FILED 2013 Jul-10 PM 01:19

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

N.D. OF ALABAMA

In the United States District Court For the Northern District of Alabama

a war heart had

FORM FOR USE IN APPLICATION FOR HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. † 2254

US OCTOOR COURT

CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:

CV-13-P-1279-M **JEO**

(To be supplied by the Clerk of the District Court)

SUMMAR ROBERT BREWSTER
Full Name of Plaintiff-Petitioner
HOLMAN PRISON
Place of Confinement
VS.
(Name of Warden, Superintendent, Jailor, or authorized person
(Name of Warden, Superintendent, Jailor, or authorized person having custody of Petitioner)

and

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF ALABMA,

Respondents

(if petitioner is attacking a judgement which imposed a sentence to be served in the <u>future</u>, petitioner must fill in the name of the state where the judgment was entered. If petitioner has a sentence to be served in the <u>future</u> under a federal judgment which he wishes to attack, he should file a motion under 28 U.S.C. †2255, in the federal court which entered the judgment.)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

INSTRUCTIONS--READ CAREFULLY

- (1) This petition must be legibly handwritten or typewritten and signed by the petitioner under penalty of perjury. Any false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution and conviction for perjury. All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form.
- (2) Do not use this form unless you were convicted in one of the following counties:
 BIBB, BLOUNT, CALHOUN, CHEROKEE, CLAY, CLEBURN, COLBERT, CULLMAN,
 DeKALB, ETOWAH, FAYETTE, FRANKLIN, GREENE, JACKSON, JEFFERSON, LAMAR,
 LAUDERDALE, LAWRENCE, LIMESTONE, MADISON, MARION, MARSHALL, MORGAN, PICKENS, SHELBY, ST. CLAIR, SUMTER, TALLADEGA, TUSCALOOSA, WALKER,
 WINSTON.

Case 4:13-cv-01279-RDP-JEO Document 1 Filed 07/10/13 Page 2 of 10

- (3) Upon receipt of a fee of \$5 your petition will be filed if it is in proper order.
- (4) If you do not have the necessary filing fee, you may request permission to proceed in format fautheris, in which event you must execute the declaration on the last page, setting forth information establishing your inability to prepay the fees and costs or give security therefor.
- (5) Only judgments entered by one court may be challenged in a single petition. If you seek to challenge judgments entered by different courts either in the same state or in different states, you must file separate petitions as to each court.
- (6) Your attention is directed to the fact that you must include all grounds for relief and all facts supporting such grounds for relief in the petition you file seeking relief from any judgment of conviction.
- (7) When the petition if fully completed, the original and two copies must be mailed to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, 104 Federal Courthouse, 1800 Fifth Avenue, North, Birmingham, Alabama 35203.
- (8) Petitions which do not conform to these instructions will be returned with a notation as to the deficiency.

PETITION

1.	Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack
2.	Date of judgment of conviction 7-22-2008
2	Landth of contains 1 TES WATH OUT PAROLS
4.	Nature of offense involved (all counts) ROBBETY 1 TWO COUNTS
5.	What was your plea? (Check one) (a) Not guilty ((*)) (b) Guilty ((*)) (c) Nolo contendere (()) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details:
6.	Kind of trial: (Check one) (a) Jury () (b) Judge only ()
7.	Did you testify at the trial? Yes () No ()
8.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes (No ()
9.	If you did appeal, answer the following: (a) Name of court ETOWAH COUNTY CIRCULT COURT (b) Result SUNE 10, 2004 (c) Date of result SUNE 10, 2004

