State Court of Fulton County

E-FILED

18EV003227

7/9/2018 4:41 PM

LeNora Ponzo, Clerk

Civil Division

IN THE STATE COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

HUGH GERALD DELAUGHDER, JR. and PATSY ANN WHATLEY, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of BILL MONROE WHATLEY, deceased,	:
Plaintiffs,	: :
v.	: : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY and SUPERIOR LAND DESIGNS, LLC,	: : :

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COME NOW the Plaintiffs, Hugh Gerald Delaughder, Jr. and Patsy Ann Whatley, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Bill Monroe Whatley, deceased, and file this Complaint for Damages showing the court as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, VENUE and SERVICE

- 1. Plaintiff Hugh Gerald Delaughder, Jr. (hereinafter "Plaintiff Delaughder") is over the age of majority and is a resident of Heflin, Alabama.
- 2. Plaintiff Pasty Ann Whatley is over the age of majority and is a resident of Raleigh, Mississippi. Plaintiff Whatley brings the present action Individually and as the duly appointed Administratrix of the Estate of Bill Monroe Whatley, deceased. Prior to his death, Bill Monroe Whatley (hereinafter the "Decedent") was a resident of Raleigh, Mississippi.
- 3. Defendant Colonial Pipeline Company (hereinafter "Colonial") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Alpharetta, Fulton County, Georgia. Defendant Colonial is registered to do business in Georgia, and its Alpharetta office is registered as its principal office

with the Secretary of State. As a result, Defendant Colonial is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. Defendant Colonial may be served by service of process on its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 40 Technology Parkway South, Suite 300, Norcross, GA 30092.

- 4. Defendant Superior Land Designs, LLC (hereinafter "Superior") is a Georgia limited liability company with its principal office in Alpharetta, Fulton County, Georgia. Defendant Superior's Alpharetta office is registered as its principal office with the Secretary of State. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant Superior is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. Defendant Superior may be served by service of process on its registered agent, Clayton Cain, 20 Grayson New Hope Road, Suite H, Grayson, GA 30017.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court and county as to all Defendants pursuant to O.C.G.A. §14-2-510, as the Defendants maintain their registered offices in Fulton County.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Defendant Colonial owns and operates a multi-state refined petroleum pipeline system which runs from Houston, Texas to Linden, New Jersey (hereinafter "the Pipeline"). Constructed during the 1960's, the Pipeline is over five thousand miles long, and is the largest refined petroleum pipeline in the United States, delivering one hundred million gallons of petroleum products per day.
- 7. As owner and operator of the Pipeline, at all times Defendant Colonial had ultimate responsibility for its condition, operation, maintenance, and repair, including the excavation work described herein.
- 8. Defendant Colonial also was responsible for knowing the condition of the Pipeline and its state of repair, and for providing this information to its contractors and agents/servants/employees.

- 9. Defendant Colonial's duties with respect to the condition, operation, maintenance, and repair of the Pipeline are non-delegable.
- 10. In September of 2016, a leak was discovered on the Pipeline in Shelby County, Alabama (hereinafter the "September Leak"), which resulted in a spill of over three hundred thousand (300,000) gallons of gasoline near the Cahaba River Wildlife Management Area.
- 11. Following the discovery of the September Leak, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") issued a Corrective Action Order requiring Defendant Colonial to shut down a portion of the Pipeline and take necessary corrective action to protect the public, property, and environment from hazards associated with the leak. Pursuant to Title 49, § 60112 of the United States Code, PHMSA issued the Corrective Action Order without prior notice or opportunity for a hearing, in part, because of Defendant Colonial's history of problems or failures on the Pipeline, which include one hundred eighty-five (185) "significant incidents" in the ten years preceding the September Leak.
- 12. The number, frequency, and severity of significant incidents is indicative of systemic failures in the Pipeline and/or Defendant Colonial's management of the Pipeline.
- 13. The shutdown of the Pipeline had clear national implications, as it caused a significant disruption of the gasoline supply to the Southern and Eastern portions of the United States, and caused a spike in gasoline prices.
- 14. Following the September Leak and PHMSA's Corrective Action Order, Defendant Colonial was engaged in actions to remediate the leak, which necessitated excavating and accessing segments of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and materials which were not located in the immediate vicinity of the site of the September Leak.

