```
1
    STATE OF ILLINOIS
                           )
                             SS.
2
    COUNTY OF DU PAGE
3
             IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF DU PAGE COUNTY
4
        FOR THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ILLINOIS
5
    MAN KWAN NG, as HEALTH CARE
6
    PROXY for SUN NG,
                                          No. 21 CH 427
7
               Plaintiff,
                                           JUDGE'S RULING
8
          -vs-
9
    EDWARD-ELMHURST HEALTHCARE,
    d/b/a EDWARD-ELMHURST HEALTH,
10
    et al.,
11
               Defendants.
12
                EXCERPT FROM THE REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
13
    taken at the video-conference hearing via Zoom
14
    of the above-entitled cause, before the
15
    Honorable PAUL M. FULLERTON, Judge of said Court,
16
    recorded on the DuPage County Computer Based Digital
17
    Recording System, DuPage County, Illinois, and
18
    transcribed by LILI B. CINTA, Certified Shorthand
19
    Official Court Reporter, commencing on the 5th day
20
    of November, A.D. 2021.
21
22
23
24
```

```
1
    PRESENT VIA ZOOM:
 2
          LAW OFFICE OF RALPH LORIGO, by
 3
          MR. RALPH LORIGO,
          and
 4
          MAUK & BAKER, LLC, by
          MS. KIRSTIN M. ERICKSON,
 5
                appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff;
 6
          MONAHAN LAW GROUP, LLC, by
 7
          MR. JOSEPH T. MONAHAN
          and
 8
          MR. JOHN W. WHITCOMB,
 9
                appeared on behalf of the Defendants.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
THE COURT: 21 CH 427, Inc. versus
1
2
    Edward-Elmhurst Healthcare et al. And if I could
3
    have the attorneys identify themselves, spell the
4
    last name and, for the record, just identify who you
5
    represent.
6
          MR. LORIGO: Ralph Lorigo, L-o-r-i-g-o, on
7
    behalf of the plaintiff, your Honor.
8
          MS. ERICKSON: Kirstin Erickson,
9
    E-r-i-c-k-s-o-n, on behalf of the plaintiff.
10
          MR. MONAHAN: Good morning, Judge Fullerton and
11
    counsel. Joseph Monahan and John Whitcomb for
12
    Edward-Elmhurst Healthcare doing business as
    Edward-Elmhurst Health and Edward Hospital.
13
14
          THE COURT: All right. Good morning to all.
15
    All right. We are continuing with this evidentiary
16
    hearing on this case. And Mr. Monahan has one more
17
    witness that the Court will hear from. So, let's
18
    proceed.
19
20
          THE COURT: All right. We are back on the
21
    record for Case No. 21 CH 427.
22
               All right. As I indicated earlier, I have
23
    had this case since Monday and then Tuesday and then
24
    yesterday and today. So, I have heard a lot. And I
```

understand the situation.

Mr. Monahan pointed out that what

Plaintiffs are asking for is an extraordinary remedy.

And that's true. They are asking for injunctive

relief which is an extraordinary remedy. But I can't

think of a more extraordinary situation than when we

are talking about a man's life.

So, factually, Mr. Ng was admitted

October 14th. He went on the respirator either on
the 18th or 19th, and he is on a respirator today.

That's 17 or 18 days still on a respirator. There
is no dispute from the testimony of the doctors -Dr. Bain, as well as Dr. Greenhill and Dr. Pinsky -that his risk of dying is over 50 percent. He has a
high risk of dying.

As I indicate, he's been on the ventilator for 17 or 18 days. And Dr. Greenhill acknowledged that someone in his condition being on a ventilator like that has a 10 or 15 percent chance of survival, taking into account his condition, etc.

So, the Court understands the bylaws and the policies of the hospital. And I will say that Edward Hospital and its doctors have an excellent reputation in the community. The bylaws are internal

documents for the operation of the hospital. I understand it. I understand Dr. Greenhill's testimony and Dr. Pinsky's testimony.

