISTINO PI-NALES, Defendant(s) Pur-suant to a Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale duly entered January 16, 2020, I, the undersigned Referee will sell at public auction at the at Courtroom 711, Bronx County Supreme Court, 851 Grand Con-course, Bronx, NY on Octo-ber 7, 2024 at 2:15PM, prem-ises known as 1864 LOR ING PLACE SOUTH, BRONX, NY 10453. All that certain plot piece or parcel of land, with the buildings and improve-ments erected, situate, ly-ing and being in the Bor-ough and Courty of Bronx, City and State of New York, BLOCK 2879, LOT 67. Approximate amount of judgment \$692,545.65 plus interest and costs. Prem-ises will be sold subject to provisions of filed Judg-ment Index #32745/2017E. The aforementioned auc-tion will be conducted in accordance with the BRONX County COVID-19 mitigation protocols and as such all persons must com-ply with social distancing, wearing masks and screen-ing practices in effect at the time of this foreclosure sale. Jeffrey Dinowitz, Esq., Referee Gross Polowy, LLC 1775 Wehrle Drive Williamsville, NY 14221 00-301744 80839 0000709663 s9-M s30 For subscriptions and to purchase back issues, call 1-877-256-2472. For questions regarding reprints and

permissions, call 347-227-3382, e-mail reprints@alm.com, or visit almreprints.com.

To track calendar and motion information for individual cases, call MA 3000 at 212-457-4988.

Send decisions of interest to To access decisions and articles

published in the Law Journal, visit nylj.com.

TO PLACE, CORRECT OR CANCEL CLASSIFIED ADS:

**Contact: Carol Robertson** 

Phone: 212 457 7850

E-mail: crobertson@alm.com

Monday thru Friday 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM

A sales representative will confirm receipt.

ERROR RESPONSIBILITY NOTE

Please check your ad the first day it appears. All ads placed by telephone are read back for verification of copy content. In the event of **New York Law Journal** error, we are responsible only for the first incorrect insertion. We assume no responsibility for any item error in an ad beyond the cost of the ad itself, or for the omission of copy.

New York Law Journal reserves the right to edit, reject, cancel or correctly classify any ad

#### **NO - FAULT ATTORNEY**

NY defense counsel seeking experienced no fault attorney responsible for cases from inception to resolution, including court and arb appearances.

Salary range 85-100K commensurate with experience. Please send resume and salary requirements to rnazarian@herefordinsurance.com.

#### **TRIAL ATTORNEY**

NY auto defense firm seeks experienced TRIAL ATTORNEY to do trials, motions, court appearances and depositions.

Salary range 100K-130K depending on experience. Please send resume to nisserlis@herefordinsurance.com

To view our daily compilation of court decisions, scan, click here or visit

https://courtcalendars.law.com/source/DecisionPage.asp?IsIp=JPH



To view the complete court calendar, scan, click here or visit asn?lsIn=IPH



Reach your peers to generate referral business Lawyer to Lawyer For information, contact Carol Robertson at 212-457-7850, or email crobertson@alm.com

s9-M s30 0000709546SKYWROT, LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 05/29/24. Office: New York County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 425 E. 63rd Street, Apartment W9K, New York, NY 10065. Purpose: Any lawful purpose. 0000710268 s23-M o28

TOTTO P & H LLC. Arts. of TOTTO P & H LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 09/19/24. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 34 The Beachway, Manhasset, NY 11030. Pur-pose: Any lawful purpose. 0000710425 330-M n4

0000710425 330-M n4 V.C.S. CONSULTING LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 09/04/24. Office: Nassau County. SSNY des-ignated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, PO Box 390, Williston Park, NY 11596. Purpose: Any lawful pur-pose. pose. 0000710269

s23-M o28

BELLMORE WELLNESS BELLMORE WELLNESS LLC Art. Of Org. Filed Sec. of State of NY 9/4/2024. Off. Loc.: Nassau Co. SSNY des-Loc:: Nassau Co. SSNY des-ignated as agent upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY to mail copy of process to The LLC, 1834 Doria Lane South, Bellmore, NY 11710, USA. Purpose: Any lawful act or activity. 0000709888 s9-M o15

GLORIGINAL LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 09/20/24. Office: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 59 Milburn Street, Rockville Centre, NY 11570, Purpose: Any lawful

purpose. 0000710426 s30-M n4 0000710426 s30-M n4 ALLSTAR APPLIANCES REPAIRS & SERVICES LLC. Arts. of Org. filed with the SSNY on 09/19/24. Of-fice: Nassau County. SSNY designated as agent of the LLC upon whom process against it may be served. SSNY shall mail copy of process to the LLC, 2 Hill-side Avenue, Suite C, Williston Park, NY 11596. Purpose: Any lawful pur-pose.

s30-M n4

**DEADLINES:** 

0000710388

Line Ads: Tuesday through Friday editions: 11:00 AM one day prior to publication Monday edition: Friday 12:00 Noon Display Ads: 11:00 AM two days prior to publication **CONFIDENTIAL BOX NUMBER REPLIES:** You may respond to ads with Box numbers using any method below: E-mail your resume to: NYLJobs@alm.com (indicate box# in subject) Fax your resume to: 646-822-5028 (indicate box # on cover sheet) Please do not enclose writing samples unless specifically requested.

