

Rhonda Milberry	§	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
		PHILADELPHIA COUNTY
Plaintiff,	§	
v.		
	§	
Craig A. Altman, Esquire, Individually,	§	SEPTEMBER TERM 2018
And Law Offices of Craig A. Altman P.C.	§	NO. 3075
Defendants.	§	

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 25th day of February, 2022, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's Order dated January 11, 2022, (Control No. 21120836) and Plaintiff's response thereto, it is hereby **ORDERED** and **DECREED** that said Motion is **GRANTED** in part.

It is **ORDERED** and **DECREED** that the Order dated January 11, 2022 is hereby amended as follows:

Defendants' Supplemental Motion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED**. Plaintiff's Cross Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED** the court having determined as a matter of law Defendants breached a duty arising from the parties attorney-client relationship, specifically the failure of Defendants to act in accordance with the New Jersey Tort Claims Act, N.J.S.A.59:1-1 et seq. All other issues in this legal malpractice action (i.e., causation and damages) remain for jury determination.

It is further **ORDERED** and **DECREED** that the request for leave to file an interlocutory appeal of this Order is **DENIED**.

ORDER-Milberry Vs Altman Etal



18090307500193

BY THE COURT:

*Allen*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 ALLEN, J.

Case ID: 180903075  
 Control No.: 22013232