# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS STATE OF MISSOURI The Honorable Rex M. Burlison, Judge

MICHAEL BLAES, Individually and on Behalf of SHAWN BLAES, deceased, }

SAVANNA CREWS, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of ANGELA HERSHMAN, deceased, }

DARLENE EVANS, Individually, and as Representative of the Estate of ERON EVANS, deceased, et al., }

Plaintiffs, }

vs. }

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL., }

Defendants.

TRIAL TRANSCRIPT
VOLUME 11
June 19, 2017

JENNIFER A. DUNN, RPR, CCR #485 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER CITY OF ST. LOUIS CIRCUIT COURT TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT jdunncourts@vahoo.com

#### APPEARANCES

## FOR THE PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL BLAES, SAVANNA CREWS, and DARLENE EVANS

Mr. Ted G. Meadows
Mr. Jere L. Beasley
Ms. Danielle Mason
Mr. Ryan Beattie
Mr. David Dearing
BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW,
METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C.
218 Commerce Street
Post Office Box 4160
Montgomery, Alabama 36103

Mr. R. Allen Smith, Jr. THE SMITH LAW FIRM, PLLC 681 Towne Center Boulevard, Suite B Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157

Mr. Timothy Porter PORTER & MALOUF P.O. Box 12768 Jackson, MS 39236

Mr. Wylie Blair James G. Onder Stephanie I. Rados Onder, Shelton, O'Leary & Peterson, LLC 110 E. Lockwood, 2nd Floor St. Louis, MO 63119

## FOR THE DEFENDANT JOHNSON & JOHNSON and JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.

Ms. Debra E. Pole Ms. Julia Zousmer Mr. Charlie Sarosy SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 W. 5th Street Los Angeles, CA 90013

#### INDEX

Page

Proceedings outside the presence of the jury  ${\rm Re}\colon\ {\rm U.S.}\ {\rm Supreme}\ {\rm Court}\ {\rm decision}$ 

Mr. James T. Smith Ms. Rebecca Ward Ms. Katherine Barecchia BLANK ROME LLP 1 Logan Square Philadelphia, PA 19103

Mr. Mark C. Hegarty Ms. Jennifer Spencer SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, LLP 2555 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, MO 64108

Mr. Thomas J. Magee Ms. Beth Bauer HEPLER BROOM LLC 211 N. Broadway, Suite 2700 St. Louis, MO 63102

#### FOR THE DEFENDANT IMERYS TALC AMERICA, INC.

Ms. Jane Bockus DYKEMA Law Firm 112 E. Pecan Street Suite 1800 San Antonio, TX 78205

Mr. Kenneth J. Ferguson GORDON & REES LLP 816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1510 Austin, TX 78701

Ms. Nancy Erfle GORDON & REES LLP 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1575 Portland, OR 97204

Mr. Mark A. Prost
Mr. Tim Sansone
Ms. Mary Anne Mellow
SANDBERG, PHOENIX & VON GONTARD, PC
600 Washington Avenue - 15th Floor
St. Louis, MO 63101-1313

Also Present:
Mr. Thomas Weaver
Mr. William Ray Price, Jr.
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE

#### **EXHIBITS**

P-900 (Pharma Tech Corporate Registration)
P-901 (Luzenac letter)

P-902 (J&J's Batch Record)
P-903 (Product Sales document)

P-904 (Pharma Tech check to Luzenac)

2-904 (Pharma Tech check to Luzenac

P-905 (Pharma Tech check to Luzenac)

P-906 (Industrial Sales Activity)

P-910 (Sales Order fax)

VOLUME 11

<u>June 19, 2017</u>

3 (The following proceedings were had in open

Page 2150

Page 2152

4 court, outside the presence and hearing of the jury:)

5 THE COURT: We're on the record in Cause

6 Number 14CC-09326-01. It's Monday morning, the 19th of

7 June, about 12 after 9 a.m. Mr. Magee.

8 MR. MAGEE: Sounds good to me on the time.

THE COURT: Are we ready to bring the jury

10 down?

9

16

17

1

2

11 MR. MAGEE: Judge, one thing. We just

12 received word that the United States Supreme Court did

13 reverse and remand BMS back to California, which would

14 essentially say that California's ruling was incorrect on

15 jurisdiction. We talked about this before.

We don't have the full opinion, so I don't know exactly what time the full opinion comes out in order to see

18 how far they go, but as we discussed, that throws a monkey

19 wrench into the whole proceedings here. I didn't know if

20 you wanted to discuss it at the break.

21 THE COURT: Anybody have any written --

22 anything in writing?

23 MR. SANSONE: Judge, I got a copy of the

24 opinion.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, Allen Smith.

Page 2151

oir

MR. ALLEN SMITH: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: What does your camp have on this?

MR. ALLEN SMITH: We're reading it right now.

4 MR. BLAIR: Judge, it's 26 pages. I have it

5 pulled up on my computer here, but I haven't got through it.

6 THE COURT: Let's go off the record here.

7 (Discussion off the record, after which time

the following proceedings were had in open court, outside

the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Thank you. Court will be back in

11 session, please be seated. We're back on the record in

12 1422-CC09326-01. Mr. Blair.

MR. BLAIR: Judge, we'd like to offer

14 evidence to the Court outside the presence of the jury

demonstrating that specific jurisdiction is proper as to

16 both defendants and all the plaintiffs in light of the

17 analysis set forth in the BMS Plavix decision that just came

out.

1

2

3

8

9

10

13

15

18

19 THE COURT: Is it an offer of proof?

20 MR. BLAIR: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Smith.

22 MR. JIM SMITH: Can I confer, your Honor?

23 THE COURT: Sure.

24 MR. WEAVER: We're curious about what

25 evidence they intend to offer. And secondly, whether it's

timely to be offering evidence on personal jurisdiction at

2 this stage.

3 THE COURT: Yeah, I guess the question is,

4 any objection to an offer of proof regardless of what the

5 offer is?

6 MR. MAGEE: I don't think we can object to an

7 offer of proof.

8 THE COURT: I'm giving you the opportunity.

9 I don't know that you can, but I'm giving the opportunity to

be heard. I don't know what the evidence is.

11 MR. MAGEE: I don't think we can object to an

12 offer of proof. The only question is five minutes or an

13 hour?

10

15

17

20

14 MR. BLAIR: I'd say 15, 20 minutes at best.

THE COURT: Imerys?

MR. SANSONE: Judge, we have nothing to add.

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, I think it's

18 incumbent upon the Court to granting a request for an offer

19 of proof to preserve the record.

MR. BLAIR: Judge, just -- I don't know if it

21 makes a distinction, maybe a distinction without a

22 difference. Our intent was to offer this as a supplement of

23 a prior briefing and confirmation of the Court's prior

24 ruling on specific jurisdiction is indeed correct.

25 MR. ONDER: We would obviously follow a

Page 2153 Page 2154 1 motion to remand the U.S. Supreme Court opinion, would 1 these things out, and then, you know, so that we don't feel 2 suggest that the appropriate -- even if it was removed, the 2 pressed, gives you an opportunity to think about the things 3 appropriate action is to remand to the State court for 3 that you want to think about, and it gives us the 4 finding no whether there was specific jurisdiction. So I 4 opportunity perhaps to present a supplemental submission if 5 think basically we're asking to supplement the record right 5 you think that's appropriate. 6 6 now on specific jurisdiction as further evidence that a MR. BLAIR: Judge, I think they can submit a 7 7 removal would be improper. supplemental submission without taking the afternoon off. I 8 THE COURT: This case was removed previously. 8 hate to waste half a day when the jury's here and experts 9 MR. BLAIR: Right. 9 sitting here in the courtroom, et cetera. 10 THE COURT: On specific jurisdiction, or on 10 MR. ONDER: The thought process of maybe --11 improper joinder. 11 THE COURT: Let me renew that after we hear 12 12 MR. BLAIR: That's right. So nothing has this offer of proof. changed in the joinder analysis that would make it currently 13 MR. BLAIR: Do you mind if I -- you got 13 14 removable. 14 anything under there you don't want me to see before I pull 15 THE COURT: Let's proceed. 15 the podium over here? 16 16 MR. JIM SMITH: Your Honor, may I be heard MR. MAGEE: Sounds good. 17 17 MR. BLAIR: Judge, the first document we have for a moment? 18 THE COURT: Yes, sir. here is going to be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 900, and 18 19 MR. JIM SMITH: I was wondering -- I wasn't 19 this is the Missouri Corporate Registration of Pharma Tech 20 part of these issues, but we're dusting off some issues that 20 Industries, located at 1310 Stylemaster Drive, Union, have been thought through and decided many months ago. 21 Missouri, 63084. 21 22 THE COURT: Decided actually before I got the 22 And we'll get to Pharma Tech's involvement in this 23 case. 23 case, but essentially they were doing the packaging and 24 MR. JIM SMITH: I was wondering if it made 24 labeling, distributing, of talc-based body powders right 25 sense to release the jury for today while we try to sort here in Union, Missouri. Page 2155 Page 2156 Minerals, Houston, Texas. And it's from the Chairman and 1 Judge, the second document --1 2 2 THE COURT: Roll that again. Pharma Tech CEO, Edward T. Noland of Pharma Tech Industries. Reads, at 3 3 least the first few sentences: This letter is to inform you Industries was doing what? 4 MR. BLAIR: So they were receiving talc that 4 that, effective April 1st, 2008, Pharma Tech Industries, 5 had been manufactured to Johnson & Johnson specifications in 5 Inc., in Union, Missouri, will merge on paper only with our 6 6 bulk from Luzenac. My understanding is that -- and the related operation in Royston, Georgia. The combined company 7 documentation shows that Pharma Tech would pay Imerys 7 will do business as Pharma Tech Industries. 8 directly for the raw talc. They would then -- receiving it 8 Turning to page 2. Attached to that document 9 with MSDS, the warning saying that -- there's no bearing 9 you'll see there is a Pharma Tech Industries-Union Credit 10 cancer hazard. 10 Information form identifying the mailing and shipping 11 They would, like we thought J&J was doing all 11 address both to Union. Missouri, as well as the warehousing 12 along, they would ignore that MSDS and that they would 12 address. Identifying a number of Trade References below. 13 label, bottle, and ship. And I think they may also be 13 All in Missouri. And then indicating that they've been a 14 responsible for the addition of a fragrance to Johnson & 14 contract manufacturer since 1990. 15 Johnson and Imerys -- at least Imerys contends makes it the 15 Turning to the third page of that document is a 16 finished product. 16 Missouri Sales, slash, Use Tax Exemption Certification. 17 So, the relevant periods, that was the channel by 17 This is from April 1st, 2008, showing seller Luzenac, dash, which the body powders were coming about. And then they Rio Tinto Minerals, shipping talc from Houston, Missouri 18 18 19 would, my understanding, sell the finished bottles to 19 (sic), selling to Pharma Tech Industries in Union. 20 Johnson & Johnson, or at least they'd go out under the 20 THE COURT: Why this selected time period? 21 21 Johnson & Johnson labeling. MR. BLAIR: I'm sorry? 22 22 THE COURT: What's significant about the Judge, the next document is an April 1st, 2008, 23 letter, with attached correspondence that's been offered as 23 selected time periods of 2008? Plaintiff's 901. Reading this April 1st, 2008 cover letter, 24 MR. BLAIR: Well, 2008, and I think it goes 24 25 you'll see it's addressed to Luzenac, parens, Rio Tinto 25 back further than 2008, but they're during our client's

Case: 4:17-cv 01842 JCH - Doc. #: 41-1 - Filed: 07/03/17 - Page: 4 of 26 PageID #: 25983 Page 2157 Page 2158 So, continuing on Pharma Tech. A new site located 1 usage periods. 1

2 Judge, the next document is actually a 30-page document, been identified as Plaintiffs' 902. You'll see 3 4 that this came from J&J's files as demonstrated by the Bates

number. This is labeled as a 30-page document.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you could turn to page 22, which is what I'm going to read from. There's actually another page numbering there above it, page 1 of 6, but if you can get to the 22nd page of that complete document.

That is an e-mail from someone by the name of Kristen Sweeney to -- and this is Shower to Shower at Shimmer Effects Body Powder, dated January 3rd, 2005, in relation to Product and Process Development Summary in relation to Shower to Shower.

If you look at the conclusion, in the second paragraph provides: Shower to Shower Shimmer Effects Body Powder is approved for production at Pharma Tech, out of Union, Missouri, pending final validation. The controls necessary for production have been established from pilot to trial batches.

So they've already been doing test runs for the Shower to Shower as in 2005 at the Union, Missouri, location. You go down to Site Selection, you'll see that the Royston plant they talked about in Georgia was offered the project but declined to take the project.

2 in Union, Missouri, was bid the product. Their sole 3 business is production of powders, over the counter and 4 cosmetic for other companies. 5 Judge, if you turn back, I don't want to read 6 through all of these, but you'll see there are a number of 7 Johnson & Johnson Consumer Product Worldwide documents there 8 in relation to body powders and providing specifications 9 such as Microbiological Statement --

10 THE COURT: What page are you on now? 11 MR. BLAIR: This is back on pages 28 through 12 30. Judge, next document we'd offer has been labeled P-903, 13 and this is showing product sales of Shower to Shower 14 Shimmer Powder in various sizes to include 13 ounce, 8 ounce 15 and 1 ounce sizes, with manufacturing location: Pharma 16 Tech, Union, Missouri, in all three instances. And then 17 identifying the average retail price that these are being 18 sold for. 19 So it's our position that the actual tort of 20 failing to warn and failing to identify the hazard is 21 actually being committed in Missouri with respect to these

The next document is going to be labeled as -let's do this as a group exhibit. These are a series of Pharma Tech Industries, Inc., issued checks to Luzenac

Page 2159

22

23

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

products.

America Inc., paying for the talc that they've been

distributing. One is dated February 17th of 2000, and is in the amount of \$18,986. There's another of 3/16/2000, in the amount of \$11,506. Presuming these are just exemplar of monthly checks, it appears Pharma Tech is regularly paying Luzenac for the talc going in these products. This appears

8 to be just a couple on a monthly basis and we're over 9 \$30,000 in two months, all the way back to 2000.

Judge, next document will be labeled P-907. This is an e-mail of more recently in time. November 14th, 2014. It's an e-mail from Kent Cutler to some various folks. Subject line: Call with Pharma Tech today. You just pick out some of the selected verbiage here.

There's an indication, quote, Curtis indicated that he wanted to have a strategic innovation review of our business together at the Union, Missouri plant. J&J business grade 25 unsterilized bulk railcars will pick up a bit now for after a period of no shipments so they work down inventory for yearend cash flow purposes. He forecasts about 10M, I presume that's millions, pounds, or 5,000 short tons this year, a typical year for J&J's flagship talc baby powder brand. Continues on: At Union, Missouri, Curtis indicated their total usage at 4.5 to 5 million pounds per year, dot, dot, dot, 2,250 to 2500 short tons per year.

Page 2160

1 Continuing on a little further down the page. 2 Quote, they also are seeking to grow their topical powders 3 business where they have a \$20 million bottle capacity and 4 are currently running 8 million bottles a year. So we know 5 they're at least doing 8 million bottles in production a 6 vear. 7

Judge, the next document we'd offer is P-906. This is an Imerys document from Imerys' file. If I could direct you to page 16 of this document. This is Industrial Sales Activity. Again, this is 2014 document. Identifying the customer as Pharma Tech Industries, Union, Missouri,

Customer summary providing Pharma Tech is a toll packager of powder, pellets, tablets and Q-tip products. We share supply. Imerys provides J&J baby powder. And then it talks about Merck products.

And looks like sales revenues, year to date as of

November 14, 2014, for the account were \$2,942,750. Directing the Court to the next page, page 17, bullet point number three under during our call. There's an indication that at Union, Missouri, Curtis indicated their total talc usage at 4.5 to 5 million pounds per year, and then a note, equivalent 2250 to 2500 short tons per year. Judge, it looks like we found a couple other

documents that we may additionally tender when we get it printed out.

Page 2161 Page 2162 the sales of talc to the Union, Missouri, facility. 1 MR. ALLEN SMITH: It's an e-mail, your Honor, 1 2 2 on behalf of Kent Cutler at Imerys Talc America, referencing MR. BLAIR: Judge, unless the Court has any 3 the team. And it's called -- the subject line is: Call 3 specific questions over that document, I'm just going to 4 with Pharma Tech today. It's an 11/14/2014. And it says: 4 turn to -- hang on. So we need to tender these as 5 5 Team, I called Curtis Coyle, VP of Operations and General Plaintiff's 910. 6 6 Manager at Pharmatech, both in Royston and in Union, MR. ALLEN SMITH: Hold on a second. 7 7 Missouri. MR. BLAIR: Make sure we're getting this 8 And it says: We discussed the strategic 8 straight here, Judge, Judge, for clarity purposes, what I 9 innovation review of our business together at the Union, 9 just referred to as Plaintiff's 910 was not the document 10 Missouri plant. And then it goes on to talk about Curtis 10 that Mr. Smith was looking at. Rather it's a fax, looks 11 indicated their talc usage is between 4 and a half, 5 11 like Sales Order from Luzenac to Pharma Tech Industries at 12 million pounds per year. And it talks about the budget in 12 their Union, Missouri location dated November 14, 2006. 2015. 13 13 Description of the article is ground minerals. 14 Additionally, your Honor, there is another 14 And Olympic H USP powder/50 pound and 400 pound bags. And document that -- let me pull it up real quick. It is a 15 there's some additional information on the specifics of the 15 16 document from Brenda Fisher at Luzenac America on 16 particular product. 17 August 8th, 2003, subject regarding Pharma Tech, and it 17 THE COURT: And what's the exhibit number on 18 talks about the problems that they're having regarding the 18 that one? 19 MR. BLAIR: That's P-910. 19 powders. And then it goes on a little back and forth about 20 freight costs and tonnage. And it also includes a fax in 20 THE COURT: 910? this from Luzenac. And it has invoices for the talc to 21 MR. BLAIR: Yeah. Let me give you a copy of 21 22 Pharma Tech here in Union. Several invoices. Looks like 22 this. Again, this is an Imerys document by virtue of the 23 44, it looks like in the neighborhood -- if I'm reading this 23 Bates stamp, lower right-hand corner. Going to page 4 of 24 properly, 44,000 pounds for one invoice. 24 that document, Bates number Imerys 143425. There's an indication of a Rio Tinto Minerals Certificate of Analysis, 25 The other -- it's just several invoices indicating Page 2163 Page 2164 to the product. They're labeling it without warning. 1 again documenting that it was shipped to Pharma Tech 1 2 2 Industries, Union, Missouri, and it was manufactured and They can't deny they're on notice of the hazard 3 shipped by Luzenac America, Houston, Texas. 3 whenever they're receiving expressed notice of the hazard in 4 MR. MAGEE: Was that 911? 4 the -- in the way of the MSDS So this is not simply a 5 MR. BLAIR: Still 910. Judge, I'll turn to 5 passthrough situation. They go on and package and

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the BMS Plavix decision just briefly. The crux of that case

as they note at the very -- early on in the opinion, quote, BMS did not develop Plavix in California, did not create a marketing strategy for Plavix in California, and did not manufacture, label, package, or work on the regulatory approval in California.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

They go on to note: The suit must arise out of or relate to the defendants contacts with the forum. That's at -- start page 5 of the decision, continuing on, start page 6. An activity or an occurrence that takes place in the State forum, in the forum State, and is therefore subject to the State's regulation is needed to have specific jurisdiction.

So in the instant case, we've got Pharma Tech, Missouri corporation, working out of their manufacturing facility in Union, Missouri, receiving Imerys' talc, prepared to J&J's specifications, with the attached MSDS, identifying the hazard at issue. And then as agent and at the direction of Johnson & Johnson they're ignoring that warning, they're manufacturing it and adding the fragrance

distribute the product. 6

So again, Pharma Tech's working on a contract basis for J&J per some of the corporate representative testimony, I believe it was from John Poston. They're acting as J&J's agent. J&J's directing their conduct in Missouri. And quoting the relevant standard in BMS: J&J. by virtue of Pharma Tech, is engaging in, quote, relevant acts together with Pharma Tech in Missouri. And this creates an affiliation between the forum

and the underlying controversy, principally, an activity or occurrence that takes place in the forum State. And this comes from the BMS decision at page 7, quoting Goodyear. So, Missouri is home to adjudication of issues derivative from or connected with the very controversy that establishes the jurisdiction. That being the failure to warn. And for those reasons we believe that the evidence reflects that specific jurisdiction is proper as to all

defendants over all plaintiffs' claims, and we would make a motion for pleading to conform the -- to amend and conform

25 the pleadings to the evidence.