#### SUMMONS

S upplemental Summons and Notice of Object of Action SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF BRONX ACTION TO FORECLOSE A MORT-GAGE INDEX #: 815041/ 2023E U.S. BANK TRUST NATIONAL ASSOCIA-TION, NOT IN ITS INDI-VIDUAL CAPACITY BY SOLELY AS OWNER TRUSTEE FOR RCF 2 AC-QUISITION TRUST Plain-tiff, vs. GOBIN BRILLALL AKA GOBLIN BRILLALL AKA GUT THE ESTATE OF VEDWATTIE BRILALL AKA VEDWATEE BRILLALL, SETA BRILLALL SILALL SETA BRILLALL AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF VEDWATTIE BRILLALL AKA VEDWATEE BRILLALL AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF VEDWATTIE BRILLALL AKA VEDWATEE BRILLALL AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF VEDWATTIE BRILLALL AKA VEDWATEE BRILLALL AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF VEDWATTIE BRILLALL AKA VEDWATEE BRILLALL, SUSAN BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL AS HEIR TO THE ESTATE OF VEDWATTIE BRILLALL AKA VEDWATEE BRILLALL, SUSAN BRILLALL, SUSAN BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SUSAN HEILALL, SUSAN HEILALL, SUSAN BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SHANTA BRILLALL, SUSAN HEILALL, SUSAN HEILALL, SUSAN HEILALL, SUSAN HEILALL, SUSAN BRILLALL, SUSAN BRILLAL, SUSAN BRILLAL, SUSAN BRILLALL, SUSAN BRILLALL, SUSAN BRILLAL AKA VEDWATES BRILLAL AKA VED TIFF JOHN DOE (Those unknown tenants, occu-pants, persons or corpora-tions or their heirs, distrib-utees, executors, adminis-trators, trustees, guardi-ans, assignees, creditors or successors claiming an in-terest in the mortgaged premises.) Defendant(s). MORTGAGED PREMISES: 2727 Eastchester Road Bronx, NY 10469 To the Above named Defendant: You are hereby summoned to answer the Complaint in this action, and to serve a this action, and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the Complaint is not served with this Supplemental <u>Summons, to serve a notice</u>

# of appearance, on the Plaintiff(s) attorney(s) with-Plaintiff(s) attorney(s) with-in twenty days after the service of this Supplemen-tal Summons, exclusive of the day of service (or with-in 30 days after the service is complete if this Supple-mental Summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York). In case of your fail-ure to appear or answer, within the State of New York). In case of your fail-ure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the Complaint. The Attorney for Plaintiff has an office for business in the County of Erie. Trial to be held in the County of Bronx. The basis of the venue desig-nated above is the location of the Mortgaged Premises. TO Unknown Heirs of Vedwattie Brijlall Defend-ant In this Action. The foregoing Supplemental Summons is served upon you by publication, pur-suant to an order of HON. Naita A. Semaj of the Su-preme Court Of The State Of New York, dated the Fourth day of September, 2024 and filed with the Complaint in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Bronx, in the City of Bronx. 2024 and filed with the Complaint in the Office of the Clerk of the County of Bronx, in the City of Bronx. The object of this action is to foreclosure a mortgage upon the premises descri-bed below, executed by Vedwattie Brijlall AKA Vedwatee Brijlall (who died on August 20, 2022, a resident of the county of Bronx, State of New York) dated the April 10, 2003, to secure the sum of \$157,000. 00 and recorded at CRFN 2003000118446 in the City Register of the City of New York, Bronx County on May 6, 2003. The mortgage was subsequently assigned by an assignment executed January 15, 2009 and re-corded on February 17, 2009, in the City Register of the City of New York, Bronx County at CRFN 200900045623. The mort gage was subsequently modified on January 26, 2015. The mortgage was subsequently assigned by an assignment executed August 12, 2019 and record-ed on September 9, 2019, in the City of New York, Bronx County at CRFN 2019000287778. The mort-gage was subsequently as-signed by an assignment executed January 8, 2021 and recorded on February 10, 2021, in the City Regis-ter of the City of New York, Bronx County at CRFN 201000005997. The mort-gage was subsequently as-signed by an assignment executed January 8, 2021 and recorded on February 10, 2021, in the City Regis-ter of the City of New York, Bronx County at CRFN 2021000050997. The mort-gage was subsequently as-signed by an assignment executed January 8, 2021 and recorded on Janu-ary 24, 2023, in the City Register of the City of New York, Bronx County at CRFN 2023000021580. The property in question is de-2022 and recorded on Janu-ary 24, 2023, in the City Register of the City of New York, Bronx County at CRFN 2023000021580. The property in question is de-scribed as follows: 2727 Eastchester Road, Bronx, NY 10469 NOTICE YOU ARE IN DANGER OF LOS-ING YOUR HOME If you do not respond to this sum-mons and complaint by serving a copy of the an-swer on the attorney for the mortgage company who filed this Foreclosure pro-ceeding against you and fil-ing the answer with the court, a default judgment may be entered and you can lose your home. Speak to an attorney or go to the court where your case is pending for further infor-mation on how to answer the summons and protect your property. Sending a payment to your mortgage company will not stop this foreclosure action. YOU MUST RESPOND BY SERVING A COPY OF THE ANSWER ON THE ATTOR-NEY FOR THE PLAIN-TIFF (MORTGAGE COM-PANY) AND FILING THE ANSWER WITH THE COURT. DATED: Septem-ber 9, 2024 Gross Polowy LLC Attorney(s) For Plaintiff(s) 1775 Wehrle Drive, Suite 100 Williams-ville, NY 14221 The law firm of Gross Polowy LLC and the attorneys whom it employs are debt collec-tors who are attempting to collect a debt. Any infor-mation obtained by them will be used for that pur-pose. 82521 0000710005 s16-M 07 <u>See Decisions of Interest</u>

SUMMONS

#### Kings County **Court Calendars** Continued From Page 13 Med Mal Early Settlement Part 5 320 Jay Street Phone 347-296-1082 Courtroom 18.36 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 516507/21 Hausman v. NYCH&HC Corp. Med Mal **Early Settlement** Part 6

#### 360 Adams Street Phone 347-401-9799 Courtroom 775 MONDAY, SEPT. 30

Justice Genine D. Edwards

513219/17 Abecasis v. Rokito 502440/19 Alchtiwi v. Weissbluth 520424/20 Block v. Yunayev 506771/16 Helferty v. Argulian 14296/14 Khan v. Gupta TUESDAY, OCT. 1 523047/20 Aqui v. Johnson M.D.