- 15. As part of the efforts to remediate the September Leak, Defendant Colonial engaged L.E. Bell Construction, Inc. (hereinafter "L.E. Bell") as an independent contractor to perform excavation and repairs of the Pipeline. During September and October of 2016, L.E. Bell stationed multiple crews in the Shelby County area to conduct such excavation and repair work.
- 16. On information and belief, Defendant Colonial had a long history of utilizing the services of L.E. Bell for pipeline exaction and repair work prior to September and October of 2016.
- 17. Although L.E. Bell was engaged to perform excavation and repair work, Defendant Colonial was responsible for such work, and had a duty to ensure that its Pipeline was safe for excavation, and that excavation work was performed in a safe manner in compliance with federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own procedures.
- 18. Defendant Colonial assigned one of its agents/servants/employees as its project inspector responsible for the work related to the September Leak performed by L.E. Bell.
- 19. On October 31, 2016, Plaintiff Delaughder was employed by L.E. Bell as a truck driver, and on that date he was assigned to perform work in Shelby County related to the remediation efforts.
- 20. On October 31, 2016, the Decedent, Bill Whatley, was employed by L.E. Bell as a laborer, and he was assigned to one of the crews performing excavation and repair work for the remediation efforts in Shelby County, Alabama (hereinafter the "L.E. Bell Crew").
- 21. Defendant Colonial hired Defendant Superior as an additional, third-party inspector to supervise the excavation and repair work performed by the L.E. Bell Crew on October 31, 2016.
- 22. As a third-party inspector, Defendant Superior shared Defendant Colonial's duty to ensure excavation and repair work was safe, and that excavation work was performed in a safe manner in compliance with federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own procedures.

- 23. Although Defendant Colonial engaged Defendant Superior regarding the October 31, 2016 excavation and repair work, Defendant Colonial was ultimately responsible for the excavations and repairs, and had a duty to ensure that the excavations were performed in a safe manner in compliance with federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own procedures.
- 24. Defendant Colonial also had a duty to ensure that Defendant Superior's inspector was experienced and properly qualified.
- 25. Defendant Superior assigned its agent/servant/employee, Chris Covey, to inspect at the site of the L.E. Bell Crew's excavations, and he was responsible for directing excavation activities and had a duty to stop work if the excavations were not safe or if any unsafe practices occurred.
- 26. While working as an inspector at the site of the L.E. Bell Crew's excavations, Mr. Covey was also an agent/servant/employee of Defendant Colonial.
- 27. Defendant Superior's inspector, Mr. Covey, lacked sufficient experience and qualifications.
- 28. On the morning of October 31, 2016, the L.E. Bell Crew to which the Decedent was assigned performed an excavation of the Pipeline along County Road 91 in Shelby County, Alabama (hereinafter the "County Road 91 Excavation"). During the County Road 91 Excavation, Defendant Colonial's project inspector, Nicky Cobb, was on site. In addition, Defendant Superior's inspector, Mr. Covey, was present as the third-party inspector.
- 29. On the morning of October 31, 2016, Plaintiff Delaughder transported L.E. Bell equipment from Shelby County to Heflin, Alabama. On returning to Shelby County, Plaintiff Delaughder was instructed by his supervisor to transport equipment to the L.E. Bell Crew at the site of the County Road 91 Excavation.

