UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION

TECSEC, INCORPORATED, . Civil Action No. 1:10cv115

•

Plaintiff,

vs. . Alexandria, Virginia

November 18, 2016

1

SAS INSTITUTE, INC., et al., . 10:04 a.m.

.

Defendants.

•

.

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONIE M. BRINKEMA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR TECSEC, INCORPORATED: MICHAEL A. OAKES, ESQ.

Hunton & Williams 1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006-1109

FOR ADOBE SYSTEMS CHARLENE M. MORROW, ESQ.

INCORPORATED: PHILLIP J. HAACK, ESQ.

Fenwick & West LLP 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041

and

CRAIG C. REILLY, ESQ.

Law Office of Craig C. Reilly

111 Oronoco Street Alexandria, VA 22314

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: ANNELIESE J. THOMSON, RDR, CRR

U.S. District Court, Fifth Floor

401 Courthouse Square Alexandria, VA 22314

(703)299-8595

(Pages 1 - 7)

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION OF STENOGRAPHIC NOTES

MR. OAKES: There are -- the Federal Circuit did make some claim construction rulings --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. OAKES: -- and so, you know, the parties will obviously need to both address those in any future proceedings here.

We do have some discovery that's still needed going forward. It has not changed our outlook on the infringement part of the case. I don't know if it has impacted the defendant's view of the case.

THE COURT: Well, I rather assumed that after the remand, that you-all would have been talking to see whether anything has changed in light of the fact that both sides now know -- or have more certainty as to how at least most of the claims that are at issue are going to be construed.

MR. OAKES: Absolutely. We have discussed the status somewhat. I think we still are of the view that we have a strong infringement case, especially in light of the Federal Circuit's claim constructions. I think the defendants have a view on validity that they plan to address, but other than that, I think we're both of the view that more discovery is needed.

We need additional discovery because the summary judgment motion was filed sort of at the pre-discovery stage.

We still need discovery on the infringement issues that were

- 4 1 not addressed by the summary judgment motion as well as on 2 damages-related issues, and so there will be additional 3 discovery needed going forward, but again, I think based on the 4 Federal Circuit's ruling and the construction of the claims, 5 our infringement case has been strengthened at this point, and we're looking to go forward on that at this time. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. So in other words, there have 8 been no discussions or even preliminary overtures as to 9 resolving the dispute? 10 MR. OAKES: I -- not, not directly between TecSec and 11 Adobe, no, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Now, there are other -- I 13 don't know if we have other -- I mean, there are other people 14 in the courtroom -- if we have counsel for any of the other 15 defendants here. Have you reached out to any of the other 16 parties in this case? 17 MR. OAKES: I have spoken to counsel for, I believe 18 they represent Cisco and Oracle, who is trying to coordinate on behalf of the remaining defendants. I think after -- there was 19 20 some uncertainty as to whether or not this status conference
 - behalf of the remaining defendants. I think after -- there was some uncertainty as to whether or not this status conference was intended for Adobe or everyone, and I think once they heard that we spoke with the clerk and indicated that only Adobe needed to appear, they -- I think they maybe backed off for the time being.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Well, I read the opinion

21

22

23

24

```
carefully, and I know that you requested that another judge
take the case, and I take those requests very seriously in
terms of I understand that the, you know, the appearance of
impartiality is as important as actual impartiality, and
although I have no ill feelings towards TecSec, I must say in
this particular case, I really do have a very strong belief
that despite the Federal Circuit seeing it differently, that my
constructions were appropriate, and so I have decided in this
case that I'm actually going to reassign this case to a
different judge.

And I spoke with Judge O'Grady, who is one of our
more senior patent-experienced attorneys -- judges, and he's
very interested and willing to take the case, and so I am going
```

MR. OAKES: Not from TecSec, Your Honor.

to recuse myself and have this case reassigned to Judge O'Grady

THE COURT: How about from --

unless I hear any objection from you-all.

MS. MORROW: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, I think that's better.

And I'm also going to just alert him -- I mean, he's obviously -- I don't know if he's actually read the opinion yet or not, but the issue about whether the other defendants should all be brought in now and just get this case wrapped up once and for all may make some sense. It will be ultimately up to him to decide how he wants to do that. So I'm going to enter

an order today that arranges that.

And the only reason I didn't tell you this ahead of time, number one, I wanted to get on the record that there was no objection to change of judge; and the other issue is I wasn't sure whether, because it's not uncommon when a case is remanded from the Federal Circuit, because the posture of the case is then different and people have a certain degree of certainty, that settlement happens; and so that's the only reason I did not immediately advise you that I read that opinion carefully and thought about it and decided it would be better to have a different judge take it for the next step.

Having said that, I want you to know that the magistrate judges here are -- and I think you-all have had experience with them -- are very good at settling cases, and I would certainly also, since I know this case somewhat, would also be perfectly willing to sit down with you-all if you needed just a third party to look at the case, but in any case, that's what we're going to do.

So I would suggest strongly, Mr. Oakes, that you may reach out to the other defendants and talk to them.

MR. OAKES: We will, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And then -- and if there are any indications that you may be settling either with defendants or either on some issues to both cut down on some of the costs that's costing both sides to keep this litigation going, I