518056/18 Blue v. Sklar 529188/22 Brown v. Maimonides Medical Center 528297/21 Colon v. New York-Presbyterian Bklyn. Methodist Hosp. 518098/19Fenner v. Lutheran Augustana Center 512020/22 Shafran v. Wong M.D. Che

Hang Jason 501507/18 Vasquez v. Lenox Hill Hosp. Med Mal Early Settlement Part 7

> 360 Adams Street Phone 347-404-9651 Courtroom 525 MONDAY, SEPT. 30

510053/21 Arnemann v. 176 Grand St. Ext Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 501284/24 Bernacet v. NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al 519769/23 Bounitch v. NYCH&HC Corp.

504311/22 Dekalo v. Coney Island Hosp. Et Al

Et Al 508767/23 Kiture v. NYCH&HC Corp. 507694/22 Mock v. NYCH&HC Corp. 505820/22 Sabadakh v. NYCH&HC Corp. 510545/22 Velasquez v. NYCH&HC Corp. 531760/22 Williams v. NYCH&HC Corp. TUESDAY, OCT. 1

525923/19Izhaki v. Peter Luger, Inc. 526733/19 Lewinski v. NYC Default Judgment Motion Part

360 Adams Street **Courtroom TBA** TUESDAY, OCT. 1

506939/23796-798 Ninth Successor LLC v. Aliaksandra Tur 503471/23 Allstate Ins. Co. A/s/o Robert A.

Florida v. Finix U.S.A. Const. Inc. 521495/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Mamaladze 522048/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v.

Mamaladze 506673/24 American Transit Ins. Co. v. Scob LLC A/a/o Lena Barton 519372/23 American Transit Ins. Co. v.

Wortham 502155/24 Brabham Anderson v. First Ave. Funeral Service Inc. 530775/23 Catlin Specialty Ins. Co. v. Fjm-Ferro Inc. 507464/24 Cb Mother Gaston LLC v. Alani PL LLC Et Al 508964/24 Chartwell Operations v. Abc Mental Health Counseling 530309/23 Cherubin v. Appan 528168/23 Chiang v. Dominguez 7/23 Coy v. White Orchid Inc Et Al 536475/23 Cruz v. D&D Rlty., Inc. Et Al 509182/22 Cruz-Hernandez v. Reves 507235/23 D & G Const. NY Inc. v. 165 Mx Group 17 LLC Et Al 508923/23 D & G Const. NY Inc. v. 269 Malcolm X LLC Et Al 516428/23 Dusauzay v. Moran 505235/24 Ebf Hldgs. v. Emek Renovation Corp. Et Al 526873/22 Estate of James Frazier Hood By Lenward Hood v. Adelphi Hldg. Group 191 Corp. 504235/24 Galaxy Metal Prods. v. Bfc Doors, Inc., Et Al 508210/24 Garcia v. NYCTA Et Al 505221/19 Glenwood Mason Supply Co. v. See Decisions of Interest M Remodeling Corp. 504254/24 Glenwood Mason Supply Co., only at NYLJ.COM Inc. v. Bwk Const. LLC Et Al 509863/24 Goldin v. Good Day Transportation, Inc. Et Al 519135/23 Guobadia v. Ny1 General Const., Inc. Et Al 526343/23 Haye v. 870-974 Troy Ave. LLC Et Al 503788/24 Herreros v. Ahava Medical of Bklvn. P.C. 505078/24 Hodge v. Tompkinsville Car Service Inc. Et Al 504566/24 Mueses v. Harvey Funeral Home Inc. 509601/22 Norguard Ins. Co. v. Add Mechanical Inc. 530175/23 Olblensky v. Vybz Astoria Inc. Et Al 504832/24 Paese v. Apple Md 528353/23 Parkview Builders LLC v. Poolwerx, Inc. Et Al 531161/21 Patrick v. NYCHA Et Al 519685/23 Paul v. 269 Cornelia St. Corp Et Al 521959/21 Pennymac Hldgs. v. Backer 518968/23 Reyes Gonzalez v. North 1st Apts. LLC Et Al 511787/24 Rivera v. Redhoek Const. LLC Et Al 524554/23 Royal Renovators Inc. v. Robinson 536206/23 Safe Auto Ins. Co. Et Al v. Philot 535446/22 Santander Bank v. Royal Bottle & Container Inc., Et Al 533522/23 Smith v. Queensway 2 Family Ltd. Partnership Et Al 519644/23 Songol v. Tf 1118 Fulton Jv LLC Et Al 500722/24 State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co. Et Al v. Delacruz 503275/24 Steinmetz v. Plaza Auto of Bklyn. 535606/23 Sulton v. Leak 524108/18 Sy v. Sas Auto Repairs Inc 521684/22 Taffe v. Lvons 501079/24Td Bank v. Lnp Trading Inc. Et Al 510697/20 Td Bank v. Sprout 500549/24 The Estate of Latanva Marie Parker By Its Proposed Administratix v. Risley Dent Apts. Housing Dev. Fund ÁLM. Corp. Ĕt Al

Justice Pamela Fisher Care Motion

Mingle v. Finney 532441/23 American Bankers Ins. Co. of

Court Calendars

Jury Coordinating Part

Justice Kenneth P. Sherman 360 Adams Street Courtroom 224 347-296-1771 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 505829/20A. v. NYC Et Al

