

VERDICT FORM

Interrogatory No. 1: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that the tire manufactured, assembled, sold or otherwise distributed by Qingdao and Elaine Petroleum was in a defective condition which rendered it unreasonably dangerous and which was a proximate cause of Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duce
(Yes or No)

Interrogatory No 2: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that there was negligence on the part of Qingdao, which was a proximate cause of Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duce
(Yes or No)

Interrogatory No. 3: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that there was negligence on the part of Elaine Petroleum, which was a proximate cause of Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duce
(Yes or No)

Interrogatory No. 4: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that Qingdao breached an implied warranty in that Qingdao's tire was not fit at the time Qingdao sold it for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used, which was a proximate cause of the Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duce
(Yes or No)

FILED

At 5:57 O'clock PM

OCT 25 2018

LYNN STILLWELL
PHILLIPS COUNTY CIRCUIT CLERK
By as D.C.

Interrogatory No. 5: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that Elaine Petroleum breached an implied warranty in that Elaine Petroleum's tire was not fit at the time Elaine Petroleum sold it for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used, which was a proximate cause of the Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duncanson
(Yes or No)

Interrogatory No. 6: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that an implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose was breached by Qingdao and that the unfitness of the tire was a proximate cause of the Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duncanson
(Yes or No)

Interrogatory No. 7: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that an implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose was breached by Elaine Petroleum and that the unfitness of the tire was a proximate cause of the Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duncanson
(Yes or No)

Interrogatory No. 8: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that Qingdao breached an express warranty, which was a proximate cause of the Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duncanson
(Yes or No)

Interrogatory No. 9: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that Elaine Petroleum breached an express warranty, which was a proximate cause of the Michael Snyder's damages?

Answer: Yes Tracy Duncanson
(Yes or No)

If you have answered "Yes" to one or more of Interrogatories 1-9, then answer the following questions:

Interrogatory No. 10: Do you find from the preponderance of the evidence that Michael Snyder was at fault and that such fault was a proximate cause of any damages he may have sustained?

Answer:

(Yes or No)

NO

Tracy Dunc

Interrogatory No. 11: Using 100% to represent the total responsibility for the occurrence and for any injuries or damages resulting from it, apportion the responsibility between the parties whom you have found to be responsible.

Qingdao

Elaine Petroleum

Michael Snyder

Total

75%

25%

0%

100%

Interrogatory No. 12: State the amount of any damages that you find from a preponderance of the evidence were sustained by Michael Snyder as a result of the occurrence? Do not reduce those damages by any percentage of fault you may have assigned to Michael Snyder.

Answer: \$

2,500,000.00

Keneshia Britt

Dorely Belley

Tracy Dunc

Glenda McClinton

Orlin D. Hill Jr.

Evangelina Silva

Christina Nelson

Uera Williams

Kimberly Field

Sally Allen

Carrie Hewell

Willie C. Brodley