- 30. After work for the County Road 91 Excavation was completed, the L.E. Bell Crew, including the Decedent, drove to the sight of site of a second excavation to be performed that day along River Road in Shelby County, Alabama (hereinafter the "Subject Excavation"). Plaintiff Delaughder transported equipment from the County Road 91 Excavation site to the Subject Excavation.
- 31. Defendant Superior's inspector, Mr. Covey, went to the site of the Subject Excavation; however, Colonial's project inspector, Mr. Cobb, failed to appear. Mr. Cobb instructed Mr. Covey that the Subject Excavation should go forward without him present. Mr. Covey had requested documentation from Mr. Cobb regarding the location of the Pipeline at the excavation sites, but the information was not provided to Mr. Covey.
- 32. The Subject Excavation, as planned, was intended to be an excavation to uncover one or more threaded O-rings (hereinafter "TORs").
- 33. TORs are appurtenances installed on pipelines which permit access to the pipe interior. While TORs are buried below ground along with the pipelines, they extend several inches above the surface of the pipelines themselves.
- 34. At the Subject Excavation, an L.E. Bell employee (hereinafter the "Operator") was assigned to operate a large "track hoe" excavator, which had been delivered to the site by Plaintiff Delaughder.
- 35. Under Mr. Covey's direction, and pursuant to the custom and course of conduct between Defendant Colonial and L.E. Bell, the Operator began digging with the "track hoe" above or near the location of the TORs on the Pipeline.

- 36. As the Operator was digging, the "track hoe" excavator struck either the Pipeline, one of the TORs, or a concrete pad installed over one of the TORs. As a result, the Pipeline ruptured, and a large spray of gasoline was released.
- 37. The L.E. Bell Crew and Mr. Covey attempted to flee the site, but the gasoline ignited and a large explosion occurred (hereinafter the "Subject Explosion").
- 38. The Subject Explosion burned for several days, and received national media attention. As a result of the Subject Explosion, over one hundred seventy thousand gallons of gasoline were released, two workers were killed, and four others were injured.
- 39. The Decedent and Plaintiff Delaughder were seriously injured and burned in the Subject Explosion.
- 40. Ultimately, the Decedent lost his life on November 21, 2016 as a result of the injuries he sustained in Subject Explosion.

STATEMENT REGARDING APPLICABLE LAW

- 41. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. The subject explosion which injured Plaintiff Delaughder and caused the death of the Decedent occurred in Shelby County, Alabama.
- 43. Pursuant to the rule of *lex loci delicti*, the substantive law of Alabama applies to Plaintiff's claims in tort.
- 44. Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-43, Plaintiffs hereby give notice of their intent to seek the application of Alabama substantive law to the claims set forth below for negligence, wantonness, negligence per se, respondent superior, wrongful death, loss of consortium, and punitive damages.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENCE AGAINST COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY

45. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.

46. Defendant Colonial breached a duty of care to Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent in multiple respects, including but not limited to: (1) failing to adopt and/or enforce adequate procedures, including but not limited to procedures for the safe excavation and repair of its Pipeline; (2) failing to have and maintain adequate information and records regarding the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material, and failing to provide adequate information and records to its contractors necessary for a safe excavation and repair of its Pipeline; (3) failing to warn regarding hazardous conditions of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material; (4) failing to properly design, construct, mark, and/or install the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and materials, and failing to ensure the proper design, construction, and/or installation of the same; (5) failing to properly inspect, monitor, assess, evaluate, and/or maintain the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials, and failing to ensure the proper inspection, monitoring, assessment, evaluation, and/or maintenance of the same; (6) failing to identify and/or correct hazardous conditions in the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials; (7) failing to provide adequate personnel, supervision and oversight of individuals and/or entities it utilized in the inspection, repair, excavation and/or maintenance of the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials; (8) failing to operate its Pipeline in a safe manner and in a safe condition; (9) failing to install, failing to maintain, or removing safety devices and systems which protected the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials; (10) failing to properly assess, hire, train, and/or supervise its agents/servants/employees; (11) failing to have in place an appropriate emergency plan or procedures; (12) failing to have a competent inspector present, and permitting an unqualified individual to supervise and direct the excavation of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and materials; (13) failing to have in place plans and procedures specific to the Subject Excavation; (14) failing to adequately locate and mark the location of TORs and appurtenances, including concrete pads installed over TORS, on the Pipeline; (15) selecting and retaining contractors who were not competent and did not have adequate training, qualifications, and experience, and whose agents/servants/employees were not competent and did not have adequate training, qualifications, and experience; (16) selecting and retaining contractors who utilized unsafe work practices and/or failed to adhere to federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies; (17) failing to stop unsafe work practices; (18) directly or indirectly instructing its contractors and/or their employees to perform actions and work practices that were not safe and were in violation of federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies; (19) fostering a culture whereby unsafe practices were not reported or stopped, and were allowed to proceed in order to expedite work; (20) failing to ensure that all workers at the Subject Excavation had adequate training, qualifications, and experience; and (21) allowing the Subject Excavation to proceed without adequate and/or necessary information, including the depth and location of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material.

