FILED
Jul 19, 2023
Disciplinary

Rnard

Docket # 033

## DISCIPLINARY BOARD WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

In re

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JOHN DAVID DU WORS,

Lawyer (Bar No. 33987).

Proceeding No. 22#00039

ODC File No(s). 20-01495 21-01049

STIPULATION TO SUSPENSION

Following settlement conference conducted under ELC 10.12(h)

Under Rule 9.1 of the Washington Supreme Court's Rules for Enforcement of Lawyer Conduct (ELC), and following a settlement conference conducted under ELC 10.12(h), the following Stipulation to Suspension is entered into by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel (ODC) of the Washington State Bar Association (Association) through disciplinary counsel Marsha Matsumoto and Marina Busse, Respondent's counsel Todd Maybrown, and Respondent lawyer John David Du Wors.

Respondent understands that Respondent is entitled under the ELC to a hearing, to present exhibits and witnesses on Respondent's behalf, and to have a hearing officer determine the facts, misconduct and sanction in this case. Respondent further understands that Respondent is entitled

24 Stipulation to Suspension Page 1

1	under the ELC to appeal the outcome of a hearing to the Disciplinary Board, and, in certain cases,	
2	the Supreme Court. Respondent further understands that a hearing and appeal could result in an	
3	outcome more favorable or less favorable to Respondent. Respondent chooses to resolve this	
4	proceeding now by entering into the following stipulation to facts, misconduct and sanction to	
5	avoid the risk, time, expense, and publicity attendant to further proceedings.	
6	I. ADMISSION TO PRACTICE	
7	<ol> <li>Respondent was admitted to practice law in the State of Washington on October 20,</li> </ol>	
8	2003.	
9	II. STIPULATED FACTS	
10	Bainbridge Island Municipal Court Case No. 20704303	
11	2. On July 1, 2017, Respondent hit a parked vehicle (a Ford Ranger) while driving a Jeep	
12	Wrangler.	
13	3. The collision scattered debris at the scene, including a right front fender from a Jeep	
14	Wrangler and parts marked with a Jeep logo.	
15	4. Respondent left the scene of the collision without stopping, without locating and	
16	notifying the owner of the Ford Ranger, and without leaving written notice on the Ford Ranger	
17	of Respondent's name and address.	
18	<ol><li>Respondent arranged for a tow company to tow the Jeep Wrangler from Respondent's</li></ol>	
19	home to the tow company. Respondent informed the tow company representative that the Jeep	
20	Wrangler was hit at Respondent's home.	
21	6. On July 2, 2017, police located the Jeep Wrangler at the tow company and determined	
22	that the vehicle was registered to Respondent. The Jeep Wrangler had front-end damage including	
23	a missing right front fender.	
- 1		

1	7.	When police contacted Respondent, Respondent told police that:
2		(a) Respondent drove home the previous night (July 1, 2017) with the Jeep Wrangler
3		in proper condition;
4		(b) Respondent parked the Jeep Wrangler at the bottom of Respondent's driveway,
5		along the eastbound side of the road, facing southbound;
6		(c) the following morning (July 2, 2017), Respondent discovered damage to the right
7		front corner of the Jeep Wrangler;
8		(d) the Jeep Wrangler had been hit by a hit and run driver; and
9		(e) no parts had broken off the Jeep Wrangler in the collision.
10	8.	Respondent's statements to the police were false, and Respondent knew they were
11	false when	n Respondent made them.
12	9.	When the police challenged Respondent's statements with contrary evidence,
13	Responde	nt denied knowing what the police were talking about. For example:
14		(a) When the police noted that there should have been debris from the collision and
15		asked what happened to the debris, Respondent said that Respondent was not sure
16		what the police meant;
17		(b) When the police observed that the Jeep Wrangler was missing its right front fender
18		and asked Respondent where the fender was, Respondent said that Respondent
19		was not sure what the police meant;
20		(c) When the police informed Respondent that they believed Respondent had struck
21		the back of another vehicle with the Jeep Wrangler, Respondent denied knowing
22		what the police were talking about.
23	10	. When the police continued to ask questions, Respondent told the police to come back
,  I	600 100	OFFICE OF BUCCUS BLANK COLDINE