	Page 2165		Page 2166
1	Additionally, as an ancillary matter, we are	1	contract with Imerys to grind the raw talc to its specific
2	probably going to file separately in writing, I think former	2	specifications, and we've seen that contract before, I
3	Judge Wolff may enter and handle the argument that's	3	believe. So what I understand is happening is then raw talc
4	necessary on this in relation to issues of pendent	4	is being sent to Pharma Tech in Union, Missouri. Pharma
5	jurisdiction that were not taken up by the BMS court.	5	Tech is paying Luzenac for that processed talc.
6	MR. MAGEE: Mr. Weaver will respond on behalf	6	J&J then has a separate contract with Pharma Tech,
7	of the defendants.	7	such that after Pharma Tech takes off the MSDS they receive
8	MR. ALLEN SMITH: Your Honor, I'll get those	8	from Imerys, cast it to the side, bottles, labels, and sends
9	two other documents.	9	the product out to J&J, or directly to consumers, depending
10	THE COURT: Okay.	10	upon what the contract between J&J and Pharma Tech says, but
11	MR. ALLEN SMITH: And I'll supplement them	11	they're essentially another middleman in relation to the
12	once I get them printed and brought in.	12	preparation of the product and somewhat similar to what
13	THE COURT: All right. Before Mr. Weaver,	13	Imerys is doing.
14	you start. Mr. Blair, walk this connection down with me	14	THE COURT: All right.
15	again.	15	MR. BLAIR: And our position is they're
16	MR. BLAIR: Okay.	16	acting as agent of J&J.
17	THE COURT: All right. So we're focusing	17	MR. ONDER: In committing the tort of
18	solely on specific jurisdiction.	18	removing the warning and knowingly not passing one on. So
19	MR. BLAIR: Yep.	19	they've committed the tort in Missouri, which subjects
20	THE COURT: And we're focusing solely on that	20	jurisdiction as to all.
21	talc was delivered by one defendant to Union, Missouri. It	21	MR. BLAIR: They're the ones who are
22	was handled however, and then that product was sold back to	22	ultimately the end all and be all in terms of whether the
23	J&J or?	23	labeling contains a warning.
24	MR. BLAIR: My understanding in reading	24	THE COURT: Sorry, Mr. Weaver.
25	through all of those documents globally, is that J&J has a	25	MR. WEAVER: That's okay. I'll get to that
	Page 2167		Page 2168
1	Page 2167 in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.	1	Page 2168 disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction,
1 2	•	1 2	•
	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.		disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction,
2	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction	2	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the
2	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc.,	2	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.
2 3 4	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was	2 3 4	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of
2 3 4 5	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed	2 3 4 5	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a
2 3 4 5 6	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.	2 3 4 5 6	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no
2 3 4 5 6 7	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal	2 3 4 5 6 7	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue. Well, Norfolk Southern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue. Well, Norfolk Southern addressed the joinder issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue.  THE COURT: Norfolk Southern.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California and out-of-state Plaintiffs from joining together in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue. Well, Norfolk Southern addressed the joinder issue.  THE COURT: Norfolk Southern.  MR. WEAVER: I mean, addressed the related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California and out-of-state Plaintiffs from joining together in a consolidated action in the states that have general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue. Well, Norfolk Southern addressed the joinder issue.  THE COURT: Norfolk Southern.  MR. WEAVER: I mean, addressed the related to issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California and out-of-state Plaintiffs from joining together in a consolidated action in the states that have general jurisdiction over BMS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue.  THE COURT: Norfolk Southern.  MR. WEAVER: I mean, addressed the related to issue.  THE COURT: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California and out-of-state Plaintiffs from joining together in a consolidated action in the states that have general jurisdiction over BMS.  So it is it is clear that all the grounds
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue.  THE COURT: Norfolk Southern.  MR. WEAVER: I mean, addressed the related to issue.  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: We think that that, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California and out-of-state Plaintiffs from joining together in a consolidated action in the states that have general jurisdiction over BMS.  So it is it is clear that all the grounds asserted for personal jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue. Well, Norfolk Southern addressed the joinder issue.  THE COURT: Norfolk Southern.  MR. WEAVER: I mean, addressed the related to issue.  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: We think that that, in combination with other aspects of Missouri law, disposed of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California and out-of-state Plaintiffs from joining together in a consolidated action in the states that have general jurisdiction over BMS.  So it is it is clear that all the grounds asserted for personal jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson with regard to the nonresident Defendants are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in a minute, Judge. Just dealing with the BMS case.  There's no question that there's no general jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson and Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc., those defendants. When the Norfolk Southern case was decided and a motion I believe a motion to stay was filed prior to those cases starting, the issue that you raised specifically was the effect of joinder  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: On specific personal jurisdiction. That was the issue that you raised specifically and, in fact, the grounds for the motion to dismiss and the reasons for rejecting the motions to dismiss that were initially filed were either the fact that the product was sold in Missouri was related to the sale of products to nonresidents and that was sufficient to satisfy due process or the joinder issue.  THE COURT: Norfolk Southern.  MR. WEAVER: I mean, addressed the related to issue.  THE COURT: Right.  MR. WEAVER: We think that that, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	disposes of the remaining basis for personal jurisdiction, specific personal jurisdiction that had been asserted by the plaintiffs, which was the joinder analysis.  And what BMS makes clear is that the analysis of personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a claim has to be made on a claim-by-claim basis. And that no specific personal jurisdiction can be asserted against a claim over a nonresident simply because it involves the same product as a claim asserted by a resident defendant, or because it is joined with the claim asserted by a resident defendant, and that in response to Justice Sotomayor's dissent on page 12 of the BMS decision, the Court specifically makes clear that joinder doesn't isn't sufficient to create personal jurisdiction over a defendant with regard to a nonresident's claim.  And what the Court states at page 12 of the decision is: Our decision does not prevent the California and out-of-state Plaintiffs from joining together in a consolidated action in the states that have general jurisdiction over BMS.  So it is it is clear that all the grounds asserted for personal jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson

Page 2169 Page 2170 1 What I've heard -- I haven't seen the documents 1 decision recognizes that theory, but said there was 2 that were discussed, and again, just so it's clear, by not 2 insufficient proof. 3 objecting to the offer of proof that was being made, doesn't 3 MR. WEAVER: That that wasn't established, 4 mean we're not objecting to the admission of that evidence 4 that was a basis for it. 5 5 at this time for the purpose of determining personal THE COURT: But didn't discount the theory 6 jurisdiction. These were not grounds that were asserted. 6 that was being -- it looks like hurriedly at the end 7 7 advanced by the respondents. This was evidence that was never presented prior 8 8 MR. WEAVER: Well, it's described as their to today in support of an assertion of personal 9 jurisdiction. It wasn't pleaded. There's no allegation 9 last-ditch argument. 10 that the agents of Johnson & Johnson or Imerys were acting 10 THE COURT: Hurriedly, last ditched, okay. 11 in Missouri. 11 But it doesn't dispose of that possibility. It says there's 12 12 insufficient proof of that. But all that being said, we have -- and even if 13 MR. WEAVER: And based on what was discussed, 13 the Court overrules our objection and considers this 14 information at this time, it still fails to establish a 14 which is they were the nationwide -- I don't think it was a 15 connection to the nonresident Plaintiffs' claims, and 15 factual dispute that they were the nationwide distributer of 16 there's this blind assertion that Pharma Tech is Johnson & 16 Plavix for BMS, and that relationship was not sufficient to 17 Johnson's agent, I guess is what they're alleging, 17 establish specific personal jurisdiction over the 18 sufficient to establish personal jurisdiction. 18 nonresident defendant. 19 19 Certainly hasn't been established or demonstrated THE COURT: But labeling and bottling 20 this morning. It's just an assertion made by Mr. Blair 20 separate that from mere distribution? 21 21 MR. WEAVER: Not based on the evidence that's without any support. And also, the BMS case deals with this 22 type of relationship. Discussing the McKesson relationship, 22 been presented, or the information that's been presented 23 McKesson, which was the nationwide distributer of the Plavix 23 today. 24 product. 24 THE COURT: Let's assume -- let assume at 25 THE COURT: But BMS recognizes, the BMS 25 Union, Missouri, they're labeling and bottling. Give me Page 2171 Page 2172 1 your argument how that is or isn't different than mere 1 can also be conduct outside the state that causes an injury 2 2 distribution? in Missouri, but neither of those has been established. 3 MR. WEAVER: If you -- if you're assuming 3 Unless Mr. price may have something to add. that it was labeling and that the product was labeled and 4 4 MR. PRICE: The Supreme Court had to deal 5 bottled, I'm asserting they still fail to establish a 5 with this issue in BMS, in reference to McKesson. And their 6 6 connection between the conduct of those defendants in language is very clear, a defendant's relationship with a 7 Missouri and the claims of the nonresident Plaintiffs. 7 third party. This would be a third party. Standing alone 8 THE COURT: The lack of a warning when they 8 is an insufficient basis for jurisdiction. 9 label and bottle? 9 So whatever it is Pharma Tech may have done by 10 MR. WEAVER: They still have failed to 10 contract with Johnson & Johnson, or Johnson & Johnson 11 establish the -- the -- a connection between that conduct 11 Consumers, whoever, is just a third-party relationship that 12 and the claim of nonresident Defendants -- Plaintiffs. 12 BMS specifically dealt with and discarded. That's not 13 THE COURT: Because there's no connection of 13 justification for jurisdiction. 14 injury? Is that what you're saying? 14 Also, I point out the fact that it was made here 15 MR. WEAVER: There's no connection between 15 is not the tort. The tort occurs at the place of sale and 16 that conduct and the claim of a nonresident -- that -- and 16 the place of injury, and that is not tied to any of these 17 the nonresident Plaintiffs between that, that conduct. 17 They're claiming they're not seeing the connection between 18 I'm afraid, your Honor, that BMS correctly deals 18 19 those two. 19 with this. This new evidence, in addition to being outside 20 THE COURT: What would be the conduct, the 20 of the pleadings, and I might note on this that, you know, 21 21 BMS is not a surprise to anybody. All of us have known that injury? it's up to the Supreme Court, it's coming down. 22 22 MR. WEAVER: The Missouri statute provides 23 23 Plaintiff has had plenty of time in the orderly for -- with regard to a nonresident claim, the injury has to have been suffered by the plaintiff in that case. It's a 24 24 course of trying this case to amend their pleadings, to 25 tort that occurs in the state that causes injury, and that 25 gather their proof, to give everybody a fair chance to

Page 2173

1 respond. I think it's just inadequate at this time for them 2 to come in on evidence that's outside the pleadings on

3 hearsay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

22

23

24 25

Nobody knows what this is or what their relationships are and trying to establish jurisdiction. I think the real -- the real appropriate action here is a mistrial of this case, and then if we want to get into all those other issues in a timely and efficient and just way. the Court would be able to do so.

MR. WEAVER: One other aspect of it, Judge, is with regard to the analysis that you were raising about the conduct of the State and causing injury outside the State. For that to even be a colorful argument there needs to be an agency relationship. And between -- if they're going to try to do a vicarious liability, that Johnson & Johnson is vicariously liable for the conduct of Pharmatech in Missouri and there's been no proof of an agency relationship, all they have is some kind of contractual

relationship, which is clearly found to be inadequate. There's no evidence that Johnson & Johnson was doing any of the things that they just talked about in Missouri. The fact that -- the fact that a product was being bottled or processed in Missouri is not evidence that Johnson & Johnson was doing it, and I know their lawyer

threw out the word they're the agent, but you can go back to

Page 2174 1 the Blanks case and all the cases establish what's necessary

2 to establish an agency relationship between separate

3 corporations, and nothing we've heard this morning comes

4 close to doing that.

5

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

MR. SANSONE: Judge, on behalf of Defendant

6 Imerys, we would join in the arguments just made by Johnson

7 & Johnson. In addition, we object to the motion to amend

8 the pleadings. It's too late at this juncture as was just

pointed out. It's unfair to the defendants to have to

10 respond to all this new evidence when Plaintiffs had plenty

11 of time to make their record any time before today, any time

12 before the BMS decision came down, which was pointed out by

13 counsel for J&J.

14 Everyone has known about the BMS case and it's 15 coming down. Bringing in all this evidence is simply 16 improper, and even with the evidence that's being offered, 17 the evidence does not establish any connection between the 18 nonresidents' claims and the alleged behavior at issue.

The key language from the BMS case is on page 8. As noted, the nonresidents were not prescribed Plavix, and California did not purchase Plavix, and California did not ingest Plavix, and were not injured by Plavix in California. The mere fact other Plaintiffs were prescribed, obtained, and ingested Plavix in California and allegedly sustained the same injuries as the nonresidents does not allow the

Page 2175

State to assert specific jurisdiction over to nonresidents'

claims. As we have explained, quote, a defendant's

3 relationship with a third party standing alone is an

insufficient basis for jurisdiction, end quote.

So the BMS decision is dispositive. We would join in Johnson & Johnson's motion for a mistrial. There's no way to correct the damage that's been done. Setting aside for a moment the Blaes claim, we've had the families for the other two Plaintiffs' present.

We've had references to targeting African Americans on the display. We've had plenty of things that simply can't be washed away. So we believe the proper thing for the Court to do at this time is declare a mistrial.

THE COURT: Mr. Blair.

MR. BLAIR: I'll just add that that's why I referenced, you know, Pharma Tech is not simply a passthrough situation where you've got a distributer who's using their supply chain to get the product you out across the market throughout the country. This is an action where Imerys -- I'm sorry, Imerys is sending the talc to Union,

20

21 Missouri, for Pharma Tech to complete the product.

And there's no question that this is J&J's product and that they're directing these activities in terms of how that product is to be finalized here in Union, Missouri, by Pharma Tech.

So, the point is that the ultimate failure to

2 warn -- the tort, in terms of what's in the MSDS and what's

3 been known for many years is being committed here in

4 Missouri. This is how the product gets to be defective. So

I think that that's a big distinction there.

And if you look at BMS carefully, they're not limiting the proprietary item of jurisdiction to the State where the person actually ingested or where they came down with disease. Rather, there needs to be an activity or an occurrence that takes place in the forum State, which we clearly have.

12 And reading BMS, second page. The distinction is 13 that they did not create a marketing strategy for Plavix in 14 California. Did not manufacture, label, package, or work on 15 the regulatory approval of the product in California.

So here we have a situation where J&J is directing exactly that. That being the manufacture, label, and packaging without warning here in Missouri. So there's a tort in and of itself occurring right here in Missouri,

20 certainly satisfying the requirements of an activity or an

21 occurrence that takes place in the forum State.

22 THE COURT: All right. So connect the injury 23 to the State of Missouri for me.

24 MR. BLAIR: Sure. The injury's occurring

25 because the tort itself is occurring at the time of Page 2176

Page 2177 Page 2178 1 labeling. 1 Again, we haven't seen the contract. We don't 2 THE COURT: Okay. So assuming that's true, 2 know what the relationship is. I don't know that there's 3 that tort's to everybody. How is it specific to these 3 anything sufficient. I don't think there's anything to 4 nonresident Plaintiffs? 4 establish a relationship of any kind, and yet their argument 5 5 MR. BLAIR: Because there is activity or is dependent upon an agency relationship, which clearly 6 6 occurrence that takes place in the forum State that's there's no evidence of that. 7 7 resulting in the product being defective. There's also no evidence presented showing that 8 THE COURT: What evidence do you have that 8 any of the product that they're talking about that Pharma 9 Pharma Tech puts that activity on the shelf? 9 Tech manufactured was distributed to and used by any of the 10 MR. BLAIR: Puts that activity on the shelf? 10 plaintiffs in this case. 11 Well, they're sending it out to consumers like our three 11 So, there's all of those deficiencies, in addition clients resulting in it being on the shelf in a defective 12 12 to the fact that even under their characterization of condition because of their actions in Missouri. 13 things, there's no demonstration of any conduct or 13 14 THE COURT: Sending it back to others who 14 occurrence by the defendant in Missouri that would support 15 15 the exercise of jurisdiction over those defendants with then put it on the shelf? 16 MR. BLAIR: Well, without warning, and making 16 regard to nonresident Plaintiffs, even if they have 17 it to the shelf in the same condition it left Pharma Tech 17 established somehow that the product shipped by Pharma Tech, 18 18 after they created the defect. which they haven't done, was used by any of the plaintiffs in this case. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Weaver. 19 20 MR. WEAVER: A couple things, your Honor. 20 So again, we would ask for a mistrial and a stay 21 21 per the further proceedings in the Swann case subject to The -- what they're ignoring is the activity or occurrence 22 necessary to support the exercise of personal jurisdiction 22 either further motions before this Court, or direction from 23 over a defendant has to be activity or occurrence of that 23 the Supreme Court of Missouri or the Missouri Court of 24 defendant in the State of Missouri. It can't be someone 24 Appeals. 25 with whom they have a contractual relationship. 25 MR. SANSONE: Judge, Imerys joins in the Page 2179 Page 2180 argument just stated. In addition, I want to point out that 1 1 the other states, the documents suggest that they were going 2 2 even as to Blaes, that with what Mr. Weaver just said, even back and forth to Pharma Tech on whether Shower to Shower 3 as to the Blaes claim, there's no evidence that the 3 was going to be produced in Athens, Georgia or Missouri. 4 plaintiff used Shower to Shower. And for the same reasons 4 Georgia rejected it because they weren't capable of doing 5 that claim is improperly before the Court. So we agree that 5 it. 6 6 at this juncture, mistrial needs to be declared. So all of the Shower to Shower product came out of 7 MR. BLAIR: Judge, Mr. Blaes is a Missouri 7 Missouri for this country. So there's no issue that our 8 resident. There's no question that there's specific 8 Missouri -- Union, Missouri, Shower to Shower made its way. 9 jurisdiction as to his claim. Certainly that's occurred in 9 Ms. Evans lived originally in Illinois and Texas, both in 10 Missouri. I mean, I can look at the Shower to Shower issue. 10 Illinois and Texas, and to Virginia, and obviously Missouri. 11 but I don't know that's relevant here in terms of his claim. 11 So it's really not at issue based on the documents. 12 And if you look at -- and I'm told she used both, 12 MR. BLAIR: Yeah, and another point to make, 13 and all three of the clients used both. But anyhow, turning 13 certainly Pharma Tech is an appropriate defendant to have in 14 to page 11 of the BMS opinion, they say in this case it was 14 this case. They're not a party to this particular case. 15 not alleged that BMS engaged in relevant acts together with 15 But again, I think that is relevant in that I think 16 McKesson in California, and we've just presented direct 16 everybody has acknowledged that the parties are subject to 17 evidence that there were relevant acts engaged in Missouri 17 suit in their home forums. between both J&J and Pharma Tech, and Imerys and Pharma 18 Again, Pharma Tech is a Missouri corporation with 18 Tech. 19 19 which they're doing business and are essentially at home 20 So, BMS is saying where you've got that situation 20 here in Missouri. 21 21 you've got specific jurisdiction. That's -- I mean, that's So to that extent I would suggest that there would 22 on point what we got here. 22 be general jurisdiction in these cases. 23 23 MR. ONDER: Your Honor, in terms of the THE COURT: So same portion you were quoting documents, the final link to say, hey, was this tortious on page 11. Tell me how you read the BMS decision regarding 24 24 25 Missouri talc and Shower to Shower that caused the injury in 25 what are relevant acts.

Page 2181 Page 2182 1 MR. BLAIR: I'm sorry, what are relevant 1 simply distribution. And here's it's not a mere 2 acts? 2 distribution situation. We've got much more activity that 3 THE COURT: Well, the decision says that 3 actually is giving rise to tortious conduct. 4 standing alone, contract alone, as Mr. Weaver's pointed out, 4 THE COURT: Mr. Weaver, I'll ask you the same 5 is insufficient. 5 question. It seems that on page 11, as you've asserted, 6 MR. BLAIR: Well, it's what the contract 6 that third-party relationship on a contract in itself is 7 provides for. And again, I think this goes back --7 insufficient and the Court -- Supreme Court of the United 8 THE COURT: I don't know that's -- I think 8 States focused that there was not allegations that 9 what it is is engaging in relevant acts. Whether or not 9 Bristol-Myers engaged in relevant acts. 10 that's under the contract or not. I don't know. 10 And my question is, are these the relevant acts 11 MR. BLAIR: Well, certainly the contract is 11 that are set forth in the first full paragraph on page 2? 12 12 MR. WEAVER: Are what the relevant acts? upon terms arrived at between J&J and the -- and Pharma 13 That what they've described happened? 13 Tech, and we know that it leaves -- the raw talc that is 14 14 crushed up to and treated to J&J specifications is then sent THE COURT: Well, it says -- the Supreme 15 to Pharma Tech in Union. That's where you got the raw talc Court specifically makes a point of saying BMS did not 15 16 being -- well, put into bottles and labeled and shipped off 16 develop Plavix in California, did not create a marketing 17 wherever it may be. 17 strategy for Plavix in California, and did not manufacture, 18 So it's an actual tort in relation to the 18 label, package, or work on the regulatory approval of the product in California. directive of J&J that is occurring in Missouri, and that's 19 19 20 not what we had in McKesson where there's certainly a 20 It seems the offer of proof here touches on 21 21 distributer. labeling, packaging, some of the relevant acts that it seems 22 THE COURT: So you're saying the relevant 22 like the BMS court is referring to, and I'm asking --23 23 acts on page 11 of the decision relate to paragraph, first MR. WEAVER: Even assuming that all of what 24 paragraph on page 2, are those the relevant acts? 24 they read is somehow evidence that those things happened, 25 MR. BLAIR: Yeah, and it's broader than there still is no evidence. What this refers to is there's Page 2183 Page 2184 1 no evidence that BMS, I mean, that BMS engaged in that 1 bottled in Union, Missouri, that there's MSDS identifying 2 2 conduct in California. And nothing they have provided, the hazard, and they're making it to store shelves. 3 nothing they've talked about, even if we accept it all, even 3 MR. ONDER: In opening argument --THE COURT: All right. You haven't pled 4 if we ignore that none of this was presented or talked about 4 5 today, even if we ignore the fact there's never been any 5 agency. Are you telling me that agency can be created to --6 6 discovery flushing any of this out, that it has to be to perpetuate a tort that Johnson & Johnson from day one has 7 conduct of the defendant over whom you're serving personal 7 denied that they were doing, can you tell me that this --8 jurisdiction with regard to a nonresidents' plaintiffs' 8 that that agent can act on behalf of a principal on 9 claim, and nothing they've talked about has come close to 9 something the principal from day one has said that they're 10 establishing the conduct of Johnson & Johnson. 10 not good for? 11 And we have these generalities and these 11 MR. ONDER: Your Honor, the principal --12 unsupported assertions that are being made. It talks about 12 THE COURT: Do you understand what I'm 13 that -- nor does -- what you just read, your Honor, nor is 13 saying? 14 it alleged that BMS engaged in relevant acts. 14 MR. ONDER: No, I do. You're saying can you 15 Well, there's no -- there's no evidence that 15 be responsible. 16 Johnson & Johnson engaged in any relevant acts, including 16 THE COURT: Normally your agent, in order to 17 the ones that you've just discussed. Nor is it alleged that 17 prove agency, you're going to have to go through a number of BMS --18 things. One of them that you're carrying out the direction 18 19 19 THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you of the principal. But when the direction of the principal 20 there. Can you get relevant acts by contract? 20 is that talc doesn't cause ovarian cancer, how can your 21 MR. BLAIR: I think you can relevant acts by 21 agent perpetuate that carrying that out? 22 MR. ALLEN SMITH: Well, the fact they deny 22 contract, and I think you can get relevant acts by expressed 23 23 direction that may fall within the terms of the contract, whether it causes it or not. The fact that Johnson & but if J&J documents reflect that -- and even the corporate Johnson is direct -- has charge over the bottles that do not 24 24 25 representative testimony reflects that these are being 25 contain the warning language about the possible hazard.

Page 2185 Page 2186 MR. ONDER: In other words, they've MR. WEAVER: None of these allegations are 1 1 2 actually -- they've admitted both in opening argument and in 2 contained in the complaint. They never alleged agency. 3 the various corporate designee depositions that we, Johnson 3 They certainly have proven agency. The relationship 4 & Johnson, buy it from Imerys, we add fragrance, we bottle 4 necessary to establish agency is significant as demonstrated 5 5 it, we ship it, et cetera. They've admitted all those in the Blanks case, which is the lead case. And talks about 6 6 things both in opening, which relieves us of the burden, and the specific elements. They haven't established any of that 7 7 also in corporate designee depositions. 8 They've admitted to all the acts that constitute 8 And again, they haven't made any of these 9 the tort. And obviously admit that they were responsible 9 allegations and they want -- these are assertions that 10 for it so they've effectively admitted that the person that 10 should have been made in response to the motions to dismiss 11 did it for them, their agent, Pharma Tech, is their agent as 11 for lack of personal jurisdiction, so they're untimely in 12 a matter of law. It's a better judicial admission, not only 12 that sense, but they haven't made any of these allegations. this case, but all the cases so far. Every time they've got 13 They would be the subject of discovery if they had been to 13 14 up here and said we buy it from Imerys, we add fragrance, we 14 try to establish -- if they want to try to establish what bottle it, we move it on. They've admitted their agent was 15 this relationship was. 15 16 16 doing that on their behalf. But the mere fact that someone -- they have a 17 MR. SMITH: And they also admit they received 17 contractual relationship with somebody in Missouri who may 18 that MSDS sheet and they did not move that on. They admit 18 be in involved in some aspect of the chain of producing or 19 they received that from them, and they accepted that they 19 distributing the product is certainly not sufficient to 20 did that, and now we find out that obviously with their 20 establish an agency relationship, much less to establish, 21 admission that it's being done through their agent here in 21 again, that any of these Plaintiffs were injured as a 22 22 result, and even the nonresident Plaintiffs were injured as Missouri 23 MR. ONDER: And the fact they deny causation, 23 a result of any conduct by any of these defendants in 24 you know, that's an ultimate issue for the jury. That's not 24 Missouri. 25 agency as to causation. It's their product. 25 MR. JIM SMITH: Your Honor, I just wanted to Page 2187 Page 2188 say that we're in our third week of trial. Today is the 1 THE COURT: Good question. 1 2 2 first time that we've heard of this company called Pharma MR. BLAIR: I think that's why we agree that 3 Tech Industries. Its hasn't been mentioned, and nothing 3 it's appropriate for them to submit something on the writing 4 could be more unfair right now than the position that we're 4 and we don't have to decide this immediately. 5 placed in. Where there's been an application made to the 5 MR. ONDER: Your Honor, all we're doing --6 6 Court to amend the pleadings to allow for an offer -- based the Court has already found specific jurisdiction in this 7 upon an offer of proof. 7 case. All we're supplementing is with additional 8 We don't even know the witness' name who's going 8 information, further enhancing our specific jurisdiction 9 to come to the courtroom, let alone what they're going to 9 argument as required by the U.S. Supreme Court. 10 10 say. And we've been handed some documents about a If it went up -- if this went up on appeal and 11 relationship that exists, the documents are principally 11 they -- what would happen is it would come down to you for a 12 between Pharma Tech and Imerys. We don't know when the 12 hearing on specific jurisdiction. I mean, there's no reason 13 relationship started. We don't know if it ended. If it did 13 not to proceed --14 end, when did it end. 14 THE COURT: So assume that's all true, Mr. 15 We don't know if this product was packaged up. 15 Onder. Mr. Smith's talking about fairness here. 16 Where it was shipped to. We don't know if any product was 16 MR. ONDER: They can always supplement the 17 ever shipped to Virginia by this company, or if any product 17 record on specific jurisdiction. was ever shipped to Texas by this company. We don't know if 18 THE COURT: As can the plaintiffs. 18 19 MR. ONDER: Right. 19 this company served in this role for six months or six years, whether or not there were three other companies 20 THE COURT: As can the plaintiffs. The 20 21 21 plaintiffs can always supplement their pleadings. strategically located. 22 22 MR. ONDER: Right. I'm literally on my cell phone trying to learn 23 23 facts. How could it be more unfair than to be in a THE COURT: And fully develop this issue.