502977/23 Abdullah v. NYC Et Al 507900/22 Aldegon v. Upward Mobility Limo. 510709/19Aldona Fire Protection, Inc. v. Jkb Contracting 513554/20Aljabali v. Tamey

511651/23 Alleyne v. Rosario

505343/20 Augustin v. NYC 513143/21 Beckler v. Akal Security, Inc. Et Al 505400/23 Best v. Gnd Auto 515104/23 Binger v. Sen 521814/18 Blair v. Sy 507907/20 Branch v. NYC 525608/21 Broadus v. Agurto 518407/17 Bunn v. Sica 517325/20 Campbell v. Edwin's Pl. Housing Dev. Fund Corp. Et Al 508280/19 Colon v. Shaikh 511538/20 Dayal Jr v. King 522796/21 Dia v. Gordon 504834/22 Dixon v. Hoque 503189/21 Drach v. NYC Et Al 503385/19 Dufanal v. La Prods. And Services Corp 13482/14Epiphany M. Gavagan As The Administratrix of The Estate of Sirico v. Mary Redler,As Administrator of The Estate of Zerbo,Salvatore,Deceased, Sabato Tironi And Diamond Cars Inc. 501313/19 Fernandez Fernandez v.

Worldnet Int'l 512718/23 Garrett v. Candelario 503095/20 Glancy v. Zhang 517145/21 Gomez-Jimenez v. 55-15 Grand Ave. Prop. 508875/19Gonzalez v. Chow 511473/20 Gonzalez-Moreno v. Frias 511061/21 Haynes v. Malone 512046/22 Henry v. Agave Enterprises, Inc. Et Al 522278/18 Hernandez v NVC 509652/20 Howlett v. Roy 504521/21 Hunt v. George 500751/21 Jeudy v. Delrosy Rose 511125/22 Joseph Jr v. Bryant 504664/21 Kachan v. Ahmed 521537/20 Kandel v. Terry 503806/21 Landro v. Abadiotakis 504152/15 Lee v. NYC 504354/18 Marshall v. NYC 514939/15 Mendez v. NYC 524274/18 Montero-Garcia v. Uno Agency, Ny. Inc. 518578/17 Muller v. Quality First Medical 501009/22 Nagi v. Casa 512334/20 Ndure v. Tabatadze 524328/18 Pacquing v. NYC 522160/18 Pagan v. NYC 511062/18 Pashkova v. Teran 517146/18 Phillip v. Burke 505732/20 Prus v. 211 Jackson LLC 526222/18 Ouinones v. Ecco III Enterprises, Inc. 525243/18 Ramsay v. Munkacs Car Service 512213/19 Renow v. Blandon 515236/20 Robinson v. Barrie 506965/20 Robinson v. NYC 501493/22 Rosario v. Donato Plumbing Inc Et Al 507489/22 Ross v. Komisar 503001/20 Rozmetaniuk v. Griffith

502260/20 Ruiz v. 185 Marcy Owner 523803/19 Ruiz v. NYCHA 500293/22 Sanchez-Soto v. Mavnard 509515/18 Santiago v. NYC Dept. of 526110/20 Seraphin v. Bhuiyan 503833/22 Sherman v. Edwards Jr. 506287/18 Sloan v. 216 Bedford Kings Corp. 520924/19 Thompson v. Shaikh

501139/20 Tigre v. Yeung 519149/20 Tinglin v. NYU Langone Health System Et Al 521427/21 Torres v. Miranda Ortega 515222/20 Valerio v. Hp Linwood Park Housing 504887/21 Vilcamiza v. Jacob 518177/18 Vinokurova v. Verdugos General Contractors

519036/20Ward v. Buckley

**Trial Readiness** Part Justice Lawrence Knipel 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1630 Courtroom 774 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 500298/2284 Home Sales Corp. v. Plowden 514378/19American Transit Ins. v. Concept Medical Supply 509881/15Bc Int'l Group Inc. v. Kaufmanfranco Designs 500735/21 Berry v. Wallerstein 1329/09 Citimortgage Inc v. Sachar 516508/19 D'Andrade v. Nationstar Mortgage LLC 509213/21 Doudet Naudin v. Solstars, Inc. 520816/19 Duncanson-Skeete v. Jones 28975/11 Evola v. Bennett 507625/14 Fed. Nat. Mortgage Assoc. v. Greenfeld 508564/14 Flushing Bank F/k/a Flushing v. Rich 507394/15 Mohamed Ali v. Bj Organization of NY 508967/15 New Utrecht Properties Corp. v. Leitner 512209/21 Pebble Beach Co. v. Jmj Films Inc D/b/a The James Brown Show 524303/18 Rvs Const. Corp. v. Rph Properties 517862/21 Seldat Distribution Inc v. Extreme Concepts LLC 513768/15 Selene Finance Lp v. Beltran 505784/17 Summer Equities LLC v. Ffls Integrity Enterprises 523589/17 Universal Underwriters v. A & A Brake Service Co Inc 1874/17 Villafane v. Equicredit Corp of

Non-Jury

America 505875/21 Vom Mgt. LLC v. Blais 507421/13 Wells Fargo Bank v. Clerge 510417/21 Westrock Co. v. Burton

Packaging Co. Inc. Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1

525125/231982 Fulton St. Funding LLC v. 1982 Fulton St LLC Et Al 512505/19American Express Nat. Bank v. Steuer

15662/12 Fed. Nat. Assocation v. Perlmutter 524017/22 Flagstar Bank v. Sweeney 19241/12 Hsbc Bank USA v. Assanah 502856/22 Tloa Mortgage v. 194 Hale LLC

