

Clark

PREPARED BY THE COURT

<p>SZELL,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="padding-left: 80px;">v.</p> <p>KESSLER INSTITUTE FOR REHABILITATION ET AL.,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Defendant(s).</p>	<p>SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - ESSEX COUNTY DOCKET NO. ESX-L-6881-15</p> <p><i>Civil Action</i> VERDICT SHEET</p>
--	--

SZELL V. KESSLER INSTITUTE FOR REHABILITATION ET AL.

1. Did defendant Agnes Blaszczyk (Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation) deviate from accepted standards of care in transferring the plaintiff Sandra Szell?

(Yes) (No) 60 Vote

*If this Question is answered "Yes," proceed to Question #2.
If this Question is answered "No," Proceed to answer Question #3.*

2. Was Ms. Blaszczyk's negligence a proximate cause of harm to the plaintiff?

(Yes) (No) 60 Vote

Proceed to Question #3 on the next page.

3. Did defendant Ronald Thomas (Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation) deviate from accepted standards of care in transferring the plaintiff Sandra Szell?

(Yes) (No) 6-0 Vote

*If this Question is answered "Yes," proceed to Question #4.
If this Question is answered "No," Proceed to answer Question #5.*

4. Was Mr. Thomas's negligence a proximate cause of harm to the plaintiff?

(Yes) (No) 6-0 Vote

Proceed to Question #5.

5. Did defendant Laura Priester (Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation) deviate from accepted standards of care in transferring the plaintiff Sandra Szell?

(Yes) (No) 5-1 Vote

*If this Question is answered "Yes," proceed to Question #6.
If this Question is answered "No," Proceed to answer Question #7.*

6. Was Ms. Priester's negligence a proximate cause of harm to the plaintiff?

(Yes) (No) Vote

Proceed to Question #7 on the next page.

7. Did defendant Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation deviate from accepted standards of care in its training of defendants Agnes Blaszczyk, Ronald Thomas, and/or Laura Priester?

✓ (Yes) _____ (No) 6-0 Vote

If this Question is answered "Yes," proceed to Question #8.
If this Question is answered "No," Proceed to answer Question #9.

8. Was Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation's negligent training a proximate cause of harm to the plaintiff?

✓ (Yes) _____ (No) 6-0 Vote

Proceed to answer question 9 but only assign a percentage of responsibility to each party that you determined was negligent and was a proximate cause of the injury.

9. Comparison of each party's negligence:

A. Ms. Blaszczyk's negligence 2 %

B. Mr. Thomas's negligence 2 %

C. Ms. Priester's negligence 0 %

D. Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation's negligence 96 %

Total 100 %

The total must equal 100%.

Proceed to Question 12 on the next page.

12. What sum of money would fairly and reasonably compensate Sandra Szell for damages proximately caused by the defendant(s) deviation from the standard of care?

<u>Category of Damages</u>	<u>Award (\$)</u>	<u>Vote</u>
Past and Future Pain, Disability, Suffering, and Loss of Enjoyment of Life	25 million	6-0
Cost of Future Care (Future Medical Care, Support Care, Home Modifications, Etc.)	3 million	6-0
Plaintiff's Out of Pocket Expenses	200,000	6-0
Value of Past and Future Care Provided by Plaintiff's Family Members	400,000	6-1

Return your verdict.

_____, Foreperson

_____, Date