24

25

24

25 fly?

courtroom defending against these claims literally on the

MR. ONDER: Right. And it can be done. It

doesn't have to be done now. We already have a ruling there

<del>Case: 4:17-cv-01842-JCH | Doc. #: 41-1 | Filed: 07/03/17 | Page: 12 of 26 PageID #: 25991</del> Page 2189 Page 2190 1 was specific jurisdiction. At this point, we proceed with 1 Johnson & Johnson know about their chains of distribution 2 trial and we can supplement it, flush out the specific 2 and who does what. 3 jurisdiction after the fact, just as you would do on a 3 MR. ALLEN SMITH: Right. 4 remand as suggested by the U.S. Supreme Court. 4 MR. ONDER: To stand up here and say --5 It's not being dismissed. It's being sent back to 5 Johnson & Johnson says we don't know anything about who 6 6 California for, you know, on a remand. Presumably for a produces our talc. 7 7 specific information on specific jurisdiction. I suspect at MR. ALLEN SMITH: That's kind of --8 that time if they're able to develop evidence that McKesson 8 MR. ONDER: That's a little bit facetious. 9 did additional tortious conduct in California that applied 9 number one. But number two to the extent it's surprise. 10 to everybody, I suspect it will proceed to trial and will 10 Right now all we're asking you to do, we just wanted to add 11 not go back up on appeal. So it's really not that kind of 11 additional information to the record in case they tried to situation. 12 12 inappropriately remove right now at this point mid trial. THE COURT: Exactly. Develop the record, 13 We already have a ruling that there's specific 13 14 develop the pleadings, and that's what I assume's going to 14 jurisdiction. We're just asking you to go forward with the happen in this case. But we're -- the plaintiffs' request trial based upon that ruling, and after the fact, after the 15 15 16 is to do this on the fly, and I'm concerned of the fairness 16 trial is over we can flush it out. They can have all the 17 that that is to everyone here. Is to -- because I didn't 17 time they want to develop the additional information 18 know anything about Pharma Tech. It's offered by some of 18 regarding Pharma Tech and whether that is in fact additional 19 19 the defendants that they didn't know anything about it. It evidence of specific jurisdiction. 20 could very well be that on a date different from today 20 Our whole point is there's no reason to stop the you -- the plaintiff can prove the relevant acts. 21 21 trial mid trial. This is an issue you would deal with on 22 MR. ONDER: Your Honor, our response is, 22 remand. We can deal with it after the verdict is rendered. 23 number one, it's in their documents. They produced the 23 positive or negative. And if we lose, we don't even have to 24 documents to us. These aren't new or surprising documents 24 deal with it, but the reality is we already have a ruling. 25 to either of the defendants. They -- presumably Imerys and There's no motion on the record here to reconsider that Page 2191 Page 2192 1 ruling on specific jurisdiction, we're just asking to 1 never argued any of the elements on which they're relying,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 supplement it in case they try to inappropriately appeal or 3 remove inappropriately mid trial. 4 MR. BLAIR: And, Judge, I would note that all 5 the documents that we presented here today were on our 6 exhibit list. 7 MR. ALLEN SMITH: And these aren't new 8 documents. 9 THE COURT: So what's the surprise then? 10 MR. WEAVER: Well, with all due respect to 11 Mr. Onder, the idea that we'll sort out personal jurisdiction after we get through the trial is preposterous. 12 13 We -- you have found specific jurisdiction on grounds that 14 have been expressly rejected by the Missouri Court of 15 Appeals and by the United States Supreme Court. So it's not

a situation where they want to supplement the record to support the bases for personal jurisdiction that they've asserted, which were that the conduct of the sale of the same product satisfied the related to aspect of due process requirements for personal jurisdiction, and the joinder cured any deficiencies.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, both of those have now been rejected, and the fact that they cited documents that they might use over an issue that they never pleaded, that they never argued, they never argued some kind of agency relationship, they

2 attempting to rely now to expand the scope of the personal

3 jurisdiction -- or the basis of personal jurisdiction that

4 you ruled on and that Judge Garvey ruled on.

And yes, we did -- we have come back to the Court and asked the Court to take action based on these additional rulings. And at no time in response to our motion to stay, for example, with regard to these cases while the Court addressed -- after the Norfolk Southern case, which was rejected solely against -- solely based on the fact that there was joinder.

And we hear there were other grounds. Wait a minute, that Johnson & Johnson Defendants were doing business in the State somehow, or they committed a tort in the State because Pharma Tech was their agent.

And it -- to suggest that simply the fact, I don't know how many documents we have that are identified or put into this record, but the suggestion that we're supposed to anticipate that on the third week of trial in the sixth or seventh case, I mean, five cases have been tried with this personal jurisdiction at the heart of every one of them with this argument, never having been -- never having been made.

So, this idea that we'll sort this all out later is -- makes fundamentally unfair. We did not create this problem.

Page 2193 Page 2194 MR. JIM SMITH: Your Honor, may I -- may I 1 1 fundamental fairness problem with is that in just about 2 speak to the prejudice a little bit more? 2 every one of these trials we've had them get up and explain 3 THE COURT: Sure. 3 the process that it's extracted from mines in China, it's MR. JIM SMITH: Your Honor, just to give you 4 4 shipped to Houston, it's ground up and sent to Skillman, New 5 some perspective. There's an offer of proof that's been 5 Jersey, for bottling. That's not true. 6 6 made. We don't know the name of the witness, and I'll So in terms of their having been prejudiced and 7 7 confirm this with Mr. Hegarty, but I don't believe there's this not being developed, I don't think that that's squarely 8 anyone from Pharma Tech who was identified on the witness 8 on us. And in fact, I'd like to go back and actually look 9 list. There are 5,000 pages, your Honor, 5,000 pages that 9 at some of the opening statements and the corporate 10 identify exhibits. 5,000. We don't bring it to court 10 representative deposition testimony, the affidavits that 11 because it would drive everybody crazy. Everything is on 11 were attached to the motions to dismiss for lack of specific the witness -- or on the exhibit list. 12 12 jurisdiction, et cetera, et cetera. 13 13 And think about it, your Honor, what they're So, I don't think that they are on the receiving 14 saying is we want to amend the pleading to put this theory 14 end necessarily of fundamental fairness issues. in, so we're literally learning about the theory today, and 15 MR. ONDER: In terms of pleadings, we don't 15 16 here's some documents that may support it and so let's go 16 need the pleadings amended. The pleadings said Johnson & 17 forward. What could be more unfair than that? 17 Johnson received it, bottled it, added fragrance, and 18 We don't know the name of the witness. The 18 shipped it. That allegation is the same. It just turns out witness wasn't put on the witness list. We didn't know the 19 19 that we were a little bit bamboozled about where that 20 theory about it today, and we're going to have a trial with 20 process occurred, and we found out we were bamboozled and 21 21 no discovery. It can't be fair, your Honor, and if you we're coming up and we're just saying, Judge, we just want think about what's at stake in this case, we, as defendants, 22 to supplement the record so when this goes up on appeal, we 22 23 shouldn't be forced to be in a trial where we're surprised 23 have evidence. 24 like this in the third week in trial. It's just not fair. 24 This isn't a trial issue. We're not asking to 25 MR. BLAIR: Judge, here's what I have a come in and try the case on this issue. This is a Page 2195 Page 2196 1 1 jurisdictional issue decided by the Court, not the jury. So MR. WEAVER: McKesson was a party. 2 2 there's no trial surprise or anything else. It's a MR. ONDER: But according to the opinion, 3 jurisdiction issue. 3 they just, you know, said they're basically a distributer in 4 It's not heard by the jury, so there's no surprise 4 the stream. They did nothing to change the product in any 5 that they have to suddenly prepare -- presumably someone 5 way, shape, or form. Akin to an innocent seller in 6 6 suddenly prepared when they weren't exactly forthright about Missouri. An innocent seller can get out of Missouri if 7 where the stuff was bottled. But regardless of all that, 7 there's no independent negligence. The bottom line is here 8 this isn't a trial issue. They don't have to be prepared 8 we have, you know --9 for that issue. 9 MR. BLAIR: An affirmative act that's 10 MR. WEAVER: No. So the threshold issue that creating the hazardous condition, i.e., the failure to put a 11 normally gets resolved long before trial. And it has been 11 warning on the bottle. 12 discussed and memos back and forth and motions back and 12 MR. ONDER: The tort occurred here in 13 forth, and requests to reevaluate it, which the arguments 13 Missouri. And they've admitted that that process that 14 being made now were never made. And the evidence presented 14 constitutes the tort is the action of Johnson & Johnson. We 15 so far does not establish the general conclusions that are 15 just find out -- we found out now as we're going back that 16 being asserted as a basis for exercising personal 16 it, in fact, occurred in Missouri, where they told us it 17 jurisdiction over Johnson & Johnson, Johnson & Johnson 17 happened in New Jersey, but the bottom line is we have Defendants, and Imerys with regard to the claims of the 18 specific jurisdiction because they committed the tort at 18 nonresident Plaintiffs. 19 19 issue in Missouri, at least as to Shower to Shower. 20 MR. BLAIR: I'd also like to go back through 20 THE COURT: And what do you have that says 21 what has been pled in the petition. 21 that the two nonresidents were injured by that tort? 22 THE COURT: McKesson wasn't a party in BMS, 22 MR. BLAIR: They were the exclusive supplier, 23 23 is my understanding, from my reading of the documents of were they? 24 24 Shower to Shower. MR. BLAIR: I'd have to look at it, Judge.

25

MR. ONDER: Your Honor, their testimony at

25

MR. ONDER: Was McKesson a -- yeah.

Page 2197 Page 2198 1 trial of all three will be they used both baby powder and 1 going to be in the home states of the respective Plaintiffs, 2 Shower to Shower. As we go forward, that's going to be 2 but that's not the standard. I mean, site of development of 3 their testimony that they used both. So that would be the 3 disease and location of exposure is only one side of the 4 evidence that they were hurt by -- by both but specifically 4 coin when it comes to specific jurisdiction. 5 5 we know for sure that Shower to Shower was bottled in Union, It's also the acts that are taking place in 6 Missouri without a label. Or without a warning. 6 Missouri specific to these particular products and the 7 7 THE COURT: Well, I understand that tortious conduct in Missouri. 8 allegation of tort. But what I'm trying to connect with the 8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Weaver. 9 injury, where is the proof of the tort committing the 9 MR. WEAVER: Judge, number one, I've not been 10 injury? Where did that happen? 10 here for the last three years, but it is my understanding 11 MR. BLAIR: It couldn't have come from 11 that other than the assertions made this morning, there's no evidence that the sole source of Shower to Shower was in 12 anyplace else given they were the exclusive supplier for 12 Shower to Shower. I mean, it's the --13 Missouri. Also, it's my understanding that Evans did not 13 MR. ONDER: The exclusive bottler for Shower 14 14 use Shower to Shower; is that correct? 15 MR. ONDER: We can put her mother on the 15 to Shower, therefore, as long as they used Shower to Shower, 16 they used Shower to Shower out of Union, Missouri, according 16 stand right now and she'll testify that her daughter used 17 to the documents. 17 Shower to Shower. 18 THE COURT: They used -- they used, assuming 18 MR. PROST: I think according to the records 19 19 that there was a tort in Union, Missouri, I'm trying to she did not. 20 locate where the location of injury was. 20 THE COURT: Anything further? 21 MR. BLAIR: Well, I think in a tort --21 MR. BLAIR: I've got one more document that 22 THE COURT: It may have occurred in Union, 22 eliminates the extent of J&J's relationship with Pharma 23 but where was the -- where was the site of the injury? 23 Tech. And it's kind of repetitive. 24 MR. BLAIR: Well, I mean, the clinical 24 THE COURT: So as to Mr. Smith's motion with 25 presentation of ovarian cancer and the diagnosis is probably regard to the jury. Are they upstairs? Page 2199 Page 2200 DEPUTY HUBBARD: Yes, sir. 1 1 Let's bring them down and send them home, and we can get 2 2 this right. MR. BLAIR: What was the question, Judge? 3 THE COURT: Mr. Smith made the point that 3 MR. BLAIR: Judge, for the record could we 4 regardless of what's decided here this afternoon, should we 4 clean up some housekeeping matters in the way of what 5 let the jury go home for the rest of the day and bring them 5 Mr. Allen Smith read to you earlier. This was the 6 6 back tomorrow one way or the other? August 8th e-mail, re: Pharmatech, P-911. 7 7 MR. BLAIR: I'm okay with that if that's what THE COURT: Yeah, I've got 911. 8 8 the Court wants to do. MR. ALLEN SMITH: And I gave him the other 9 MR. ONDER: If the Court would like time to 9 one too. 10 decide, obviously that would probably be the best. 10 (At 12:30 p.m., the jury was brought into the courtroom, and the following proceedings were had:) 11 THE COURT: Yeah, there's a lot of moving 11 12 parts here. 12 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. 13 MR. ALLEN SMITH: Yes, sir, I understand. 13 All right. Welcome back. Sorry about the delay this 14 THE COURT: I think Mr. Smith has a good 14 morning. But we've been in here working on an issue that I 15 point. I can bring them down, tell them there's an issue 15 have not taken care of yet. So the decision is rather than 16 we're working on, rather than send them to lunch and have 16 have you all go to lunch and come back and set upstairs, I'm 17 them come back, I'm going to send them home until tomorrow 17 going to be required to send you home today and so you're morning. 18 not setting upstairs. I can't guarantee that I'm going to 18 19 MR. ALLEN SMITH: Yes, sir. 19 clear this issue before the day's over. And just being MR. WEAVER: I think the record's clear to 20 20 straight up with you, I think that there's more likelihood 21 21 that I won't be able to clear up this issue in the next sustain the need for a mistrial at this time. 22 THE COURT: So are you guying argue for a 22 couple of hours than the likelihood that I will be, and 23 mistrial? 23 having you all set up in a room upstairs or being around the 24 24 MR. JIM SMITH: Whatever he says. courthouse isn't fair to you all. 25 THE COURT: I think that's a good point. 25 So I've advised the parties that I was going to

Page 2201 Page 2202 1 bring you down and rather than send you to lunch and bring 1 Do not read, view, or listen to any newspaper, 2 you back, I'm going to send you home today and I will have 2 radio, electronic communication from the Internet or 3 this issue cleared up by tomorrow morning. Fair enough? 3 television report of the trial. 4 When I dismiss you, the sheriff's going to take 4 And once again, if you find yourself confronted 5 5 you back upstairs. I don't know if he mentioned to you that with additional information about this case, please remove 6 we have jury appreciation that he's got some handouts for 6 yourself from the situation and report the contact to the 7 7 you, some discounts on baseball tickets, some rides on the sheriff tomorrow morning. 8 tram to the Arch, and I think some water bottles and other 8 We'll see you in the morning. Take advantage of 9 things that the jury supervisor provides us with. 9 the sheriff's giveaway. Make sure he doesn't put one of 10 I was going to do that today for you. And but 10 those in his pocket. We'll see him up at the top of the 11 after I dismiss you, if you want to go upstairs and be a 11 Arch tomorrow. See you tomorrow morning. You are excused. 12 (The following proceedings were had in open 12 part of the raffle to the top of the Arch or get some discount on Cardinal tickets too, okay. court, outside the presence and hearing of the jury:) 13 13 14 14 So, all right. Tomorrow morning, if you would be THE COURT: Anything further? 15 here ready to go at 9 o'clock. I'll have this -- the issue MR. ALLEN SMITH: No, sir. 15 16 MR. JIM SMITH: Your Honor, did we lose 16 clarified at that time. But in the meantime, I've got to 17 instruct you once again, until the case is given to you to 17 another juror? 18 decide, you must not discuss the case among yourselves, with 18 THE COURT: Yes. I was handed a letter from a Mr. Large, one of the alternate's employer, sometime 19 others, or permit anyone to discuss it within your hearing. 19 20 You should not form or express any opinion about 20 Friday afternoon, saying that he was a vital person to that 21 business, to the manufacturing company he works for. I have 21 the case until it is finally given to you to decide. Please 22 do not do any research or investigation on your own on any 22 an idea that's why he's not here today. But we have not 23 of the issues that you've heard discussed, or about any of 23 heard from him, and the sheriff has been trying to get ahold 24 the parties. And do not communicate with others about the 24 of him this morning, but I have an idea that's what this is 25 case. 25 about. Anything further? Page 2203 Page 2204 1 MR. MAGEE: Do you want us to come back 1 However, that as I read the Bristol-Myers case, 2 2 Judge Alito focused on the lack of allegation in those tomorrow or sit tight? 3 THE COURT: Yeah, I will get ahold of 3 pleadings. We do have allegations in this case that would 4 everybody here in a couple hours. I think we need to take 4 constitute what the Bristol-Myers court refers to as 5 care of this this afternoon. 5 relevant acts, however, we don't -- we do not have pleadings 6 6 MR. ALLEN SMITH: Yes, sir. sufficient to anchor those relevant acts to a third party, 7 THE COURT: Anything further? 7 that being the Pharma Tech Industry here in Missouri. 8 8 MR. ALLEN SMITH: No, sir. I'm cognizant that during trials pleadings can be 9 THE COURT: All right. Court will be in 9 amended. I'm also cognizant that -- of the availability of 10 temporary recess. 10 writs and other devices that may be anticipated by all 11 (Court was held in recess for the noon hour. 11 parties. Which I expect the likelihood of those. My 12 after which the following proceedings were had in open 12 concern is what do I do with the jury while these are going 13 court:) 13 on? 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Court will be back in 14 I could have them come back in a week, I could 15 session. Please be seated. All right. We're back on the 15 have them come back Thursday. But all that does is lead to record here. All right. The Court has considered the 16 16 the possibility of the jury's being confronted with 17 arguments of the parties, and it's quite an unusual position 17 information outside this courtroom. we're in here today. 18 I don't believe it's fair that under these 18 19 I've considered the pleadings and the case that 19 circumstances that I require the defendants to defend, even came down this morning, as well as considered the effect of 20 though they may be defending allegations that are supported 20 21 all of this on the 12 plus three jurors that we have here. 21 by their own documents, I don't believe it's fair that they

22

23

24

25

be required to defend on the fly.

take a step back, allow the plaintiff to frame their

allegations that relevant acts have occurred by these

I think the most prudent thing here to do is to

And I believe that -- that the Bristol-Myers case this

plaintiff regarding specific jurisdiction of nonresident

Plaintiffs over these defendants.

morning does set forth an avenue that can be pursued by the

22

23

24

25

### <del>Case: 4:17-cv-01842-JCH | Doc. #: 41-1 | Filed: 07/03/17 | Page: 16 of 26 PageID #: 25995</del>

Page 2205 Page 2206 1 defendants within the State of Missouri sufficient to 1 THE COURT: I think you're free to talk to 2 constitute specific jurisdiction. 2 them tomorrow until they leave the courthouse. 3 With that, I'm going to grant the defendant's 3 MR. MAGEE: I just wanted to check with you. 4 motion and declare a mistrial. And I don't think there's 4 THE COURT: I think that's consistent with 5 prudently anything else I can do in this circumstance. And 5 the local rules. 6 I don't think going another four weeks; the expense, the 6 MR. MAGEE: Okay, Judge, thanks. Do you want 7 inconvenience of the jury, with these kind of issues in the 7 us here in the morning? 8 case, I don't think that's a fair alternative. 8 THE COURT: That's your pleasure whether -- I 9 So, I think that's exactly what I have to do. 9 just need to take care of those folks and give them an Anything else? 10 explanation. 10 11 MR. ALLEN SMITH: No, sir. 11 MR. MAGEE: All right. THE COURT: You know, I offered and I've 12 THE COURT: Folks, I just really think that 12 checked to see whether or not there's jurors available going forward would be trying to -- trying to master a 13 13 14 should the Blaes plaintiff -- I don't think I can put that 14 square into a round hole, and I just don't think -- I know together either. With -- there's two other divisions that how on one side the parties are -- believe this should 15 15 waiting for jurors downstairs. I don't think that's a 16 go forward and the other side has 180 degrees difference in 16 17 viable alternative here. 17 opinion, but we're only two weeks in the trial and going for 18 So my intention is to -- when the jury gets here 18 another four weeks with these kind of issues, I think that tomorrow, is explain to them that a U.S. Supreme Court case there's no alternative for me, from my chair. So be that as 19 19 20 decision was made that required my declaring a mistrial. I 20 it may, anything further today? don't think I have any other alternative. Anything further 21 MR. ALLEN SMITH: No, sir. 21 22 on behalf of J&J? 22 MR. JIM SMITH: Nothing further, your Honor. 23 MR. MAGEE: Judge, we try to learn from every 23 THE COURT: Court stands adjourned for the 24 case. If the jury comes in tomorrow, are we free to talk to 24 day. 25 them, or do you not want us to talk to them? 25 (End of Trial.) Page 2207 **CERTIFICATE** 1 2 I, Jennifer A. Dunn, Registered Professional 3 Reporter and Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify 4 that I am an official court reporter for the Circuit Court 5 of the City of St. Louis; that on June 19, 2017, I was 6 present and reported all the proceedings had in the case of 7 MICHAEL BLAES, ET AL., Plaintiffs, vs. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, 8 ET AL., Defendants, Cause No. 1422-CC09326-01. 9 I further certify that the foregoing pages 10 contain a true and accurate reproduction of the proceedings. 11 12 13 14 15 16 "/s/JENNIFER A. DUNN, RPR, CCR #485" 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