Et Al 524385/24 Zaman v. Victoria Capital Trust F/k/a Toorak Repo Seller I Trust

**City Trial Readiness Part** Justice Donald S. Kurtz

360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1596

Courtroom 480, 9:30 A.M. MONDAY, SEPT. 30

505829/20A. v. NYC Et Al 502977/23 Abdullah v. NYC Et Al 510709/19 Aldona Fire Protection, Inc. v. Jkb Contracting 503189/21 Drach v. NYC Et Al 503385/19Dufanal v. La Prods. And Services Corp 508875/19Gonzalez v. Chow 522278/18 Hernandez v. NYC 503806/21 Landro v. Abadiotakis 504354/18 Marshall v. NYC 514939/15 Mendez v. NYC 524328/18 Pacquing v. NYC 522160/18 Pagan v. NYC 505732/20 Prus v. 211 Jackson LLC 506965/20 Robinson v. NYC 502260/20 Ruiz v. 185 Marcy Owner 509515/18 Santiago v. NYC Dept. of 503479/19 Yard v. NYC

Motion 503806/21 Landro v. Abadiotakis TUESDAY, OCT. 1

525398/21 Adler v. Bklyn. Bridge Park Corp. Et Al 523992/19Claudio v. NYC 507716/20 Crawford v. Hakimain 505860/18 Dove v. NYC 509304/18 Hernandez v. NYC 508150/21 Johannes v. NYC 514850/20 Jordan v. NYC Et Al 509725/20 Katsev v. NYC 508515/21 King v. NYC Et Al 523613/19Padovano v NYC 507571/21 Reid v. NYC Et Al 524013/19S -M. v. NYC Et Al 508913/19Towler v. NYC 510736/18Weinflash v. NYC Central **Compliance** Part 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1626 Courtroom 282 MONDAY, SEPT. 30 Motion 528538/21 Almeida y Gonzalez 506504/22 Amaker v. Michaca 526157/21 Aveni v. Crescent Administrative Services, Inc. Et Al 505597/21 Blissett v Katarbekov 528945/23 Butt v. Francis 516741/23 Carela v Singh 525305/20 Corona v. 404 Degraw LLC Et Al 504420/21 Costa v. Dawkins 508038/23 Danilov v. Rapp Md 509742/21 Diana And Salvatore Real Estate LLC v. Kingstone Ins. Co. 510534/22 Dillhunt v. Lyte 508655/19Dzienisiewicz v 685 First Rltv 531130/22 Espinal v. Robels 502663/23 Express Builders Jb Inc And Wythe And Kent Rlty, LLC v. Prime Piping & Heating Inc 522159/20 Fasi v. Singh 537338/23 Fisher v. World Spa Entertainment 518049/23 Fowler-El v. NYC Et Al 512371/17 Garden Estate Condominium #6 v. Perl 522523/23 Gonzalez v. 31-16 68th Rlty. LLC Et Al 512685/22 Haghighi v. La Flor Prods. Co., Inc. Et Al 523910/20 Hamilton v Hamilton 503016/22 Hernandez v. 1533 Supermarket Inc. Et Al 524398/23 Hill v. Barua 503186/24 Howard v. J.W. Mays, Inc. Et Al 521015/21 K.C. v. Hall 529944/22 King v. Shitesl 538167/22 Lovitt v. The Hudson Companies Inc. Et Al 501244/21 Molina v. 501 Emr LLC Et Al 524854/20 Nicholas v. Shamailov 511178/23 Nougues v. Thomas 507799/24 Oelschlagel v. Mannix Family Market At Hylan Blvd LLC D/b/a Shoprite Et Al 517992/22 Ortega v. South Conduit Prop. Owner LLC Et Al 523331/18 Pardo Pastrana v. Washington 162 LLC 504991/20 Park Premium Enterprise Inc. v. Kahan 500855/24 Pearson v. Jean-Marie 525354/21 Pierdra Zambrano v. Hunter Hall 506530/22 Pimentel v. Singh 830/16 Ramos v. Unice Car Service Corp 525921/22 Ribeiro v. Leeding Builders Group LLC Et Al 506181/24 Sanchez v. Penny Transportation Inc. Et Al 520570/17 Santos v. Leeward Living 533817/23 Smith v. Battle 517340/22 Thomas v. Nunez 517031/16Top 1 Cleaners Corp. v Tonacchio 523917/23 Valarezo Sanchez v. Shore Towers Ozb LLC Et Al 532701/21 Vasquez v. Clerveaux 508078/18 Velasquez v. Brzostowski 519211/20 Velasquez v. NYCHA 514431/22 Velez v. Archer 528984/22 Warren v. Call-A-Head Corp. Et

503157/22Wynwood Capital Group LLC v. Bulldog Logistics Inc. D/b/a Bulldog Logistics Et Al 511966/14 Yevgeniya Neyer As Administator of The Estate v. Arvik Properties . Inc. 535456/22 Zhanay v. Johnson TUESDAY, OCT. 1 15550/14408 St. John's LLC v. Valentine 505046/22 A. v. The Brookdale Hosp. Medical Center Et Al 532082/23 A.R. An Infant By Mother And Natural Guardian Nelly Cruz Et Al v. Bruen 500453/24 Abdullah v. The Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp. 522531/23 Almonte v. Roval Dumont Inc. Et Al 534700/23 Ausby v. Janod Investors LLC.