Case 4:13-cv-01279-RDP-JEO Document 1 Filed 07/10/13 Page 3 of 10

11. If	your a	nswer to 10 was "yes", give the following information: Name of court ETOWAH COUNTY CERCUET COURT
(a)	(2)	Nature of proceeding Rule 32
	(3)	Grounds raised DELEGO THE BEGHT TO BETWEN WETNESS ON BEHALF OF DEFEN
	(-)	DENTED THE RACHT TO TESTATY IN HIS OWN DEFENSE, FAILTNO TO FALL MOTON
		TO DESMISS EXC A SUDGMENT OF ACQUETTAL ON THE CHARGE'S AGAINST LACORDA WOODS, FASLING TO REQUEST SURY INSTRUCTED DON TOO NIGHT AND ROCHT WHETHER OR
		TO TESTETY OR LESSER ENCLUDED OFFENSE CHARLE, FARL TO MAKE A MOTTON FOR
		MESINGAL ON DEADLACK JURY JOCONTONIENCE DELABERATE
	(4)	
	` ,'	motion? Yes () No ()
	(5)	
	(6)	Date of result
(b)		o any second petition, application or motion give the same information:
	. ,	Name of court
		Nature of proceeding
	(3)	Grounds raised
	(4)	
		Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes () No () Result
		Date of result
(c)	Ası	o any third petition, application or motion, give the same information:
` '		Name of Court
	(2)	Nature of proceeding
	(3)	Grounds raised
	(4)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or
	(5)	motion? Yes () No ()
		Result Date of result
	. ,	
(d)		you appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction the result of any action taken my petition, application or motion:
		First petition, etc. Yes () No ()
		Second petition, etc. Yes () No ()
		Third netition etc. Vec. () No. ()

(e)	If you did <u>not</u> appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not:

12. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same.

CAUTION:

In order to proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. If you fail to set forth all grounds in this petition, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds at a later date.

For your information, the following is a list of the most frequently raised grounds for relief in habeas corpus proceedings. Each statement preceded by a letter constitutes a separate ground for possible relief. You may raise any grounds which you may have other than those listed if you have exhausted all your state court remedies with respect to them. However, you should raise in this petition all available grounds (relating to this conviction) on which you base your allegations that you are being held in custody unlawfully.

Do not check any of these listed grounds. If you select one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts. The petition will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of these grounds.

- (a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily with understanding of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.
- (b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.
- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure, [where the state has not provided a full and fair hearing on the merits of the Fourth Amendment claim].
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest, [where the state has not provided a full and fair hearing on the merits of the Fourth Amendment claim].
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (j) Denial of right of appeal.

Grounds And Supporting Farts Presented For Use In Application For Habeas Corpus.

- Grounds (1) Counsel was ineffsctive and petitioner was prejudice thereby when counsel deprived petitioner of his right to have witnesses for his defense.
- Supporting Facts; prior to trial Petitioner requested that a witness, Mr. Fluker be presence at his trial to testify in his defense. (R. 10-15)

The record reflects that the trial court was prepared to issue process to have the witness brought before the court, however, counsel for the petitioner deceided not to call the witnness. (Id.) Petitioner contends that the he was prejudiced by counsel unprofessional error in depriving him of his right to call witnesses in him defense. (C.T. 45)

Petitioner was primarily mistaken identification and Fluker is the state,s key witness, Terrance Dark, Uncle.

Petitioner allege that Dark testified that Fluker was with them on day of the robbery, and could have testified that Petitioner was not one of the three men involved in the Robberies. (C.T. 36-38)

Certainly, Fluker testimony was relevant and counsel error deprived petitioner of his fundamental rights to a fair trial.

- Ground (2) Counsel was ineffective and Petitioner was prejudiced thereby when counsel prohibited the Petitioner from testifying in his own defense.
- Supporting Facts; Petitioneravers on atleast two occasions he informed counsel that he wanted to take the witness stand and testiy, in which counsel informed the Petitioner that the opportunity would come for that.

At the conclusion of the case, counsel rested without calling Petitioner to the stand.(R 293)

Petitioner avers that counsel never infformed him that the decision whether to testify was personal decision that only Petitioner could waive.

Counsel just straight out deprived the Petitioner of his right to testify in his own defense, and such, prejudiced the Petitioner and deprived him of his fundamental rights to a fair trial.(R. 13-14)

Petitioner submits had he testified, he could have prevented his version of the events and told the jury that he was not involved in the robberies. the jury would have been able to weigh his credibility against the state, s evidence.

Petitioner contends, under the circumstances there,s a resonable probaility that, but for counsel unprofessional conduct, the result in this case would been different.

- Ground(3) Counsel was ineffective and petitioner was prejuduiced thereby when counsel fail to move to dismiss and/or a judgment of acquittal on the charges against the victim Lacoria Woods.
- Supporting Facts; Petitioner avers that during her testimony, she testified that she kept her head down and was unable to indentify any of the robbers. (R. 220-229)
- Petitioner contends that counsel should have moved to dismiss the charges or requested a judgment of acquittal based on the state's failure to prove each element of the offense.

Petitioner contends that he was prejudiced, because petitioner was convicted based on the overlapping evidence of the other victims.