`a <del>sa:                                   </del>	<del>7-cv-018/12</del> -	1 1 <del>CH Doc #: 41-1</del>	44,000 pounds [1] 2161/24 485   201/20 72/073/617
<b>DEPUTY'H</b> 2198/25	UBBARD: [1] -	10W[1] 2159/21#. 41-1 11 [6] 1/16 2150/1 2179/14	
	I SMITH: [18]	2180/24 2181/23 2182/5	5
2150/25 21	51/2 2160/25	<b>11/14/2014 [1]</b> 2161/4	5 million pounds [2] 2159/24 2160/21
	5/7 2165/10	110 [1] 2/17	<b>5,000 [4]</b> 2159/21 2193/9
	90/2 2190/6 9/12 2199/18	<b>112 [1]</b> 3/13 <b>12 [4]</b> 2150/7 2168/12	2193/9 2193/10
	2/14 2203/5	2168/16 2203/21	<b>50 [1]</b> 2162/14
	5/10 2206/20	<b>121 [1]</b> 3/18	<b>555 [1]</b> 2/23 <b>5th [1]</b> 2/23
	: <b>[50]</b> 2151/3 51/19 2152/13	<b>12768 [1]</b> 2/13 <b>12:30 [1]</b> 2200/10	
	53/8 2153/11	<b>13 [1]</b> 2158/14	6
	4/12 2154/16	<b>1310 [1]</b> 2154/20	<b>600 [1]</b> 3/22 <b>63084 [1]</b> 2154/21
	6/20 2156/23	<b>1313 [1]</b> 3/22	<b>63101-1313</b> [1] 3/22
	62/1 2162/6 62/20 2163/4	<b>14 [2]</b> 2160/17 2162/12 <b>1422-CC09326-01 [3]</b> 1/8	<b>63102 [1]</b> 3/9
	65/18 2165/23	2151/12 2207/8	<b>63119 [1]</b> 2/17
	66/20 2175/14	<b>143425 [1]</b> 2162/24	<b>64108 [1]</b> 3/6 <b>681 [1]</b> 2/11
	77/4 2177/9 79/6 2180/11	<b>14CC-09326-01 [1]</b> 2150/6 <b>14th [1]</b> 2159/11	-
	81/5 2181/10	<b>15 [1]</b> 2152/14	7
	83/20 2188/1	<b>1510 [1]</b> 3/16	<b>78205 [1]</b> 3/14
	3/24 2195/19	<b>1575 [1]</b> 3/18	<b>78701 [1]</b> 3/16
	96/8 2196/21 97/20 2197/23	<b>15th [1]</b> 3/22 <b>16 [1]</b> 2160/9	8
	99/1 2199/6	<b>17 [1]</b> 2160/18	8 million [2] 2160/4 2160/5
2200/2		17th [1] 2159/3	<b>816 [1]</b> 3/16 <b>8th [2]</b> 2161/17 2200/6
MR. JIM SN	<b>/IITH: [10]</b> 53/15 2153/18	<b>180 [1]</b> 2206/16	
	86/24 2192/25	<b>1800 [1]</b> 3/13 <b>19 [3]</b> 1/17 2150/2 2207/5	9
	9/23 2202/15	<b>19103</b> [1] 3/3	9 o'clock [1] 2201/15
2206/21	<b>E 1403</b> 0450/7	<b>1990 [1]</b> 2156/14	<b>900 [2]</b> 5/4 2154/18 <b>90013 [1]</b> 2/23
	<b>E: [12]</b> 2150/7 52/5 2152/10	19th of [1] 2150/6 1st [4] 2155/22 2155/24	<b>901 [2]</b> 5/5 2155/24
	63/3 2165/5	2156/4 2156/17	<b>902 [2]</b> 5/6 2157/3
	05/22 2206/2	2	<b>903 [2]</b> 5/7 2158/12 <b>904 [1]</b> 5/8
2206/5 220 MB ONDE			<b>905</b> [1] 5/9
	<b>R: [25]</b> 2152/24 6/16 2179/22	<b>2,250 [1]</b> 2159/25 <b>20 [1]</b> 2152/14	<b>906 [2]</b> 5/10 2160/7
	4/10 2184/13	<b>2000 [3]</b> 2159/3 2159/4	<b>907 [1]</b> 2159/10
	85/22 2188/4	2159/9	<b>910</b> [6] 5/11 2162/5 2162/9 2162/19 2162/20 2163/5
	88/18 2188/21 89/21 2190/3	<b>2003 [1]</b> 2161/17 <b>2005 [2]</b> 2157/12 2157/22	<b>911 [3]</b> 2163/4 2200/6
	4/14 2195/24	<b>2006 [1]</b> 2162/12	2200/7
	6/11 2196/24	<b>2008</b> [7] 2155/22 2155/24	<b>97204 [1]</b> 3/19
	98/14 2199/8 : <b>[1]</b> 2172/3	2156/4 2156/17 2156/23 2156/24 2156/25	Α
	T:[1] 2172/3	<b>2014 [4]</b> 2159/11 2160/10	<b>a.m [1]</b> 2150/7
MR. SANS	ONE: [4]	2160/17 2161/4	<b>able [3]</b> 2173/9 2189/8 2200/21
2150/22 21	52/15 2174/4	<b>2015 [1]</b> 2161/13	about [43] 2150/7 2150/15
	: <b>[1]</b> 2185/16	<b>2017 [3]</b> 1/17 2150/2 2207/5	2151/24 2154/2 2154/3
MR. WEAV		<b>211</b> [1] 3/9	2155/18 2156/22 2157/24
	66/24 2167/8	<b>218</b> [1] 2/8	2159/21 2160/15 2161/10 2161/12 2161/18 2161/19
	67/21 2170/2 0/12 2170/20	<b>22 [1]</b> 2157/6 <b>2250 [1]</b> 2160/22	2173/11 2173/21 2174/14
	1/9 2171/14	<b>22nd [1]</b> 2157/8	2178/8 2183/3 2183/4
	73/9 2177/19	<b>25 [1]</b> 2159/18	2183/9 2183/12 2184/25 2186/5 2187/10 2188/15
	82/22 2185/25 5/9 2195/25	<b>2500 [2]</b> 2159/25 2160/22 <b>2555 [1]</b> 3/6	2189/18 2189/19 2190/1
2198/8 219		<b>26 [1]</b> 2151/4	2190/5 2193/13 2193/15
THE COUR	T: [94]	<b>2700 [1]</b> 3/9	2193/20 2193/22 2194/1 2194/19 2195/6 2200/13
\$		<b>28</b> [1] 2158/11	2201/20 2201/23 2201/24
\$11,506 [1]	2159/5	<b>2nd [1]</b> 2/17	2202/5 2202/25
\$18,986 [1]	2159/4	3	above [1] 2157/8
\$2,942,750		<b>3/16/2000 [1]</b> 2159/4	accept [1] 2183/3 accepted [1] 2185/19
<b>\$20 [1]</b> 216 <b>\$20 million</b>		<b>30 [1]</b> 2158/12 <b>30-page [2]</b> 2157/2 2157/5	according [3] 2196/2
\$30,000 [1]		<b>36103 [1]</b> 2/9	2197/16 2198/18
/		<b>39157 [1]</b> 2/11	account [1] 2160/17 accurate [1] 2207/10
/ /e/ IEMMIEE	<b>R [1]</b> 2207/16	<b>39236</b> [1] 2/14 <b>3rd</b> [1] 2157/12	acknowledged [1] 2180/16
l <del></del>	11[1] 2201/10		across [1] 2175/18
0		4	act [2] 2184/8 2196/9 acting [3] 2164/10 2166/16
	150/6 2151/12	<b>4.5 [2]</b> 2159/24 2160/21	2169/10
2207/8		<b>400 [1]</b> 2162/14	

2207/8

action [6] 2153/3 2168/19

2173/6 2175/19 2192/6

**400 [1]** 2162/14

44 [1] 2161/23

**4160 [1]** 2/8

activities [1] 2175/23 activity [12] 5/10 2160/10 2163/15 2164/15 2176/9 2176/20 2177/5 2177/9 2177/10 2177/21 2177/23 2182/2 acts [23] 2164/13 2179/15 2179/17 2180/25 2181/2 2181/9 2181/23 2181/24 2182/9 2182/10 2182/12 2182/21 2183/14 2183/16 2183/20 2183/21 2183/22 2185/8 2189/21 2198/5 2204/5 2204/6 2204/25 actual [2] 2158/19 2181/18 actually [8] 2153/22 2157/2 2157/7 2158/21 2176/8 2182/3 2185/2 2194/8 add [6] 2152/16 2172/3 2175/15 2185/4 2185/14 2190/10 added [1] 2194/17 adding [1] 2163/25 addition [5] 2155/14 2172/19 2174/7 2178/11 2179/1 additional [8] 2162/15 2188/7 2189/9 2190/11 2190/17 2190/18 2192/6 2202/5 additionally [3] 2160/24 2161/14 2165/1 address [2] 2156/11 2156/12 addressed [4] 2155/25 2167/17 2167/19 2192/9 adjourned [1] 2206/23 adjudication [1] 2164/18 Administrator [1] 1/6 admission [3] 2169/4 2185/12 2185/21 admit [3] 2185/9 2185/17 2185/18 admitted [6] 2185/2 2185/5 2185/8 2185/10 2185/15 2196/13 advanced [1] 2170/7 advantage [1] 2202/8 advised [1] 2200/25 affidavits [1] 2194/10 affiliation [1] 2164/14 affirmative [1] 2196/9 afraid [1] 2172/18 African [1] 2175/10 after [14] 2150/7 2151/7 2154/11 2159/19 2166/7 2177/18 2189/3 2190/15 2190/15 2190/22 2191/12 2192/9 2201/11 2203/12 afternoon [4] 2154/7 2199/4 2202/20 2203/5 again [16] 2155/2 2160/10 2162/22 2163/1 2164/7 2165/15 2169/2 2178/1 2178/20 2180/15 2180/18 2181/7 2186/8 2186/21 2201/17 2202/4 against [3] 2168/7 2187/24 2153/13 2162/25 2168/3 2192/10 agency [13] 2173/14 2173/17 2174/2 2178/5 2184/5 2184/5 2184/17 2185/25 2186/2 2186/3 2186/4 2186/20 2191/25 agent [13] 2163/23 2164/10 2166/16 2169/17 2173/25 2184/8 2184/16 2184/21 2185/11 2185/11 2185/15 2185/21 2192/15

2196/14 agents [1] 2169/10 agents[7] of 7263 Page agents [1] 22998 agree [3] 2167/24 2179/5 2188/2 ahold [2] 2202/23 2203/3 **Akin [1]** 2196/5 al [4] 1/9 1/12 2207/7 2207/8 Alabama [1] 2/9 Alito [1] 2204/2 all [59] 2151/16 2155/11 2156/13 2158/6 2158/16 2159/9 2164/22 2164/23 2165/13 2165/17 2165/25 2166/14 2166/20 2166/22 2166/22 2168/21 2169/12 2172/21 2173/7 2173/18 2174/1 2174/10 2174/15 2176/22 2178/11 2179/13 2180/6 2182/23 2183/3 2183/19 2184/4 2185/5 2185/8 2185/13 2188/5 2188/7 2188/14 2190/10 2190/16 2191/4 2191/10 2192/23 2195/7 2197/1 2198/8 2200/12 2200/13 2200/16 2200/23 2200/24 2201/14 2203/9 2203/15 2203/16 2203/21 2204/10 2204/15 2206/11 2207/6 allegation [4] 2169/9 2194/18 2197/8 2204/2 allegations [7] 2182/8 2186/1 2186/9 2186/12 2204/3 2204/20 2204/25 alleged [5] 2174/18 2179/15 2183/14 2183/17 2186/2 allegedly [1] 2174/24 alleging [1] 2169/17 **ALLEN [4]** 2/7 2/10 2150/25 allow [3] 2174/25 2187/6 2204/24 alone [5] 2172/7 2175/3 2181/4 2181/4 2187/9 along [1] 2155/12 already [5] 2157/21 2188/6 2188/25 2190/13 2190/24 also [14] 3/23 2155/13 2160/2 2161/20 2169/21 2172/1 2172/14 2178/7 2185/7 2185/17 2195/20 2198/5 2198/13 2204/9 alternate's [1] 2202/19 alternative [4] 2205/8 2205/17 2205/21 2206/19 always [2] 2188/16 2188/21 am [1] 2207/4 amend [5] 2164/24 2172/24 2174/7 2187/6 2193/14 amended [2] 2194/16 2204/9 AMERICA [5] 3/11 2159/1 2161/2 2161/16 2163/3 Americans [1] 2175/11 among [1] 2201/18 amount [2] 2159/4 2159/5 analysis [6] 2151/17 2168/4 2173/11 anchor [1] 2204/6 ancillary [1] 2165/1 **ANGELA [1]** 1/6 Angeles [1] 2/23 Anne [1] 3/21 another [8] 2157/7 2159/4 2161/14 2166/11 2180/12 2202/17 2205/6 2206/18 anticipate [1] 2192/19 anticipated [1] 2204/10

Α Antonio [1] 394-01842 any [34] 2150/21 2152/4 2162/2 2169/21 2172/16 2173/21 2174/11 2174/11 2174/17 2178/4 2178/8 2178/9 2178/13 2178/18 2183/5 2183/6 2183/16 2186/6 2186/8 2186/12 2186/21 2186/23 2186/23 2187/16 2187/17 2191/21 2192/1 2196/4 2201/20 2201/22 2201/22 2201/23 2202/1 2205/21 anybody [2] 2150/21 2172/21 anyhow [1] 2179/13 anyone [2] 2193/8 2201/19 anyplace [1] 2197/12 anything [16] 2150/22 2154/14 2178/3 2178/3 2189/18 2189/19 2190/5 2195/2 2198/20 2202/14 2202/25 2203/7 2205/5 2205/10 2205/21 2206/20 appeal [4] 2188/10 2189/11 2191/2 2194/22 Appeals [2] 2178/24 2191/15 appears [2] 2159/6 2159/7 application [1] 2187/5 applied [1] 2189/9 appreciation [1] 2201/6 appropriate [6] 2153/2 2153/3 2154/5 2173/6 2180/13 2188/3 approval [3] 2163/11 2176/15 2182/18 approved [1] 2157/17 April [4] 2155/22 2155/24 2156/4 2156/17 April 1st [4] 2155/22 2155/24 2156/4 2156/17 Arch [3] 2201/8 2201/12 2202/11 are [38] 2150/9 2158/6 2158/10 2158/17 2158/24 2159/5 2160/2 2160/4 2165/1 2166/21 2168/23 2173/5 2180/16 2180/19 2180/25 2181/1 2181/24 2182/10 2182/11 2182/12 2183/12 2183/25 2184/5 2186/1 2186/9 2187/11 2192/17 2193/9 2194/13 2195/15 2198/5 2198/25 2199/22 2202/11 2204/12 2204/20 2205/24 2206/15 aren't [2] 2189/24 2191/7 argue [1] 2199/22 argued [3] 2191/24 2191/25 2192/1 argument [10] 2165/3 2170/9 2171/1 2173/13 2178/4 2179/1 2184/3 2185/2 2188/9 2192/22 arguments [3] 2174/6 2195/13 2203/17 arise [1] 2163/12 ARMSTRONG [1] 3/25 around [1] 2200/23 arrived [1] 2181/12 article [1] 2162/13 as [63] 1/6 1/8 2150/18 2151/15 2152/22 2153/6 2154/18 2155/23 2156/7 2156/11 2156/11 2157/3 2157/4 2157/5 2157/22 2158/9 2158/23 2158/24 2160/11 2160/16 2162/4

**]:(16|4|**/10 **|2104**(22<del>||</del>216**4**|/**1**-1 2F9\$**ed**: 07/03/17 2166/16 2166/20 2168/9 Batch [1] 5/6 batches [1] 2157/20 2170/8 2174/8 2174/20 2174/25 2175/2 2179/2 Bates [3] 2157/4 2162/23 2179/3 2179/9 2181/4 2182/5 2185/11 2185/25 2186/4 2186/21 2186/22 2188/9 2188/18 2188/20 2189/3 2189/4 2193/22 2195/16 2196/15 2196/19 2197/2 2197/15 2197/15 2198/24 2203/20 2203/20 2204/1 2204/4 2206/19 aside [1] 2175/7 ask [2] 2178/20 2182/4 asked [1] 2192/6 asking [6] 2153/5 2182/22 2190/10 2190/14 2191/1 2194/24 aspect [3] 2173/10 2186/18 2191/19 aspects [1] 2167/23 assert [1] 2175/1 asserted [9] 2168/2 2168/7 2168/9 2168/10 2168/22 2169/6 2182/5 2191/18 2195/16 asserting [1] 2171/5 assertion [3] 2169/8 2169/16 2169/20 assertions [3] 2183/12 2186/9 2198/11 assume [3] 2170/24 2170/24 2188/14 assume's [1] 2189/14 assuming [4] 2171/3 2177/2 2182/23 2197/18 Athens [1] 2180/3 attached [4] 2155/23 2156/8 2163/22 2194/11 attempting [1] 2192/2 August [2] 2161/17 2200/6 August 8th [2] 2161/17 2200/6 **AUSTIN [2]** 2/22 3/16 availability [1] 2204/9 available [1] 2205/13 avenue [3] 3/16 3/22 2203/23 average [1] 2158/17 **away [1]** 2175/12 baby [3] 2159/22 2160/14 back [33] 2150/13 2151/10 2151/11 2156/25 2158/5 2158/11 2159/9 2161/19 2165/22 2173/25 2177/14 2180/2 2181/7 2189/5 2189/11 2192/5 2194/8 2195/12 2195/12 2195/20 2196/15 2199/6 2199/17 2200/13 2200/16 2201/2 2201/5 2203/1 2203/14 2203/15 2204/14 2204/15 2204/24 **BACON [1]** 3/5 bags [1] 2162/14 bamboozled [2] 2194/19 2194/20 Barecchia [1] 3/2 baseball [1] 2201/7 based [8] 2154/24 2170/13 2170/21 2180/11 2187/6 2190/15 2192/6 2192/10 bases [1] 2191/17 basically [2] 2153/5 2196/3 basis [9] 2159/8 2164/8

2168/1 2168/6 2170/4

2162/9 2163/7 2163/23

2162/24 **Bauer [1]** 3/8 be [68] bearing [1] 2155/9 Beasley [2] 2/5 2/7 Beattie [1] 2/6 because [11] 2168/8 2168/10 2171/13 2176/25 2177/5 2177/13 2180/4 2189/17 2192/15 2193/11 2196/18 been [36] 2153/21 2155/5 2155/23 2156/13 2157/3 2157/19 2157/21 2158/12 2159/1 2168/2 2169/19 2170/22 2170/22 2171/24 2172/2 2173/17 2175/7 2176/3 2183/5 2186/10 2186/13 2187/3 2187/5 2187/10 2191/14 2191/22 2192/20 2192/22 2192/22 2193/5 2194/6 2195/11 2195/21 2198/9 2200/14 2202/23 before [11] 2150/15 2153/22 2154/14 2165/13 2166/2 2174/11 2174/12 2178/22 2179/5 2195/11 2200/19 behalf [7] 1/4 2161/2 2165/6 2174/5 2184/8 2185/16 2205/22 behavior [1] 2174/18 being [27] 2158/17 2158/21 2164/20 2166/4 2169/3 2169/12 2170/6 2172/19 2173/23 2174/16 2176/3 2176/17 2177/7 2177/12 2181/16 2183/12 2183/25 2185/21 2189/5 2189/5 2194/7 2195/14 2195/16 2200/19 2200/23 2204/7 2204/16 believe [10] 2164/9 2164/21 2166/3 2167/5 2175/12 2193/7 2203/22 2204/18 2204/21 2206/15 below [1] 2156/12 best [2] 2152/14 2199/10 Beth [1] 3/8 better [1] 2185/12 between [14] 2161/11 2164/14 2166/10 2171/6 2171/11 2171/15 2171/17 2171/18 2173/14 2174/2 2174/17 2179/18 2181/12 2187/12 **bid** [1] 2158/2 big [1] 2176/5 bit [4] 2159/19 2190/8 2193/2 2194/19 **BLAES [9]** 1/3 1/4 2/3 2175/8 2179/2 2179/3 2179/7 2205/14 2207/7 Blair [5] 2/15 2151/12 2165/14 2169/20 2175/14 **BLANK [1]** 3/2 Blanks [2] 2174/1 2186/5 blind [1] 2169/16 BMS [38] 2150/13 2151/17 2163/6 2163/8 2164/11 2164/17 2165/5 2167/1 2167/25 2168/4 2168/12 2168/20 2168/25 2169/21 2169/25 2169/25 2170/16 2172/5 2172/12 2172/18

2172/8 2175/4 2192/3

2172/21 2174/12 2174/14 2007247191281705/5226719190qe 2176/12 2179/14 2179/15 2179/20 2180/24 2182/15 2182/22 2183/1 2183/1 2183/14 2183/18 2195/22 **Bockus** [1] 3/12 **body [5]** 2154/24 2155/18 2157/12 2157/16 2158/8 both [13] 2151/16 2156/11 2161/6 2179/12 2179/13 2179/18 2180/9 2185/2 2185/6 2191/22 2197/1 2197/3 2197/4 bottle [6] 2155/13 2160/3 2171/9 2185/4 2185/15 2196/11 bottled [6] 2171/5 2173/23 2184/1 2194/17 2195/7 2197/5 bottler [1] 2197/14 bottles [7] 2155/19 2160/4 2160/5 2166/8 2181/16 2184/24 2201/8 bottling [3] 2170/19 2170/25 2194/5 bottom [2] 2196/7 2196/17 Boulevard [2] 2/11 3/6 Box [2] 2/8 2/13 brand [1] 2159/23 break [1] 2150/20 Brenda [1] 2161/16 briefing [1] 2152/23 briefly [1] 2163/6 bring [7] 2150/9 2193/10 2199/5 2199/15 2200/1 2201/1 2201/1 Bringing [1] 2174/15 Bristol [4] 2182/9 2203/22 2204/1 2204/4 Bristol-Myers [4] 2182/9 2203/22 2204/1 2204/4 broader [1] 2181/25 **Broadway [1]** 3/9 **BROOM** [1] 3/8 brought [2] 2165/12 2200/10 budget [1] 2161/12 bulk [2] 2155/6 2159/18 bullet [1] 2160/19 burden [1] 2185/6 **Burlison [1]** 1/2 business [9] 2156/7 2158/3 2159/17 2159/18 2160/3 2161/9 2180/19 2192/14 2202/21 buy [2] 2185/4 2185/14 CA [1] 2/23 California [18] 2150/13 2163/8 2163/9 2163/11 2168/17 2174/21 2174/21 2174/22 2174/24 2176/14 2176/15 2179/16 2182/16 2182/17 2182/19 2183/2

2189/6 2189/9 California's [1] 2150/14 call [3] 2159/13 2160/19 2161/3 called [3] 2161/3 2161/5 2187/2 came [6] 2151/17 2157/4 2174/12 2176/8 2180/6 2203/20 camp [1] 2151/2 can [36] 2151/22 2152/6 2152/9 2152/11 2154/6 2157/8 2168/7 2172/1 2173/25 2179/10 2183/20 2183/21 2183/22 2184/5

2184/7 2184/8 2184/14 **2**1\$4;2**259**89/**7**6 2188/18 2188/20 2188/21 2188/24 2189/2 2189/21 2190/16 2190/16 2190/22 2196/6 2198/15 2199/15 2200/1 2203/23 2204/8 2205/5 2205/14 can't [5] 2164/2 2175/12 2177/24 2193/21 2200/18 cancer [3] 2155/10 2184/20 2197/25 capable [1] 2180/4 capacity [1] 2160/3 2206/9 causing [1] 2173/12

Cardinal [1] 2201/13 care [3] 2200/15 2203/5 carefully [1] 2176/6 carrying [2] 2184/18 2184/21 case [44] 2153/8 2153/23 2154/23 2163/6 2163/19 2167/1 2167/4 2169/21 2171/24 2172/24 2173/7 2174/1 2174/14 2174/19 2178/10 2178/19 2178/21 2179/14 2180/14 2180/14 2185/13 2186/5 2186/5 2188/7 2189/15 2190/11 2191/2 2192/9 2192/20 2193/22 2194/25 2201/17 2201/18 2201/21 2201/25 2202/5 2203/19 2203/22 2204/1 2204/3 2205/8 2205/19 2205/24 2207/6 cases [7] 2167/6 2172/17 2174/1 2180/22 2185/13 2192/8 2192/20 cash [1] 2159/20 cast [1] 2166/8 causation [2] 2185/23 2185/25 cause [4] 1/8 2150/5 2184/20 2207/8 caused [1] 2179/25 causes [3] 2171/25 2172/1 2184/23

CC09326 [3] 1/8 2151/12 2207/8 CCR [2] 1/23 2207/16 cell [1] 2187/22 Center [1] 2/11 **CEO [1]** 2156/2 certainly [8] 2169/19 2176/20 2179/9 2180/13 2181/11 2181/20 2186/3 2186/19

Certificate [2] 2162/25 2207/1

Certification [1] 2156/16 Certified [1] 2207/3 certify [2] 2207/3 2207/9 cetera [4] 2154/9 2185/5 2194/12 2194/12 chain [2] 2175/18 2186/18

chains [1] 2190/1 chair [1] 2206/19 Chairman [1] 2156/1 chance [1] 2172/25 change [1] 2196/4 changed [1] 2153/13 channel [1] 2155/17 characterization [1]

2178/12

charge [1] 2184/24 Charlie [1] 2/22 check [3] 5/8 5/9 2206/3 checked [1] 2205/13 checks [2] 2158/25 2159/6 China [1] 2194/3