501366/22 B.L. v. Pinkusovich M.D. 501345/24 Bailey v. Jamil 513416/23 Caraballo v. Southard 507193/22 Chambliss v. Vijay Rlty. Corp. 507631/23 Cherry v. Crystal Ridge Associates LLC

521812/21 Chesney v. NYC NYCH&HC Corp. Et Al 503082/24 Community Mutual Ins. Co. v. Bradshaw

528554/23 Cuomo v. Eastern Landscape Contractors Inc. Et Al 516866/21 David v. Quickmed Medical Pc 521364/23 De Moura v. Rybak Dev. And

Const. Corp. Et Al 507420/16 Edeline Moussignac v. Mt. Sinai Bklyn. Hosp. 507337/23 Estacio v. Cw Northern LLC Et Al

Al 514255/23 Gallo v. 662 Pacific St. 506240/23 Gaynor v. Board of Mgrs. of The 170 West End Ave. Condominium Et Al 528283/23 Gee v. Occidental Fire & Casualty Co. of North Carolina Et Al 523799/23 Goncalves v. 240 Willoughby Ground Lessor LLC Et Al 532944/22 Greenland v. NYC Et Al 514212/22 Griffin v. Huh 512492/19 Groveman v. Barrah M.D. 360/23 Hassan v. Ramiro 509241/23 Hessenthaler v. Linden Rlty. Group 531498/23 Holder v. Ecenbarger 501589/20Humphrey v. 1937 Coney Island LLC

535549/23 Inga v. Tinoco Jaimes 518576/23 Jacobs v. J.E.M.B. Rlty. Corp. Et Al 501034/24 Jacques v. Myers

524923/18 Johnson v. Farias 518080/23 Jones v. Ali 522866/23 Jules v. Harris 517254/23 Juma v. F&S Esquities, Inc. 523468/22 Kakushadze v. Skin Cancer & Aesthetic Surgery 518801/23 Kotler v. Elite Elevator Group

LLC Et Al 527264/23 Lane v. Motor Vehicle Accident Indemnification Corp. 520688/22 Lau v. South Bklyn. Railway

Co. 529005/23 Lee v. Ean Hldgs. 535592/23 Leon v. Lawton St. Properties LLC

514467/23 Limonta v. Nostrand And Empire Hldgs. LLC Et Al 517445/22 Liu v. Merlino 518655/20 Louison v. Eliot 522833/23 Lvnn v. 555 B'way, LLC Et Al 500409/23 M. v. Khal Binyan David D'Lhel Et Al 524530/23 Machado v. Fazio 527293/23 Magwood v. Simeron 511915/21 Majid v. Shamaiv

528127/23 Malla Malla v. Yeshiva Yagdil Torah 531809/22 Manchenko v. Tamar Transportation Et Al 512073/23 Matthew v. Corbert

529971/23 McIntosh v. United Parcel Service, Inc. Et Al 505311/23 Medina v. 1775 Coney Island LLC Et Al 532187/23 Meng v. H&K 833 Associates 525919/23 Merchan Pesantez v. 532 Neptune Residential LLC Et Al 504487/24 Mercury Ins. v. Lin 503939/24 Mitchell v Lenov Rltv 533525/23 Montiel v. Lubowski 534174/22 Moran v. Southside Pizza Co. LLC Et Al 534898/23 Oliver v. Toledo 523360/23 Osmond v. Rosenberg 518844/22 Pascall v. Dr. Arcady Sniper General Dentistry P.C. Et Al 504/23 Paulino v. Mof-B 491 Evergreen LLC 521135/23 Payano v. 72-02 Northern Blvd. Rity. Corp. Et Al 533509/23 Perez-Reyes De Leonardo v. McLaren 511717/23 Pesantez v. NY Developers & Mgt. LLC Et Al 524951/23 Peters v. Date 520505/23 Philippe v. Islam 500258/24 Piper v. Georgakopoulos 514692/23 Pistone v. Professional Home Remodeling Inc. Et Al 508559/15 Poher v NY And 509554/23 Polnariov v. Rodriguez 528485/22 Profeta v Brookfield Properties One Wfc Co. LLC Et Al 537260/23 Pusz v. Uber Technologies. Inc. 512263/23 Queiroga De Oliveira v. Sirena Rltv. Corp. Et Al 527843/23 Raydun v. Offenbacher 534410/23 Redwood v. S & A Trucking 52882/23 Resnick v. Resnick 532248/23 Rivas v. De Los Santos Zalin 522136/23 Rivero v. 120 Jv Acq LLC Et Al 531158/23 Rodriguez Estrella v. Green Crates 500913/20 Saadia v. Nat. Society of Hebrew 529172/23 Sandoval v. 1320 Ave. N Hldgs. LLC 501936/24 Schrage v. Dashevskiy 520887/18 Silber v. Crystal Bay Imports 500620/23 Silvano v. 303 Powell Rlty. LLC Et Al 500018/24 Smart Step Funding LLC v. Andiamo Solvang 524705/23 Soares Martins v. Lorimer Corner B'way. LLC Et Al 509324/23 Stachula v. Progressive Maint. LLC Et Al 528818/23 Stenson v. Cad Waverly LLC Et Al 535128/22 Suriel Brito v. Assembly of Christian Churches 517715/23 Szyszka v. Kiksz Dds 512446/22 Tejada v. Chen 504181/24 Thomas v. James 512211/22 Tice And v. Palmer 514674/21 Torres v. B'way. 1884 LLC Et Al 528307/22 Trane U.S., Inc. v. C.C.W. Mechanical Services, Inc. 504784/22 U. v. De Los Heros 512958/23 Williams v. Cohen 506957/23 Wiltshire v. NYCHA 523327/23 Works v. The NYCHA Et Al 523054/21 Zamanis v. The Mount Sinai Hosd. 526915/23 Zambrano v. NYC Et Al 529511/23 Zarbailov v. Pozharny M.D. 501352/23 Zhurkevich v. 1700 B'way. Owner LLC Et Al Motion 523565/21 Aihie v. Northeastern Towers Annex

505093/24Alebiosu v. Gem Pawnbrokers

531862/21 Buffong v. Matias

Palace, Inc. Et Al

Crane LLC Et Al

Residential LLC Et Al

535328/23 Davison v. Richter

520441/20 Capeles v. Izzatulloev

511265/21 Chery v. Target Corp. Et Al 507681/24 Contreras v. Lazare

516156/22 Cunalata v. Christopher's

520980/23 Da Silva v. 532 Neptune

526456/21 Deas v. Adc Const. LLC

515366/22 Diaz Abreu v. Borkowski 501832/20 Edwards v. Zubar

522674/23 Enriquez v. Hallmark

Enterprises LLC Et Al

506509/22 Evans v. Brunson

514520/23 Genina v. NYC Et Al

508201/19 Delvalle v. St. George Outlet

507267/23 Fonseca v. Fulton Rity. Dev.

