Envelope: 1824354

Verdict Form

•	We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:		
1.	Do you find that any of the following constitutes a trade secret? If yes, place an X in the blank next to each trade secret. If no, then sign the verdict form at the end.		
	TS#1 (spacer layer techniques)		
	TS#2 (thin barrier techniques)		
	TS#2 (thin barrier techniques) TS#3 (Indium in barrier techniques) TS#4 (Magnesium cap techniques)		
	TS#4 (Magnesium cap techniques)		
	TS#5 (entire Fontus N recipe)		
	If you placed an X next to any of the above items, go to Question 2.		
	If you placed an X next to any of the above items, go to Question 2. MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS		
2.	MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS GANGYI CHEN Do you find that Defendant Gangyi Chen misappropriated by disclosure		
2.	MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS GANGYI CHEN Do you find that Defendant Gangyi Chen misappropriated by disclosure any of the trade secret(s) you identified with an X in response to Question 1?		
2.	MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS GANGYI CHEN Do you find that Defendant Gangyi Chen misappropriated by disclosure		
2.	MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS GANGYI CHEN Do you find that Defendant Gangyi Chen misappropriated by disclosure any of the trade secret(s) you identified with an X in response to Question 1?		
2.	MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS GANGYI CHEN Do you find that Defendant Gangyi Chen misappropriated by disclosure any of the trade secret(s) you identified with an X in response to Question 1? X Yes No		

	DONGLEI ("TONY") WANG			
4.	Do you find that Defendant Donglei ("Tony") Wang misappropriated by			
	<u>acquisition</u> any of the trade secret(s) you identified with an X in response to Question 1?			
	Whether you answered yes or no, go to Question 5.			
5.	Do you find that Defendant Donglei ("Tony") Wang misappropriated <u>by</u> use any of the trade secret(s) you identified with an X in response to Question 1?			
	Whether you answered yes or no, go to Question 6.			
	Elec-Tech International Co., Ltd. ("ETI")			
6.	Do you find that Defendant Elec-Tech International Co., Ltd. misappropriated by acquisition any of the trade secret(s) you identified with an X in response to Question 1?			
	Whether you answered yes or no, go to Question 7.			
7.	Do you find that Defendant Elec-Tech International Co., Ltd. misappropriated by use any of the trade secret(s) you identified with an X in			

If you answered yes to Questions 3, 5 or 7, go to Question 8. If you answered no to all those questions, go to Page 4 under the section "Willful and Malicious Conduct."

__ No

response to Question 1?

Yes

8.	Identify the ETI recipes that use the trade secrets you identified in Question 1 by placing an X next to the recipe.			
	• A1X			
	• Au _X			
	• CS <u>×</u> • P6 ×			
	• PH ×			
	• ZS ×			
	Go to Question 9 below.			
9.	Do you find that Defendant(s)' misappropriation was a substantial factor causing ETI to be unjustly enriched?			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	compensates Lumileds for ETI's unjust enrichment by placing an X next to one of the two damages theories below. You must only place an X next to one			
	compensates Lumileds for ETI's unjust enrichment by placing an X next to one of the two damages theories below. You must only place an X next to one			
	compensates Lumileds for ETI's unjust enrichment by placing an X next to one of the two damages theories below. You must only place an X next to one of the two measures of damages.			
	compensates Lumileds for ETI's unjust enrichment by placing an X next to one of the two damages theories below. You must only place an X next to one of the two measures of damages. Disgorgement of ETI's Profits			

Disgorgement of ETI's Profits

	State the amount of damages for disgorgement of ETI's profits owed to Lumileds next to each ETI recipe that used any of the trade secret(s) you dentified with an X in response to Question 1 above.			
	A1 \$			
	Au \$			
	CS \$			
	PO \$			
	PH \$			
	ZS \$			
	Total Amount \$			
	Go to the "Willful and Malicious Conduct" section on Page 4.			
	Avoided Research and Development Costs			
12.	State the amount of damages for avoided research and development costs owed to Lumileds:			
	66 m			
	Go to the "Willful and Malicious Conduct" section on Page 4.			
	WILLFUL AND MALICIOUS CONDUCT			
	f you answered Yes to Questions 2 or 3, answer Question 13.			
13.	Did Lumileds prove that Defendant Gangyi Chen acted willfully and naliciously in misappropriating Lumileds' trade secrets?			
	Yes X No			
	If you answered Yes to Questions 4 or 5, answer Question 14.			
14.	Did Lumileds prove that Defendant Donglei ("Tony") Wang acted willfully and maliciously in misappropriating Lumileds' trade secrets?			
	Yes X No			
	If you answered Yes to Questions 6 or 7, answer Question 15.			
15.	Did Lumileds prove that Defendant Elec-Tech International Co., Ltd. acted willfully and maliciously in misappropriating Lumileds' trade secrets?			

Yes	XNo	
Signed:	9	
	Presiding Juror	•
Dated: <u>10</u>	August 2018	
After this yer	dict form has been signed	notify the bailiff that you are ready

to present your verdict in the courtroom.