C confirm [1] 2193/7 **dGoliilmaliboo([1]#**215**4/2**3-**1** CIRCUIT (4) -171 1/24 1/24 conform [2] 2164/24 2207/4 2164/24 circumstance [1] 2205/5 confronted [2] 2202/4 circumstances [1] 2204/19 2204/16 cited [1] 2191/23 Congress [1] 3/16 CITY [4] 1/1 1/24 3/6 connect [2] 2176/22 2197/8 2207/5 connected [1] 2164/19 claim [16] 2168/6 2168/6 connection [8] 2165/14 2168/6 2168/8 2168/9 2169/15 2171/6 2171/11 2168/10 2168/15 2171/12 2171/13 2171/15 2171/18 2171/16 2171/23 2175/8 2174/17 2179/3 2179/5 2179/9 considered [3] 2203/16 2179/11 2183/9 2203/19 2203/20 claim-by-claim [1] 2168/6 considers [1] 2169/13 claiming [1] 2171/18 consistent [1] 2206/4 claims [7] 2164/23 2169/15 consolidated [1] 2168/19 2171/7 2174/18 2175/2 constitute [3] 2185/8 2187/24 2195/18 2204/4 2205/2 clarified [1] 2201/16 constitutes [1] 2196/14 clarity [1] 2162/8 **CONSUMER** [3] 2/20 clean [1] 2200/4 2158/7 2167/3 clear [10] 2167/24 2167/25 consumers [3] 2166/9 2168/4 2168/13 2168/21 2172/11 2177/11 2169/2 2172/6 2199/20 contact [1] 2202/6 2200/19 2200/21 contacts [1] 2163/13 cleared [1] 2201/3 contain [2] 2184/25 clearly [3] 2173/19 2176/11 2207/10 2178/5 contained [1] 2186/2 client's [1] 2156/25 contains [1] 2166/23 clients [2] 2177/12 2179/13 contends [1] 2155/15 clinical [1] 2197/24 Continues [1] 2159/23 close [2] 2174/4 2183/9 continuing [3] 2158/1 cognizant [2] 2204/8 2160/1 2163/14 2204/9 contract [16] 2156/14 coin [1] 2198/4 2164/7 2166/1 2166/2 colorful [1] 2173/13 2166/6 2166/10 2172/10 combination [1] 2167/23 2178/1 2181/4 2181/6 combined [1] 2156/6 2181/10 2181/11 2182/6 come [12] 2173/2 2183/9 2183/20 2183/22 2183/23 2187/9 2188/11 2192/5 contractual [3] 2173/18 2194/25 2197/11 2199/17 2177/25 2186/17 2200/16 2203/1 2204/14 controls [1] 2157/18 2204/15 controversy [2] 2164/15 comes [5] 2150/17 2164/17 2164/19 2174/3 2198/4 2205/24 copy [2] 2150/23 2162/21 coming [4] 2155/18 corner [1] 2162/23 2172/22 2174/15 2194/21 corporate [7] 5/4 2154/19 Commerce [1] 2/8 2164/8 2183/24 2185/3 committed [5] 2158/21 2185/7 2194/9 2166/19 2176/3 2192/14 corporation [2] 2163/20 2196/18 2180/18 committing [2] 2166/17 corporations [1] 2174/3 2197/9 correct [3] 2152/24 2175/7 communicate [1] 2201/24 2198/14 communication [1] 2202/2 correctly [1] 2172/18 companies [3] 2/20 2158/4 correspondence [1] 2187/20 2155/23 company [6] 2156/6 2187/2 cosmetic [1] 2158/4 2187/17 2187/18 2187/19 costs [1] 2161/20 2202/21 could [9] 2157/6 2160/8 complaint [1] 2186/2 2187/4 2187/23 2189/20 complete [2] 2157/9 2193/17 2200/3 2204/14 2175/21 2204/14 **completely [1]** 2167/24 couldn't [1] 2197/11 computer [1] 2151/5 counsel [1] 2174/13 concern [1] 2204/12 counter [1] 2158/3 concerned [1] 2189/16 country [2] 2175/19 2180/7 conclusion [1] 2157/15 couple [5] 2159/8 2160/23 conclusions [1] 2195/15 2177/20 2200/22 2203/4 condition [3] 2177/13 course [1] 2172/24 2177/17 2196/10 court [55] 1/1 1/23 1/24 4/4 conduct [18] 2164/10 2150/4 2150/12 2151/8 2171/6 2171/11 2171/16 2151/10 2151/14 2152/18 2171/17 2171/20 2172/1 2153/1 2153/3 2160/18 2173/12 2173/16 2178/13 2162/2 2165/5 2168/12 2182/3 2183/2 2183/7 2168/16 2169/13 2172/4 2183/10 2186/23 2189/9 2172/22 2173/9 2175/13 2191/18 2198/7 2178/22 2178/23 2178/23 confer [1] 2151/22 2179/5 2182/7 2182/7

2182/15 2182/22 2187/6 2 Fei 846 (21 8879/2)(39/47 2191/14 2191/15 2192/5 2192/6 2192/8 2193/10 2195/1 2199/8 2199/9 2202/13 2203/9 2203/11 2203/13 2203/14 2203/16 2204/4 2205/19 2206/23 2207/3 2207/4 2207/4 Court's [1] 2152/23 courthouse [2] 2200/24 2206/2 courtroom [5] 2154/9 2187/9 2187/24 2200/11 2204/17 cover [1] 2155/24 Coyle [1] 2161/5 crazy [1] 2193/11 create [5] 2163/8 2168/14 2176/13 2182/16 2192/24 created [2] 2177/18 2184/5 creates [1] 2164/14 creating [1] 2196/10 Credit [1] 2156/9 CREWS [2] 1/5 2/3 CROW [1] 2/7 crushed [1] 2181/14 crux [1] 2163/6 cured [1] 2191/21 curious [1] 2151/24 currently [2] 2153/13 2160/4 Curtis [5] 2159/15 2159/23 2160/20 2161/5 2161/10 customer [2] 2160/11 2160/12 Cutler [2] 2159/12 2161/2 Daimler [1] 2168/24 damage [1] 2175/7 **Danielle [1]** 2/5 **DARLENE [2]** 1/8 2/3 dash [1] 2156/17 date [2] 2160/16 2189/20 dated [3] 2157/12 2159/3 2162/12 daughter [1] 2198/16 David [1] 2/6 day [5] 2154/8 2184/6 2184/9 2199/5 2206/24 day's [1] 2200/19 deal [5] 2167/25 2172/4 2190/21 2190/22 2190/24 dealing [1] 2167/1 deals [2] 2169/21 2172/18 dealt [1] 2172/12 Dearing [1] 2/6 **Debra** [1] 2/21 deceased [3] 1/4 1/7 1/9 decide [4] 2188/4 2199/10 2201/18 2201/21 decided [5] 2153/21 2153/22 2167/5 2195/1 2199/4 decision [17] 4/4 2151/17 2163/6 2163/14 2164/17 2168/12 2168/17 2168/17 2168/25 2170/1 2174/12 2175/5 2180/24 2181/3 2181/23 2200/15 2205/20 declare [2] 2175/13 2205/4 declared [1] 2179/6 declaring [1] 2205/20 declined [1] 2157/25 defect [1] 2177/18 defective [3] 2176/4 2177/7

2177/12

defendant [14] 2/19 3/11

2165/21 2168/5 2168/9

2168/11 2168/14 2170/18 **æore**l/5**1≥9**7701≥32267172€101€ 2178/14 2180/13 2183/7 defendant's [3] 2172/6 2175/2 2205/3 defendants [21] 1/13 2151/16 2163/13 2164/23 2165/7 2167/4 2168/23 2171/6 2171/12 2174/9 2178/15 2186/23 2189/19 2189/25 2192/13 2193/22 2195/18 2203/25 2204/19 2205/1 2207/8 defending [2] 2187/24 2204/20 deficiencies [2] 2178/11 2191/21 degrees [1] 2206/16 delay [1] 2200/13 delivered [1] 2165/21 demonstrated [3] 2157/4 2169/19 2186/4 demonstrating [1] 2151/15 demonstration [1] 2178/13 denied [1] 2184/7 deny [3] 2164/2 2184/22 2185/23 dependent [1] 2178/5 depending [1] 2166/9 deposition [1] 2194/10 depositions [2] 2185/3 2185/7 derivative [1] 2164/19 described [2] 2170/8 2182/13 **Description [1]** 2162/13 designee [2] 2185/3 2185/7 determining [1] 2169/5 develop [7] 2163/8 2182/16 2188/23 2189/8 2189/13 2189/14 2190/17 developed [1] 2194/7 development [2] 2157/13 2198/2 devices [1] 2204/10 diagnosis [1] 2197/25 did [24] 2150/12 2163/8 2163/8 2163/9 2174/21 2174/21 2176/13 2176/14 2182/15 2182/16 2182/17 2185/11 2185/18 2185/20 2187/13 2187/14 2189/9 2192/5 2192/24 2196/4 2197/10 2198/13 2198/19 2202/16 didn't [6] 2150/19 2167/24 2170/5 2189/17 2189/19 2193/19 difference [2] 2152/22 2206/16 different [2] 2171/1 2189/20 direct [3] 2160/9 2179/16 2184/24 directing [4] 2160/18 2164/10 2175/23 2176/16 direction [5] 2163/24 2178/22 2183/23 2184/18 2184/19 directive [1] 2181/19 directly [2] 2155/8 2166/9 discarded [1] 2172/12 discount [2] 2170/5 2201/13 discounts [1] 2201/7 discovery [3] 2183/6 2186/13 2193/21 discuss [3] 2150/20 2201/18 2201/19 defend [2] 2204/19 2204/22 discussed [7] 2150/18 2161/8 2169/2 2170/13

2183/17 2195/12 2201/23 Dis#us2159982169/22 **Discussion** [1] 2151/7 disease [2] 2176/9 2198/3 dismiss [6] 2167/12 2167/12 2186/10 2194/11 2201/4 2201/11 dismissed [1] 2189/5 display [1] 2175/11 dispose [1] 2170/11 disposed [1] 2167/23 disposes [1] 2168/1 dispositive [1] 2175/5 dispute [1] 2170/15 dissent [1] 2168/12 distinction [4] 2152/21 2152/21 2176/5 2176/12 distribute [1] 2164/6 distributed [1] 2178/9 distributer [5] 2169/23 2170/15 2175/17 2181/21 2196/3 distributing [3] 2154/24 2159/2 2186/19 distribution [5] 2170/20 2171/2 2182/1 2182/2 2190/1 ditch [1] 2170/9 ditched [1] 2170/10 divisions [1] 2205/15 do [31] 2154/13 2156/7 2158/24 2173/9 2173/15 2175/13 2177/8 2184/12 2184/14 2184/24 2189/3 2189/16 2190/10 2196/20 2199/8 2201/10 2201/22 2201/22 2201/24 2202/1 2203/1 2204/3 2204/5 2204/12 2204/12 2204/23 2205/5 2205/9 2205/25 2206/6 2207/3 document [24] 5/7 2154/17 2155/1 2155/22 2156/8 2156/15 2157/2 2157/3 2157/5 2157/9 2158/12 2158/23 2159/10 2160/7 2160/8 2160/9 2160/10 2161/15 2161/16 2162/3 2162/9 2162/22 2162/24 2198/21 documentation [1] 2155/7 documenting [1] 2163/1 documents [22] 2158/7 2160/24 2165/9 2165/25 2169/1 2179/24 2180/1 2180/11 2183/24 2187/10 2187/11 2189/23 2189/24 2189/24 2191/5 2191/8 2191/23 2192/17 2193/16 2196/23 2197/17 2204/21 does [10] 2151/2 2167/25 2168/17 2174/17 2174/25 2183/13 2190/2 2195/15 2203/23 2204/15 doesn't [6] 2168/13 2169/3 2170/11 2184/20 2188/25 2202/9 doing [15] 2154/23 2155/3 2155/11 2157/21 2160/5 2166/13 2173/21 2173/24

2174/4 2180/4 2180/19

2184/7 2185/16 2188/5

2152/6 2152/9 2152/10

2152/11 2152/20 2154/1

2154/14 2158/5 2170/14

2179/11 2181/8 2181/10

2187/8 2187/12 2187/13

2187/15 2187/16 2187/18

2178/1 2178/2 2178/3

don't [46] 2150/16 2150/16

D 2185/5 2194/12 2194/12 220<del>7</del>/72**200/8**.#:41-1 don't....[23] 2488/42190/5 **EVANS [5]** 1/8 1/9 2/3 2190/23 2192/16 2193/6 2180/9 2198/13 2193/7 2193/10 2193/18 even [17] 2153/2 2169/12 2194/7 2194/13 2194/15 2173/13 2174/16 2178/12 2195/8 2201/5 2204/5 2178/16 2179/2 2179/2 2204/18 2204/21 2205/4 2182/23 2183/3 2183/3 2205/6 2205/8 2205/14 2183/5 2183/24 2186/22 2205/16 2205/21 2206/14 2187/8 2190/23 2204/19 done [6] 2172/9 2175/7 ever [2] 2187/17 2187/18 2178/18 2185/21 2188/24 every [4] 2185/13 2192/21 2188/25 2194/2 2205/23 dot [3] 2159/25 2159/25 everybody [6] 2172/25 2159/25 2177/3 2180/16 2189/10 down [14] 2150/10 2157/23 2193/11 2203/4 2159/19 2160/1 2165/14 everyone [2] 2174/14 2172/22 2174/12 2174/15 2189/17 2176/8 2188/11 2199/15 Everything [1] 2193/11 2200/1 2201/1 2203/20 evidence [33] 2151/14 downstairs [1] 2205/16 2151/25 2152/1 2152/10 drive [2] 2154/20 2193/11 2153/6 2164/21 2164/25 due [3] 2167/16 2191/10 2169/4 2169/7 2170/21 2191/19 2172/19 2173/2 2173/20 **DUNN [3]** 1/23 2207/2 2173/23 2174/10 2174/15 2207/16 2174/16 2174/17 2177/8 during [3] 2156/25 2160/19 2178/6 2178/7 2179/3 2204/8 2179/17 2182/24 2182/25 dusting [1] 2153/20 2183/1 2183/15 2189/8 **DYKEMA [1]** 3/12 2190/19 2194/23 2195/14 2197/4 2198/12 exactly [5] 2150/17 e-mail [5] 2157/10 2159/11 2176/17 2189/13 2195/6 2159/12 2161/1 2200/6 2205/9 earlier [1] 2200/5 example [1] 2192/8 early [1] 2163/7 exclusive [3] 2196/22 **Edward [1]** 2156/2 2197/12 2197/14 effect [2] 2167/7 2203/20 excused [1] 2202/11 **effective [1]** 2156/4 exemplar [1] 2159/5 effectively [1] 2185/10 Exemption [1] 2156/16 Effects [2] 2157/12 exercise [2] 2177/22 2157/16 2178/15 efficient [1] 2173/8 exercising [1] 2195/16 either [4] 2167/13 2178/22 exhibit [5] 2154/18 2158/24 2189/25 2205/15 2162/17 2191/6 2193/12 **electronic** [1] 2202/2 Exhibit 900 [1] 2154/18 elements [2] 2186/6 2192/1 exhibits [1] 2193/10 eliminates [1] 2198/22 exists [1] 2187/11 else [4] 2195/2 2197/12 expand [1] 2192/2 2205/5 2205/10 expect [1] 2204/11 employer [1] 2202/19 expense [1] 2205/6 end [7] 2166/22 2170/6 experts [1] 2154/8 2175/4 2187/14 2187/14 explain [2] 2194/2 2205/19 2194/14 2206/25 explained [1] 2175/2 ended [1] 2187/13 **explanation [1]** 2206/10 engaged [6] 2179/15 exposure [1] 2198/3 2179/17 2182/9 2183/1 express [1] 2201/20 2183/14 2183/16 expressed [2] 2164/3 engaging [2] 2164/12 2183/22 2181/9 expressly [1] 2191/14 enhancing [1] 2188/8 extent [3] 2180/21 2190/9 enough [1] 2201/3 2198/22 enter [1] 2165/3 extracted [1] 2194/3 equivalent [1] 2160/22 Erfle [1] 3/17 **ERON [1]** 1/9 facetious [1] 2190/8 essentially [4] 2150/14 facility [2] 2162/1 2163/21 2154/23 2166/11 2180/19 fact [20] 2167/11 2167/13 establish [16] 2169/14 2172/14 2173/22 2173/22 2169/18 2170/17 2171/5 2174/23 2178/12 2183/5 2171/11 2173/5 2174/1 2184/22 2184/23 2185/23 2174/2 2174/17 2178/4 2186/16 2189/3 2190/15 2186/4 2186/14 2186/14 2190/18 2191/23 2192/10 2186/20 2186/20 2195/15 2192/16 2194/8 2196/16 established [6] 2157/19 facts [1] 2187/23 2169/19 2170/3 2172/2 factual [1] 2170/15 2178/17 2186/6 fail [1] 2171/5 establishes [1] 2164/20 failed [1] 2171/10 **establishing [1]** 2183/10 failing [2] 2158/20 2158/20 Estate [2] 1/6 1/9 fails [1] 2169/14

et [8] 1/9 1/12 2154/9

failure [3] 2164/20 2176/1

2194/14

2196/10 falir (189 (2:1 72/72/50:393/721 2193/24 2200/24 2201/3 2204/18 2204/21 2205/8 fairness [4] 2188/15 2189/16 2194/1 2194/14 fall [1] 2183/23 families [1] 2175/8 far [3] 2150/18 2185/13 2195/15 fax [3] 5/11 2161/20 2162/10 February [1] 2159/3 February 17th [1] 2159/3 feel [1] 2154/1 Ferguson [1] 3/15 few [1] 2156/3 file [2] 2160/8 2165/2 filed [2] 2167/5 2167/13 files [1] 2157/4 final [2] 2157/18 2179/24 finalized [1] 2175/24 finally [1] 2201/21 find [3] 2185/20 2196/15 2202/4 finding [1] 2153/4 finished [2] 2155/16 2155/19 FIRM [2] 2/10 3/12 first [5] 2154/17 2156/3 2181/23 2182/11 2187/2 Fisher [1] 2161/16 five [2] 2152/12 2192/20 flagship [1] 2159/22 Floor [2] 2/17 3/22 flow [1] 2159/20 flush [2] 2189/2 2190/16 flushing [1] 2183/6 fly [3] 2187/25 2189/16 2204/22 focused [2] 2182/8 2204/2 focusing [2] 2165/17 2165/20 folks [3] 2159/12 2206/9 2206/12 follow [1] 2152/25 following [5] 2150/3 2151/8 2200/11 2202/12 2203/12 forced [1] 2193/23 forecasts [1] 2159/20 foregoing [1] 2207/9 form [3] 2156/10 2196/5 2201/20 former [1] 2165/2 forth [7] 2151/17 2161/19 2180/2 2182/11 2195/12 2195/13 2203/23 forthright [1] 2195/6 forum [8] 2163/13 2163/16 2163/16 2164/14 2164/16 2176/10 2176/21 2177/6 forums [1] 2180/17 forward [5] 2190/14 2193/17 2197/2 2206/13 2206/16 found [6] 2160/23 2173/19 2188/6 2191/13 2194/20 2196/15 four [2] 2205/6 2206/18 fragrance [5] 2155/14 2163/25 2185/4 2185/14 2194/17 frame [1] 2204/24 free [2] 2205/24 2206/1 freight [1] 2161/20 Friday [1] 2202/20 full [3] 2150/16 2150/17 2182/11 fully [1] 2188/23 fundamental [2] 2194/1

agaer 2010/126 Pagas 2160/1 2178/21 2178/22 2188/8 2198/20 2202/14 2202/25 2203/7 2205/21 2206/20 2206/22 2207/9 Garvey [1] 2192/4 gather [1] 2172/25 gave [1] 2200/8 general [5] 2161/5 2167/2 2168/19 2180/22 2195/15 generalities [1] 2183/11 Georgia [4] 2156/6 2157/24 2180/3 2180/4 get [17] 2154/22 2157/8 2160/24 2165/8 2165/12 2166/25 2173/7 2175/18 2183/20 2183/22 2191/12 2194/2 2196/6 2200/1 2201/12 2202/23 2203/3 gets [3] 2176/4 2195/11 2205/18 getting [1] 2162/7 give [5] 2162/21 2170/25 2172/25 2193/4 2206/9 giveaway [1] 2202/9 given [3] 2197/12 2201/17 2201/21 gives [2] 2154/2 2154/3 giving [3] 2152/8 2152/9 2182/3 globally [1] 2165/25 go [19] 2150/18 2151/6 2155/20 2157/23 2163/12 2164/5 2173/25 2184/17 2189/11 2190/14 2193/16 2194/8 2195/20 2197/2 2199/5 2200/16 2201/11 2201/15 2206/16 goes [5] 2156/24 2161/10 2161/19 2181/7 2194/22 going [30] 2154/18 2157/7 2158/23 2159/7 2162/3 2162/23 2165/2 2173/15 2180/1 2180/3 2184/17 2187/8 2187/9 2189/14 2193/20 2196/15 2197/2 2198/1 2199/17 2200/17 2200/18 2200/25 2201/2 2201/4 2201/10 2204/12 2205/3 2205/6 2206/13 2206/17 GONTARD [1] 3/21 good [6] 2150/8 2154/16 2184/10 2188/1 2199/14 2199/25 Goodyear [1] 2164/17 GORDON [2] 3/15 3/18 got [16] 2150/23 2151/5 2153/22 2154/13 2163/19 2175/17 2179/20 2179/21 2179/22 2181/15 2182/2 2185/13 2198/21 2200/7 2201/6 2201/16 grade [1] 2159/18 Grand [1] 3/6 grant [1] 2205/3 granting [1] 2152/18 grind [1] 2166/1 ground [2] 2162/13 2194/4 grounds [5] 2167/11 2168/21 2169/6 2191/13 2192/12 group [1] 2158/24 grow [1] 2160/2 guarantee [1] 2200/18 guess [2] 2152/3 2169/17 guying [1] 2199/22

2202/18 have [74] 2176/19 2179/11 2179/22 2180/20 2182/20 2185/14 2185/21 2186/7 2188/15 2189/17 2190/4 2190/25 2191/5 2196/7 2196/12 2198/10 2199/4 2199/12 2200/14 2201/15 2202/22 2203/4 2203/16 2203/18 2203/21 2204/7 2204/23 2205/17 2205/18 2206/7 here's [3] 2182/1 2193/16 2193/25 hereby [1] 2207/3 **HERSHMAN [1]** 1/6 hey [1] 2179/24 him [4] 2200/8 2202/10

fundamentally [1] 2192/24 H nad [17] 2150/3 2151/8 2155/5 2168/2 2172/4 2172/23 2174/10 2175/8 2175/10 2175/11 2181/20 2186/13 2194/2 2200/11 2202/12 2203/12 2207/6 half [2] 2154/8 2161/11 hand [1] 2162/23 handed [2] 2187/10 handle [1] 2165/3 handled [1] 2165/22 handouts [1] 2201/6 hang [1] 2162/4 happen [3] 2188/11 2189/15 2197/10 happened [3] 2182/13 2182/24 2196/17 happening [1] 2166/3 **HARDY [1]** 3/5 has [25] 2153/12 2158/12 2161/21 2162/2 2165/25 2166/6 2168/6 2171/23 2172/2 2172/23 2174/14 2177/23 2180/16 2183/6 2183/9 2184/6 2184/9 2184/24 2188/6 2195/11 2195/21 2199/14 2202/23 2203/16 2206/16 hasn't [2] 2169/19 2187/3 hate [1] 2154/8 haven't [8] 2151/5 2169/1 2178/1 2178/18 2184/4 2186/6 2186/8 2186/12 having [5] 2161/18 2192/22 2192/22 2194/6 2200/23 hazard [7] 2155/10 2158/20 2163/23 2164/2 2164/3 2184/2 2184/25 hazardous [1] 2196/10 he [7] 2159/16 2159/20 2199/24 2201/5 2202/9 2202/20 2202/21 he's [2] 2201/6 2202/22 hear [2] 2154/11 2192/12 heard [8] 2152/10 2153/16 2169/1 2174/3 2187/2 2195/4 2201/23 2202/23 hearing [5] 2150/4 2151/9 2188/12 2201/19 2202/13 hearsay [1] 2173/3 heart [1] 2192/21 Hegarty [2] 3/4 2193/7 held [1] 2203/11 **HEPLER [1]** 3/8 her [2] 2198/15 2198/16 here [47] 2150/19 2151/5 2151/6 2154/8 2154/9 2154/15 2154/18 2154/25 2159/14 2161/22 2162/8 2172/14 2173/6 2175/24 2176/3 2176/16 2176/18