1	with a warrant.	
2	11. On July 21, 2017, Respondent was charged with hit and run – unattended vehicle and	
3	obstructing a public officer.	
4	12. On April 24, 2018, Respondent pleaded guilty to hit and run – unattended vehicle	
5	(RCW 46.52.010(1)), a misdemeanor.	
6	13. The court sentenced Respondent to 90 days in jail with 83 days suspended.	
7	14. The court also ordered Respondent to obtain a chemical dependency evaluation from	
8	a state-certified agency within 90 days and to successfully comply with all recommendations.	
9	15. The court further prohibited Respondent from consuming or possessing any alcoholic	
10	beverage or marijuana or non-prescribed drugs until the evaluation was filed and treatment was	
11	completed.	
12	False Statements to Insurance Company	
13	16. In connection with the hit and run incident, Bainbridge Island Municipal Court Case	
14	No. 20704303, Respondent made an insurance claim under Respondent's policy with	
15	Government Employees Insurance Company (GEICO).	
16	17. On July 2, 2017, Respondent reported a loss to GEICO regarding the Jeep Wrangler.	
17	18. Respondent informed a GEICO representative that Respondent had gone outside and	
18	found the vehicle, a leased 2016 Jeep Wrangler, severely damaged.	
19	19. Respondent denied knowing how the vehicle had been damaged, stating that the	
20	vehicle had been parked outside of Respondent's home, without a driver present, when the	
21	damage occurred.	
22	20. Respondent's statements to GEICO were false, and Respondent knew they were false	
23	when Respondent made them.	
24	Stimulation to Suspension OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COLINSEL	

1	21. GEICO logged Respondent's claim as a "hit and run - phantom vehicle," using the
2	information provided by Respondent.
3	22. On November 1, 2017, GEICO sent Respondent a letter indicating that the Jeep
4	Wrangler had been declared a total loss.
5	23. GEICO paid the Jeep's owner, Chrysler Capital Leasing, \$27,308.46 and the claim
6	was closed.
7	<ol> <li>Respondent never corrected the false statements made to GEICO. Had Respondent</li> </ol>
8	told GEICO the truth from the beginning, Respondent would have been found "at fault."
9	25. The victim of the hit and run suffered the loss of their vehicle, which was totaled in
10	the collision, and had to expend more than \$6,000 of the victim's own funds to replace the vehicle.
11	26. In or around 2022, after being contacted by ODC, GEICO covered the victim's loss.
12	Bainbridge Island Municipal Court Case No. 20704307
13	27. On July 4, 2019, police observed Respondent driving erratically and crossing the
14	center line. Police observed that Respondent smelled of alcohol and had bloodshot eyes.
15	28. After taking a field sobriety test, Respondent was arrested for Driving Under the
16	Influence (DUI).
17	29. On July 5, 2019, Respondent was charged with DUI.
18	30. On July 30, 2019, Respondent pleaded guilty to DUI (RCW 46.61.502(5)), a gross
19	misdemeanor.
20	31. The court sentenced Respondent to 364 days in jail with 363 days suspended, and 15
21	days of electronic home monitoring in lieu of jail. The court suspended Respondent's driver's
22	license for one year and ordered Respondent to attend a DUI Victim's Panel within 90 days,
23	obtain a chemical dependency evaluation from a state-certified agency within 90 days, and
24 l	OFFICE OF DISCIPLANT COLUMN

1	successfully comply with all recommendations. The court further prohibited Respondent from
2	consuming or possessing any alcoholic beverage or marijuana or non-prescribed drugs until
3	Respondent complied with all court conditions.
4	III. STIPULATION TO MISCONDUCT
_ ا	
5	32. By committing the acts that resulted in Respondent's conviction of hit and run -
6	unattended vehicle and conviction of driving under the influence, Respondent violated RPC
7	8.4(i).
8	33. By making false statements to the police, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(c), RPC
9	8.4(d), and RPC 8.4(i).
10	34. By making false statements to GEICO regarding the hit and run and Respondent's
11	insurance claim, Respondent violated RPC 8.4(c).
12	IV. PRIOR DISCIPLINE
13	35. Respondent has no prior discipline in Washington.
14	V. APPLICATION OF ABA STANDARDS
15	36. The following American Bar Association Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions
16	(1991 ed. & Feb. 1992 Supp.) apply to this case:
17	6.1 False Statements, Fraud, and Misrepresentation
18	Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate
19	in cases involving conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that involves dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation to a court:
20	6.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when a lawyer, with the intent to deceive the court, makes a false statement, submits a false document, or
21	improperly withholds material information, and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a party, or causes a significant or potentially
22	significant adverse effect on the legal proceeding. 6.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knows that false
23	statements or documents are being submitted to the court or that material information is improperly being withheld, and takes no remedial action,
24	and causes injury or potential injury to a party to the legal proceeding, or  Stipulation to Suspension Page 6  OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION

24

- causes an adverse or potentially adverse effect on the legal proceeding.
- 6.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer is negligent either in determining whether statements or documents are false or in taking remedial action when material information is being withheld and causes injury or potential injury to a party to the legal proceeding, or causes an adverse or potentially adverse effect on the legal proceeding.
- 6.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in an isolated instance of neglect in determining whether submitted statements or documents are false or in failing to disclose material information upon learning of its falsity, and causes little or no actual or potential injury to a party, or causes little or no adverse or potentially adverse effect on the legal proceeding.