- 47. Defendant Colonial's failure to comply with its duties constitutes negligence.
- 48. Defendant Colonial's breaches of duty combined and concurred with other wrongful conduct to proximately cause Plaintiff Delaughder's injuries and the injuries and death of the Decedent, Bill Monroe Whatley.

COUNT II WANTONNESS AGAINST COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY

- 49. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. Prior to the subject explosion on October 31, 2016, Defendant Colonial had superior knowledge of the dangers, defects, hazards and/or risks associated with its Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and materials.
- 51. Prior to the subject explosion on October 31, 2016, Defendant Colonial: (1) received notice of numerous incidents where excavation crews of its contractors had struck its Pipeline; (2) knew that its contractors employed individuals who were not competent and did not have adequate training, qualifications, or experience; (3) knew that excavation crews of its contractors were utilizing unsafe work practices, including but not limited to failure to adhere to federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies; and (4) fostered a culture whereby unsafe practices were not reported or stopped, and were allowed to proceed in order to expedite work.
- 52. Prior to the subject explosion on October 31, 2016, Defendant Colonial knew explosions like the subject explosion which injured Plaintiffs would likely occur if its Pipeline was struck, or if excavation crews utilized unsafe work practices, including but not limited to failure to adhere to federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies.
- 53. Defendant Colonial, despite this knowledge, consciously and recklessly disregarded the rights and safety of others, including Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent, through its actions and inactions, including but not limited to: (1) failing to adopt and/or enforce adequate procedures, including but not limited to procedures for the safe excavation and repair of its Pipeline; (2) failing to have and maintain adequate information and records regarding the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material, and failing to provide adequate information and records to its contractors

necessary for a safe excavation and repair of its Pipeline; (3) failing to warn regarding hazardous conditions of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material; (4) failing to properly design, construct, mark, and/or install the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and materials, and failing to ensure the proper design, construction, and/or installation of the same; (5) failing to properly inspect, monitor, assess, evaluate, and/or maintain the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials, and failing to ensure the proper inspection, monitoring, assessment, evaluation, and/or maintenance of the same; (6) failing to identify and/or correct hazardous conditions in the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials; (7) failing to provide adequate personnel, supervision and oversight of individuals and/or entities it utilized in the inspection, repair, excavation and/or maintenance of the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials; (8) failing to operate its Pipeline in a safe manner and in a safe condition; (9) failing to install, failing to maintain, or removing safety devices and systems which protected the Pipeline, its integrity, the surrounding area, and appurtenant equipment and materials; (10) failing to properly assess, hire, train, and/or supervise its agents/servants/employees; (11) failing to have in place an appropriate emergency plan or procedures; (12) failing to have a competent inspector present, and permitting an unqualified individual to supervise and direct the excavation of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and materials; (13) failing to have in place plans and procedures specific to the Subject Excavation; (14) failing to adequately locate and mark the location of TORs and appurtenances, including concrete pads installed over TORS, on the Pipeline; (15) selecting and retaining contractors who were not competent and did not have adequate training, qualifications, and experience, and whose agents/servants/employees were not competent and did not have adequate training, qualifications, and experience; (16) selecting and retaining contractors who utilized unsafe work practices and/or failed to adhere to federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies; (17) failing to stop unsafe work practices; (18) directly or indirectly instructing its contractors and/or their employees to perform actions and work practices that were not safe and were in violation of federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies; (19) fostering a culture whereby unsafe practices were not reported or stopped, and were allowed to proceed in order to expedite work; (20) failing to ensure that all workers at the Subject Excavation had adequate training, qualifications, and experience; and (21) allowing the Subject Excavation to proceed without adequate and/or necessary information, including the depth and location of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material.

