JOSHUA B. DAVIS (SBN 252481) 1 Law Offices of Joshua B. Davis 2 3223 Webster Street San Francisco, California 94123 3 Phone: (415) 922-5454 | Fax (415) 346-8987 Email: jdavis@joshdavislaw.com 4 5 Attorney for Defendant ELINA E. TODOROV 6 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 SYED HUSAIN, Case No. 19STCV28718 11 Plaintiff, MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND 12 **AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CHANGE** v. 13 **VENUE** ELINA E. TODOROV, 14 Date: 11/1/19 15 Defendant. Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 12 16 Judge: Honorable Barbara A. Meiers 17 [Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion and 18 Motion to Transfer Venue and Proposed Order and pleadings for attorney's 19 fees and costs] 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2 3 4

5 6

7

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

16

17

15

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

I. Introduction

Defendant Todorov submits this memorandum of points and authorities in support of her motion to transfer this case from the "wrong court" in Los Angeles County to the proper venue of San Francisco County pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 396b(a) and 397(a). Because this action was not commenced in the proper court in the defendant's county of residence, Section 396b(a) requires transfer to the proper court.

II. Background and summary of the complaint

After years of friendship and a brief dating relationship of approximately four months, Defendant ended her relationship with Plaintiff. This did not go over smoothly. Aside from the difficulties of a failed relationship and a breakup, Defendant was not afforded the opportunity to move past this and on with her life. Defendant faced a whole new series of problems. For approximately two months, Plaintiff inundated defendant with text messages, phone calls, emails, letters, showed up at her home against her demands and contacted her friend regarding their relationship. (See Defendant's declaration in support of motion to change venue attached hereto.)

Yet, when Defendant explicitly in writing demanded that Plaintiff not contact her again, Plaintiff did not take kindly to this. From that moment on, he changed his tune completely from an extended campaign attempting to rekindle the relationship to filing a lawsuit apparently to crush her financially or in some odd, misguided attempt to continue their relationship through litigation.

In his complaint, Plaintiff brings suit against the Defendant for a number of causes of action (promissory fraud, intentional infliction of emotional distress, breach of contract and unjust enrichment) for not staying in a relationship with him - as if she were his property.

The allegations are that Plaintiff and Defendant made agreements, that she would not leave his life and that they would stay in a relationship for one year, at the end of which they would get engaged or part. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant made said agreements with no intention of staying in the relationship. He now seeks damages "in the minimum amount of \$225,000.00," for reimbursement for trips and gifts he purchased for Defendant. Plaintiff further seeks exemplary or punitive damages.

3 4 5

6 7 8

11 12

9

10

15 16

13

14

18 19

17

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

III. Argument

As to venue the Complaint simply states: "Venue is appropriate in the County of Los Angeles because a substantial portion of Defendants' unlawful and fraudulent conduct giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the County of Los Angeles." (Complaint ¶ 6.) No factual basis is provided.

"It is well established that a defendant is entitled to have an action tried in the county of his or her residence unless the action falls within some exception to the general venue rule. [Citations.]" (Brown v. Superior Court (1984) 37 Cal.3d 477, 483 (Brown); (Fontaine v. Superior Court (2009) 175 Cal. App. 4th 830, 837; see also Massae v. Superior Court (Massae) (1981) 118 Cal.App.3d 527, 531 [" 'policy of the law favors the right of trial at the defendant's residence' "].) The defendant's right to have an action tried in his or her county of residence is "an ancient and valuable right, safeguarded by statute and a long line of decisions. The right of a plaintiff to have an action tried in a county other than that of the defendant's residence is exceptional." (Johnson v. Superior Court of Fresno County (1965) 232 Cal. App. 2d 212, citing Kaluzok v. Brisson (1946) 27 Cal.2d 760, 763.) The law "jealously" guards the right of a defendant to have an action tried in the defendant's county of residence and the allegations of a complaint will be strictly construed against the pleader to ascertain whether a personal or transitory cause has been joined so as to entitle the defendant a trial in the county of residence. (Neet v. Holmes (1942) 19 Cal.2d 605, 612.)

This general rule is codified in the first sentence of section 395(a), which states: "Except as otherwise provided by law and subject to the power of the court to transfer actions or proceedings as provided in this title, the superior court in the county where the defendants or some of them reside at the commencement of the action is the proper court for the trial of the action." Section 395(a) also contains exceptions to the general venue rule. For example, actions for personal injury or injury to personal property resulting from the defendant's negligence may be filed in the county where the injury occurred, or in the county where the defendant resides. (§ 395(a).)

"Personal injury" in this context is narrowly construed; actions for injury to reputation, or even for mental or emotional distress, are triable only at defendant's residence. (Carruth v. Sup.

Ct. (Stoike) (1978) 80 Cal.App.3d 215, 219-220; and Cubic Corp. v. Sup. Ct. (Warren) (1986) 186 Cal.App.3d 622, 625 – emotional distress, shock, etc. may be bodily injury for pleading purposes, but not for venue purposes. An action based upon in injury to person or personal property which is not a physical injury, such as libel, malicious prosecution and false imprisonment is triable solely at the defendant's residence. (Graham v. Mixon (1917) 177 Cal. 88; Monk v. Ehret (1923) 192 Cal.186.)