- Ground(4) Counsel was ineffective and petitioner was prejudice thereby when counsel fail to request jury instructions on identification, on the failure of the petitioner to testify and lesser included offense instruction on second degree robbery.
- Supporting Facts; The record reflects that counsel did not request any of the above jury charges was supported by the evidence and would explained to the jury the law to be applied to the facts.

The petitioner was entitle to such instruction in support of his defense of mistaken identication and was certainly entitled to instructions regarding his rights whether or not to testify, and futher the evidence supported a lesser included offense instructions on Robbery Second-Degree.

Counsel error and omission deprived petitioner of a fundamental fair trial.

- Ground(5) Counsel was Ineffective and Petitioner was preduiced thereby when counsel fail to move for a mistrial and/or object to the court futher instucting the jury to return and to continue to deliberate, after the jury indicated that they were deadlocked.
- Supporting Facts; Petitioner avers that the record in this case, reveals that the could not reach a verdict on the charges against the petitioner, the jury indicated on several ocassions that they were deadlocked. the Court gave several instructions for the jury to continue to
 - deliberate, however the jury returned and stated that they were deadlocked and could not reach a verdict.

 (R. 380-385) after given the final instruction.

 The Trial Court, this time, with a substitute judge, again instructed the jury to reach a verdict.

 (R. 399-407)

Petitioner contends that he was prejudiced by the Court's multiple charges to the jury to continue to deliberate as such coerced the jury by placing over_bearingpressure into reaching a verdict.

Counsel error in this regards prejudiced the petitioner and deprived him of a fundamental fair trial. page 382 paragraph 18-19

- Ground(6) Petitioner contends that he was denied effective assistance of counsel on direct appeal of his conviction and sentence when counsel fail to raise petitioner's objection to the prosecutor use of peremptory challenges to exclude African American from serving on the petit jury on the bases of their race.
- Supporting Facts; the record reflects that immediately after the jury was struck, Petitioner made a timely Batson Vs. Kentucky, challenge. (R. 90) Petitioner presented a prima facie case of purposeful descrimnation and the prosecutor attempted to give race-neutral reasons for the striking three of the five african american jurors. (R. 90-99).

Petitioner showed that the prosecutor struk Leon smith, an African American, but did not strike Albert Whited, who was white, eventhough they both had family members that was convicted the same or similar offense.(R. 92-93). With respect to potential jurors Paeker, Higgins and Smith the prosecutor gave pretextual reasons.(R. 92-99). Petitioner contends that counsel error in failing to raise this issue on appeal prejudice him, because there is a reasonable probability had this issue been raised, his conviction would have been reversed.

Ground(7) Counsel on Direct Appeal was ineffective and the Petitioner was prejudice thereby when counsel fail to raise petitioner's motion to suppress the identification of the petitioner.

Supporting Facts; Prior to trial the petitioner filed a motion suppress the in-court-identification of him by the victims, because the identification was based on an impermissible suggestive identification procedure.

G

Specifically, petitioner avers that the victim was allowed to identify petitioner at a docket-call and was chaeged with the robbery, the victims also knew the Petitioner's name.(R. 6-11) April 25,2007 of the consolidation hearing transcipt, Exhibits; A-B-C-D-E-f-G

Petitioner contends that based on the totalty of the circumstances, that the robbers had on Ski Masks and based on the initial description given, the victims in-court-identification testimony should have been suppressed, and counsel failure to raise this issue on direct appeal was prejudical to the Petitioner.

Wherefore, Premises considered, Petitioner Prays that this court will set this cause for hearing, and after such review, Grant Petitioner a New Trial.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sumnar R. Brewster AIS 159620 Holman Unit 3700 Atmore, Ala 36503-3700

Case 4:13-cv-01279-RDP-JEO Document 1 Filed 07/10/13 Page 9 of 10

you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as udgment under attack? Yes () No ()
the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the followers of the judgment attacked herein:
At preliminary hearing
At arraignment and plea
Attrial DANT BONE 1031 FARREST AUG, GADSDEN ALA 35901
At sentencing DANT BONE 1031 FORREST AUE, GAUSDEN ALA 359
On appeal RODNEY WARD 877 CHESTNUT ST GADSDENALAS
In any post-conviction proceeding
On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding:
e you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment are same court and at the same time? (Y No ()
you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgmer attack? () No ()
If so, give name and location of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future:
And give date and length of sentence to be served in the future:

Wherefore, petitioner prays that the Court grant petitioner relies to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.

and correct.

Signature of Attorney (if any) I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true Executed on 6/2/2013 (date)

SUMMER R. BROWSTER # 159620