Н him... [2] 2202/23 2202/24 2173/19 his [3] 2179/9 2179/11 2202/10 2191/2 2191/3 Hold [1] 2162/6 hole [1] 2206/14 home [9] 2164/18 2180/17 2180/19 2198/1 2199/5 2199/17 2200/1 2200/17 2201/2 Honor [21] 2151/22 2153/16 2161/1 2161/14 2165/8 2172/18 2177/20 indeed [1] 2152/24 2179/23 2183/13 2184/11 2186/25 2188/5 2189/22 2193/1 2193/4 2193/9 2193/13 2193/21 2196/25 2202/16 2206/22 2161/25 Honorable [1] 1/2 hour [2] 2152/13 2203/11 2160/20 2162/25 hours [2] 2200/22 2203/4 housekeeping [1] 2200/4 Houston [4] 2156/1 2156/18 2163/3 2194/4 how [10] 2150/18 2171/1 2175/23 2176/4 2177/3 2180/24 2184/20 2187/23 2163/2 2187/3 2192/17 2206/15 however [3] 2165/22 2156/9 2204/1 2204/5 Industry [1] 2204/7 hurriedly [2] 2170/6 inform [1] 2156/3 2170/10 hurt [1] 2197/4 l'd [4] 2152/14 2194/8 ingest [1] 2174/22 2195/20 2195/24 I'II [8] 2163/5 2165/8 2176/8 2165/11 2166/25 2175/15 initially [1] 2167/13 2182/4 2193/6 2201/15 injured [4] 2174/22 l'm [25] 2152/8 2152/9 2156/21 2157/6 2161/23 2162/3 2171/5 2172/18

2175/20 2179/12 2181/1 2182/22 2184/12 2187/22 2189/16 2197/8 2197/19 2199/7 2199/17 2200/16 2200/18 2201/2 2204/8 2204/9 2205/3 l've [8] 2169/1 2198/9 2198/21 2200/7 2200/25 2201/16 2203/19 2205/12 i.e [1] 2196/10 idea [4] 2191/11 2192/23 2202/22 2202/24 identified [3] 2157/3 2192/17 2193/8 identify [2] 2158/20 2193/10 identifying [6] 2156/10 2156/12 2158/17 2160/10 2163/23 2184/1 ignore [3] 2155/12 2183/4 2183/5 ignoring [2] 2163/24 2177/21 Illinois [2] 2180/9 2180/10 IMERYS [24] 3/11 2152/15 2155/7 2155/15 2155/15 2160/8 2160/14 2161/2 2162/22 2162/24 2166/1 2166/8 2166/13 2169/10 2174/6 2175/20 2175/20 2178/25 2179/18 2185/4 2185/14 2187/12 2189/25 2195/18 Imerys' [2] 2160/8 2163/21 2180/11 2185/24 2188/23 immediately [1] 2188/4 2190/21 2191/24 2194/24 improper [3] 2153/7 2194/25 2195/1 2195/3

2153/11 2174/16

improperly [1] 2179/5 il **adelquate (12)**. 2#73/41-1 inappropriately [3] 2190/12 INC [6] 2/20 3/11 2156/5 2158/25 2159/1 2167/3 include [1] 2158/14 includes [1] 2161/20 including [1] 2183/16 inconvenience [1] 2205/7 incorrect [1] 2150/14 incumbent [1] 2152/18 independent [1] 2196/7 indicated [4] 2159/15 2159/24 2160/20 2161/11 indicating [2] 2156/13 indication [3] 2159/15 Individually [3] 1/3 1/5 1/8 Industrial [2] 5/10 2160/9 Industries [12] 2154/20 2155/3 2156/2 2156/4 2156/7 2156/9 2156/19 2158/25 2160/11 2162/11 Industries-Union [1] information [10] 2156/10 2162/15 2169/14 2170/22 2188/8 2189/7 2190/11 2190/17 2202/5 2204/17 ingested [2] 2174/24 2186/21 2186/22 2196/21 injuries [1] 2174/25 injury [13] 2171/14 2171/21 2171/23 2171/25 2172/1 2172/16 2173/12 2176/22 2179/25 2197/9 2197/10 2197/20 2197/23 injury's [1] 2176/24 innocent [2] 2196/5 2196/6 innovation [2] 2159/16 2161/9 instances [1] 2158/16 instant [1] 2163/19 instruct [1] 2201/17 insufficient [6] 2170/2 2170/12 2172/8 2175/4 2181/5 2182/7 intend [1] 2151/25 intent [1] 2152/22 intention [1] 2205/18 Internet [1] 2202/2 inventory [1] 2159/20 investigation [1] 2201/22 invoice [1] 2161/24 invoices [3] 2161/21 2161/22 2161/25 involved [1] 2186/18 involvement [1] 2154/22 involves [1] 2168/8 is [167] isn't [5] 2168/13 2171/1 2194/24 2195/8 2200/24 issue [30] 2163/23 2167/6 2167/10 2167/16 2167/17 2167/20 2167/25 2172/5 2174/18 2179/10 2180/7

2195/8 2195/9 2195/10

2196/19 2199/15 2200/14 2 FG 0 2 20 7 /20 3 2 1 1 7 3 F 2201/15 issued [1] 2158/25 issues [9] 2153/20 2153/20 2164/18 2165/4 2173/8 2194/14 2201/23 2205/7 2206/18 it [129] it's [53] 2150/6 2151/4 2151/25 2152/17 2155/25 2156/1 2158/19 2159/12 2161/1 2161/3 2161/4 2161/25 2162/10 2167/24 2169/2 2169/20 2170/8 2171/24 2172/22 2172/22 2173/1 2174/8 2174/9 2174/14 2180/11 2181/6 2181/18 2181/25 2182/1 2185/12 2185/21 2185/25 2188/3 2189/5 2189/5 2189/11 2189/18 2189/23 2190/9 2191/15 2193/24 2194/3 2194/3 2194/4 2195/2 2195/4 2197/13 2198/5 2198/13 2198/23 2203/17 2204/18 2204/21 item [1] 2176/7 its [3] 2166/1 2180/8 2187/3 itself [3] 2176/19 2176/25 2182/6 **J's [8]** 5/6 2157/4 2159/22

2163/22 2164/10 2164/10 2175/22 2198/22 Jackson [1] 2/14 James [2] 2/15 3/1 Jane [1] 3/12 January [1] 2157/12 January 3rd [1] 2157/12 jdunncourts [1] 1/25 JENNIFER [4] 1/23 3/5 2207/2 2207/16 Jere [1] 2/5 Jersey [2] 2194/5 2196/17 **John [1]** 2164/9 JOHNSON [66] Johnson's [2] 2169/17 2175/6 join [2] 2174/6 2175/5 joinder [9] 2153/11 2153/13 2167/7 2167/16 2167/17 2168/3 2168/13 2191/20 2192/11 joined [1] 2168/10 joining [1] 2168/18 joins [1] 2178/25 Jr [2] 2/10 3/24 Judge [38] 1/2 2150/11 2150/23 2151/4 2151/13 2152/16 2152/20 2154/6 2154/17 2155/1 2155/22 2157/2 2158/5 2158/12 2159/10 2160/7 2160/23 2162/2 2162/8 2162/8 2163/5 2165/3 2167/1 2173/10 2174/5 2178/25 2179/7 2191/4 2192/4 2193/25 2194/21 2195/24 2198/9 2199/2 2200/3 2204/2 2205/23 2206/6 judicial [2] 1/24 2185/12 Julia [1] 2/21 juncture [2] 2174/8 2179/6 June [4] 1/17 2150/2 2150/7 2207/5 jurisdiction [63] 2150/15 2151/15 2152/1 2152/24 2153/4 2153/6 2153/10

2163/18 2164/20 2164/22 **æge**/5**21**65/f826667æge 2167/2 2167/10 2168/1 2168/2 2168/5 2168/7 2168/14 2168/20 2168/22 2169/6 2169/9 2169/18 2170/17 2172/8 2172/13 2173/5 2175/1 2175/4 2176/7 2177/22 2178/15 2179/9 2179/21 2180/22 2183/8 2186/11 2188/6 2188/8 2188/12 2188/17 2189/1 2189/3 2189/7 2190/14 2190/19 2191/1 2191/12 2191/13 2191/17 2191/20 2192/3 2192/3 2192/21 2194/12 2195/3 2195/17 2196/18 2198/4 2203/24 2205/2 jurisdictional [1] 2195/1 juror [1] 2202/17 jurors [3] 2203/21 2205/13 2205/16 jury [19] 4/4 2150/4 2150/9 2151/9 2151/14 2153/25 2185/24 2195/1 2195/4 2198/25 2199/5 2200/10 2201/6 2201/9 2202/13 2204/12 2205/7 2205/18 2205/24 2152/20 2159/5 2159/8 2159/13 2161/25 2162/3 2162/9 2163/6 2167/1

jury's [2] 2154/8 2204/16 just [43] 2150/11 2151/17 2169/2 2169/20 2172/11 2173/1 2173/8 2173/21 2174/6 2174/8 2175/15 2179/1 2179/2 2179/16 2183/13 2183/17 2186/25 2189/3 2190/10 2190/14 2191/1 2193/4 2193/24 2194/1 2194/18 2194/21 2194/21 2196/3 2196/15 2200/19 2206/3 2206/9 2206/12 2206/14 Justice [1] 2168/11 justification [1] 2172/13

Kansas [1] 3/6 Katherine [1] 3/2 Kenneth [1] 3/15 Kent [2] 2159/12 2161/2 key [1] 2174/19 kind [8] 2173/18 2178/4 2189/11 2190/7 2191/25 2198/23 2205/7 2206/18 know [38] 2150/16 2150/19 2152/9 2152/10 2152/20 2154/1 2160/4 2172/20 2173/24 2175/16 2178/2 2178/2 2179/11 2181/8 2181/10 2181/13 2185/24 2187/8 2187/12 2187/13 2187/15 2187/16 2187/18 2189/6 2189/18 2189/19 2190/1 2190/5 2192/17 2193/6 2193/18 2193/19 2196/3 2196/8 2197/5 2201/5 2205/12 2206/14 knowingly [1] 2166/18 known [3] 2172/21 2174/14 2176/3 knows [1] 2173/4 Kristen [1] 2157/11

label [7] 2155/13 2163/10 2171/9 2176/14 2176/17

2182/18 2197/6

labeled [6] 2157/5 2158/12 **②1姊/2<mark>3</mark>窗①19(1**00 2171/4 2181/16 labeling [9] 2154/24 2155/21 2164/1 2166/23 2170/19 2170/25 2171/4 2177/1 2182/21

labels [1] 2166/8 lack [4] 2171/8 2186/11 2194/11 2204/2 language [3] 2172/6 2174/19 2184/25 Large [1] 2202/19 last [3] 2170/9 2170/10 2198/10 last-ditch [1] 2170/9

late [1] 2174/8 later [1] 2192/23 law [4] 2/10 3/12 2167/23

lawyer [1] 2173/24 lead [2] 2186/5 2204/15 learn [2] 2187/22 2205/23 learning [1] 2193/15 Leary [1] 2/16 least [5] 2155/15 2155/20

2156/3 2160/5 2196/19 leave [1] 2206/2 leaves [1] 2181/13 left [1] 2177/17 less [1] 2186/20

let [7] 2154/11 2161/15 2162/21 2170/24 2183/19 2187/9 2199/5

let's [6] 2151/6 2153/15 2158/24 2170/24 2193/16 2200/1

letter [5] 5/5 2155/23 2155/24 2156/3 2202/18 liability [1] 2173/15 liable [1] 2173/16 light [1] 2151/16 like [14] 2151/13 2155/11 2160/16 2160/23 2161/22 2161/23 2162/11 2170/6 2177/11 2182/22 2193/24

2194/8 2195/20 2199/9 likelihood [3] 2200/20 2200/22 2204/11 limiting [1] 2176/7

line [4] 2159/13 2161/3 2196/7 2196/17 link [1] 2179/24 list [4] 2191/6 2193/9 2193/12 2193/19

listen [1] 2202/1 literally [3] 2187/22

2187/24 2193/15 little [5] 2160/1 2161/19 2190/8 2193/2 2194/19

lived [1] 2180/9 LLC [2] 2/16 3/8

**LLP [5]** 2/22 3/2 3/5 3/15 local [1] 2206/5

locate [1] 2197/20 located [3] 2154/20 2158/1 2187/21

location [5] 2157/23 2158/15 2162/12 2197/20

Lockwood [1] 2/17 Logan [1] 3/3 long [2] 2195/11 2197/15

look [6] 2157/15 2176/6 2179/10 2179/12 2194/8 2195/24

looking [1] 2162/10 looks [6] 2160/16 2160/23 2161/22 2161/23 2162/10 2170/6

2201/5 28 [1] 2123-CV-01842 **Merk [1]D206**9/#5: 41-1 mere [5] 2170/20 2171/1 lose [2] 2190/23 2202/16 2174/23 2182/1 2186/16 lot [1] 2199/11 merge [1] 2156/5 LOUIS [6] 1/1 1/24 2/17 3/9 **METHVIN [1]** 2/7 3/22 2207/5 MICHAEL [3] 1/3 2/3 lower [1] 2162/23 2207/7 lunch [3] 2199/16 2200/16 Microbiological [1] 2158/9 2201/1 mid [3] 2190/12 2190/21 Luzenac [13] 5/5 5/8 5/9 2191/3 2155/6 2155/25 2156/17 middleman [1] 2166/11 2158/25 2159/7 2161/16 might [2] 2172/20 2191/23 2161/21 2162/11 2163/3 MILES [1] 2/7 2166/5 million [6] 2159/24 2160/3 2160/4 2160/5 2160/21 Μ 2161/12 made [19] 2153/24 2168/6 millions [1] 2159/21 2169/3 2169/20 2172/14 mind [1] 2154/13 2174/6 2180/8 2183/12 minerals [4] 2156/1 2186/8 2186/10 2186/12 2156/18 2162/13 2162/25 2187/5 2192/22 2193/6 mines [1] 2194/3 2195/14 2195/14 2198/11 minute [2] 2167/1 2192/13 2199/3 2205/20 minutes [2] 2152/12 Magee [2] 3/7 2150/7 2152/14 mail [5] 2157/10 2159/11 Mississippi [1] 2/11 2159/12 2161/1 2200/6 MISSOURI [82] mailing [1] 2156/10 mistrial [9] 2173/7 2175/6 make [6] 2153/13 2162/7 2175/13 2178/20 2179/6 2164/23 2174/11 2180/12 2199/21 2199/23 2205/4 2202/9 2205/20 makes [6] 2152/21 2155/15 **MO [4]** 2/17 3/6 3/9 3/22 2168/4 2168/13 2182/15 moment [2] 2153/17 2192/24 2175/8 making [2] 2177/16 2184/2 Monday [1] 2150/6 **MALOUF [1]** 2/13 monkey [1] 2150/18 Manager [1] 2161/6 Montgomery [1] 2/9 manufacture [4] 2163/10 monthly [2] 2159/6 2159/8 2176/14 2176/17 2182/17 months [3] 2153/21 2159/9 manufactured [3] 2155/5 2187/19 2163/2 2178/9 more [8] 2159/11 2182/2 manufacturer [1] 2156/14 2187/4 2187/23 2193/2 manufacturing [4] 2158/15 2193/17 2198/21 2200/20 2163/20 2163/25 2202/21 morning [15] 2150/6 many [3] 2153/21 2176/3 2169/20 2174/3 2198/11 2192/17 2199/18 2200/14 2201/3 Mark [2] 3/4 3/20 2201/14 2202/7 2202/8 marked [1] 2154/18 2202/11 2202/24 2203/20 market [1] 2175/19 2203/23 2206/7 marketing [3] 2163/9 Morrison [1] 3/18 2176/13 2182/16 most [1] 2204/23 Mary [1] 3/21 mother [1] 2198/15 Mason [1] 2/5 motion [11] 2153/1 master [1] 2206/13 2164/24 2167/5 2167/5 matter [2] 2165/1 2185/12 2167/11 2174/7 2175/6 matters [1] 2200/4 2190/25 2192/7 2198/24 may [17] 2153/16 2155/13 2205/4 2160/24 2165/3 2172/3 motions [5] 2167/12 2172/9 2181/17 2183/23 2178/22 2186/10 2194/11 2186/17 2193/1 2193/1 2195/12 2193/16 2197/22 2200/12 move [2] 2185/15 2185/18 2204/10 2204/20 2206/20 moving [1] 2199/11 maybe [2] 2152/21 2154/10 Mr [26] 2/4 2/5 2/6 2/6 2/10 McKesson [9] 2169/22 2/12 2/15 2/22 3/1 3/4 3/7 2169/23 2172/5 2179/16 3/15 3/20 3/20 3/24 3/24 2181/20 2189/8 2195/22 2150/25 2151/12 2165/14 2195/25 2196/1 2169/20 2172/3 2175/14 me [13] 2150/8 2154/11 2188/14 2191/11 2193/7 2154/14 2161/15 2162/21 2198/8 2165/14 2170/25 2176/23 Mr. [17] 2150/7 2151/21 2180/24 2183/19 2184/5 2162/10 2165/6 2165/13 2184/7 2206/19 2166/24 2177/19 2179/2 Meadows [1] 2/4 2179/7 2181/4 2182/4 mean [10] 2167/19 2169/4 2188/15 2198/24 2199/3 2179/10 2179/21 2183/1 2199/14 2200/5 2202/19 2188/12 2192/20 2197/13 Mr. Allen [1] 2200/5 2197/24 2198/2 Mr. Blaes [1] 2179/7 meantime [1] 2201/16 Mr. Large [1] 2202/19 Mellow [1] 3/21 Mr. Magee [1] 2150/7 memos [1] 2195/12 Mr. Smith [4] 2151/21 mentioned [2] 2187/3

2162/10 2199/3 2199/14

nonresidents' [3] 2174/18

Mr. Smith's [2] 2188/15 2 5 6 7 7 0 3 1 7 Mr. Weaver [6] 2165/6 2165/13 2166/24 2177/19 2179/2 2182/4 Ms. [1] 2180/9 Ms. Evans [1] 2180/9 2204/1 2204/4 2193/6 2193/18 Nancy [1] 3/17 2170/14 2170/15 2186/4 needed [1] 2163/17 2179/6 negative [1] 2190/23 neither [1] 2172/2 2192/22 2195/14 2194/4 2196/17 2206/21 2207/8 Nobody [1] 2173/4 Noland [1] 2156/2 2203/24

normally [2] 2184/16 Mr. Weaver's [1] 2181/4 2195/11 Ms [11] 2/5 2/14 2/21 2/21 not [78] note [5] 2160/22 2163/7 3/1 3/2 3/5 3/8 3/12 3/17 2163/12 2172/20 2191/4 noted [1] 2174/20 nothing [9] 2152/16 MSDS [8] 2155/9 2155/12 2153/12 2174/3 2183/2 2163/22 2164/4 2166/7 2183/3 2183/9 2187/3 2176/2 2184/1 2185/18 2196/4 2206/22 notice [2] 2164/2 2164/3 much [2] 2182/2 2186/20 must [2] 2163/12 2201/18 November [3] 2159/11 2160/17 2162/12 **my [14]** 2151/5 2155/6 2155/19 2165/24 2182/10 2187/22 2196/23 2196/23 2162/12 2198/10 2198/13 2204/11 November 14th [1] 2205/18 2205/20 2206/19 2159/11 Myers [4] 2182/9 2203/22 now [15] 2151/3 2153/6 2158/10 2159/19 2167/25 2185/20 2187/4 2188/25 2190/10 2190/12 2191/22 name [4] 2157/10 2187/8 2192/2 2195/14 2196/15 2198/16 number [12] 2150/6 2156/12 2157/5 2158/6 nationwide [3] 2169/23 2160/19 2162/17 2162/24 2184/17 2189/23 2190/9 necessarily [1] 2194/14 necessary [5] 2157/19 2190/9 2198/9 2165/4 2174/1 2177/22 numbering [1] 2157/7 need [5] 2162/4 2194/16 2199/21 2203/4 2206/9 o'clock [1] 2201/15 object [3] 2152/6 2152/11 needs [3] 2173/13 2176/9 2174/7 objecting [2] 2169/3 2169/4 negligence [1] 2196/7 objection [2] 2152/4 neighborhood [1] 2161/23 2169/13 obtained [1] 2174/23 never [10] 2169/7 2183/5 obviously [5] 2152/25 2186/2 2191/24 2191/24 2180/10 2185/9 2185/20 2191/25 2192/1 2192/22 2199/10 occurred [6] 2179/9 new [7] 2158/1 2172/19 2194/20 2196/12 2196/16 2174/10 2189/24 2191/7 2197/22 2204/25 occurrence [8] 2163/15 newspaper [1] 2202/1 2164/16 2176/10 2176/21 next [8] 2155/22 2157/2 2177/6 2177/21 2177/23 2158/12 2158/23 2159/10 2178/14 2160/7 2160/18 2200/21 occurring [4] 2176/19 no [41] 1/8 2153/4 2155/9 2176/24 2176/25 2181/19 2159/19 2167/2 2167/2 occurs [2] 2171/25 2168/6 2169/9 2171/13 2172/15 2171/15 2173/17 2173/20 off [6] 2151/6 2151/7 2153/20 2154/7 2166/7 2175/6 2175/22 2178/6 2178/7 2178/13 2179/3 2181/16 2179/8 2180/7 2182/25 2151/25 2152/4 2152/5 2183/1 2183/15 2183/15 2184/14 2188/12 2190/20 2152/7 2152/12 2152/18 2190/25 2192/7 2193/21 2152/22 2154/12 2158/12 2195/2 2195/4 2195/10 2160/7 2169/3 2182/20 2196/7 2198/11 2202/15 2187/6 2187/7 2193/5 2203/8 2205/11 2206/19 offered [5] 2155/23 2157/24 2174/16 2189/18 2205/12 offering [1] 2152/1 none [2] 2183/4 2186/1 Office [1] 2/8 official [2] 1/23 2207/4 nonresident [14] 2168/8 okay [9] 2152/17 2165/10 2168/23 2169/15 2170/18 2165/16 2166/25 2170/10 2171/7 2171/12 2171/16 2171/17 2171/23 2177/4 2177/2 2199/7 2201/13 2178/16 2186/22 2195/19 2206/6 Olympic [1] 2162/14 nonresident's [1] 2168/15 once [3] 2165/12 2201/17 2202/4 nonresidents [4] 2167/15 2174/20 2174/25 2196/21 Onder [4] 2/15 2/16

2188/15 2191/11

2175/1 2183/8

**aone:12220**\$/26 Page Norfolk [5] 2167/4 2167/16 2167/18 2168/24 2192/9 November 14 [2] 2160/17 offer [17] 2151/13 2151/19

one [22] 2150/11 2159/3 2164/246062/18 2165/21 2166/18 2173/10 2184/6 2184/9 2184/18 2189/23 2190/9 2192/21 2194/2 2198/3 2198/9 2198/21 2199/6 2200/9 2202/9 2202/19 2206/15 ones [2] 2166/21 2183/17 only [5] 2152/12 2156/5 2185/12 2198/3 2206/17 open [4] 2150/3 2151/8 2202/12 2203/12 opening [4] 2184/3 2185/2 2185/6 2194/9 operation [1] 2156/6 **Operations [1]** 2161/5 opinion [9] 2150/16 2150/17 2150/24 2153/1 2163/7 2179/14 2196/2 2201/20 2206/17 opportunity [4] 2152/8 2152/9 2154/2 2154/4 order [4] 5/11 2150/17 2162/11 2184/16 orderly [1] 2172/23 originally [1] 2180/9 other [21] 2158/4 2160/23 2161/25 2165/9 2167/23 2173/8 2173/10 2174/23 2175/9 2180/1 2185/1 2187/20 2192/12 2198/11 2199/6 2200/8 2201/8 2204/10 2205/15 2205/21 2206/16 others [3] 2177/14 2201/19 2201/24 ounce [3] 2158/14 2158/14 2158/15 our [19] 2152/22 2156/5 2156/25 2158/19 2159/16 2160/19 2161/9 2166/15 2168/17 2169/13 2177/11 2180/7 2187/1 2188/8 2189/22 2190/6 2190/20 2191/5 2192/7 out [34] 2150/17 2151/18 2154/1 2155/20 2157/17 2159/14 2160/25 2163/12 2163/20 2166/9 2168/18 2172/14 2173/25 2174/9 2174/12 2175/18 2177/11 2179/1 2180/6 2181/4 2183/6 2184/18 2184/21 2185/20 2189/2 2190/16 2191/11 2192/23 2194/18 2194/20 2196/6 2196/15 2196/15 2197/16 out-of-state [1] 2168/18 outside [10] 4/4 2150/4 2151/8 2151/14 2172/1 2172/19 2173/2 2173/12 2202/13 2204/17 ovarian [2] 2184/20 2197/25 over [22] 2154/15 2158/3 2159/8 2162/3 2164/23 2167/3 2168/5 2168/8 2168/14 2168/20 2168/22 2170/17 2175/1 2177/23 2178/15 2183/7 2184/24 2190/16 2191/23 2195/17 2200/19 2203/25 overrules [1] 2169/13 own [2] 2201/22 2204/21