510205/20 De La Cruz Ventura v. Tristate

of NY Inc.

500385/22 Germain v. Giorgadze 529407/23 Granda v. Bayis Ne'emon Inc. 505004/20 Guadagno v. 3319 Prop. LLC 520283/20 Hall v. Grubhub Hldgs. Inc. Et Al 507547/20 Hanna v. 237 First Owners LLC

522549/22 Ibemesim v. Curtis 501490/23 Jacques v. Cabrera 502514/24 Khvedelidze v. Rosas Rodriguez 501058/23 Lambert v. Lulanaj 503301/24 Lanchava v. Ako 503845/20 Lapaglia v. 4 W. 16th St. Corp. Et Al 531200/22 Lindow v. Borough Const. Group 514336/19 Mamiye-Zalta v. Westhouse Hotel NY 514887/21 Marsh v. Edmund 511687/24 Mercedes Reyes v. Olive Park Condominium Et Al 523400/22 Meroney v. Louie 524021/19 Monfil v. Avila 517259/20 Ortega v. Yedid 524358/21 Oscar v. Val Quality Service Inc Et Al 532591/21 Pinto v. Carthen 524136/19 Pollard v. NYC 503341/21 Pyatigorskaya v. Borisova

509698/20 Reisz v. 202 Div. Ave. Condominium Associates Inc. Et Al 508009/18 Remy v. Belcrest Apts. Del LLC 520599/22 Richard McDougal v. Cooks 504703/22 Rodriguez v. Alba Services Inc.

Et Al 504481/17 Saint-Lot v. 4200 Ave. K Equities LLC 518561/22 Shalomova v. Shalomov 520959/21 Shapiro v. 131 Morgan Hldg. Corp. 517599/22 Soriano v. Serrano 507083/21 Strelchkov v. 5403 13th Ave.

LLC Et Al 511818/22 Stukes v. 148 Lott St LLC 529819/22 Telusma v. Tb6 Corp. Et Al 503161/23 Tennenbaum v. 52 Properties 529868/21 Tetruashvili v. Health Ins. Plan of Greater NY 521396/22 Todd v. Huda 523624/21 Torres v. 245 Hull St. LLC 521611/22 Ubiles v. Mlbs Partners LLC Et

Al 4690/13 Vanderpool v. Delarosa 503528/24 Vargas v. Deniche Corp. Et Al 510000/20 Veira v. Latiff 529405/22 Vilfort v. Clovell 502118/24 Weatherhead v. 740 Associates

L.P. 510315/21 World-Wide Plumbing Supply Inc. v. Rci Plbg, Inc. Et Al 519395/21 Xu v. Santos 514222/21 Yusopov v. Complete Transport Systems LLC Et Al 501384/23 Zanova v. Tovar Transportation

514451/19Zapata v. Newkirk Gardens **Foreclosure Res** 

Part 1 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1104 Courtroom 756

Foreclosure Res Part 2 Justice Mark Partnow 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1656 Courtroom 441

Foreclosure Part Conf. A

360 Adams Street Phone 347-401-9124 Courtroom 361

Part 2 Justice Aaron D. Maslow 320 Jay Street Phone 347-296-1082 Courtroom 18.36

MONDAY, SEPT. 30

522689/23 Deblase v. Hill Motion 522689/23 Deblase v. Hill

Part 3 320 Jay Street Phone 347-296-1082

Courtroom 18.36 Part 4 Adam Phone 347-401-9265 Courtroom 461, 9:30 A.M.



### Maximize Your Recognition

To compete in today's highly crowded legal marketplace, you need an added edge over your competitors. Highlighting your recognition in ALM publications provides an authoritative and convincing way to impact your target audience. With ALM reprints, e-prints, permissions and plaques, you can showcase the acknowledgement that you have earned and leverage that endorsement.

#### Order your custom reprints today.

Contact: 877-256-2472 | reprints@alm.com

almreprints.com



Corp. Et Al 521563/23 Walker v. Bedford Dev. USA 501479/24 Weingarten v. Yeshiva (a/k/a

- Group LLC Et Al 525764/23 Yarde v. Lenox Imperial LLC Et
- 510463/24 Zabala Rodriguez v. NYCHA Et
- 509037/24 Zooguy Renovations LLC v.