Actions for breach of contract, other than consumer obligations, are triable in the county where the defendant resides, where the contract was entered into or where it was to be performed if where it was to be performed is specified in writing. (CCP 395(a).)

A fraud action must be tried in the county where the defendant lives. (*Quick v. Corsaro* (1960) 180 Cal.App.2d 831; *Thielen v. Sup. Ct. of Los Angeles County* (1963) 219 Cal.App.2d 217; *Dow AgroSciences LLC v. Sup. Ct. (CEH)* (2017) 16 Cal.App.5th 1067, 1077-78.)

If several causes of action are alleged in a complaint, the action is a mixed action. The mixed action rule is: "In cases with mixed causes of action, a motion for change of venue must be granted on the entire complaint if the defendant is entitled to a change of venue on any one cause of action." (*Brown v. Superior Court (C.C. Myers, Inc.)* (1984) 37 Cal.3d 477, 488; *Johnson v. Superior Court of Fresno County* (1965) 232 Cal.App.2d 212, 219-220 [complaint purported to state only cause of action for breach of contract but included allegations for interference and damage to business reputation, a transitory tort, thus change of venue to county of defendant's residence required]; see *Capp Care, Inc. v. Sup. Ct. (Proffitt)* (1987) 195 Cal.App.3d 504, 508.)

Here, the Defendant resides in San Francisco and has for many years. Her declaration attesting to such is attached hereto. No exception to the "jealously guarded" right to trial in her county of residence applies. Since the Plaintiff has filed suit for fraud, proper venue lies in the county of Defendant's residence. Since the Plaintiff has filed suit for intentional infliction of emotional distress, proper venue lies only the county of Defendant's residence. Thus, transfer of venue to San Francisco County is required.¹

¹ Defendant Todorov notes that making this motion excuses her from filing a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint. Code of Civil Procedure section 396b(a) states that a defendant in an action may "at his or her option, without answering, demurring or moving to strike and within the time otherwise allowed to respond to the complaint, file[] with the clerk, a notice of motion for an order transferring the action or proceeding to the proper court." (Cal.

IV. Conclusion

Defendant Todorov respectfully requests that the Court order this action transferred to San Francisco County.

4 ||

Dated: September 24, 2019

Respectfully Submitted,

JOSHUA B. DAVIS Attorney for Defendant ELINA E. TODOROV

Civ. Proc. Code § 396b(a); see also Cal. Civ. Proc. § 585 (no default while a transfer motion is pending); Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 396b(e) & Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 3.1326 (setting deadlines to respond to complaint following ruling on a motion to transfer.)

DECLARATION OF ELINA E. TODOROV

I, Elina E. Todorov, declare as follows in support of my motion to change venue and my motion for attorney's fees and costs for motion to change venue:

- 1. I live and reside in San Francisco, California, and have done so continuously for nearly ten years.
- 2. Syed Husain and I met when I was in college, were friends for many years, and dated for approximately one month in early 2018 and then again for approximately four months from late 2018 until March of this year.
- 3. After unceasing harassment (many, many text messages, emails, letters, calls/voicemails, showing up uninvited at my home after being told not to, contacting my friend about our breakup, sending gifts to my place of work) for approximately two months despite my demands to stop contacting me, I blocked his number on my phone so I would no longer receive his text messages.
- 4. Later that same day, May 14, 2019, I sent an email to Syed that stated explicitly in writing that he was not to contact me.
- 5. Minutes later, he replied to the email and I then blocked his email address so that I would no longer receive his emails. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of this email correspondence.
- 6. From this point forward, Mr. Husain's contact with me has been through others, his attorney and attorney's agents.
- 7. I am informed and believe that Mr. Husain has filed a similar type lawsuit against at least one other woman following a dating relationship.
- 8. In December 2018, he told me that he had to go to Truckee in Nevada County, California, for a court hearing because his ex-girlfriend had obtained a domestic violence restraining order against him. Mr. Husain informed me that he had filed a civil lawsuit against this ex-girlfriend.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

- 9. I believe that Mr. Husain has filed this legal action against me to harass me, crush me financially (see our email correspondence attached) or in a misguided attempt to force our relationship into continuing not for a legitimate legal dispute. The fact that he filed the lawsuit in Los Angeles only furthers these ends.
- 10. We both have lived in Northern California for the duration of the time we have known each other and spent very limited time together in Los Angeles.
- During the time that we were dating, I lived in my apartment in San Francisco and Syed lived with his parents in Hillsborough, in San Mateo County, just south of San Francisco County.
- 12. While dating, we spent the majority of our time together in San Francisco.
- While dating, we took a single weekend trip to Los Angeles. Prior to dating, in 2018 we took a weekend trip to Los Angeles, and on another occasion the same year, we drove from San Francisco to Santa Barbara for a weekend trip during which time we drove to Malibu for lunch, before returning to San Francisco.
- 14. The prospect of a lawsuit is daunting in and of itself and a lawsuit in Los Angeles would be an extreme hardship for me, financially and due to the fact that I live and work in San Francisco.

DocuSigned by:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 24, 2019, in San Francisco, California.

ELINA E. TODOROV