P-900 [1] 5/4

P-901 [1] 5/5

P-902 [1] 5/6

**P-903 [2]** 5/7 2158/12

Ρ 2150/3 2150/19 2151/8 2202/20 2175/11 R P(Filogo): 2017/03/17 **ægæ**72**2 3**200/1**2 6**2**52ag** e **⊅&\$d**nal**[22]**C2#\$2/1**41-1** Hadio [1] 2202/2 2167/9 2168/1 2168/2 plus [1] 2203/21 2203/12 2207/6 2207/10 **P-905** [1] 5/9 **Rados [1]** 2/16 2168/5 2168/7 2168/14 pocket [1] 2202/10 process [7] 2154/10 P-906 [2] 5/10 2160/7 raffle [1] 2201/12 2168/22 2169/5 2169/8 podium [1] 2154/15 2157/13 2167/16 2191/19 **P-907 [1]** 2159/10 railcars [1] 2159/18 point [13] 2160/19 2172/14 2169/18 2170/17 2177/22 2194/3 2194/20 2196/13 **P-910 [2]** 5/11 2162/19 raised [2] 2167/6 2167/10 2183/7 2186/11 2191/11 2176/1 2179/1 2179/22 processed [2] 2166/5 P-911 [1] 2200/6 raising [1] 2173/11 2191/17 2191/20 2192/2 2180/12 2182/15 2189/1 2173/23 rather [5] 2162/10 2176/9 P.C [1] 2/7 2192/3 2192/21 2195/16 2190/12 2190/20 2199/3 produced [2] 2180/3 **p.m [1]** 2200/10 2199/16 2200/15 2201/1 perspective [1] 2193/5 2199/15 2199/25 2189/23 raw [5] 2155/8 2166/1 **P.O [1]** 2/13 produces [1] 2190/6 Peterson [1] 2/16 pointed [3] 2174/9 2174/12 2166/3 2181/13 2181/15 **PA[1]** 3/3 petition [1] 2195/21 2181/4 producing [1] 2186/18 package [4] 2163/10 Ray [1] 3/24 product [35] 5/7 2155/16 Pharma [59] 5/4 5/8 5/9 Pole [1] 2/21 2164/5 2176/14 2182/18 re [2] 4/4 2200/6 2154/19 2154/22 2155/2 Porter [2] 2/12 2/13 2157/13 2158/2 2158/7 packaged [1] 2187/15 read [8] 2157/7 2158/5 portion [1] 2180/23 2155/7 2156/2 2156/4 2158/13 2162/16 2164/1 2180/24 2182/24 2183/13 packager [1] 2160/13 2156/7 2156/9 2156/19 **PORTIS** [1] 2/7 2164/6 2165/22 2166/9 packaging [3] 2154/23 2200/5 2202/1 2204/1 2157/17 2158/1 2158/15 Portland [1] 3/19 2166/12 2167/14 2168/9 2176/18 2182/21 reading [6] 2151/3 2155/24 2158/25 2159/6 2159/13 position [4] 2158/19 2169/24 2171/4 2173/22 2161/23 2165/24 2176/12 page [28] 4/2 2156/8 2175/18 2175/21 2175/22 2160/11 2160/12 2161/4 2166/15 2187/4 2203/17 2156/15 2157/2 2157/5 2196/23 2161/17 2161/22 2162/11 positive [1] 2190/23 2175/24 2176/4 2176/15 2157/6 2157/7 2157/8 **Reads [1]** 2156/2 2177/7 2178/8 2178/17 2163/1 2163/19 2164/7 possibility [2] 2170/11 ready [2] 2150/9 2201/15 2157/9 2158/10 2160/1 2164/12 2164/13 2166/4 2204/16 2180/6 2182/19 2185/25 2160/9 2160/18 2160/18 real [3] 2161/15 2173/6 possible [1] 2184/25 2186/19 2187/15 2187/16 2166/4 2166/6 2166/7 2162/23 2163/14 2163/15 2173/6 2166/10 2169/16 2172/9 Post [1] 2/8 2187/17 2191/19 2196/4 2164/17 2168/12 2168/16 reality [1] 2190/24 2175/16 2175/21 2175/25 **Poston [1]** 2164/9 production [4] 2157/17 really [3] 2180/11 2189/11 2174/19 2176/12 2179/14 2177/9 2177/17 2178/8 pound [2] 2162/14 2162/14 2157/19 2158/3 2160/5 2180/24 2181/23 2181/24 2206/12 2178/17 2179/18 2179/18 pounds [5] 2159/21 products [6] 2158/22 reason [2] 2188/12 2182/5 2182/11 2180/2 2180/13 2180/18 2159/7 2160/13 2160/15 2159/24 2160/21 2161/12 page 1 [1] 2157/8 2190/20 2181/12 2181/15 2185/11 2167/15 2198/6 2161/24 page 11 [4] 2179/14 reasons [3] 2164/21 powder [8] 2157/12 2187/2 2187/12 2189/18 Professional [1] 2207/2 2180/24 2181/23 2182/5 2167/12 2179/4 project [2] 2157/25 2190/18 2192/15 2193/8 2157/17 2158/14 2159/23 page 12 [2] 2168/12 Rebecca [1] 3/1 2160/13 2160/14 2162/14 2198/22 2204/7 2157/25 2168/16 receive [1] 2166/7 Pharmatech [3] 2161/6 2197/1 proof [15] 2151/19 2152/4 page 16 [1] 2160/9 received [5] 5/3 2150/12 2173/16 2200/6 powder/50 [1] 2162/14 2152/7 2152/12 2152/19 page 17 [1] 2160/18 2185/17 2185/19 2194/17 powders [6] 2154/24 Philadelphia [1] 3/3 2154/12 2169/3 2170/2 receiving [5] 2155/4 2155/8 page 2 [3] 2156/8 2181/24 **PHOENIX** [1] 3/21 2155/18 2158/3 2158/8 2170/12 2172/25 2173/17 2182/11 2163/21 2164/3 2194/13 phone [1] 2187/22 2182/20 2187/7 2193/5 2160/2 2161/19 page 22 [1] 2157/6 recently [1] 2159/11 pick [2] 2159/13 2159/18 pilot [1] 2157/19 prejudice [1] 2193/2 2197/9 page 4 [1] 2162/23 recess [2] 2203/10 2203/11 proper [3] 2151/15 2164/22 prejudiced [1] 2194/6 page 5 [1] 2163/14 recognizes [2] 2169/25 place [8] 2163/15 2164/16 preparation [1] 2166/12 2175/12 page 7 [1] 2164/17 2170/1 2172/15 2172/16 2176/10 properly [1] 2161/24 prepare [1] 2195/5 page 8 [1] 2174/19 reconsider [1] 2190/25 2176/21 2177/6 2198/5 prepared [3] 2163/22 proprietary [1] 2176/7 pages [5] 2151/4 2158/11 record [17] 5/6 2150/5 placed [1] 2187/5 Prost [1] 3/20 2195/6 2195/8 2193/9 2193/9 2207/9 2151/6 2151/7 2151/11 plaintiff [7] 2171/24 preposterous [1] 2191/12 prove [2] 2184/17 2189/21 paper [1] 2156/5 2152/19 2153/5 2174/11 2172/23 2179/4 2189/21 prescribed [2] 2174/20 proven [1] 2186/3 2188/17 2189/13 2190/11 paragraph [4] 2157/16 2203/24 2204/24 2205/14 provided [1] 2183/2 2174/23 2181/23 2181/24 2182/11 2190/25 2191/16 2192/18 **PLAINTIFF'S [5]** 5/3 presence [5] 4/4 2150/4 provides [5] 2157/16 2194/22 2200/3 2203/16 parens [1] 2155/25 2151/9 2151/14 2202/13 2154/18 2155/24 2162/5 2160/14 2171/22 2181/7 record's [1] 2199/20 part [2] 2153/20 2201/12 2162/9 present [4] 3/23 2154/4 2201/9 records [1] 2198/18 particular [3] 2162/16 providing [2] 2158/8 plaintiffs [23] 1/10 2/3 2175/9 2207/6 2180/14 2198/6 **REES [2]** 3/15 3/18 2151/16 2168/3 2168/18 2160/12 presentation [1] 2197/25 parties [6] 2180/16 reevaluate [1] 2195/13 prudent [1] 2204/23 2171/7 2171/12 2171/17 presented [8] 2169/7 reference [1] 2172/5 2200/25 2201/24 2203/17 2174/10 2174/23 2177/4 2170/22 2170/22 2178/7 prudently [1] 2205/5 2204/11 2206/15 referenced [1] 2175/16 2178/10 2178/16 2178/18 2179/16 2183/4 2191/5 pull [2] 2154/14 2161/15 parts [1] 2199/12 references [2] 2156/12 2186/21 2186/22 2188/18 2195/14 pulled [1] 2151/5 party [9] 2172/7 2172/7 2175/10 2188/20 2188/21 2195/19 preserve [1] 2152/19 purchase [1] 2174/21 2172/11 2175/3 2180/14 referencing [1] 2161/2 2198/1 2203/25 2207/7 pressed [1] 2154/2 purpose [1] 2169/5 2182/6 2195/22 2196/1 referred [1] 2162/9 plaintiffs' [6] 2157/3 presumably [3] 2189/6 purposes [2] 2159/20 referring [1] 2182/22 2204/6 2164/23 2169/15 2175/9 2189/25 2195/5 2162/8 refers [2] 2182/25 2204/4 reflect [1] 2183/24 passing [1] 2166/18 presume [1] 2159/21 pursued [1] 2203/23 2183/8 2189/15 passthrough [2] 2164/5 put [9] 2177/15 2181/16 plant [3] 2157/24 2159/17 Presuming [1] 2159/5 2175/17 reflects [2] 2164/22 prevent [1] 2168/17 2161/10 2192/17 2193/14 2193/19 pay [1] 2155/7 2183/25 Plavix [14] 2151/17 2163/6 2196/10 2198/15 2202/9 previously [1] 2153/8 paying [3] 2159/1 2159/6 regard [10] 2168/5 2168/15 price [3] 3/24 2158/17 2163/8 2163/9 2169/23 2205/14 2166/5 2168/23 2171/23 2173/11 puts [2] 2177/9 2177/10 2170/16 2174/20 2174/21 2172/3 2178/16 2183/8 2192/8 PC [1] 3/21 2174/22 2174/22 2174/24 principal [5] 2184/8 2184/9 Pecan [1] 3/13 2195/18 2198/25 2176/13 2182/16 2182/17 2184/11 2184/19 2184/19 pellets [1] 2160/13 regarding [5] 2161/17 pleaded [2] 2169/9 2191/24 principally [2] 2164/15 **Q-tip [1]** 2160/13 pendent [1] 2165/4 2161/18 2180/24 2190/18 pleading [2] 2164/24 2187/11 question [9] 2152/3 pending [1] 2157/18 2203/24 2193/14 printed [2] 2160/25 2152/12 2167/2 2175/22 per [7] 2159/24 2159/25 regardless [3] 2152/4 pleadings [15] 2164/25 2165/12 2179/8 2182/5 2182/10 2160/21 2160/22 2161/12 2195/7 2199/4 prior [4] 2152/23 2152/23 2172/20 2172/24 2173/2 2188/1 2199/2 2164/8 2178/21 Registered [1] 2207/2 2174/8 2187/6 2188/21 2167/6 2169/7 questions [1] 2162/3 perhaps [1] 2154/4 Registration [2] 5/4 probably [3] 2165/2 period [2] 2156/20 2159/19 2189/14 2194/15 2194/16 quick [1] 2161/15 2154/19 2194/16 2203/19 2204/3 2197/25 2199/10 quite [1] 2203/17 regularly [1] 2159/6 periods [3] 2155/17 quote [6] 2159/15 2160/2 2204/5 2204/8 problem [2] 2192/25 regulation [1] 2163/17 2156/23 2157/1 please [4] 2151/11 2201/21 2194/1 2163/7 2164/12 2175/2 permit [1] 2201/19 regulatory [3] 2163/10 2202/5 2203/15 problems [1] 2161/18 2175/4 perpetuate [2] 2184/6 2176/15 2182/18 pleasure [1] 2206/8 proceed [4] 2153/15 quoting [3] 2164/11 rejected [4] 2180/4 2184/21 pled [2] 2184/4 2195/21 2188/13 2189/1 2189/10 2164/17 2180/23 person [3] 2176/8 2185/10 2191/14 2191/22 2192/10 plenty [3] 2172/23 2174/10 proceedings [10] 4/4

R review [2] 2159/16 2161/9 2182/21 **Ji€H**ii Doc. #: 41-1 seFeil(#301:2067/2023/52/7 Tejectifig 11 2167/12842 rides [1] 2201/7 2178/1 relate [2] 2163/13 2181/23 Ridgeland [1] 2/11 selected [3] 2156/20 related [4] 2156/6 2167/14 right [32] 2151/3 2153/5 2156/23 2159/14 2167/19 2191/19 2153/9 2153/12 2154/24 Selection [1] 2157/23 relation [6] 2157/13 2162/23 2165/13 2165/17 sell [1] 2155/19 2157/14 2158/8 2165/4 2166/14 2167/8 2167/21 seller [3] 2156/17 2196/5 2166/11 2181/18 2176/19 2176/22 2183/19 2196/6 relationship [23] 2169/22 2184/4 2187/4 2188/19 selling [1] 2156/19 2169/22 2170/16 2172/6 send [6] 2199/16 2199/17 2200/1 2200/17 2201/1 2188/22 2188/24 2190/3 2172/11 2173/14 2173/18 2190/10 2190/12 2198/8 2173/19 2174/2 2175/3 2198/16 2200/2 2200/12 2201/2 2177/25 2178/2 2178/4 2200/13 2201/14 2203/9 sending [3] 2175/20 2178/5 2182/6 2186/3 2177/11 2177/14 2203/15 2203/16 2206/11 2186/15 2186/17 2186/20 right-hand [1] 2162/23 sends [1] 2166/8 2187/11 2187/13 2191/25 Rio [3] 2155/25 2156/18 sense [2] 2153/25 2186/12 2198/22 2162/25 sent [4] 2166/4 2181/14 relationships [1] 2173/5 rise [1] 2182/3 2189/5 2194/4 release [1] 2153/25 role [1] 2187/19 sentences [1] 2156/3 relevant [25] 2155/17 Roll [1] 2155/2 separate [3] 2166/6 2164/11 2164/12 2179/11 **ROME [1]** 3/2 2170/20 2174/2 2179/15 2179/17 2180/15 separately [1] 2165/2 room [1] 2200/23 2180/25 2181/1 2181/9 round [1] 2206/14 series [1] 2158/24 2181/22 2181/24 2182/9 Royston [3] 2156/6 served [1] 2187/19 2182/10 2182/12 2182/21 serving [1] 2183/7 2157/24 2161/6 2183/14 2183/16 2183/20 **RPR [2]** 1/23 2207/16 session [2] 2151/11 2183/21 2183/22 2189/21 ruled [2] 2192/4 2192/4 2203/15 2204/5 2204/6 2204/25 set [5] 2151/17 2182/11 rules [1] 2206/5 relieves [1] 2185/6 ruling [7] 2150/14 2152/24 2200/16 2200/23 2203/23 rely [1] 2192/2 setting [2] 2175/7 2200/18 2188/25 2190/13 2190/15 relying [1] 2192/1 2190/24 2191/1 seventh [1] 2192/20 remaining [1] 2168/1 rulings [1] 2192/7 several [2] 2161/22 remand [6] 2150/13 2153/1 running [1] 2160/4 2161/25 2153/3 2189/4 2189/6 runs [1] 2157/21 shape [1] 2196/5 2190/22 Ryan [1] 2/6 share [1] 2160/14 removable [1] 2153/14 SHAWN [1] 1/4 removal [1] 2153/7 she [2] 2179/12 2198/19 remove [3] 2190/12 2191/3 said [7] 2169/12 2170/1 she'll [1] 2198/16 2179/2 2184/9 2185/14 sheet [1] 2185/18 removed [2] 2153/2 2153/8 shelf [5] 2177/9 2177/10 2194/16 2196/3 removing [1] 2166/18 2177/12 2177/15 2177/17 sale [3] 2167/14 2172/15 rendered [1] 2190/22 2191/18 Shelton [1] 2/16 renew [1] 2154/11 sales [9] 5/7 5/10 5/11 shelves [1] 2184/2 repetitive [1] 2198/23 2156/16 2158/13 2160/10 sheriff [2] 2202/7 2202/23 report [2] 2202/3 2202/6 sheriff's [2] 2201/4 2202/9 2160/16 2162/1 2162/11 reported [1] 2207/6 same [8] 2168/8 2174/25 **Shimmer [3]** 2157/12 reporter [4] 1/23 2207/3 2177/17 2179/4 2180/23 2157/16 2158/14 2207/3 2207/4 2182/4 2191/19 2194/18 ship [2] 2155/13 2185/5 representative [4] 1/8 San [1] 3/14 **shipments [1]** 2159/19 2164/8 2183/25 2194/10 **SANDBERG [1]** 3/21 **shipped [9]** 2163/1 2163/3 reproduction [1] 2207/10 Sansone [1] 3/20 2178/17 2181/16 2187/16 request [2] 2152/18 Sarosy [1] 2/22 2187/17 2187/18 2194/4 2189/15 satisfied [1] 2191/19 2194/18 requests [1] 2195/13 satisfy [1] 2167/15 shipping [2] 2156/10 require [1] 2204/19 satisfying [1] 2176/20 2156/18 required [4] 2188/9 **SAVANNA [2]** 1/5 2/3 **SHOOK [1]** 3/5 2200/17 2204/22 2205/20 say [7] 2150/14 2152/14 short [3] 2159/21 2159/25 requirements [2] 2176/20 2179/14 2179/24 2187/1 2160/22 2191/20 2187/10 2190/4 **should [5]** 2186/10 2199/4 research [1] 2201/22 2201/20 2205/14 2206/15 saying [10] 2155/9 2171/14 resident [3] 2168/9 2179/20 2181/22 2182/15 shouldn't [1] 2193/23 2168/10 2179/8 2184/13 2184/14 2193/14 Shower [44] 2157/11 resolved [1] 2195/11 2194/21 2202/20 2157/11 2157/14 2157/14 respect [2] 2158/21 2157/16 2157/16 2157/22 says [9] 2161/4 2161/8 2191/10 2166/10 2170/11 2181/3 2157/22 2158/13 2158/13 respective [1] 2198/1 2182/14 2190/5 2196/20 2179/4 2179/4 2179/10 respond [3] 2165/6 2173/1 2179/10 2179/25 2179/25 2199/24 scope [1] 2192/2 2180/2 2180/2 2180/6 respondents [1] 2170/7 2180/6 2180/8 2180/8 seated [3] 2151/11 2200/12 response [4] 2168/11 2196/19 2196/19 2196/24 2203/15 2186/10 2189/22 2192/7 second [5] 1/24 2155/1 2196/24 2197/2 2197/2 responsible [3] 2155/14 2157/15 2162/6 2176/12 2197/5 2197/5 2197/13 2184/15 2185/9 secondly [1] 2151/25 2197/13 2197/14 2197/15 rest [1] 2199/5 see [11] 2150/17 2154/14 2197/15 2197/15 2197/16 result [2] 2186/22 2186/23 2155/25 2156/9 2157/3 2197/16 2198/12 2198/12 resulting [2] 2177/7 2157/23 2158/6 2202/8 2198/14 2198/14 2198/17 2177/12 2202/10 2202/11 2205/13 2198/17 retail [1] 2158/17 seeing [1] 2171/18 showing [3] 2156/17 revenues [1] 2160/16 seeking [1] 2160/2 2158/13 2178/7 reverse [1] 2150/13 shows [1] 2155/7 seems [3] 2182/5 2182/20

sic [1] 2156/19 **aige**[422466f8269873age] 2206/15 2206/16 **SIDLEY [1]** 2/22 significant [2] 2156/22 2186/4 similar [1] 2166/12 simply [7] 2164/4 2168/8 2174/15 2175/12 2175/16 2182/1 2192/16 since [1] 2156/14 sir [10] 2151/1 2153/18 2199/1 2199/13 2199/19 2202/15 2203/6 2203/8 2205/11 2206/21 sit [1] 2203/2 site [4] 2157/23 2158/1 2197/23 2198/2 sitting [1] 2154/9 situation [8] 2164/5 2175/17 2176/16 2179/20 2182/2 2189/12 2191/16 2202/6 six [2] 2187/19 2187/19 sixth [1] 2192/19 sixth or [1] 2192/19 sizes [2] 2158/14 2158/15 Skillman [1] 2194/4 slash [1] 2156/16 Smith [10] 2/10 2/10 3/1 2150/25 2150/25 2151/21 2162/10 2199/3 2199/14 2200/5 **Smith's [2]** 2188/15 2198/24 so [69] sold [3] 2158/18 2165/22 2167/14 sole [2] 2158/2 2198/12 solely [4] 2165/18 2165/20 2192/10 2192/10 some [20] 2153/20 2159/12 2159/14 2162/15 2164/8 2173/18 2182/21 2186/18 2187/10 2189/18 2191/25 2193/5 2193/16 2194/9 2200/4 2201/6 2201/7 2201/7 2201/8 2201/12 somebody [1] 2186/17 somehow [3] 2178/17 2182/24 2192/14 **someone [4]** 2157/10 2177/24 2186/16 2195/5 **something [3]** 2172/3 2184/9 2188/3 sometime [1] 2202/19 somewhat [1] 2166/12 sorry [5] 2156/21 2166/24 2175/20 2181/1 2200/13 sort [3] 2153/25 2191/11 2192/23 Sotomayor's [1] 2168/11 Sounds [2] 2150/8 2154/16 source [1] 2198/12 Southern [5] 2167/4 2167/16 2167/18 2168/24 2192/9 speak [1] 2193/2 specific [37] 2151/15 2152/24 2153/4 2153/6 2153/10 2162/3 2163/17 2164/22 2165/18 2166/1 2167/9 2168/2 2168/7 2170/17 2175/1 2177/3 2179/8 2179/21 2186/6 2188/6 2188/8 2188/12 2188/17 2189/1 2189/2 2189/7 2189/7 2190/13 2190/19 2191/1 2191/13 2194/11 2196/18 2198/4 2198/6 2203/24 2205/2

specifically [6] 2167/7 2167/12 2167/12 2172/12 2182/15 2197/4 specifications [5] 2155/5 2158/8 2163/22 2166/2 2181/14 specifics [1] 2162/15 **Spencer [1]** 3/5 square [2] 3/3 2206/14 squarely [1] 2194/7 ST [6] 1/1 1/24 2/17 3/9 3/22 2207/5 stage [1] 2152/2 stake [1] 2193/22 stamp [1] 2162/23 stand [2] 2190/4 2198/16 **standard [2]** 2164/11 2198/2 standing [3] 2172/7 2175/3 2181/4 stands [1] 2206/23 start [3] 2163/14 2163/14 2165/14 started [1] 2187/13 starting [1] 2167/6 state [20] 1/1 2153/3 2163/16 2163/16 2164/16 2168/18 2171/25 2172/1 2173/12 2173/13 2175/1 2176/7 2176/10 2176/21 2176/23 2177/6 2177/24 2192/14 2192/15 2205/1 State's [1] 2163/17 stated [1] 2179/1 Statement [1] 2158/9 statements [1] 2194/9 states [7] 2150/12 2168/16 2168/19 2180/1 2182/8 2191/15 2198/1 statute [1] 2171/22 stay [3] 2167/5 2178/20 2192/7 step [1] 2204/24 **Stephanie** [1] 2/16 **still [5]** 2163/5 2169/14 2171/5 2171/10 2182/25 stop [2] 2183/19 2190/20 store [1] 2184/2 straight [2] 2162/8 2200/20 strategic [2] 2159/16 2161/8 strategically [1] 2187/21 strategy [3] 2163/9 2176/13 2182/17 stream [1] 2196/4 Street [4] 2/8 2/23 3/13 3/18 stuff [1] 2195/7 Stylemaster [1] 2154/20 subject [7] 2159/13 2161/3 2161/17 2163/17 2178/21 2180/16 2186/13 subjects [1] 2166/19 **submission [2]** 2154/4 2154/7 submit [2] 2154/6 2188/3 such [2] 2158/9 2166/7 suddenly [2] 2195/5 2195/6 suffered [1] 2171/24 sufficient [8] 2167/15 2168/14 2169/18 2170/16 2178/3 2186/19 2204/6 suggest [4] 2153/2 2180/1 2180/21 2192/16 suggested [1] 2189/4 **suggestion [1]** 2192/18 suit [2] 2163/12 2180/17 Suite [5] 2/11 3/9 3/13 3/16 **summary [2]** 2157/13