So, the issue is administering Ivermectin which is not an FDA approved drug. However, the document provided -- and it is in evidence -- is the NAH study. And specifically, Table 2-E lists Ivermectin and the side effects under the table adverse events -- number one, generally well tolerated; number two, dizziness; number three, pruritus; number four, nausea/diarrhea. These are the side effects for the dosage that's being asked to be administered.

So, the risks of the side effects the Court kept asking, and Mr. Monahan picked up on it. What's the downside? The risks of these side effects are so minimal that Mr. Ng's current situation outweighs that risk by one-hundredfold. Dr. Pinsky testified that the risk is that there is no benefit. So, the possible benefit this Court sees is helping save Mr. Ng's life with this drug.

Having said all of that, I need to look at the law. And the law that's being brought before me, this is a one-count Complaint asking for injunctive

relief with a declaratory judgment action. And for an action for declaratory judgment, Plaintiff -Plaintiff needs to have a tangible legal interest; there needs to be a defendant with adverse interest; and three, there is an actual controversy regarding that interest. That's the underlying requirements of a declaratory judgment. Those are present here.

So then we look at the requirements which you both stated with respect to an injunctive relief in this situation. And in Illinois, those four factors, clearly ascertainable right in need of protection. Despite the statute that Mr. Lorigo brought up and Mr. Monahan addressed, despite that statute, choosing a patient's ability to choose their own path to healthcare, especially in a situation where they have been on a ventilator for 17 and 18 days, is clearly an ascertainable right in need of protection.

The second factor, an irreparable injury. The evidence that I heard and that has been brought before me, it's overwhelming that there is an irreparable injury in this situation. No adequate remedy at law. Again, clearly present in this situation. And the likelihood of success on the

merits, two-part test, the party moving for injunctive relief must raise a fair question as to the existence of the right claimed and show that it probably will be entitled to the injunctive relief if the allegations are proven.

It's here. The evidence in this case is overwhelming for this situation.

The balance of harms, another factor that a Court can consider. The balance of harms goes back to the Court's question what's the downside. The downside are risks to the side effects of the medicine. I have heard testimony from all doctors that all medicine has side effects. But the side effects here, again, are so minimal that the -- the right of a patient to choose to have this medicine in this type of situation where this Court believes he is -- he is basically on his death bed.

I did -- I did hear testimony -- actually more argument that you believe he is getting better. He has been on the respirator for the 18 days. I don't believe he is getting better. He may be in some stable condition. But again, the Ivermectin that's being requested, based on the risks and based on a patient's right to choose their ability, I am

granting the motion, the injunction.

I do want to say the Court is not -- I am not forcing this hospital to do anything other than to step aside. I am not forcing any doctor in Edward Hospital to do this. I am just asking -- or not asking -- I am ordering through the Court's power to allow Dr. Bain to have the emergency privileges and administer this medicine.

So, I am ordering that the defendants and their agents, assigns, and any other third parties acting on their behalf shall immediately allow Dr. Bain -- and only Dr. Bain -- temporary emergency privileges, solely to administer Ivermectin to this patient, Mr. Ng, pursuant to the order and prescription of Dr. Allen Bain.

With respect to that, Dr. Bain is only to deal with this patient. And any discussions he is to have with staff at Edward Hospital solely needs to deal with this patient and the administration of Ivermectin.

