

May 30, 2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

MICHAEL KENNEDY,
Plaintiff,

v.

SUPREME FOREST PRODUCTS, INC.
Defendant.

FILED
2017 MAY 31 PM 1 35

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NEW BRITAIN, CT.

Case No. 3:14-cv-1851 (JAM)

JURY VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously find:

Question #1 (Liability): Has plaintiff Michael Kennedy proven by a preponderance of the evidence:

- (1) that Mr. Kennedy was an employee on April 3, 2014, of Supreme Forest Products, Inc.?

Yes ☒ No ☐

-and-

- (2) that Mr. Kennedy was discharged by Supreme Forest Products, Inc. from that employment on April 3, 2014?

Yes ☒ No ☐

-and-

- (3) that Mr. Kennedy refused to operate a motor vehicle on April 3, 2014, because its operation would have violated a federal regulation prohibiting the operation of a motor vehicle weighing more than 80,000 pounds on a federal interstate highway?

Yes ☒ No ☐

-and-

- (4) that Supreme Forest Products, Inc., discharged Mr. Kennedy because of his refusal on April 3, 2014, to operate a motor vehicle that would have violated a federal regulation prohibiting the operation of a motor vehicle weighing more than 80,000 pounds on a federal interstate highway?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you answered "Yes" to all four elements of Question #1, then proceed to Question #2 to consider damages.

If you answered "No" to any element of Question #1, then your deliberations are complete, and you should proceed to the end of this verdict form, ensuring that the foreperson signs and dates the form.

Question #2 (Compensatory or Nominal Damages): Has plaintiff Michael Kennedy proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he is entitled to an award of compensatory damages?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you answered "Yes" to Question #2 what amount of compensatory damages do you award?

\$ 4,900.00 Economic Damages

\$ 7,000.00 Non-economic Damages

If you answered "No" to Question #2, what amount of nominal damages do you award?

\$

Question #3: Has plaintiff Michael Kennedy proven by a preponderance of the evidence that punitive damages are permitted and that punitive damages should be awarded in your discretion?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If you answered "Yes" to Question #3, what amount of punitive damages do you award?

\$ 425,000.00

ALL YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

Please double check the accuracy, completeness, and consistency of your answers above and then sign and date this verdict form.

/s/

Foreperson

5/31/2017
Date

Please note that the signature of the Foreperson will be redacted in official court records in order to protect against public disclosure of the Foreperson's name.