54. Defendant Colonial's wanton conduct combined and concurred with other wrongful conduct to proximately cause Plaintiff Delaughder's injuries and the injuries and death of the Decedent, Bill Monroe Whatley.

COUNT III NEGLIGENCE PER SE AGAINST COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY

- 55. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. Defendant Colonial's negligent and wanton conduct described herein also breached a duty of care to Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent by violation of local, state, and national laws, regulations, ordinances, fire codes, industry standards, and/or safety codes, as well as Defendant Colonial's own policies and procedures, which have the force and effect of law.
- 57. Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent were within the class of persons intended to be protected by said laws, regulations, ordinances, industry standards and/or safety codes, and is among the class of persons to whom such duty ran, and their injuries are the type of harm intended to be prevented by such laws, regulations, ordinances, industry standards and/or safety codes.

- 58. The negligent and wanton breach of duty by Defendant Colonial constitutes negligence per se.
- 59. The negligent and wanton breach of duty by Defendant Colonial combined and concurred with other wrongful conduct to proximately cause Plaintiff Delaughder's injuries and the injuries and death of the Decedent, Bill Monroe Whatley.

<u>COUNT IV</u> NEGLIGENCE AGAINST SUPERIOR LAND DESIGNS

- 60. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. Defendant Superior breached a duty of care to Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent in multiple respects, including but not limited to: (1) failing to have in place an appropriate emergency plan or procedures; (2) failing to adopt and/or enforce adequate procedures, including but not limited to procedures for the safe excavation and repair of the Pipeline promulgated by local, state, and national laws, regulations, ordinances, fire codes, industry standards, and/or safety codes, as well as Defendant Colonial's policies and procedures, which have the force and effect of law; (3) failing to provide adequate oversight necessary for a safe excavation and repair of the Pipeline; (4) authorizing, directing and permitting unsafe actions and work practices in the excavation of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material; (5) failing to stop the Subject Excavation when it became unsafe, including the use of unsafe actions and work practices in the excavation of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material; (6) failing to properly hire, train, and/or supervise its agents/servants/employees; (7) failing to have in place plans and procedures specific to the Subject Excavation; (8) failing to conduct an appropriate job safety analysis for the Subject Excavation; (9) assigning an agent/servant/employee as inspector for the Subject Excavation who was not competent, adequately trained, qualified, or experienced; (10)

directing and/or permitting the performance of actions and work practices that were not safe and were in violation of federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies; (11) failing to ensure that all working at the Subject excavation had adequate training, qualifications, and experience; (12) failing to adequately hire, train, and/or supervise its agents, servants, and employees; and (13) allowing the Subject Excavation to proceed without adequate and/or necessary information, including the depth and location of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material.

- 62. Defendant Superior's failure to comply with its duties constitutes negligence.
- 63. Defendant Superior's breaches of its duties combined and concurred with other wrongful conduct to proximately cause Plaintiff Delaughder's injuries and the injuries and death of the Decedent, Bill Monroe Whatley.

<u>COUNT V</u> <u>WANTONNESS AGAINST SUPERIOR LAND DESIGNS</u>

- 64. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 65. Prior to the subject explosion on October 31, 2016, Defendant Superior had superior knowledge of the dangers, hazards and/or risks associated with the subject Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and materials.
- 66. Prior to the subject explosion on October 31, 2016, Defendant Superior: (1) received notice of numerous incidents where excavation crews had struck the Pipeline; (2) knew that excavation crews were utilizing unsafe work practices; (3) knew that its agent/servant/employee, Chris Covey, was not competent and lacked proper qualifications, training and experience to serve as an inspector for the Subject Excavation.

