

FILED IN OPEN COURT
U.S.D.C. Atlanta

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

JAN 23 2020

James N. Hatten, Clerk
By: *[Signature]*
Deputy Clerk

SUZHOU ALLPRO
CERTIFIED PUBLIC
ACCOUNTANTS CO., LTD., as
Bankruptcy Administrator of
SURE HEAT
MANUFACTURING
(SUZHOU) CO. LTD,

Plaintiff,

v.

SURE HEAT
MANUFACTURING, INC. and
SHM INTERNATIONAL
CORP.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

1:15-CV-3436-RWS

VERDICT

I. Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Sure Heat Manufacturing signed a promissory note in the sum of \$5 million payable to Sure Heat Manufacturing (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.?

Yes No

If your answer is "No," proceed to section 2. If your answer is "Yes," proceed to questions 1a and 1b.

a. Did Defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that there was a failure of consideration for the \$5 million promissory note?

Yes No


b. Did Defendants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the due date for payment of the \$5 million promissory note was before September 30, 2011?

Yes No

2. Did Plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that SHM International Corporation is the alter ego of Sure Heat Manufacturing?

Yes No

This 23 day of January, 2020.



Foreperson