## 5.1 Failure to Maintain Personal Integrity

Absent aggravating or mitigating circumstances, upon application of the factors set out in Standard 3.0, the following sanctions are generally appropriate in cases involving commission of a criminal act that reflects adversely on the lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as a lawyer in other respects, or in cases with conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation:

- 5.11 Disbarment is generally appropriate when:
- (a) a lawyer engages in serious criminal conduct, a necessary element of which includes intentional interference with the administration of justice, false swearing, misrepresentation, fraud, extortion, misappropriation, or theft; or the sale, distribution or importation of controlled substances; or the intentional killing of another; or an attempt or conspiracy or solicitation of another to commit any of these offenses; or
- (b) a lawyer engages in any other intentional conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation that seriously adversely reflects on the lawyer's fitness to practice.
- 5.12 Suspension is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in criminal conduct which does not contain the elements listed in Standard 5.11 and that seriously adversely reflects on the lawyer's fitness to practice.
- 5.13 Reprimand is generally appropriate when a lawyer knowingly engages in any other conduct that involves dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation and that adversely reflects on the lawyer's fitness to practice law.
- 5.14 Admonition is generally appropriate when a lawyer engages in any other conduct that reflects adversely on the lawyer's fitness to practice law.
- 37. Respondent acted knowingly when Respondent violated the criminal law.
- Respondent acted knowingly and intentionally when Respondent made false statements to the

1	police and to GEICO.	
2	38. Respondent's conduct caused injury to the victim of the hit and run, the legal system,	
3	and the profession, and seriously adversely reflects on Respondent's fitness to practice law.	
4	39. The presumptive sanction is suspension.	
5	40. The following aggravating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.22:	
6 7	(c) pattern of misconduct;     (d) multiple offenses; and     (i) substantial experience (licensed in Washington since 2003).	
8	41. The following mitigating factors apply under ABA Standard 9.32:	
9	(a) absence of a prior disciplinary record; and     (l) remorse.	
10	42. On balance the aggravating and mitigating factors do not require a departure from the	
11	presumptive sanction of suspension.	
13	VI. STIPULATED DISCIPLINE	
14	43. The parties stipulate that Respondent shall receive a six-month suspension.	
15	VII. CONDITIONS OF REINSTATEMENT	
	44. Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs and expenses, as	
16	provided below. Additional conditions of reinstatement are set forth below.	
17	45. Respondent shall comply with all court orders entered in matters in which Respondent	
18	has been charged with a crime, including but not limited to, Bainbridge Island Municipal Court,	
19	No. 1A0199117 BIP CT.	
20	46. Respondent shall, at least 30 days before a request for reinstatement, undergo a	
21	chemical dependency evaluation by a licensed chemical-dependency treatment provider,	
22	approved by ODC. ODC will either approve or reject the proposed evaluator and will notify	
24	Respondent of that decision in writing. If the evaluator is rejected, Respondent shall provide  Stipulation to Suspension  OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL  OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	

1	ODC with the name and contact information of another proposed evaluator within three weeks of
2	the date of ODCs letter.
3	47. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the examination.
4	48. Respondent shall execute all necessary releases and authorizations to permit the
5	evaluator and disciplinary counsel to obtain full access to all pertinent health care and treatment
6	records for the applicable time period, and to permit the evaluator to release information regarding
7	the evaluation to disciplinary counsel, including a written report of the evaluator's findings,
8	diagnosis, and recommended treatment plan, if any. Respondent shall provide disciplinary
9	counsel with a copy of the releases and authorizations.
10	49. If the evaluator concludes there is reasonable cause to believe that Respondent does
11	not have the mental or physical capacity to practice law, then disciplinary counsel may report to
12	a review committee as provided in ELC 8.2.
13	50. If the evaluator recommends treatment, then Respondent shall undergo treatment with
14	a treatment provider and be subject to probation for a period of two years beginning on the date
15	Respondent is reinstated to the practice of law. The conditions of probation are set forth below.
16	51. If the evaluator does not recommend treatment, then Respondent will not be required
17	to undergo treatment and will not be subject to probation requiring treatment.
18	VIII. CONDITIONS OF PROBATION
19	52. Respondent shall be subject to probation for a period of two years beginning the date
20	Respondent is reinstated to the practice of law.
21	53. The conditions of probation are set forth below. Respondent's compliance with these
22	conditions shall be monitored by the Probation Administrator of the Office of Disciplinary
23	Counsel ("Probation Administrator"). Failure to comply with a condition of probation listed