67. Prior to the subject explosion on October 31, 2016, Defendant Superior knew explosions like the subject explosion which injured Plaintiffs could occur.

68. Defendant Superior, despite this knowledge, consciously and recklessly disregarded the rights and safety of others, including Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent, through its actions and inactions, including but not limited to: (1) failing to have in place an appropriate emergency plan or procedures; (2) failing to adopt and/or enforce adequate procedures, including but not limited to procedures for the safe excavation and repair of the Pipeline promulgated by local, state, and national laws, regulations, ordinances, fire codes, industry standards, and/or safety codes, as well as Defendant Colonial's policies and procedures, which have the force and effect of law; (3) failing to provide adequate oversight necessary for a safe excavation and repair of the Pipeline; (4) authorizing, directing and permitting unsafe actions and work practices in the excavation of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material; (5) failing to stop the Subject Excavation when it became unsafe, including the use of unsafe actions and work practices in the excavation of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material; (6) failing to properly hire, train, and/or supervise its agents/servants/employees; (7) failing to have in place plans and procedures specific to the Subject Excavation; (8) failing to conduct an appropriate job safety analysis for the Subject Excavation; (9) assigning an agent/servant/employee as inspector for the Subject Excavation who was not competent, adequately trained, qualified, or experienced; (10) directing and/or permitting the performance of actions and work practices that were not safe and were in violation of federal rules and regulations, industry standards, and Colonial's own policies; (11) failing to ensure that all working at the Subject excavation had adequate training, qualifications, and experience; (12) failing to adequately hire, train, and/or supervise its agents, servants, and employees; and (13)

allowing the Subject Excavation to proceed without adequate and/or necessary information, including the depth and location of the Pipeline and appurtenant equipment and material.

69. Defendant Superior's wanton conduct combined and concurred with other wrongful conduct to proximately cause Plaintiff Delaughder's injuries and the injuries and death of the Decedent, Bill Monroe Whatley.

<u>COUNT VI</u> NEGLIGENCE PER SE AGAINST DEFENDANT SUPERIOR

70. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.

71. Defendant Superior's negligent and wanton conduct described herein also breached a duty of care to Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent by violation of local, state, and national laws, regulations, ordinances, fire codes, industry standards, and/or safety codes, as well as Defendant Colonial's policies and procedures, which have the force and effect of law.

72. Plaintiff Delaughder and the Decedent were within the class of persons intended to be protected by said laws, regulations, ordinances, industry standards and/or safety codes, and is among the class of persons to whom such duty ran, and their injuries are the type of harm intended to be prevented by such laws, regulations, ordinances, industry standards and/or safety codes.

73. The negligent and wanton breach of duty by Defendant Superior constitutes negligence per se.

74. The negligent and wanton breach of duty by Defendant Superior combined and concurred with other wrongful conduct to proximately cause Plaintiff Delaughder's injuries and the injuries and death of the Decedent, Bill Monroe Whatley.

COUNT VII RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR

- 75. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 76. In committing the negligent and wanton conduct described hereinabove, Defendant Colonial and Defendant Superior acted through agents/servants/employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment.
- 77. Defendant Colonial and Defendant Superior are responsible for the tortious conduct of their agents, servants, and employees under the doctrine of respondent superior.

COUNT VIII PLAINTIFF WHATLEY'S WRONGFUL DEATH AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 78. Plaintiff Patsy Ann Whatley hereby incorporates by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 79. The tortious conduct of Defendant Colonial and Defendant Superior described hereinabove combined and concurred to proximately cause the wrongful death of the Decedent, Bill Whatley.
- 80. Plaintiff Patsy Ann Whatley, as Administratrix of the Estate of Bill Monroe Whatley, deceased, files this claim for wrongful death pursuant to Alabama Code § 6-5-410.
- 81. Pursuant to the Alabama Wrongful Death Act, Alabama Code § 6-5-410, Plaintiff seeks punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury.