Also, there needs to be a release. So, that release needs to be provided to the hospital from Mr. Ng's daughter who has the proxy for healthcare. She needs to provide a release and

```
1
    informed consent releasing Edward Hospital from any
2
    liability for administrating Ivermectin to this
3
    patient. And that release needs to be provided
4
    before any Ivermectin is administered.
5
               So, I don't know -- Ms. Erickson, I don't
6
    know if you have the ability to do electronic orders
7
    or not.
8
          MS. ERICKSON: Yes. Uh-huh.
9
          THE COURT: Send me an order. Send it by
10
    Mr. Monahan.
11
         MS. ERICKSON:
                         Okay.
12
          THE COURT: Send me the order. And I will sign
13
    off.
14
               Mr. Monahan, you get to review the order
15
    before it's sent to me.
16
          MR. MONAHAN: A couple of things.
17
          THE COURT: Yes.
18
          MR. MONAHAN: The daughter is going to sign a
19
    release in her individual name and in her -- in her
20
    role as proxy.
21
          THE COURT: Yes.
22
          MR. MONAHAN: And they are going to release any
23
    employee, officer, director, independent contractor,
24
    anybody who has anything to do with this. And the
```

```
1
    time on that is from the date of his admission
2
    until --
3
                    Until his discharge, which we are
          THE COURT:
4
    all hoping for.
5
          MR. LORIGO: I will submit the proposed release
6
    to you, Mr. Monahan, this afternoon. We have them.
7
    I will submit it to you this afternoon for your
    consideration.
8
9
          THE COURT: In the order that I vacated on
10
    Monday, I had some language in there about releasing.
11
    And to Mr. Monahan's point, the release needs to
12
    release the hospital, its executors, administrators,
13
    employees, doctors, nurses, staff, successors,
14
    assigns, etc. So, make it complete. I really don't
15
    want to hear an argument on a release. I will, if I
16
    need to. But just make it complete and basically --
17
          MR. LORIGO: I will, your Honor.
                                            I will.
18
          THE COURT: I think you get what I am saying.
19
         MR. LORIGO: Yes, I do.
20
          THE COURT: Okay. I will look for the order.
21
         MR. LORIGO: Thank you very much, your Honor.
22
          THE COURT: What I'd like to -- well, I know
23
    this case is pretty much concluded. I would like to
24
    give it a status date for a couple of weeks. I'd
```

```
1
    like to know what happens to Mr. Ng.
2
          MR. LORIGO: I think that's certainly
    appropriate, your Honor. Whatever date you want, I
3
4
    would hope that two to three weeks from now we'd be
5
    hopefully out of that hospital.
6
          THE COURT: Today is the 5th. How about on the
7
    19th, which is two weeks from today, just at 9:00,
8
    just a status.
9
         MR. LORIGO: That will be fine, your Honor.
10
          THE COURT: And it's via Zoom.
11
         MR. MONAHAN: Judge, another thing is we don't
12
    have the medicine in our formulary. We just don't
13
    carry it. And so, it's -- I guess Dr. Bain is going
14
    to have to bring the appropriate medication.
15
         MR. LORIGO: We can do that.
16
          THE COURT: I would assume that's correct.
17
         MR. LORIGO: That's fine, your Honor. We can do
18
    that.
19
          THE COURT: Okay.
20
          MR. LORIGO: Thank you very much, your Honor.
21
          THE COURT: Thank you.
22
         MR. LORIGO: Have a great weekend. I appreciate
23
    it.
24
         MS. ERICKSON: Thank you.
```

```
1
          THE COURT: You bet. I will look for the order.
2
          MR. LORIGO: Thank you for all your attention,
 3
    your Honor.
4
          THE COURT: You are welcome.
5
          MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Judge.
6
          THE COURT: You are welcome.
7
                     (Which were all the proceedings had
8
                     at the hearing of the above-entitled
9
                     cause, this date.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
1
    STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                   SS:
2
    COUNTY OF DU PAGE
3
4
5
                I, LILI B. CINTA, hereby certify the
6
    foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the
7
    computer-based digitally recorded proceedings of the
8
    above-entitled cause to the best of my ability to
9
    hear and understand, based upon the quality of the
10
    audio recording, pursuant to Local Rule 1.03(c).
11
12
13
14
15
16
                             Lili B. Cinta
17
18
                          LILI B. CINTA
                     OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
19
            Eighteenth Judicial Circuit of Illinois
                     DuPage County, Illinois
20
                   CSR License No. 084-002979
21
22
23
24
```