Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Barbara Meiers 1 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP KEVIN P. DWIGHT, Bar No. CA 239476 2 KDwight@manatt.com One Embarcadero Center, 30th Floor 3 San Francisco, California 94111 (415) 291-7400 Telephone: (415) 291-7474 4 Facsimile: Attorneys for Plaintiff 5 SYED HUSAIN 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 10 11 12 SYED HUSAIN, Case No. 19STCV28718 **COMPLAINT FOR:** Plaintiff, 13 14 1. PROMISSORY FRAUD v. 2. Intentional Infliction of Emotional ELINA EMILY TODOROV and DOES 1 15 **DISTRESS** through 10, inclusive, 3. Breach of Contract 4. Unjust Enrichment 16 Defendants. 17 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW COMPLAINT SAN FRANCISCO

COMPLAINT

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

SAN FRANCISCO

MANATT, PHELPS &
PHILLIPS, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

8. Plaintiff and Defendant thereafter developed a close relationship, which continued until approximately March 2019.

- 9. Over the course of their 14-year relationship, Plaintiff spent hundreds of thousands of dollars on Defendant. He would frequently purchase for Defendant fancy meals, airline tickets, luxury hotel accommodations, fine jewelry, designer hand bags, high-end clothing, and more. Plaintiff enjoyed Defendant's company, even though the relationship resulted in Plaintiff paying for nearly every expense incurred while Plaintiff and Defendant were together.
- 10. As the relationship evolved, Defendant began to frequently disappear for extended periods of time. When Defendant did make time to see Plaintiff, it would only be because they planned to travel together, go to an expensive dinner, or engage in another activity that required Plaintiff to spend substantial sums of money on Defendant.
- 11. As their relationship progressed, Plaintiff began to feel that Defendant was taking advantage of Plaintiff's generosity and friendship. When it was to Defendant's financial gain and emotional benefit to be in close contact with Plaintiff, she made the necessary arrangements to do so. When she no longer felt the need to contact Plaintiff, however, Defendant ignored him and disappeared from Plaintiff's life for extended periods of time.
- 12. Defendant's disappearances grew more frequent. Defendant would regularly appear in Plaintiff's life around October of each year, just in time to receive her annual birthday gift in November, Christmas gift in December, New Year's holiday vacation to cities like Park City and Aspen in January, and then a Valentine's Day gift in February before abandoning Plaintiff and disappearing again in late February or March. While Defendant would on occasion visit Plaintiff, her appearances were always for a self-serving purpose and would always result in Defendant disappearing yet again as soon as it suited her needs and interests.
- 13. Throughout the period while they were together, Defendant would coerce Plaintiff into buying her numerous expensive personal items, dinners, and vacations, as discussed above.

- 14. Frustrated and upset by Defendant's repeated disappearances, Plaintiff decided to seek formal assurances from Defendant that her behavior coming in and out of Plaintiff's life would cease.
- 15. On or about June 2016, Plaintiff and Defendant met for dinner. During the dinner, Plaintiff expressed to Defendant his frustration regarding Defendant's frequent disappearances. Defendant acknowledged the issue, and after some discussion, agreed that she would stay in a relationship with Plaintiff without disappearing, going silent, or otherwise breaking off the relationship.
- 16. Plaintiff documented Defendant's agreement by taking a photo of Plaintiff and Defendant shaking hands.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at the time Defendant made this initial agreement, she had no intention of staying in any form of relationship with Plaintiff. In fact, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant was in a relationship with another man at the time. However, Plaintiff was ignorant of Defendant's true intentions, and never believed Defendant would actually be targeting him as a victim.
- 18. After her dinner with Plaintiff, Defendant repeatedly reaffirmed her promises whenever she wanted to induce Plaintiff to purchase expensive gifts for her. In reliance on Defendant's promises, Plaintiff continued to purchase lavish gifts, meals, personal items and trips for Defendant.
- 19. Unfortunately, Defendant regularly failed to perform under her agreement with Plaintiff, frequently disappearing and/or cutting off the relationship with Plaintiff for one reason or another.
- 20. In October 2018, following an expensive international trip to Europe where Plaintiff paid for everything except Defendant's airfare, Defendant asked Plaintiff to buy her a designer handbag and an expensive bracelet. Plaintiff initially was reluctant to purchase the items for Defendant given her previous disappearances and failure to abide by the terms of their initial agreement. Nevertheless, Plaintiff stated that he would purchase the handbag and bracelet for Defendant and continue to buy her expensive items, meals, and vacations if she guaranteed

that she would give the relationship an honest effort for at least one year. Plaintiff further discussed with Defendant that after one year of their relationship, they would agree either to get engaged or mutually end the relationship. But under no circumstances would either decision be made prior to the passing of one calendar year to fully and fairly evaluate the relationship and feasibility of moving forward together. Defendant agreed, and in reliance on their oral agreement (the "Agreement"), Plaintiff purchased the handbag and bracelet for her.

- 21. In the months thereafter, and in reliance on Defendant's promises and Agreement, Plaintiff continued to buy Defendant expensive personal items; trips to Hawaii, Aspen, Park City, and Coachella (although Defendant cancelled the Coachella trip at the last minute); groceries; fine jewelry; designer clothing; and fine dining experiences.
- 22. Despite receiving all of these expensive items, without warning Defendant abruptly ended the relationship with Plaintiff in or around March 2019.
- 23. Defendant thereafter refused to communicate with Plaintiff, retrieve her personal belongings that Plaintiff had graciously kept in storage for her, or otherwise compensate Plaintiff for the losses he incurred.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Promissory Fraud) (As Against All Defendants)

- 24. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive, of this Complaint.
- 25. When Defendant entered into her agreement with Plaintiff in October 2018, she promised that she would give one year to her relationship with Plaintiff if he agreed to purchase for her expensive personal items, vacations, meals and more. At the time she made the Agreement, however, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant had no intention of actually performing under the Agreement, and that she always intended to leave Plaintiff as soon as it was to her financial benefit to do so.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant made her promise to stay with Plaintiff for one year with the intent of inducing Plaintiff to enter

injury to Plaintiff. These intentional and/or reckless actions by Defendant – with the threat of

1	41. As a result of Defendant's breach of the Agreement, Plaintiff was harmed
2	in the amount of at least \$225,000.00.
3	42. Defendant's breach of the Agreement was a substantial factor in causing
4	Plaintiff's harm.
5	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.
6	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
7	(Unjust Enrichment) (As Against All Defendants)
8	43. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth
9	herein the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 42, inclusive, of this Complaint.
10	44. Defendant has been and continues to be unjustly enriched as a result of her
11	wrongful conduct to the detriment of Plaintiff. Among other things, Defendant has unjustly
12	benefited through the retention of the funds, jewelry, and other personal items provided to her by
13	Plaintiff.
14	45. It would be unjust to allow Defendant to retain the benefits attained by her
. 15	actions. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks full restitution of Defendant's enrichment, benefits and ill-
16	gotten gains acquired as a result of the unlawful and/or wrongful conduct alleged herein, and in
17	the minimum amount of \$225,000.00.
18	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.
19	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
20	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in his
21	favor and against Defendant Todorov and DOES 1 through 10, as follows:
22	(a) For damages consisting of the following:
23	(i) For compensatory damages in the minimum amount of
24	\$225,000.00;
25	(ii) For emotional distress damages caused by Defendant's intentional
26	and/or negligent conduct in causing Plaintiff to suffer substantial emotional distress;
27	(iii) For general and special damages, in an amount to be proven at trial.
28	(b) For disgorgement from Defendant to Plaintiff of all funds paid for by
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP	7

COMPLAINT

Attorneys At Law

SAN FRANCISCO

1	Plaintiff to Defendant;
2	(c) For exemplary or punitive damages against Defendant in an amount
3	appropriate to punish her and deter others from engaging in similar misconduct;
4	(d) For attorneys' fees and costs to the maximum extent allowed under
5	California law.
6	(e) For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowed
7	pursuant to statutory and common law;
8	(f) For such other and further relief as the Court deems appropriate, fair,
9	equitable and just.
10	REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL
11	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.
12	
13	Dated: August 14, 2019 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
14	
15	By:
16	Kevin P. Dwight Attorneys for Plaintiff
17	SYED ĤUSAIN
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	8 COMPLAINT

COMPLAINT

San Francisco