COUNT IX PLAINTIFF DELAUGHDER'S CLAIM FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

82. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.

83. In committing the negligent and wanton conduct described hereinabove, the Defendants consciously and/or deliberately engaged in oppression, wantonness, and/or malice with regard to Plaintiff Delaughder.

84. With respect to the tortious and wanton conduct of their employees, agents, and servants, the Defendants: (1) knew, or should have known, of the unfitness of said employees, agents, and servants; and, with a disregard for the rights and safety of others, continued to use the services of the employees, agents, and servants, and/or used said services without proper instruction; (2) authorized the wrongful conduct of the employees, agents, and servants; and/or (3) ratified the wrongful conduct.

85. The tortious and wanton acts of the Defendants' employees, agents, and servants were calculated to benefit the Defendants.

86. Accordingly, Plaintiff Delaughder seeks punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury.

COUNT X PLAINTIFF WHATLEY'S LOSS OF CONSORTIUM CLAIM AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

87. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.

88. As a result of the Decedent's death, Plaintiff Patsy Ann Whatley, as the surviving spouse, has experienced a loss of love, companionship, fellowship, cooperation, assistance, society, services, conversation, care, affection, emotional support, and physical aid and comfort, as well as her right to continuation of the normal marital relationship.

89. The acts of the Defendants combined and concurred to proximately cause Plaintiff Patsy Ann Whatley's loss of marital services.

COUNT XI ATTORNEY'S FEES AND EXPENSES OF LITIGATION

- 90. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 91. There exists no bona fide dispute as to the Defendants' liability, and said Defendants have caused Plaintiffs unnecessary trouble and expense in forcing them to file and prosecute this lawsuit to recover damages. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are authorized to recover expenses of litigation, including reasonable attorney's fees, from the Defendants pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 13-6-11.

PLAINTIFF DELAUGHDER'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Delaughder respectfully requests the following:

- a) that a copy of the Summons and Complaint be served upon the Defendants;
- b) a trial by jury as to each and every appropriate issue;
- c) a judgment against the Defendants;
- d) an award of compensatory damages to be determined by a jury;
- e) an award of punitive damages to be determined by a jury;
- f) reasonable attorney's fees and expenses of litigation;
- g) special damages in an amount to be determined later;
- h) that all costs of this action be cast against the Defendants; and
- i) any and all such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

PLAINTIFF WHATLEY'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patsy Ann Whatley, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of

Bill Monroe Whatley, deceased, respectfully requests the following:

a) that a copy of the Summons and Complaint be served upon the Defendants;

b) a trial by jury as to each and every appropriate issue;

c) a judgment against the Defendants;

d) an award of compensatory damages to be determined by a jury;

e) an award of punitive damages to be determined by a jury;

f) an award of punitive damages, pursuant to Ala. Code § 6-5-410, to be determined by a

jury;

g) reasonable attorney's fees and expenses of litigation;

h) special damages in an amount to be determined later;

i) that all costs of this action be cast against the Defendants; and

j) any and all such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

This 9th day of July, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Travis E. Lynch Travis E. Lynch, GA Bar No. 162373 tlynch@hgdlawfirm.com HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

3621 Vinings Slope, Suite 4320 Atlanta, GA 30339

Tel: 404-996-0867 Fax: 205-326-3332

/S/ GLENDA G. COCHRAN

Glenda G. Cochran, PHV forthcoming GC@GLENDACOCHRAN.COM

Matthew Robert Moneyham, PHV forthcoming

MM@glendacochran.com GLENDA COCHRAN ASSOCIATES 310 Richard Arrington Jr. Blvd. North, Suite 500 Birmingham, Alabama 35203 Telephone: (205) 328-5050

Facsimile: (205) 320-1659

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS