

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 17TH
JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BROWARD
COUNTY, FLORIDA

DIV. CASE NO.: 08-022558 (03)

JUDGE MILY RODRIGUEZ POWELL

LESLIE SCHLEFSTEIN *as Personal
Representative of the Estate of Dawn
Schlefstein,*

Plaintiff,

v.

R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company,

Defendant.
_____ /

VERDICT

1. **Was Dawn Schlefstein addicted to cigarettes containing nicotine and, if so, was such addiction a legal cause of her COPD /emphysema.**

Yes X No _____

If your answer to Question 1 was NO, please proceed no further except to sign and date this verdict form and return it to the courtroom. If your answer to Question 1 was YES, please proceed to Question 2

2. **What is the total amount of Dawn Schlefstein's damages for medical expenses incurred until June 2009 resulting from her COPD/emphysema?**

\$ 465,000

Please answer question 3

3. What is the total amount of any damages sustained by Dawn Schlefstein, as a result of her COPD (emphysema), for her pain, suffering, disability, physical impairment, aggravation of a disease or physical defect, disfigurement, mental anguish, inconvenience, and loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, sustained until June 2009 resulting from her COPD/emphysema?

\$ 13,500,000

Please answer question 4

4. Please state, whether Dawn Schlefstein reasonably relied to her detriment on a statement of material fact made by R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company that concealed or omitted material information not otherwise known or available concerning the health effects or addictive nature of smoking cigarettes, or both, and, if so, whether such reliance was a legal cause of her COPD/emphysema.

Yes X No _____

Please answer question 5

5. Please state, whether Dawn Schlefstein reasonably relied to her detriment on a statement of material fact in furtherance of R.J. Reynold's agreement to conceal or omit material information concerning the health effects and/or addictive nature of smoking cigarettes, and if so, whether such reliance was a legal cause of her COPD /emphysema.


Yes X No _____

Please answer question 6

6. Under the circumstances of this case, state whether you find by clear and convincing evidence that punitive damages are warranted against R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company.

Yes X No _____

SO SAY WE ALL, this 2ND day of FEBRUARY, 2018,



Foreperson

KENNY CARRIEL