S 2166/4 2166/5 2166/6 **Ŀ[[e]6**/7 2<mark>[[]66/[</mark>]0 #1:69**.4 <u>6</u> - ]** summary....[1] 2180/1242 2172/9 2175/16 2175/21 **supervisor [1]** 2201/9 2175/25 2177/9 2177/17 supplement [9] 2152/22 2178/9 2178/17 2179/18 2153/5 2165/11 2188/16 2179/19 2180/2 2180/13 2188/21 2189/2 2191/2 2180/18 2181/13 2181/15 2191/16 2194/22 2185/11 2187/3 2187/12 supplemental [2] 2154/4 2189/18 2190/18 2192/15 2154/7 2193/8 2198/23 2204/7 supplementing [1] 2188/7 Tech's [2] 2154/22 2164/7 supplier [2] 2196/22 Ted [1] 2/4 2197/12 television [1] 2202/3 supply [2] 2160/14 2175/18 tell [3] 2180/24 2184/7 support [6] 2169/8 2169/21 2199/15 2177/22 2178/14 2191/17 telling [1] 2184/5 2193/16 temporary [1] 2203/10 supported [1] 2204/20 tender [2] 2160/24 2162/4 supposed [1] 2192/18 terms [9] 2166/22 2175/23 Supreme [12] 4/4 2150/12 2176/2 2179/11 2179/23 2153/1 2172/4 2172/22 2181/12 2183/23 2194/6 2178/23 2182/7 2182/14 2194/15 2188/9 2189/4 2191/15 test [1] 2157/21 2205/19 testify [1] 2198/16 sure [6] 2151/23 2162/7 testimony [5] 2164/9 2176/24 2193/3 2197/5 2183/25 2194/10 2196/25 2202/9 2197/3 surprise [5] 2172/21 Texas [5] 2156/1 2163/3 2190/9 2191/9 2195/2 2180/9 2180/10 2187/18 2195/4 than [11] 2156/25 2171/1 surprised [1] 2193/23 2181/25 2187/4 2187/23 surprising [1] 2189/24 2193/17 2198/11 2199/16 suspect [2] 2189/7 2189/10 2200/15 2200/22 2201/1 sustain [1] 2199/21 Thank [2] 2151/10 2203/14 sustainable [1] 2168/24 thanks [1] 2206/6 sustained [1] 2174/24 that [347] **SW [1]** 3/18 that's [48] 2153/12 2154/5 Swann [1] 2178/21 2155/23 2159/21 2162/19 Sweeney [1] 2157/11 2163/13 2165/3 2166/25 2170/21 2170/22 2172/12 2173/2 2174/16 2175/7 tablets [1] 2160/13 2175/15 2176/5 2177/2 take [7] 2157/25 2192/6 2177/6 2179/9 2179/11 2201/4 2202/8 2203/4 2179/21 2179/21 2181/8 2204/24 2206/9 2181/10 2181/15 2181/19 taken [2] 2165/5 2200/15 2185/24 2185/24 2188/2 takes [6] 2163/15 2164/16 2188/14 2189/14 2190/7 2166/7 2176/10 2176/21 2190/8 2193/5 2194/5 2177/6 2194/7 2196/9 2197/2 taking [2] 2154/7 2198/5 2198/2 2199/7 2199/25 talc [24] 3/11 2154/24 2202/22 2202/24 2205/8 2155/4 2155/8 2156/18 2205/9 2205/16 2206/4 2159/1 2159/7 2159/22 2206/8 2160/21 2161/2 2161/11 their [36] 2158/2 2159/24 2161/21 2162/1 2163/21 2160/2 2160/20 2161/11 2165/21 2166/1 2166/3 2162/12 2163/20 2164/10 2166/5 2175/20 2179/25 2170/8 2172/5 2172/24 2181/13 2181/15 2184/20 2172/25 2173/4 2173/24 2190/6 2174/11 2175/18 2177/13 talc-based [1] 2154/24 2178/4 2178/12 2180/17 talk [4] 2161/10 2205/24 2185/11 2185/11 2185/15 2205/25 2206/1 2185/16 2185/20 2185/21 talked [6] 2150/15 2157/24 2185/25 2188/21 2189/23 2173/21 2183/3 2183/4 2190/1 2192/15 2194/6 2196/25 2197/3 2204/21 talking [2] 2178/8 2188/15 2204/24 talks [5] 2160/15 2161/12 them [24] 2165/11 2165/12 2161/18 2183/12 2186/5 2173/1 2184/18 2185/11 targeting [1] 2175/10 2185/19 2188/3 2192/21 **Tax [1]** 2156/16 2194/2 2199/5 2199/15 team [2] 2161/3 2161/5 2199/15 2199/16 2199/17 **TEASDALE [1]** 3/25 2199/17 2200/1 2200/1 Tech [57] 5/4 5/8 5/9 2204/14 2204/15 2205/19 2154/19 2155/2 2155/7 2205/25 2205/25 2206/2 2156/2 2156/4 2156/7 2206/9 2156/9 2156/19 2157/17 then [17] 2154/1 2155/8 2158/1 2158/16 2158/25 2155/18 2156/13 2158/16 2159/6 2159/13 2160/11 2160/14 2160/22 2161/10

2160/12 2161/4 2161/17

2161/22 2162/11 2163/1

2163/19 2164/12 2164/13

2161/19 2163/23 2165/22

2177/15 2181/14 2191/9

2166/3 2166/6 2173/7

theory [5] 2170/1 2170/5 2F9\$66293/103/120F there [23] 2153/4 2154/14 2156/9 2157/8 2158/6 2158/7 2161/14 2170/1 2173/13 2176/5 2176/9 2177/5 2179/17 2180/21 2182/8 2182/25 2183/20 2187/20 2188/25 2192/11 2192/12 2193/9 2197/19 there's [53] 2155/9 2157/7 2159/4 2159/15 2160/19 2162/15 2162/24 2167/2 2167/2 2169/9 2169/16 2170/11 2171/13 2171/15 2173/17 2173/20 2175/6 2175/22 2176/18 2178/2 2178/3 2178/6 2178/7 2178/11 2178/13 2179/3 2179/8 2179/8 2180/7 2181/20 2182/25 2183/5 2183/15 2183/15 2184/1 2187/5 2188/12 2190/13 2190/20 2190/25 2193/5 2193/7 2195/2 2195/4 2196/7 2198/11 2199/11 2199/15 2200/20 2205/4 2205/13 2205/15 2206/19 therefore [2] 2163/16 2197/15 these [37] 2153/20 2154/1 2158/6 2158/17 2158/21 2158/24 2159/5 2159/7 2162/4 2169/6 2172/16 2175/23 2177/3 2180/22 2182/10 2183/11 2183/11 2183/25 2186/1 2186/8 2186/9 2186/12 2186/21 2186/23 2187/24 2189/24 2191/7 2192/6 2192/8 2194/2 2198/6 2203/25 2204/12 2204/18 2204/25 2205/7 2206/18 they [98] they'd [1] 2155/20 they're [33] 2156/25 2160/5 2161/18 2163/24 2163/25 2164/1 2164/2 2164/3 2164/9 2166/11 2166/15 2166/21 2169/17 2170/25 2171/18 2171/18 2173/14 2173/25 2175/23 2176/6 2177/11 2177/21 2178/8 2180/14 2180/19 2184/2 2184/9 2186/11 2187/9 2189/8 2192/1 2193/13 2196/3 they've [16] 2156/13 2157/21 2159/1 2166/19 2182/13 2183/3 2183/9 2185/1 2185/2 2185/5 2185/8 2185/10 2185/13 2185/15 2191/17 2196/13 thing [3] 2150/11 2175/12 2204/23 things [10] 2154/1 2154/2 2173/21 2175/11 2177/20 2178/13 2182/24 2184/18 2185/6 2201/9 think [49] 2152/6 2152/11 2152/17 2153/5 2154/2 2154/3 2154/5 2154/6 2155/13 2156/24 2165/2 2167/22 2170/14 2173/1 2173/6 2176/5 2178/3 2180/15 2180/15 2181/7 2181/8 2183/21 2183/22 2188/2 2193/13 2193/22 2194/7 2194/13 2197/21 2198/18 2199/14 2199/20 2199/25 2200/20 2201/8

2206/1 2206/4 2206/12 2206/14 2206/18 third [10] 2156/15 2172/7 2172/7 2172/11 2175/3 2182/6 2187/1 2192/19 2193/24 2204/6 third-party [2] 2172/11 2182/6 this [128] Thomas [2] 3/7 3/24 those [20] 2164/21 2165/8 2165/25 2167/4 2167/6 2171/6 2171/19 2172/2 2173/8 2178/11 2178/15 2181/24 2182/24 2185/5 2191/22 2202/10 2204/2 2204/6 2204/11 2206/9 though [1] 2204/20 thought [3] 2153/21 2154/10 2155/11 three [8] 2158/16 2160/19 2177/11 2179/13 2187/20 2197/1 2198/10 2203/21 threshold [1] 2195/10 threw [1] 2173/25 through [9] 2151/5 2153/21 2158/6 2158/11 2165/25 2184/17 2185/21 2191/12 2195/20 throughout [1] 2175/19 throws [1] 2150/18 Thursday [1] 2204/15 tickets [2] 2201/7 2201/13 tied [1] 2172/16 tight [1] 2203/2 **Tim [1]** 3/20 time [23] 2150/8 2150/17 2151/7 2156/20 2156/23 2159/11 2169/5 2169/14 2172/23 2173/1 2174/11 2174/11 2174/11 2175/13 2176/25 2185/13 2187/2 2189/8 2190/17 2192/7 2199/9 2199/21 2201/16 timely [2] 2152/1 2173/8 Timothy [1] 2/12 Tinto [3] 2155/25 2156/18 2162/25 tip [1] 2160/13 to discuss [1] 2150/20 today [18] 2153/25 2159/13 2161/4 2169/8 2170/23 2174/11 2183/5 2187/1 2189/20 2191/5 2193/15 2193/20 2200/17 2201/2 2201/10 2202/22 2203/18 2206/20 together [6] 2159/17 2161/9 2164/13 2168/18 2179/15 2205/15 told [2] 2179/12 2196/16 toll [1] 2160/12 tomorrow [11] 2199/6 2199/17 2201/3 2201/14 2202/7 2202/11 2202/11 2203/2 2205/19 2205/24 2206/2 tonnage [1] 2161/20 tons [3] 2159/22 2159/25 2160/22 too [3] 2174/8 2200/9 2201/13 top [2] 2201/12 2202/10 topical [1] 2160/2 tort [21] 2158/19 2166/17 2166/19 2171/25 2172/15 2172/15 2176/2 2176/19 2176/25 2181/18 2184/6

2203/4 2204/23 2205/4 a205/62505\$ 2265Page 2205/14 2205/16 2205/21 2197/21 2206/25 2179/13 U 2204/18

2185/9 2192/14 2196/12 21\$6/1<mark>26094</mark>8 2196/21 2197/8 2197/9 2197/19 tort's [1] 2177/3 tortious [4] 2179/24 2182/3 2189/9 2198/7 total [2] 2159/24 2160/21 touches [1] 2182/20 Towne [1] 2/11 Trade [1] 2156/12 tram [1] 2201/8 TRANSCRIPT [1] 1/16 treated [1] 2181/14 trial [24] 1/16 2157/20 2187/1 2189/2 2189/10 2190/12 2190/15 2190/16 2190/21 2190/21 2191/3 2191/12 2192/19 2193/20 2193/23 2193/24 2194/24 2195/2 2195/8 2195/11 2197/1 2202/3 2206/17 trials [2] 2194/2 2204/8 tried [2] 2190/11 2192/20 true [4] 2177/2 2188/14 2194/5 2207/10 **try [7]** 2153/25 2173/15 2186/14 2186/14 2191/2 2194/25 2205/23 trying [8] 2172/24 2173/5 2187/22 2197/8 2197/19 2202/23 2206/13 2206/13 turn [4] 2157/6 2158/5 2162/4 2163/5 turning [3] 2156/8 2156/15 turns [1] 2194/18 TWENTY [1] 1/24 TWENTY-SECOND [1] 1/24 two [8] 2159/9 2165/9 2171/19 2175/9 2190/9 2196/21 2205/15 2206/17 **TX [2]** 3/14 3/16 type [1] 2169/22 typical [1] 2159/22 **U.S [5]** 4/4 2153/1 2188/9 2189/4 2205/19 ultimate [2] 2176/1 2185/24 ultimately [1] 2166/22 under [9] 2154/14 2155/20 2160/19 2168/24 2168/24 2168/24 2178/12 2181/10 underlying [1] 2164/15 understand [4] 2166/3 2184/12 2197/7 2199/13 understanding [6] 2155/6

2155/19 2165/24 2196/23 2198/10 2198/13 unfair [5] 2174/9 2187/4 2187/23 2192/24 2193/17 **Union [33]** 2154/20 2154/25 2156/5 2156/9 2156/11 2156/19 2157/18 2157/22 2158/2 2158/16 2159/17 2159/23 2160/11 2160/20 2161/6 2161/9 2161/22 2162/1 2162/12 2163/2 2163/21 2165/21 2166/4 2170/25 2175/20 2175/24 2180/8 2181/15 2184/1 2197/5 2197/16 2197/19 2197/22 United [3] 2150/12 2182/7 2191/15

unless [2] 2162/2 2172/3

unsterilized [1] 2159/18

unsupported [1] 2183/12

U tintii (4) · 2199/17 22019/72 2201/21 2206/2 untimely [1] 2186/11 unusual [1] 2203/17 **up [22]** 2151/5 2159/18 2161/15 2165/5 2172/22 2181/14 2185/14 2187/15 2188/10 2188/10 2189/11 2190/4 2194/2 2194/4 2194/21 2194/22 2200/4 2200/20 2200/21 2200/23 2201/3 2202/10 upon [6] 2152/18 2166/10 2178/5 2181/12 2187/7 2190/15 upstairs [6] 2198/25

2200/16 2200/18 2200/23 2201/5 2201/11 us [10] 2154/3 2172/21 2185/6 2189/24 2194/8 2196/16 2201/9 2203/1 2205/25 2206/7 usage [4] 2157/1 2159/24 2160/21 2161/11 use [3] 2156/16 2191/23

2198/14 used [12] 2178/9 2178/18 2179/4 2179/12 2179/13 2197/1 2197/3 2197/15 2197/16 2197/18 2197/18 2198/16

using [1] 2175/18 USP [1] 2162/14

#### V

validation [1] 2157/18 various [3] 2158/14 2159/12 2185/3 verbiage [1] 2159/14 verdict [1] 2190/22 very [4] 2163/7 2164/19 2172/6 2189/20 viable [1] 2205/17 vicarious [1] 2173/15 vicariously [1] 2173/16 view [1] 2202/1 Virginia [2] 2180/10 2187/17

2187/17 virtue [2] 2162/22 2164/12 vital [1] 2202/20 VOLUME [2] 1/16 2150/1 VON [1] 3/21 VP [1] 2161/5

#### w

Wait [1] 2192/12 waiting [1] 2205/16 walk [1] 2165/14 want [15] 2154/3 2154/14 2158/5 2173/7 2179/1 2186/9 2186/14 2190/17 2191/16 2193/14 2194/21 2201/11 2203/1 2205/25 2206/6

wanted [5] 2150/20 2159/16 2186/25 2190/10 2206/3

wants [1] 2199/8 Ward [1] 3/1 warehousing [1] 2156/11 warn [3] 2158/20 2164/21 2176/2

warning [11] 2155/9 2163/25 2164/1 2166/18 2166/23 2171/8 2176/18 2177/16 2184/25 2196/11 2197/6

was [84] washed [1] 2175/12 Washington [1] 3/22 Joshit [5]) 2053/49 2459/9] 2170/3 2193/19 2195/22 waste [1] 2154/8 water [1] 2201/8 way [8] 2159/9 2164/4 2173/8 2175/7 2180/8

2196/5 2199/6 2200/4 we [113] we'd [3] 2151/13 2158/12 2160/7

we'll [5] 2154/22 2191/11 2192/23 2202/8 2202/10 we're [31] 2150/5 2151/3 2151/11 2151/24 2153/5 2153/20 2159/8 2162/7 2165/17 2165/20 2169/4 2187/1 2187/4 2188/5 2188/7 2189/15 2190/10 2190/14 2191/1 2192/18 2193/15 2193/20 2193/23 2194/21 2194/21 2194/24 2196/15 2199/16 2203/15 2203/18 2206/17

we've [12] 2163/19 2166/2 2174/3 2175/8 2175/10 2175/11 2179/16 2182/2 2187/2 2187/10 2194/2 2200/14

Weaver [8] 3/24 2165/6 2165/13 2166/24 2177/19 2179/2 2182/4 2198/8 Weaver's [1] 2181/4 week [4] 2187/1 2192/19 2193/24 2204/14

2193/24 2204/14 weeks [3] 2205/6 2206/17 2206/18

Welcome [1] 2200/13 well [20] 2156/11 2156/24 2167/16 2170/8 2177/11 2177/16 2181/3 2181/6 2181/11 2181/16 2182/14 2183/15 2184/22 2189/20 2191/10 2191/22 2197/7 2197/21 2197/24 2203/20 went [2] 2188/10 2188/10 were [43] 2150/3 2151/8 2154/23 2155/4 2155/18 2160/17 2165/5 2167/13 2167/13 2169/2 2169/6 2169/6 2169/10 2170/14 2170/15 2173/11 2174/20 2174/22 2174/23 2179/17 2180/1 2180/23 2184/7 2185/9 2186/21 2186/22 2187/20 2191/5 2191/18 2192/12 2192/13 2194/11 2194/19 2194/20 2195/14 2195/23 2196/21 2196/22 2197/4 2197/12 2200/11 2202/12 2203/12

weren't [2] 2180/4 2195/6 what [55] 2150/17 2151/2 2151/24 2152/4 2152/10 2155/3 2157/6 2158/10 2162/8 2166/3 2166/10 2166/12 2168/4 2168/16 2169/1 2169/17 2170/13 2171/14 2171/20 2173/4 2173/4 2177/8 2177/21 2178/2 2179/2 2179/22 2180/25 2181/1 2181/6 2181/9 2181/20 2182/12 2182/13 2182/23 2182/25 2183/13 2184/12 2186/14 2187/9 2188/11 2189/14 2190/2 2193/13 2193/17 2193/25 2195/21 2196/20 2197/8 2199/2 2199/7 2200/4 2202/24 2204/4

2204/12 2205/9

what's [8] 2156/22 2162/17 2F740(2167/2)3627 F 2191/9 2193/22 2199/4 whatever [2] 2172/9 2199/24

when [13] 2154/8 2160/24 2167/4 2171/8 2174/10 2184/19 2187/12 2187/14 2194/22 2195/6 2198/4 2201/4 2205/18 whenever [1] 2164/3

whenever [1] 2164/3 where [21] 2160/3 2175/17 2175/19 2176/8 2176/8 2176/16 2179/20 2181/15 2181/20 2187/5 2187/16 2191/16 2193/23 2194/19 2195/7 2196/16 2197/9 2197/10 2197/20 2197/23 2197/23

wherever [1] 2181/17

whether [10] 2151/25 2153/4 2166/22 2180/2 2181/9 2184/23 2187/20 2190/18 2205/13 2206/8 which [22] 2150/13 2151/7 2155/18 2157/6 2166/19 2168/3 2169/23 2170/14 2173/19 2174/12 2176/10 2178/5 2178/18 2180/19 2185/6 2186/5 2191/18 2192/1 2192/9 2195/13 2203/12 2204/11

while [3] 2153/25 2192/8 2204/12

**who [6]** 2166/21 2177/14 2186/17 2190/2 2190/5 2193/8

who's [2] 2175/17 2187/8 whoever [1] 2172/11 whole [2] 2150/19 2190/20 whom [2] 2177/25 2183/7 why [4] 2156/20 2175/15 2188/2 2202/22

will [14] 2151/10 2156/5 2156/7 2159/10 2159/18 2165/6 2189/10 2189/10 2197/1 2200/22 2201/2 2203/3 2203/9 2203/14 William [11] 3/24

William [1] 3/24 within [3] 2183/23 2201/19 2205/1

without [8] 2152/21 2154/7 2164/1 2169/21 2176/18 2177/16 2197/6 2197/6 witness [6] 2193/6 2193/8 2193/12 2193/18 2193/19

witness' [1] 2187/8 Wolff [1] 2165/3 won't [1] 2200/21 wondering [2] 2153/19 2153/24

2193/19

word [2] 2150/12 2173/25 words [1] 2185/1 work [4] 2159/19 2163/10 2176/14 2182/18 working [4] 2163/20 2164/7 2199/16 2200/14

works [1] 2202/21 Worldwide [1] 2158/7 would [34] 2150/13 2152/25 2153/1 2153/7 2153/13 2155/7 2155/8 2155/11 2155/12 2155/12

2155/19 2164/23 2171/20 2172/7 2173/9 2174/6 2175/5 2178/14 2178/20 2180/21 2180/21 2186/13 2188/11 2188/11 2189/3 2190/21 2191/4 2193/11 2197/3 2199/9 2199/10 2201/14 2204/3 2206/13 **agga:**h**26**] **af** 5**2**69**Page** D #: **26005** 

writing [3] 2150/22 2165/2 2188/3 writs [1] 2204/10 written [1] 2150/21 Wylie [1] 2/15

#### Υ

yahoo.com [1] 1/25 yeah [9] 2152/3 2152/17 2162/21 2180/12 2181/25 2195/25 2199/11 2200/7 2203/3

year [10] 2159/22 2159/22 2159/25 2159/25 2160/4 2160/6 2160/16 2160/21 2160/22 2161/12 yearend [1] 2159/20

years [3] 2176/3 2187/20 2198/10

Yep [1] 2165/19 yes [9] 2151/1 2151/20 2153/18 2192/5 2199/1 2199/13 2199/19 2202/18 2203/6

yet [2] 2178/4 2200/15 you [98] you'll [5] 2155/25 2156/9 2157/3 2157/23 2158/6 you're [9] 2171/3 2171/14 2181/22 2183/7 2184/14 2184/17 2184/18 2200/17 2206/1

you've [6] 2175/17 2179/20 2179/21 2182/5 2183/17 2201/23

your [28] 2151/2 2151/22 2153/16 2161/1 2161/14 2165/8 2171/1 2172/18 2177/20 2179/23 2183/13 2184/11 2184/16 2184/20 2186/25 2188/5 2189/22 2193/1 2193/4 2193/9 2193/13 2193/21 2196/25 2201/19 2201/22 2202/16 2206/8 2206/22

yourself [2] 2202/4 2202/6 yourselves [1] 2201/18

#### 7

Zousmer [1] 2/21