517178/22 Wheeler v. Pv Hldg. Corp. Et Al 503479/19 Yard v. NYC 521796/20 Zelenko v. Omega Express Ltd Et Al TUESDAY, OCT. 1 505975/20Acatila v. Really Neat Rlty. Inc. 525398/21 Adler v. Bklyn. Bridge Park Corp. Et Al 508682/21 Andall v. Bain 505077/18Bamundo v. Azer 501308/21 Breary v. Sahakvan 522835/20Brown v. Estrella 518048/20 Callender v. Foronda 506176/19 Chybar v. Forte Const. Corp. 523992/19 Claudio v. NYC 501933/18 Cortez v. NYC 507716/20 Crawford v. Hakimain 502553/20Diaz v. Fs Laundry Corp. 504050/18Disla v. El Potrero Sport Bar Inc. 505860/18Dove v. NYC 516852/19Felix v. Chowdhury 512567/18Figueroa v. 10-43 44th Drive 510136/19Figueroa-Orellana v. 250 Livingston Owner LLC 519069/21 Garcia v. 844 Knickerbocker LLC Et Al 502725/21 Gaybaliyev v. Georgioudakis 505640/18 George v. Genet Plumbing And Heating 528869/21 Hayim v. Richards 509304/18 Hernandez v. NYC 525923/19 Izhaki v. Peter Luger, Inc. 508150/21 Johannes v. NYC 508096/21 Jones v. Felipe Lopes Lima De Sousa Et Al 514850/20 Jordan v. NYC Et Al 507518/21 Joseph v. Lefferts Gardens LLC. Et Al 15235/13 Joseph v. Paragon Oil Co 509725/20 Katsev v. NYC 502520/20 Kinard v. Bonehead LLC 501703/20 King v. Damiano Corp. of Canarsie 508515/21 King v. NYC Et Al 517381/19 Leibowitz v. NY County Lawyers 515388/16 Levasseur v. Temitope 526733/19 Lewinski v. NYC 520956/18 Lynch v. Bonehead LLC. 522166/20 Mai v. Saad 501666/21 Marte v. 606 Rlty. L.L.C. 502840/18 McCleary Diaz v. Mta 509914/22 McGrath v. Singh 518441/22 Mouzon v. Schenkel 511898/21 P. v. Plyuta 523613/19 Padovano v. NYC 524432/18 Perez v. American United 508471/20 Pierre v. S. S. S. Cab Corp. Et Al 514353/15 Piller v. Board of Mgrs. of 226 505864/21 Pritchard v. 2t65 Corp. Et Al 504005/20 Rabia v. Closet Maven, Inc. 507571/21 Reid v. NYC Et Al 505463/19 Reizis v. Moley 515089/17 Rosado v. Con Ed Co. 522771/18 Rowland v. Bklvn, Hosp, Center 524013/19S -M. v. NYC Et Al 501064/20 Saint-Vil v. Mondshanina 503192/20 Smith v. R S L Bowling Corp. 510213/21 Solano v. Ean Hldgs. 518738/19 Solares v. Wai 523635/19 Suriel v. Appor 525045/19 Tatolov v. Petri Plumbing & Heating Inc. 510978/19 Telsaint v. Chan 514488/18 Thomas v. Peter 508913/19 Towler v. NYC 524364/19 Tudor v. Zabala 515593/19 Velasquez v. Rubin 514489/20 Voltchenkov v. Ware 512305/20 Warley v. Ballard 510736/18 Weinflash v. NYC 523632/19 Zou v. Khan

Motion

Al

525923/19Izhaki v. Peter Luger, Inc.

526733/19Lewinski v. NYC

#### Part 5A

Justice Eric I. Prus 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1646 Courtroom 938, 9:30 A.M.

#### MONDAY. SEPT. 30

551212/22 Ardila v. Holzinger 550686/24 Rudaya v. Lerman 530212/22 Towndrow v. Scorah 518997/22 Valdez v. Lewis Valdez 550076/23 Voronin v. Mikhaylova 52799/19 Yang v. Cai 551764/21 Young v. Jordan-Young

#### Conference

550686/24 Rudaya v. Lerman 551764/21 Young v. Jordan-Young Motion

551212/22 Ardila v. Holzinger 530212/22 Towndrow v. Scorah 518997/22 Valdez v. Lewis Valdez 550076/23 Voronin v. Mikhaylova

#### TUESDAY, OCT. 1

53425/13 Baylor v. Chevremont 54164/17 Cunningham v. Archer-Cunningham 551861/23 Devoe v. Perez Sr. 550453/23 Domergue v. Silvers 53295/14 Jacob v. Jacob 50015/17 Jostmeier v. Pliskin Conference

550453/23 Domergue v. Silvers 50015/17 Jostmeier v. Pliskin Motion

53425/13 Baylor v. Chevremont 53295/14 Jacob v. Jacob 50015/17 Jostmeier v. Pliskin

#### Part 5C

Justice Carl Landicino 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1599 Courtroom 738

#### Part 5F

Justice Rachel Amy Adams 360 Adams Street Phone 347-296-1636 Courtroom 929, 9:30 A.M.

#### MONDAY, SEPT. 30

551165/23 Amonov v. Norboeva 551451/22 Bahronov v. Ismailova 51004/21 Brooks v. Marshall-Brooks 554315/21 Davis v. Barker Davis 550682/23 Karim v. Karim 551256/24 Maestrone v. Maestrone 550574/22 Moore v. Moore 551394/22Wolf v. Dolan

#### Conference

551451/22 Bahronov v. Ismailova 550682/23 Karim v. Karim 551256/24 Maestrone v. Maestrone

#### Motion

551165/23 Amonov v. Norboeva 551451/22 Bahronov v. Ismailova 552929/22 Clarke v. Clarke 551256/24 Maestrone v. Maestrone 551394/22 Wolf v. Dolan

#### TUESDAY, OCT. 1

54774/19 Kaufman v. Kaufman 551262/23 Konig v. Power 509209/24 Lopez v. Lopez Suero

**Court Calendars Continued On** Page 18

502485/24 Thomas v. Roach 521636/23 Transit General Ins. Co. v. M&N Auto Group 506195/23 Truist Bank v. Bilgoray 526347/22 Vergin v. Bhrags Home Care


Empire Ecs LLC Et Al

Yeshivah) of Spring Valley, Inc. 507724/24 Weissman M.D. v. Malika

AL

AL

LLC



# **JURAN TEEU** Subpoena Service, Inc.

# **1-800-PROCESS** 0r 212.776.1831

# WE USE BODY CANS

\*Reasonably Priced Where Available

(FAX) 800.236.2092 - info@served.com - www.served.com