1	herein may be grounds for further disciplinary action under ELC 13.8(b).
2	54. Respondent shall comply with all court orders entered in matters in which Respondent
3	has been charged with a crime, including but not limited to, Bainbridge Island Municipal Court,
4	No. 1A0199117 BIP CT.
5	55. Respondent shall not commit any new violations of criminal law.
6	56. If the chemical-dependency evaluator recommends treatment (see ¶¶ 46-51),
7	Respondent shall undergo treatment with the evaluator or with another treatment provider
8	approved by the Probation Administrator.
9	57. Respondent shall comply with all requirements and recommendations of the treatment
10	provider, including but not limited to the completion of any period of in- or out-patient treatment
11	and aftercare, the taking of any prescribed medications, abstinence/sobriety as required, and
12	compliance with any toxicology monitoring.
13	58. Respondent shall continue to participate in the recommended treatment program
14	throughout the period of probation or until such time as the treatment provider determines that
15	further participation is not needed.
16	59. Respondent shall participate in a support group, such as Alcoholics Anonymous or
17	Narcotics Anonymous, if participation in such a group is recommended or required by the
18	treatment provider. Respondent shall provide the Probation Administrator with documentation
19	of participation.
20	60. Respondent shall execute an authorization[s] allowing and directing the treatment
21	provider to take the following actions:
22	<ul> <li>a) on a quarterly basis, send written reports to the Probation Administrator that include the dates of treatment, whether Respondent has been cooperative with treatment.</li> </ul>
23	whether continued treatment is recommended, and results of any toxicology reports required by the treatment provider;
,, l	Stimulation to Supremaion OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL

1	b)	1 1	
2		Respondent fails to appear for treatment or stops treatment without the provider's agreement and consent prior to either termination of the treatment plan or expiration of the probation period set forth in this stipulation;	
3	c)	report immediately to the Probation Administrator if Respondent fails to comply with any treatment recommendations of the treatment provider;	
5	d)	report immediately to the Probation Administrator if Respondent otherwise violates any of the terms or conditions of probation;	
6 7	e)	report immediately to the Probation Administrator if the provider will no longer serve as treatment provider to Respondent prior to termination of the treatment plan or expiration of the probation period set forth in this stipulation; and	
8	f)	report to the Probation Administrator if Respondent successfully completes treatment and is discharged from further treatment.	
10	61.	Respondent shall provide a copy of the authorization[s] to the Probation Administrator	
11	upon execution.		
12	62. If Respondent changes treatment providers during the course of the probation term		
13	Respondent shall inform the Probation Administrator within two weeks to obtain approval for a		
14	new provider.		
15	63. Respondent is responsible for paying any and all fees, costs and/or expenses o		
16	chemical dependency evaluation and treatment.		
17		IX. RESTITUTION	
18	64.	No restitution is required.	
19	X. COSTS AND EXPENSES		
20	65.	Respondent shall pay attorney fees and administrative costs of \$2,000 in accordance	
21	with ELC	13.9(i). The Association will seek a money judgment under ELC 13.9(l) if these costs	
22	are not pai	d within 30 days of approval of this stipulation.	
23	66.	Reinstatement from suspension is conditioned on payment of costs.	
24	Stipulation to Page 11	OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL OF THE WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION	

1 XI. VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT 2 Respondent states that prior to entering into this Stipulation, Respondent has consulted 3 with independent legal counsel regarding this Stipulation, that Respondent is entering into this Stipulation voluntarily, and that no promises or threats have been made by ODC, the Association, 4 5 nor by any representative thereof, to induce the Respondent to enter into this Stipulation except 6 as provided herein. 7 68. Once fully executed, this Stipulation is a contract governed by the legal principles 8 applicable to contracts, and may not be unilaterally revoked or modified by either party. 9 XII. LIMITATIONS 10 69. This Stipulation is a compromise agreement intended to resolve this matter in 11 accordance with the purposes of lawyer discipline while avoiding further proceedings and the 12 expenditure of additional resources by the Respondent and ODC. Both the Respondent and ODC acknowledge that the result after further proceedings in this matter might differ from the result 13 14 agreed to herein. 15 70. This Stipulation is not binding upon ODC or the Respondent as a statement of all 16 existing facts relating to the professional conduct of the Respondent, and any additional existing 17 facts may be proven in any subsequent disciplinary proceedings. 18 71. This Stipulation results from the consideration of various factors by both parties, 19 including the benefits to both by promptly resolving this matter without the time and expense of 20 hearings, Disciplinary Board appeals, and Supreme Court appeals or petitions for review. As 21 such, approval of this Stipulation will not constitute precedent in determining the appropriate 22 sanction to be imposed in other cases; but, if approved, this Stipulation will be admissible in 23 subsequent proceedings against Respondent to the same extent as any other approved Stipulation. 24 Stipulation to Suspension OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL